

# Direct and Resolved Pomeron

H.-G. Kührs

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik,  
Universität Hamburg, Germany

## Abstract

We investigate the effect of a direct pomeron coupling to quarks in DIS and photoproduction of jets. The direct pomeron coupling generates a point-like contribution to the diffractive part of the structure function  $F_2$ , which is analysed on the basis of the latest H1 data. Our model assumptions for the pomeron structure are consistent with the measured data.

## Résumé

Nous étudions l'effet du couplage direct du pomeron aux quarks pour des jets dans des événements de DIS et de photoproduction. Le couplage direct du pomeron engendre une contribution dite "point-like" à la contribution diffractive de la fonction de structure  $F_2$ , qui a été analysée avec les derniers résultats de H1. Les hypothèses de notre modèle pour la structure du pomeron est en accord avec les données mesurées.

## 1. Introduction

In diffractive production of hadronic final states in ep scattering, the proton stays intact or becomes a low mass state. Between the direction of the proton remnant, that goes down the beam pipe, and the produced hadronic system there is no colour flow, which allows of the possibility to observe large gaps in rapidity between these directions. The experiments H1 [1] and ZEUS [2] at HERA have measured the portion of diffractive events to be 10% of all events (not only in photoproduction ( $Q^2 < 0.01 \text{ GeV}^2$ ) but also in DIS ( $Q^2 > 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ )).

This paper is organized as follows. First, we describe our ansatz to analyse the diffractive ep scattering. In section 3, we consider the pomeron structure function and the diffractive part of  $F_2$ . We find consistency with the latest H1 data.

## 2. Model for diffractive jet production

There exist various phenomenological models [3, 4, 5, 6] to describe the above mentioned diffractive non-perturbative QCD phenomena quantitatively. We follow the model of Ingelman and Schlein [3], where the proton splits off a colourless object called pomeron ( $P$ ), which has quantum numbers of the vacuum. The  $P$ -proton vertex is parametrized by a  $P$ -flux factor, that has been determined earlier in  $p\bar{p}$  scattering and can be used as input to analyse the HERA data. It takes the form

$$G_{P=p}(x_P) = \int_1^{t_2} dt f_P(t; x_P) ; \quad (1)$$

$$f_P(t; x) = \frac{1}{x} (A \exp^{-t} + B \exp^{-t}) \quad (2)$$

with  $t_2 = m_p^2 \frac{x_p^2}{1-x_p}$  and certain values for  $A, B$ . The momentum transfer  $t = (p - p^0)^2$  from the initial proton to the final proton remnant has been integrated out, since the proton remnant is not tagged. We emphasize that recently published H1 data [1] confirms

Talk given in the session of working group II+II at the Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering and QCD, Paris, April 1995

\* Work done in Collaboration with B.A. Kniehl and G. Kramer

this factorization scheme. However, since the pomeron might not be a real particle, there could be problems with the interpretation of (1) and (2) [4].

Surely, the pomeron is in some sense only a generic object, that serves to parametrize a non perturbative QCD effect. Although it is not considered as a physical particle that could be produced in the s-channel, we employ the concept of structure functions for it.

For the hadronlike part of the unknown pomeron structure functions,  $G_{b=P}$ , we propose the ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} xG_{u=P}(x) &= xG_{\bar{u}=P}(x) = xG_{d=P}(x) = xG_{\bar{d}=P}(x) \\ &= 6x(1-x)\frac{1}{5}\frac{1}{4} ; \\ xG_{s=P}(x) &= xG_{\bar{s}=P}(x) = \frac{1}{2}xG_{u=P}(x) ; \\ xG_{g=P}(x) &= 6x(1-x)\frac{3}{4} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and vanishing charm contributions. These functions obey the sum rule  $\int_0^1 dx xG_{b=P} = 1$  and are defined for an input scale of  $Q_0^2 = 2.25 \text{ GeV}^2$ . We carry out the usual DGLAP evolution to get the right  $Q^2$  dependence of these functions [7].

Besides the resolved contributions, the pomeron could couple to quarks directly [8,9,10]. Then, similarly to scattering, the  $P \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  cross section also contributes to the pomeron structure function. Here, we assume a direct vector coupling of the pomeron to the quarks with coupling strength  $c = 1$ . This is not really justified with respect to the C parity, but the  $Q^2$  dependence, that is  $\log Q^2$  at low  $x$ , is only weakly dependent on the spin structure as one can see, for example, if one replaces the vector coupling with a scalar one. To remove the collinear singularity, we introduce the regulator quark masses  $m_q$  and obtain for the pointlike (pl) part

$$\begin{aligned} xG_{q=P}^{pl}(x;Q^2) &= x \frac{N_c}{8} c^2 \left( 1 + 8x(1-x) \frac{4m_q^2}{Q^2} x(1-x) \right) \\ &+ x^2 + (1-x)^2 + \frac{4m_q^2}{Q^2} x(1-3x) \frac{8m_q^4}{Q^4} x^2 \ln \frac{1+x}{1-x} \\ &\text{with } \frac{s}{Q^2} = 1 - \frac{4m_q^2 x}{Q^2(1-x)} : \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In figure 2, we have plotted the pointlike contribution for the three quark masses  $m_u = m_d = 0.3 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $m_s = 0.5 \text{ GeV}$  and  $m_c = 1.5 \text{ GeV}$ . The most right picture shows the  $e_q^2$  weighted sum of the pl contributions from  $u, d, s$  and  $c$  quark to  $F_2^D$ . The dents in the curves are caused by the charm thresholds. The pointlike




Figure 1. Generic diagram for the diffractive ep scattering process with a resolved photon, a resolved pomeron P and the hard subprocess HS.




Figure 2. The pl distribution function for various  $Q^2$  values and our choice of regulator quark masses. The right picture shows the  $e_q^2$  weighted sum that enters into  $F_2^D$ .

contribution has its maximum in the upper  $x$  region and increases logarithmically with  $Q^2$ .

The calculation of the differential jet cross section  $\frac{d^2}{dy dp_T}$  of the process depicted in figure 1 is straightforward. In the case of photoproduction, we have the usual factorization of the photon flux factor at the electron vertex [11]. As is well known in photoproduction, the photon can be resolved or couples directly to the final state quarks. For the resolved photon structure functions, we take the parametrizations of GRV [12]. Results and a detailed discussion of the one and two jet cross sections can be found in [13]. In DIS, the photon is always direct. Jet cross sections in the  $p$  system have been carried out. However, at the moment, absolute experimental data for rapidity distributions or  $p_T$  spectra are not available for us. A comparison with our predictions on diffractive jet production in DIS has to be made in the future.

### 3. The diffractive contribution to $F_2$

To leading order in  $s$ , only the quark distribution of the pomeron enters into the deep inelastic P structure function  $F_2^P(x;Q^2)$ , which is

$$\begin{aligned} F_2^P(x;Q^2) &= \sum_q e_q^2 \left( G_{q=P}(x;Q^2) + G_{\bar{q}=P}(x;Q^2) + 2G_{g=P}^{pl}(x;Q^2) \right) : \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$




Figure 3. Comparison of our model predictions with preliminary H1 data. An important feature of the pointlike contribution (long-dashed line, rescaled) is the falling up of the quark distributions (short-dashed line) at higher  $x$  where they become less dominant. This results in better curves for the combined distribution (full line) and a better fit to the shape of the data.

The comparison with preliminary 1993 H1 data [1] is shown in figure 3. If factorization holds, which is favoured by this experiment for a large range of  $x$  and  $Q^2$  values, the data points are proportional to  $F_2^P(x; Q^2)$ , i.e.  $F_2^D(x; Q^2) = k F_2^P(x; Q^2)$  with a constant  $k$  that is in principle determined by the  $P$ -flux factor. To check the  $Q^2$  evolution and shapes, we do not need the exact value of  $k$ , so, we choose an appropriate value for  $k$  and find that our model fits the shape of the data curves well. Especially, the  $Q^2$  evolution is fitted better for a combined ansatz, i.e., quarks in the pomeron and part (solid curves in figure 3), than for the DGLAP evolved quark distribution (short dashed line in figure 3) or part alone. An alternative possibility to fit the data has been represented in [2].

Finally, we fold the pomeron structure function (5) with the pomeron flux factor in eq. (1) to get the diffractive part of the proton deep inelastic structure function  $F_2^D(x; Q^2)$ . The relation is

$$F_2^D(x; Q^2) = \int_{x_0}^x \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dx_P dt f_{P=p}(x_P; t) F_2^P(x_P; Q^2) \quad (6)$$

with  $x = x_P$ . In figure 4, we compare with 1993 H1 data [1]. Again, we emphasize the consistency of




Figure 4.  $F_2^D(x; Q^2)$  compared to preliminary 1993 H1 data. The dashed lines represent only the contributions from quarks in the resolved pomeron, while for the solid curves the pl contribution with  $c = 1$  is included.

the choice  $c = 1$  for the direct pomeron coupling in our model with the data. We use this value in the calculation of the diffractive jet cross sections.

#### 4. Conclusion

In summary, we have studied the effect of a direct pomeron coupling on diffractive jet production at HERA. The concept of pomeron structure functions with DGLAP  $Q^2$  evolution has to be enlarged if the pomeron has a direct coupling to quarks. We have included the additional pointlike part in the analysis of diffractive  $F_2$  data and find consistency. However, our analysis is model dependent and second, we have compared only the shape and not the normalization.

#### Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank the conveners of the working group I+II (Structures, Diffractive Interactions and Hadronic Final States) and the organizing committee.

#### References

- [1] T. Ahmed et al., H1 Collaboration, Preprint DESY 95{006; Preprint DESY 95{036 and Phys. Lett. B 348 (1995) 681.
- [2] M. Derrick et al., ZEUS Collaboration, Preprint DESY 95{093.
- [3] G. Ingelman and P.E. Schlein, Phys. Lett. B 152 (1985) 256.
- [4] P.V. Landshof, talks at Photon '95 (Sheffield) and DIS'95 (Paris).
- [5] W. Buchmüller, talk at DIS'95 (Paris); W. Buchmüller and A. Hebecker, Preprint DESY 95{077.
- [6] G. Ingelman, talk at DIS'95 (Paris).
- [7] A. Vogt, private communication.
- [8] A. Berera and D.E. Soper, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 4328.
- [9] A. Brandt et al., UA8 Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 297 (1992) 417.
- [10] J.C. Collins, J. Huston, J. Pumplin, H. Werts and J.J. Whinnore, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 3182.
- [11] C.F. von Weizsäcker, Z. Phys. 88 (1934) 612; E.J. Williams, Phys. Rev. 45 (1934) 729.
- [12] M. Glück, E. Reya and A. Vogt, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 3986; Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 1973.
- [13] B.A. Kniehl, H.-G. Kohrs and G. Kramer, Preprint DESY 94{140 and Z. Phys. C 65 (1995) 657.