M odi ed renorm alon

V.V.K iselev
Institute for High Energy Physics,
Protvino, Moscow Region, 142284, Russia.
E-mail: kiselev@mx.ihep.su
Fax: +7-(095)-230 23 37.

A bstract

In the fram ew ork of renorm alon consideration in the approximation of a large number of quark avours, a role of the anom alous dependence of gluon propagator on the scale is shown.

In the QCD perturbation theory, an account for the next-to-leading order term over $_{\rm s}$ is connected with the uncertainty, caused by a choice of the energy scale, determ ining the $_{\rm s}$ (2) value, since the transition to the $_{\rm s}$ scale leads to the substitution

$$_{s}(^{2})$$
 $_{s}(^{2})$ $_{1}$ $_{s}(^{2})\frac{_{0}}{4}\ln\frac{_{2}}{_{2}}$;

where $_0$ = (11N $_0$ =3, N is the number of colours, n_f is the number of quark avours. So, the physical quantity, represented in the form

$$r = r_0 s(^2)f1 + r_1 s + O(^2)g$$

with the given order of accuracy, will get the form

$$r = r_0 s(^2) 1 + r_1 \frac{0}{4} ln \frac{^2}{^2} s + O(^2s)$$

= $r_0 s(^2)f1 + r_1 s + O(^2s)g$:

Thus, the value of r_1 coe cient for the $_s$ correction depends on the scale of $_s$ (2) determination. In ref.[1], one o ered to x the scale so that the r_1 coe cient does not contain terms, proportional to $_0$. The latter procedure can be understood as one, leading to r_1 to be independent of the number of quark avours n_f $_0$. As was shown in refs.[2, 3], such choice of scale has the strict sense in the framework of the 1= n_f expansion, where the $_s$ correction to the gluon propagator is determined by the fermion

loop contribution into the vacuum polarization. So, the expression for such contribution depends on the regularization scheme, and in the next-to-leading order one has

$$_{s}(^{2})D(k^{2};) = \frac{_{s}(^{2})}{k^{2}} 1 + \frac{_{s}n_{f}}{6} \ln \frac{k^{2}}{2} + C ;$$
 (1)

where D $(k^2;$) determ ines the transversal part of the gluon propagator D ab $(k^2;$) = ab D $(k^2;$) ($g + k k = k^2$). The constant value C is de ned by the renormalization scheme, so $C_{\overline{MS}} = 5=3$ and $C_V = 0$ in the so-called V -scheme [1].

The sum m ing of $(n_{\rm f\ s})^n$ contributions into the gluon propagator leads to the expression

$$_{s}(^{2})D(k^{2};) = \frac{_{s}(e^{C}k^{2})}{k^{2}};$$
 (2)

i.e., in fact, it results in the account for the "running" $_{\rm S}$ value in respect to the gluon virtuality. Note, the 1=n $_{\rm f}$ consideration is exact for the abelian theory, where $_{\rm S}{\rm D}$ is the renorm alization group invariant. Moreover, the e $_{\rm QCD}^{\rm C}$ value does not depend on the renorm alization scheme, and therefore it results in the scheme-independence for the expression

$$_{s}(e^{C} k^{2}) = \frac{4}{0 \ln (e^{C} k^{2} + \frac{2}{0 + C})}$$
:

As one can see in the performed consideration, the transition to the nonabelian theory is done by the $2n_f=3$! 0 substitution, that is called as the procedure of "naive nonabelization", giving the correct "running" of the coupling constant.

Further, consider the physical quantity r, for which the rst order s-contribution is calculated as an integral over the gluon virtuality with the weight F (k^2)

$$r = \frac{Z}{k^2} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} s(^2)F(k^2)$$
:

Then the account for the $(n_f\ _s)^n$ corrections to the gluon propagator leads to the substitution of "running" value $\ _s\ (e^C\ k^2)$ for $\ _s\ (^2)$

$$_{s}(^{2})!$$
 $_{s}(^{2})^{\overset{X^{1}}{n}}$ $\frac{_{s}(^{2})_{0}}{4} \ln \frac{k^{2}}{2} + C$ n ; (3)

so that in the o ered procedure of the scale xing [1], the introduction of the rst order n_f s-correction results in the substitution 2 ! 2 = 2 expfC + hln k^2 = 2 ig, where the average value is determined by the integral with the F weight. However, in some cases [2, 3] the integration of the n-th order term in expansion (3) leads to the n! factorial growth of the coe cients for the expansion over n_s (2), so that this rising can be determined by the region of low virtualities as well as large ones of gluon. Then one

says about the infrared and ultraviolet renorm alons, respectively [2, 3, 4]. As was shown [2, 3], the renorm alon leads to power-like uncertainties of the revaluation, so

$$r = \frac{QCD}{k} a_k; \qquad (4)$$

where k is positive for the infrared renorm alon and it is negative for the ultraviolet one. For the two-point correlator of heavy quark vector currents, for instance, one has k=4 and the corresponding uncertainty can be, in fact, eliminated in the procedure of de nition for the nonperturbative gluon condensate, having the same power over the infrared parameter [3]. However, for the renorm alized mass of heavy quark the infrared renorm alon with k=1 does not correspond to some de nite quark-gluon condensate, and the value m () $_{QCD}$ can not be adopted into a de nition of a physical condensate.

In the present paper we modify the renormalon due to the account for the anomalous dependence of gluon propagator on the scale, and the modication turns out, basically, to be essential for numerical estimates, related with the determination of physical scale, xing s.

In the covariant gauge, the gluon propagator has the form

$$D^{ab}(k^2;) = \frac{ab}{k^2! (^2;k^2)} g + (1 a_1(^2)! (^2;k^2)) \frac{k k}{k^2} ;$$

where $a_1!$ is the renormalization group invariant. The solution of one-loop equation of the renormalization group for $a_1(^2)$ in the \overline{M} S-scheme allows one to represent the gluon propagator in the form [5]

$$D^{ab}(k^{2};) = \frac{ab}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{1 (1 ! (\frac{2}{0})) \frac{s(\frac{2}{0})}{s(\frac{2}{0})}} q + (1 b) \frac{k k}{k^{2}};$$
 (5)

where $n_a = (13N - 4n_f) = (6_0)$ and b is the arbitrary gauge param eter¹. In eq.(5) we take into account the anom alous dependence for the gluon propagator on the scale, ! = ! (²), only.

The choice of xed point! 1 corresponds to the standard renormalon. The consideration of the gluon propagator at! $\[\]$ 1 leads to the modi ed renormalon.

In the leading order over 1= n_f one has $n_a=1$, and the cases with 1) ! ($_0^2$) > 1, 2) ! ($_0^2$) = 1 and 3) ! ($_0^2$) < 1 at some large $_0$ values can be formally come to the dierent choices of the renormalization group invariant $_g$, such that ! ($_g^2$) = 0 and 1) $_g$ < $_{QCD}$, 2) $_g$ = $_{QCD}$ and 3) $_g$ > $_{QCD}$, respectively. The $_g$ ($_g^2$) value is considered as the formal one-loop expression

$$_{s}(^{2}) = \frac{4}{0 \ln \frac{2}{2}}$$
:

¹ In ref.[5] the solution with the xed b = 3 value is considered and! is expressed through $b=a_1$.

Thus, the 1=nf consideration gives

$$\frac{s(^{2})}{!(^{2})} = \frac{s(^{2}) s(^{2})}{s(^{2}) s(^{2})} = s(e^{d^{2}});$$
 (6)

where d is the scheme-independent invariant of the one-loop renormalization group, and it is equal to

$$d = \ln \frac{\frac{2}{QCD}}{\frac{2}{g}} = \frac{4}{0 \text{ s } (\frac{2}{g})}$$
:

Further, one can repeat the calculation of $(n_f\ _s)^n$ contributions into the gluon propagator with the substitution of value (6) for $\ _s$ ($\ ^2$), so that this replacement corresponds to the account for the anomalous dependence of the gluon propagator versus the scale. Then the scale xing due to the next-to-leading order $\ _s$ correction results in the expression

$$^{2} = ^{2} \exp fC + h \ln k^{2} = ^{2} i + dg;$$

and the sum m ing of the corresponding contributions m odi es eq.(2)

$$_{s}(^{2})D(k^{2};) = \frac{_{s}(e^{C+d}k^{2})}{k^{2}} = \frac{_{s}(e^{C}k^{2})}{!(e^{C}k^{2})k^{2}}:$$
 (7)

Next, one can de ne the \overline{V} -scheme, introducing $C_{\overline{V}} = d$. Then

$$\frac{\overline{V}}{QCD} = e^{5=6 d=2 \frac{MS}{QCD}}$$
:

In the \overline{V} -scheme the perturbative potential between the heavy quark and antiquark in the colour-singlet state will have the form

$$V(q^{2}) = \frac{4}{3} \frac{4 \frac{\overline{V}(q^{2})}{q^{2}}}{q^{2}}$$
 (8)

at $q^2 = k^2$. Potential (8) comes to the Richardson potential [6], when one uses $\frac{\overline{V}}{s}$ ($q^2 + \frac{2}{QCD}$) instead of $\frac{\overline{V}}{s}$ (q^2), and QCD xes the linearly rising part of potential, con ning quarks with the distance increase,

$$V_{lin}(x) = \frac{8 + \frac{2}{QCD}}{27} \dot{x} \dot{j}$$
:

The tting of mass spectra for the charmonium and bottom onium in the Richardson potential gives

$$\frac{V}{QCD} = 400 \quad 15 \text{ M eV} :$$

The one-loop expression with $\frac{\overline{M} \, S}{s}$ (m $_{Z}^{2}$) = 0:117 0:005 [7] corresponds to $\frac{\overline{M} \, S}{Q \, C \, D}$ = 85 25 M eV, that allows one phenomenologically to determ ine the values

$$e^{\frac{d=2}{M}} = 2:1 \quad 0:5;$$
 $\frac{MS}{g} = 180 \quad 45 M \text{ eV};$
 $s(\frac{2}{g}) = 0:94 \quad 0:21:$
(9)

However, an additional uncertainty into the estimates is involved by the transition from the number of avours n_f (m_Z) = 5 to another value n_f (1 GeV) = 3. Obtaining eq.(9), one has supposed, that $_{QCD}$ does not depend on the number of avours, so that at the scale of "switching on (o)" the additional avour of quarks = m_{n_f+1} , the QCD coupling has a discontinuity, related with the step-like change $_0$ (n_f)! $_0$ (n_f + 1) = $_0$ (n_f) 2=3. One can avoid such discontinuities, if one supposes that $_{QCD}$ depends on the avour number, so that $_s$ (2 = $m_{n_f+1}^2$; $_{QCD}^{(n_f)}$; n_f) = $_s$ (2 = $m_{n_f+1}^2$; $_{QCD}^{(n_f+1)}$; n_f + 1). Then in the one-loop approximation one nds

Setting $_{OCD}^{(5)} = 85$ 25 M eV, one gets

$$e^{d=2} = 124 \quad 032$$
: (11)

Hence, the $_{\rm s}$ rescaling, accounting for eq.(10), results in the d value, that agrees with the standard renormalon (d $_{\rm s}$ 0) within the current accuracy.

Next, one can use the nonabelian "running" of ! with n_a \in 1 and the same scale as in the "running" of $_s$. In the latter procedure one has two scales $_{QCD}$ and $_g$, so that two "measurements" of $_s$ =! quantity, entering physical values, are needed. If one xes $_g^V$ from the linear part of the heavy quark potential and uses the Z pole data for the $_{QCD}$ evaluation, then the obtained values show the standard renormalon validity within errors.

Thus, we have found that in the $1=n_f$ consideration the account for the anomalous dependence of the gluon propagator on the scale results in the modi cation of renormalon because of the presence of $_g$ scale in addition to $_{Q\,C\,D}$, and the dierence from the standard renormalon turns out to be essential in numerical estimates. We have also determined $_g^{M\,S}$ in a phenomenological manner.

This work is partially supported by the International Science Foundation grants N JQ 000, N JQ 300 and by the program "Russian State Stipendia for young scientists".

R eferences

[1] SJB rodsky, GP Lepage, PB Mackenzie, PhysRev. D28, 228 (1983).

- [2] M Beneke, V M Braun, Nucl. Phys. B 426, 301 (1994).
- [3] IBigiet al., PhysRev. D 50, 2234 (1994).
- [4] V. I.Zakharov, Nucl.Phys. B 385, 452 (1992);
 - M Beneke, V IZakharov, PhysRevLett. 69, 2472 (1992);
 - M Beneke, PhysLett. B 307, 154 (1993), NuclPhys. B 405, 424 (1993);
 - D B roadhurst, Z Phys. C 58, 339 (1993);
 - M Beneke, V M Braun, V IZakharov, PhysRevLett. 73, 3058 (1994);
 - B.H.Smith, M.B. Voloshin, Preprint TPI-MINN-94/16-T [hep-ph/9405204];
 - M Neubert, C.I. Sachrajda, Nucl.Phys. B438, 235 (1995).
- [5] V.V.K. iselev, M. od Phys.Lett. A. 9, 2421 (1994).
- [6] JLR ichardson, PhysLett. 82B, 272 (1979).
- [7] L M ontanet et al, PDG, PhysRev. D 50, 1173 (1994).

Received June 9, 1995