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#### Abstract

$W$ e critically re-exam ine our earlier derivation of the e ective low energy action for Q CD in 4 dim ensions w ith chiral elds transform ing non-trivially under both color and avor, using the $m$ ethod of anom aly integration. We nd several changes $w$ th respect to our previous results, leading to $m$ uch $m$ ore com pact expressions, and $m$ aking it easier to com pare $w$ ith results of other approaches to the sam e problem. W ith the am ended e ective action, we nd that there are no stable soliton solutions. In the context of the quark soliton program, we interpret this as an indication that the full low energy e ective action m ust include additional term s , re ecting possible $m$ odi cations at short distances and/or the non-trivial structure of the gauge elds in the vacuum, such as $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ \& 0 . Such term s are absent in the form alism based on anom aly integration.
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## 1. Introduction and $M$ otivation

In the recent years som e progress have been $m$ ade towards establishing the connection betw een the phenom enologically successfulnon-relativistic constituentquark model (NRQM), and QCD's fundam ental degrees of freedom. K aplan ${ }^{[1]}$ proposed a physical picture combining some of the features of the chiral quark $m$ odel ${ }^{[2]}$ and the skym ion ${ }^{[3]}$. It was postulated that at distances sm aller than the con nem ent scale but large enough to allow for nonperturbative phenom ena the e ective dynam ics of QCD is described by chiral dynam ics of a bosonic eld which takes values in $U\left(N_{C} \quad N_{f}\right)$. This e ective theory adm its classical soliton solutions. A ssum ing that they are stable and $m$ ay be quantized sem iclassically, one then nds that these solitons are extended ob jects with spin 1=2, and that they belong to the fundam ental representation of color and avor. Theirm ass is of order $Q C D$ and radius of order $1=Q C D{ }^{[6]}$ It is very tem pting to identify them as the constiment quarks. T hus the constiment quarks in this m odelare \skym ions" in color space.

It tums out that in 2 space-tim e dim ensions this picture is exact ${ }^{[7]}$. Thanks to exact non-abelian bosonization one can rew rite the action ofQ CD 2 in term sof purely bosonic variables, which are chiral elds $2 \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$. It is then straightforw ard to dem onstrate that the only non-trivial static solutions of the classical equations ofm otion are those which contain either a soliton and an anti-soliton or $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ solitons. The solitons transform under both avor and color, yet their bound states are color singlets and have the quantum num bers of baryons and $m$ esons.

In four space-tim e dim ensions there is no exact bosonization, and therefore any attem pt at derivation of a sim ilar picture in four dim ensions must rely on
œertain approxim ations. In our previous work ${ }^{[8]}$ we have derived the approxim ate low -energy e ective chiral lagrangian w ith target space in $U\left(\mathbb{N} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{f}} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ using the approach based on integration of the anom aly equations ${ }^{[19]}$. Equivalent results were independently obtained in ref. 13 , using an a priori di erent approach.

The purpose of the current work is to critically re-exam ine the results of ref. 8, w ith particular em phasis on the question whether the action we have derived can support stable, tim e independent classical solutions. The existence of such solutions appears to us to be a necessary condition for establishing the physical picture in which constituent quarks are solitons of a low -energy e ective action of CD in four dim ensions.

W e nd several changes w ith respect to our previous results, leading to m uch $m$ ore com pact expressions, and $m$ aking it easier to com pare $w$ ith results of other approaches to the sam e problem, and in particular with the action proposed by K aplan ${ }^{[1]}$.

W th the am ended e ective action, we nd that there are no stable soliton solutions. In the context of the quark soliton program, we interpret this as an indication that the full low-energy e ective action m ust include additional term $s$, re ecting possible m odi cations at short distances and/or the non-trivial structure of the gauge elds in the vacuum, such as $F^{2} 0$. Such term $s$ are absent in the form alism based on anom aly integration.

The layout of the paper is as follow s. In Section 2 we rederive the low energy classical action, resulting in $m$ uch $m$ ore com pact nalexpressions. In Section 3 we exam ine the necessary conditions for existence of stable, tim e independent classical solutions w ith nite energy, and conclude that in order for such solutions to exist,
the e ective action must contain additional term s which are not present in our derivation. Section 3 is devoted to discussion and interpretation of the results.

## 2.D erivation of the e ective action

We follow the conventions and notation of ref. 8 .
The variation of the determ inant under the axial transform ation of the extemal elds, including term s up to zeroth power of the cuto , is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& i-\frac{\log Z}{-}=\frac{1}{4^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{4} F \quad F \quad+\frac{1}{12} H \quad H \quad \frac{2 i}{3}(A A F P+A F \quad A \\
& +F A A) \quad \frac{8}{3} A A A A+16^{2} D A \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{2 i}{3} \mathbb{D} F \quad ; A \quad\right]+\frac{i}{3} \mathbb{F} \quad ; \mathrm{D} A \quad\right]  \tag{1}\\
& +\frac{1}{3} f D \text { A ;A A } g \text { 2A D A A } \\
& \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{fD} \text { A ; fA ;A gg+ } \frac{1}{3}(\mathrm{D} D \mathrm{DA})+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $F=@ V$ @ $V+i[V ; V]+i \mathbb{A} ; A]=\frac{1}{2}(L+R \quad) ; D A=@ A+$ i $V$; $A$ ] and $H=\frac{1}{2}(R \quad L \quad)=(D A \quad D A)$. (cf. eq. (4.1) of ref. 8). $V$ is the extemal source coupled to the vector current of the ferm ions, while A is the extemal source coupled to the axial current of the ferm ions.

An action which has equation (1) as its variation is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.i \log Z_{1}=\frac{2}{2}^{Z} \quad \operatorname{Tr}(A A)+S_{C S}^{5} \mathbb{R}\right] \quad S_{C S}^{5}[\mathbb{Z}] \\
& \frac{i}{48}^{2}{ }_{Z}^{Z} \quad \operatorname{Tr} \frac{i}{2}\left(R+L \quad f L ; R \quad g+\left(L L \quad \frac{1}{2} L R+R R\right) L R\right. \\
& \left.\frac{1}{12^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{4}(\mathrm{~F})^{2} \quad \text { iF } \quad \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{A}\right] \quad \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{D} A)^{2} \quad(\mathrm{~A} A)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2)
where $S_{C S}^{5}$ is the ve dim ensional C hem-Sim ons action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.S_{C S}^{5} \mathbb{R}\right]=\frac{1}{24}^{2} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(R @ R @ R+\frac{3}{2} R R R R @ R \quad \frac{3}{5} R R R R R\right): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To derive equation (2), it is usefiul to em ploy

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{1}{24^{2}} \mathrm{~d}^{4} \mathrm{x} \quad \operatorname{Tr} R \quad \mathrm{fR} \text {; } @ R \quad g+\frac{3}{2} i R \mathrm{R} R \quad: \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

For the special case where $R=@!+i \mathbb{R}$; ! ] we have

$$
\left.S_{C S}^{5} \mathbb{R}\right]=\frac{1}{24}^{2} d^{4} x \quad \operatorname{Tr} \quad!\quad @ R @ R+\frac{1}{2} i f R R \quad ; @ R g \frac{1}{2} i R @ R R R \quad:
$$

(5)

T he resulting e ective action takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{e}=\frac{2^{Z}}{2^{2}} \operatorname{TrD}_{Z} \quad \text { UD } U^{1} \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll} 
& R & )^{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \frac{1}{240^{2}}{ }^{Z} \quad \operatorname{Tr}(J J \text { J J J }) \\
& +{\frac{i}{48^{2}}}^{Z} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{i}{2} R \quad R ; J+R R R \quad J \\
& +\frac{1}{2} R \text { JR J J J JR } \\
& \frac{i}{48^{2}} \quad \operatorname{Tr} \frac{i}{2}(R+L \quad) f L ; R \quad g+\left(L L \quad \frac{1}{2} L R+R R\right) L R \\
& +\frac{i}{48^{2}}{ }^{Z} \quad \operatorname{Tr} \frac{i}{2}\left(U^{1} R \quad U+L \quad\right)^{n} L ; R^{U}+\left(L L \quad \frac{1}{2} L R^{U}+R^{U} R^{U}\right) L R^{U} \\
& +\frac{1}{192^{2}}{ }^{Z} \operatorname{Tr} 2\left(D \quad\left(U^{1} D \quad U\right)\right)^{2} \quad\left(D U^{1} D \quad U\right)^{2} \\
& \left.4 i\left(L \quad D \quad U^{1} D \quad U+R \quad D \quad U D \quad U^{1}\right)+2\left(U^{1} R \quad U L \quad D \quad R \quad\right)\right] \\
& +16[\mathrm{iF} \\
& \left.\left.[A ; A]+\frac{1}{2}(D A)^{2}+(A A)^{2}\right)\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D U=@ U+i R U$ UUL, $D U^{1}=@ U^{1}+i U^{1} \quad i U^{1} R, J=$ $\mathrm{U}^{1}$ i@ U and $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{UJ} \mathrm{U}^{1}$.

In order to $m$ ake contact $w$ th the usual form ulation of $Q C D$, we write dow $n$ the e ective action for $L=R=G$ in eq. (6), to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{e}={\frac{2}{2^{2}}}^{Z} \operatorname{Tr}\left(D \operatorname{UD} U^{1}\right){\frac{1}{240^{2}}}^{Z} \quad \operatorname{Tr}(J J J J J) \\
& +\frac{i}{48^{2}} \quad \operatorname{Tr} \frac{i}{2} G \quad G ; J+\left(G G+\frac{1}{2} G J+J J\right) G J \\
& +\frac{i^{Z}}{48{ }^{2}} \quad \operatorname{Tr} \frac{i}{2}\left(U^{1} G \quad U+G \quad\right)^{n} G ; G^{U}+\left(G G \quad \frac{1}{2} G G^{U}+G^{U} G^{U}\right) G G^{U} \\
& +\frac{1}{192^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}^{n} 2 D\left(U^{1} D U\right)^{2}\left(D U^{1} D U\right)^{2} \\
& \text { 4iG } D U^{1} ; D U+2\left(U^{1} G U G \quad G \quad G \quad\right. \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G^{U}=U^{1} G \quad U \quad U^{1}$ id $U=U^{1}(G+J) U$.

## 3. Stability analysis of classicalsolutions

O ur initial hope was to nd nontrivial stable minim a of the action (7). In the process of looking for such solutions, we observed som e num erical instabilities, which caused us to suspect that the action (7) m ight be unbounded from below .

In order to dem onstrate that this is indeed the case, it is su cient to show this for one trial set of functions, $U_{\text {trial }}(x)$ and $G_{\text {trial }}(x)$. In order to sim plify the stability analysis, we w ill therefore begin w ith classical action w thout gauge elds, $G_{\text {trial }}(x)=0$.

H ad such an action lead to stable soliton solutions, it would am ount to an (approxim ate) bosonization of free quarks in four dim ensions, which would have
been an interesting result in its own right. As the follow ing show $s$, this has not been attained in the present form alism . W e shall com $m$ ent later on what we believe $m$ ight be the possible reasons for this.

W e therefore set the gauge eld to zero, resulting in

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{e}[0 ; 0 ; U]= & \frac{2^{Z}}{2^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}(J J) \frac{1}{240^{2}}  \tag{8}\\
\frac{1}{192^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[2(@ J)^{2}+(J J)^{2}\right] & \operatorname{Tr}(J J J J J)
\end{align*}
$$

M otivated by the Skym em odel, we are looking for a radially sym $m$ etric hedgehog solution. W e choose the classical solution to be a eld of the form

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{if}(\mathrm{r}) \sim \hat{r}}
$$

where ~ are paulim atriees, the generators ofsom eS $U(2)$ subgroup ofU $\left(\mathbb{N}{ }_{C} N_{f}\right)$ and $f(r)$ is a radialshape function $w$ ith boundary conditions $f(0)=, f(1)=0$. The choige of the em bedding will becom e relevant only if stable solutions exists, and then it should be discussed together w ith quantization of the collective coordinates. T he $W$ ess-Zum ino term vanishes and the rest of the term $s$ are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(J^{c}\right)^{2}=\left(f^{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 \sin ^{2} f}{r^{2}} \\
\left(J^{c} J^{c}\right)^{2}=\left(f^{0}\right)^{4} \frac{4\left(f^{0}\right)^{2} \sin ^{2} f}{r^{2}}  \tag{9}\\
\left(@ J^{c}\right)^{2}=f^{\infty}+\frac{2 f^{0}}{r} \frac{\sin ^{2} f}{r^{2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

$N$ ext, we de ne an e ective potential $V_{e}$ asm inus the action divided by $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and integrated over space only,
$V_{e} \quad(f)={\frac{2^{2}}{}}^{\mathrm{Zt}} d r\left[r^{2}\left(f^{0}\right)^{2}+2 \sin ^{2} f\right]$

$$
+\frac{1}{48}{ }_{0}^{\frac{Z^{1}}{0}} d r 2 r f^{\infty}+2 f^{0} \frac{\sin (2 f)^{2}}{r}+\left[r^{2}\left(f^{0}\right)^{4} \quad 4\left(f^{0}\right)^{2} \sin ^{2} f\right]
$$

Finding stable solutions of the action (8) is now reduced to fiunctionalm ini$m$ ization of the e ective potential (10).

C onsider a fam ily of trial functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=2 \tan ^{1}\left(\frac{a}{r}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a variational param eter which also detem ines the soliton size. The functions (11) satisfy the boundary conditions at both $r=0$ and $r$ ! 1 :

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(r=0)= \\
f(r!1)=0 \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

The rst condition is needed to ensure that the solution carries one unit of winding num ber, which in our norm alization corresponds to one quark.

For this fam ily of trial functions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{e} \quad(a)=6^{2} a \quad \frac{1}{96 a} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

H enœ fora! 0 the potential is unbounded below. T hus, when attem pting to solve the equations ofm otion, we will nd that the soliton pro le will.be \squeezed" to
zero width around the origin. This shrinking of the classical solution to zero size occurs despite the presence of both two-and four-derivative term $s$ in the action (8).

A sim ilare ect occurs already in the $m$ odel ${ }^{[14]}$. A lso there, the approxim ate action w ith up to fourderivatives on the and $\sim$ elds, constrained to ${ }^{2}+\sim^{2}=f^{2}$ has its classical solutions \squeezed" to zero width, and with energy tending to 1 .

In two dim ensions, stabilization of the soliton is provided by the $m$ ass term . In four dim ensions, one $m$ ay add a $m$ ass term

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{~m}_{2}^{4}[1 \quad \cos (\mathrm{f})] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m_{Q}$ is som e scale related to the originalquark $m$ ass in the $Q C D$ Lagrangian, (not quite the bare $m$ ass itself, as there is nom al ordering to be perform ed; see A ppendix of ref. [7]). Such a m ass term does not provide the desired stabilization, how ever. For the trial function above, this term w ill have a divergent contribution to the potential com ing from the integration over large $r$. Since the stabilization problem occurs at sm all distances, this large-r divergence due to the $m$ ass term cannot cure the problem. In order to isolate the large-r divergence, we w ill treat the problem of large $r$ by putting a cut-o $R$. W e expect that eventually such a cut-o will actually be provided by the con nem ent in Q CD .

Now the contribution of the $m$ ass term to the potentialw ill be

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 \mathrm{~m}_{Q}^{4} a^{3} \frac{R}{a} \tan ^{1}\left(\frac{R}{a}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which tends to zero as $\backslash a$ " tends to zero, thus not changing the fact that the
potential is unbounded below for sm all scales a.

## 4.D iscussion and Interpretations

There are various options to overcom e these di culties. The rst is by choosing a di erent regularization schem e . T his m ay change the coe cients of the dim ension four operators which appear in the Lagrangian. In particular it $m$ ay change the term $s$ in such a way that we w ill have a com mutator squared as in the Skym e m odel. This probably is the only know $n$ action whid produces a positive H am iltonian. A second way out of this problem is to refer to non-perturbative corrections which will change the form of the coe cients in such a way as to get a Skym e like action.

W e should rem ark, however, that in general we would not expect a schem e change to in uence physical results, like the em ergence of constituent quarks. It $m$ ay happen, how ever, that due to the approxim ations $m$ ade, we $m$ ay be able to derive certain quantities in one schem e and not in another.

Recall that in two dim ensions, the schem e was com pletely xed by requiring vector conservation and that the axial be the dual of the vector. The latter requirem ent was a result of our wish to have the bosonic version correspond to the ferm ionic one, and in the latter the axial is indeed the dual of the vector (see our work, ref. [8] for details). W e do not have an analogous requirem ent in four dim ensions as yet.

Let us also rem ark that our classical con gurations tend to be \squeezed" to zero size, and w ith energy tending to 1 . The troublesom e part is at short
distances. But this is precisely the regim e of high $m$ om enta, where our approxi$m$ ations are inadequate, as we have neglected term $s$ with six derivatives or $m$ ore. So we either have to nd a better approxim ation, or m aybe exclude som e short distance region.

A nal com $m$ ent. W e expect the e ective action (7), after integrating out the gauge elds and taking trace over color, to yield an e ective action in avor space. But due to the non-positive nature of the potential that we discovered above, we do not expect, w thin the present approxim ation, to get the Skym e m odel w th the assum ed standard positive-de nite stabilizing four-derivative term ${ }^{[3 ; 4]}$.
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