UCSD/PTH 95–12
CALT–68–2012
hep-ph/9508210

Chiral Perturbation Theory for $\phi \to \rho\gamma\gamma$ and $\phi \to \omega\gamma\gamma$

Adam K. Leibovich[a], Aneesh V. Manohar[b], and Mark B. Wise [a]
[a] *California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125*
[b] *Department of Physics, University of California at San Diego*
9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0319
(July 1995)

arXiv:hep-ph/9508210v2 5 Apr 1996

We predict differential decay distributions for $\phi \to \rho\gamma\gamma$ and $\phi \to \omega\gamma\gamma$ using chiral perturbation theory. We also consider the isospin violating decay $\phi \to \omega\pi^0$. Experimental information on these decays can be used to determine couplings in the heavy vector meson chiral Lagrangian.
ERRATUM: It was shown by P. Ko et al., Phys. Lett. B366 (1996) 287, that there is a η' pole contribution that dominates over what we calculated.

The ϕ factory being built at Frascati is expected to produce 10^{11} ϕ's per year [1], enabling rare decay modes of the ϕ to be measured for the first time. Some of these rare decays are of the form $V \to V'X$, where V and V' are vector mesons, and can be studied using chiral perturbation theory because the $V - V'$ mass difference is small. The chiral Lagrangian for $V \to V'X$ transitions written in terms of heavy meson fields was given in a previous paper [2]. This form of the chiral Lagrangian for matter fields has a well-defined momentum expansion [3], and can be used as the starting point for a systematic expansion in powers of momentum. The vector meson chiral Lagrangian has coupling constants (analogous to g_A) which have not been measured. In this paper, we show that one can determine the unknown coupling constants using the rare decay modes $\phi \to \omega\gamma\gamma$ and $\phi \to \rho\gamma\gamma$.

The vector meson fields can be written as a 3×3 octet matrix*

$$\mathcal{O}_\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\rho_\mu^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\phi_\mu^{(8)}}{\sqrt{6}} & \rho_\mu^+ & K_\mu^{*+} \\ \rho_\mu^- & -\frac{\rho_\mu^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\phi_\mu^{(8)}}{\sqrt{6}} & K_\mu^{*0} \\ K_\mu^{*-} & \overline{K}_\mu^{*0} & -\frac{2\phi_\mu^{(8)}}{\sqrt{6}} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

and as a singlet

$$S_\mu = \phi_\mu^{(0)}. \tag{2}$$

The ϕ and ω mass eigenstates are linear combinations of $\phi^{(0)}$ and $\phi^{(8)}$,

$$|\phi\rangle = \sin\Theta_V |\phi^{(0)}\rangle - \cos\Theta_V |\phi^{(8)}\rangle, \tag{3a}$$
$$|\omega\rangle = \cos\Theta_V |\phi^{(0)}\rangle + \sin\Theta_V |\phi^{(8)}\rangle. \tag{3b}$$

*We will use the same notation as Ref. [2]. The notation for the pseudoscalar meson fields is standard, and will not be repeated here. The pion decay constant is $f = 132$ MeV.

The chiral Lagrange density which describes the interactions of the vector mesons with the low-momentum π, K and η mesons has the general structure†

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm kin} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm int} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm mass}. \tag{4}$$

At leading order in the derivative and quark mass expansions,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin} = -i\, S_\mu^\dagger (v\cdot\partial) S^\mu - i\,{\rm Tr}\,\mathcal{O}_\mu^\dagger (v\cdot\mathcal{D})\mathcal{O}^\mu, \tag{5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = {} & i g_1\, S_\mu^\dagger\, {\rm Tr}\,\left(\mathcal{O}_\nu A_\lambda\right) v_\sigma \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + h.c. \\ & + i g_2\, {\rm Tr}\left(\left\{\mathcal{O}_\mu^\dagger, \mathcal{O}_\nu\right\} A_\lambda\right) v_\sigma \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma},\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rm mass} = {} & \mu_0\, S_\mu^\dagger S^\mu + \mu_8\,{\rm Tr}\,\mathcal{O}_\mu^\dagger \mathcal{O}^\mu \\ & + \lambda_1\,\left({\rm Tr}\,\left(\mathcal{O}_\mu^\dagger \mathcal{M}_\xi\right) S^\mu + h.c\right) \\ & + \lambda_2\,{\rm Tr}\,\left(\left\{\mathcal{O}_\mu^\dagger, \mathcal{O}^\mu\right\}\mathcal{M}_\xi\right) \\ & + \sigma_0\,{\rm Tr}\,\mathcal{M}_\xi\; S_\mu^\dagger S^\mu + \sigma_8\,{\rm Tr}\,\mathcal{M}_\xi\;{\rm Tr}\,\mathcal{O}_\mu^\dagger \mathcal{O}^\mu,\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where v^μ is the vector meson four-velocity,

$$\mathcal{D}^\nu \mathcal{O}^\mu = \partial^\nu \mathcal{O}^\mu + \left[V^\nu, \mathcal{O}^\mu\right], \tag{8}$$

$$V^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi\partial^\mu\xi^\dagger + \xi^\dagger\partial^\mu\xi\right),\; A^\mu = \frac{i}{2}\left(\xi\partial^\mu\xi^\dagger - \xi^\dagger\partial^\mu\xi\right), \tag{9}$$

$\mathcal{M}$ is the quark mass matrix $\mathcal{M} = {\rm diag}\,(m_u, m_d, m_s)$, and

†Here we neglect vector meson widths. These can be taken into account by including antihermitian terms in $\mathcal{L}$.

$$\mathcal{M}_\xi = \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi\mathcal{M}\xi + \xi^\dagger\mathcal{M}\xi^\dagger\right). \tag{10}$$

The terms in $\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin}$ appear with minus signs because the polarization vector is spacelike.

In the large-N_c limit, the octet and singlet mesons can be combined into a single "nonet" matrix [4,5]

$$N_\mu = \mathcal{O}_\mu + \frac{I}{\sqrt{3}}\,S_\mu, \tag{11}$$

which enters the chiral Lagrangian. The kinetic, interaction and mass terms at leading order in $1/N_c$ are

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin} \to -i\,{\rm Tr}\,N_\mu^\dagger\,(v\cdot\mathcal{D})\,N^\mu, \tag{12}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} \to ig_2\,{\rm Tr}\,\left(\left\{N_\mu^\dagger, N_\nu\right\}A_\lambda\right)v_\sigma\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}, \tag{13}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm mass} \to \mu\,{\rm Tr}\,N_\mu^\dagger N^\mu + \lambda_2\,{\rm Tr}\,\left(\left\{N_\mu^\dagger, N^\mu\right\}\mathcal{M}_\xi\right). \tag{14}$$

Comparing with Eqs. (5)–(7), one finds that in the $N_c\to\infty$ limit,

$$\Delta\mu\to 0,\ \sigma_0\to\frac{2\lambda_2}{3},\ \sigma_8\to 0, \tag{15}$$

$$g_1\to\frac{2g_2}{\sqrt{3}},\qquad \lambda_1\to\frac{2\lambda_2}{\sqrt{3}},\qquad \tan\Theta_V\to\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{16}$$

the $|\phi\rangle$ state becomes "pure" $|s\bar{s}\rangle$, and the nonet matrix is

$$N_\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\rho_\mu^0}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\omega_\mu}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho_\mu^+ & K_\mu^{*+} \\ \rho_\mu^- & -\frac{\rho_\mu^0}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\omega_\mu}{\sqrt{2}} & K_\mu^{*0} \\ K_\mu^{*-} & \overline{K}_\mu^{*0} & \phi_\mu \end{bmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

In studying ϕ decays, it is important to determine the mixing angle Θ_V and the couplings g_1 and g_2. The mixing angle Θ_V can be determined to first order in flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry breaking from the measured vector meson masses [6],

$$\tan\Theta_V = \sqrt{\frac{m_\phi - \frac{4}{3}m_{K^*} + \frac{1}{3}m_\rho}{\frac{4}{3}m_{K^*} - \frac{1}{3}m_\rho - m_\omega}} \simeq 0.76\ , \tag{18}$$

where the positive sign has been chosen for the square-root. The mixing angle is close to the so-called magic mixing angle $\tan\Theta_V = 1/\sqrt{2}\approx 0.71$, where the ϕ meson is a pure $\bar{s}s$ state.

The measured $\phi\to\rho\pi$ branching ratio gives $|h_\rho| = 0.05$, where

$$h_\rho = \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\Theta_V - \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{3}}\cos\Theta_V. \tag{19}$$

The value of h_ρ alone does not determine the coupling constants g_1 and g_2. h_ρ vanishes in the large-N_c limit, so the value of h_ρ is sensitive to deviations of Θ_V and g_1/g_2 from their large-N_c values. In addition, the chiral loop correction to the $\phi\rho\pi$ coupling may be significant [2]. The smallness of h_ρ implies that g_1/g_2 is close to its large-N_c value of $2/\sqrt{3}$.

Like the decay $D_s^*\to D_s\pi^0$, at leading order in chiral perturbation theory the amplitude for the isospin violating decay $\phi\to\omega\pi^0$ arises from a tree diagram involving $\eta\pi$ mixing [7]. This Feynman diagram gives

$$\Gamma(\phi\to\omega\pi^0) = \left(\frac{m_d-m_u}{m_s}\right)^2\frac{h_\eta^2}{8\pi}\left(\frac{m_\omega}{m_\phi}\right)\frac{|\mathbf{p}_\pi|^3}{f^2} \tag{20}$$

where the $\phi\omega\eta$ coupling is

$$h_\eta = \frac{g_2}{2\sqrt{3}}\sin 2\Theta_V - \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos 2\Theta_V. \tag{21}$$

Using [8] $(m_d-m_u)/m_s = 1/44$ in Eq. (20) implies a branching ratio, ${\rm Br}(\phi\to\omega\pi) = 4.4\times10^{-6}(h_\eta/0.05)^2$. In principle the value of the angle Θ_V in Eq. (18), the measured $\phi\rho\pi$ coupling and a measurement of the branching ratio for $\phi\to\omega\pi$ would determine $g_{1,2}$. However corrections from higher orders in chiral perturbation theory may be important. It is desireable to have an alternate determination of these couplings. Some other ϕ decays which might have been used to determine $g_{1,2}$, such as $\phi\to K^*K$, are not kinematically allowed.

The coupling constants $g_{1,2}$ can be determined from the radiative ϕ decays $\phi\to\rho\gamma\gamma$, and $\phi\to\omega\gamma\gamma$. At leading order in chiral perturbation theory, there are two contributions; the loop graphs in Fig. 1 and the anomaly graph of Fig. 2, and there are no counterterms at this order. The computation of the loop graphs is straightfoward. We will evaluate the graphs in the limit that the photon momentum is small compared with the meson mass. In the large-N_c limit, the non-zero loop graphs have K-meson loops, and the photon momentum is smaller than M_K for the $\phi\to\rho\gamma\gamma$ and $\phi\to\omega\gamma\gamma$ decays. The decay amplitude from the loop graph is[‡]

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\rm loop} = -i\frac{\alpha g_2^2}{12f^2}\,\mathcal{A}\,\epsilon_\phi^\mu\epsilon_\rho^{*\nu}\Big\{ & \\ & \left[f_{\mu\lambda}^{(1)}f_\nu^{(2)\lambda} + f_{\nu\lambda}^{(1)}f_\mu^{(2)\lambda}\right] \\ & + g_{\mu\nu}\left[-f_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}f^{(2)\alpha\beta} + 10v^\alpha v^\beta f_{\alpha\lambda}^{(1)}f_\beta^{(2)\lambda}\right] \\ & - 3v^\alpha v^\beta\left[f_{\alpha\mu}^{(1)}f_{\beta\nu}^{(2)} + f_{\alpha\mu}^{(2)}f_{\beta\nu}^{(1)}\right]\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

[‡]Vector meson states are normalized to unity. To convert to the usual covariant normalization, multiply Eq. (22) by $\sqrt{4m_\rho m_\phi}$.

where

$$f^{(i)}_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^*_{i\alpha} k_{i\beta} - \epsilon^*_{i\beta} k_{i\alpha}, \tag{23}$$

and ϵ_i and k_i are the polarization and momentum of photon i. The constant $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$\mathcal{A}_\rho = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{g_1}{g_2}\sin\Theta_V + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\cos\Theta_V\right]\frac{1}{M_K} \tag{24}$$

for $\phi \to \rho\gamma\gamma$, and

$$\mathcal{A}_\omega = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\frac{g_1}{g_2}\cos 2\Theta_V - \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{g_1^2}{2g_2^2}\right)\sin 2\Theta_V\right]\frac{1}{M_K} - \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{g_1}{g_2}\cos 2\Theta_V + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{g_1^2}{2g_2^2}\right)\sin 2\Theta_V\right]\frac{1}{M_\pi} \tag{25}$$

for $\phi \to \omega\gamma\gamma$. In the large-$N_c$ limit, the constants have the simpler form

$$A_\rho = A_\omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\ M_K}. \tag{26}$$

Neglecting isospin nonconservation arising from the up-down quark mass difference the amplitude from the anomaly graph is

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm anomaly} = -iha\frac{2\alpha}{\pi f^2}\epsilon^\mu_\phi \epsilon^{*\nu}_\rho \frac{1}{2k_1\cdot k_2 - M^2_{\pi,\eta}} \tag{27}$$

$$\times \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\ \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\tau\rho}\ \epsilon_1^{*\alpha}\ \epsilon_2^{*\beta}\ k_1^\tau\ k_2^\rho\ (k_1+k_2)^\lambda\ v^\sigma. \tag{28}$$

$M_{\pi,\eta}$ is the pion or eta mass, h is the $\phi\rho\pi$ coupling h_ρ (Eq. 19) or the $\phi\omega\eta$ coupling h_η (Eq. 21) and $a_{\rho,\eta} = 1, 1/\sqrt{3}$ is the anomaly coefficient. Since the parameters in the chiral Lagrangian are close to their large-N_c values, we will evaluate the radiative decay rate in this limit. The anomaly graph and the loop graph are of the same order in the $1/N_c$ expansion.

The anomaly amplitude is antisymmetric in $\epsilon_\phi \leftrightarrow \epsilon^*_\rho$, whereas the loop amplitude is symmetric. As a result, there is no interference term for the spin averaged decay rate, so we will consider the loop and anomaly decay rates separately. It is convenient to use the dimensionless variables

$$\hat{s} = \frac{(k_1+k_2)^2}{m_\phi^2},\ x = \frac{2(E_1-E_2)}{m_\phi},\ r = \frac{m^2_{\rho,\omega}}{m^2_\phi}. \tag{29}$$

where k_1 and k_2 are the four-momenta of the two photons, and E_1 and E_2 are their energies in the rest frame of the ϕ meson. The allowed region in the Dalitz plot in the $x\hat{s}$ plane is $0 \le x \le \left[(1+r-\hat{s})^2 - 4r\right]^{1/2}$, $0 \le \hat{s} \le (1-\sqrt{r})^2$.

The differential decay distribution from the loop graph is

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{\rm loop}}{dx\,d\hat{s}} = \frac{m^6_\phi m_{\rho,\omega} g_2^4 \pi}{864 M_K^2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{16\pi^2 f^2}\right)^2 \times \left[69\hat{s}^2 - \frac{89}{4}\hat{s}\,(1-r+\hat{s}-x)\,(1-r+\hat{s}+x) + \frac{99}{32}(1-r+\hat{s}-x)^2\,(1-r+\hat{s}+x)^2\right], \tag{30}$$

where the large-N_c values Eq. (26) have been used. The differential decay distribution from the anomaly graph is

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{\rm anomaly}}{dx\,d\hat{s}} = \frac{2m^8_\phi m_{\rho,\omega} h^2 a^2 \hat{s}^2}{3\pi}\left(\frac{\alpha}{16\pi^2 f^2}\right)^2 \times \frac{(1+r-\hat{s})^2 - 4r}{\left(m^2_\phi \hat{s} - M^2_{\pi,\eta}\right)^2}. \tag{31}$$

Integrating over x from 0 to $\left[(1+r-\hat{s})^2-4r\right]^{1/2}$ gives

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{\rm loop}}{d\hat{s}} = \frac{m^6_\phi m_{\rho,\omega} g_2^4 \pi}{51840 M_K^2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{16\pi^2 f^2}\right)^2 \times \left[(1+r-\hat{s})^2 - 4r\right]^{1/2} \times \Big\{99 - 396\,r + 594\,r^2 - 396\,r^3 + 99\,r^4 - 296\,\hat{s} + 196\,r\,\hat{s} + 496\,r^2\,\hat{s} - 396\,r^3\,\hat{s} + 2164\,\hat{s}^2 + 196\,r\,\hat{s}^2 + 594\,r^2\,\hat{s}^2 - 296\,\hat{s}^3 - 396\,r\,\hat{s}^3 + 99\,\hat{s}^4\Big\}, \tag{32}$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{\rm anomaly}}{d\hat{s}} = \frac{2m^8_\phi m_{\rho,\omega} h^2 a^2 \hat{s}^2}{3\pi}\left(\frac{\alpha}{16\pi^2 f^2}\right)^2 \times \frac{\left[(1+r-\hat{s})^2 - 4r\right]^{3/2}}{\left(m^2_\phi \hat{s} - M^2_{\pi,\eta}\right)^2}. \tag{33}$$

Total decay rates are obtained by integrating $d\Gamma/d\hat{s}$ from $\hat{s} = 0$ to $\hat{s} = (1-\sqrt{r})^2$. The branching ratios from the loop graph for $\phi \to \rho\gamma\gamma$ and $\phi \to \omega\gamma\gamma$ are $5.8 \times 10^{-9}(g_2/0.75)^4$ and $4.2 \times 10^{-9}(g_2/0.75)^4$, respectively. The non-relativistic quark model predicts that $g_2 = 1$, and the somewhat smaller value $g_2 \approx 0.75$ is suggested by the chiral quark model [9]. These branching ratios are quite small, but the radiative decays should be observable at the ϕ factory.

The anomaly graph for $\phi \to \omega\gamma\gamma$ involves the unknown coupling h_η, which vanishes in the large-N_c limit. Since h_η and h_ρ both vanish in the large-N_c limit we will use $h_\eta \approx h_\rho$ to estimate the importance of the anomaly graph relative to the loop graph. With this assumption for h_η, the anomaly graph is much smaller than the loop graph over the entire Dalitz plot, and can be neglected (The ratio of decay widths is $\Gamma_{\rm anomaly}/\Gamma_{\rm loop} =$

$5 \times 10^{-4}(h_\eta/0.05)^2(0.75/g_2)^4$.) Thus a measurement of the $\phi \to \omega\gamma\gamma$ decay rate[§] allows one to determine the coupling constant g_2, and $g_1 = 2g_2/\sqrt{3}$. It might even be possible to compare the chiral peturbation theory prediction for the differential decay distribution with experiment if g_2 is reasonably large, and the ϕ factory achieves its design luminosity.

The situation is quite different for $\phi \to \rho\gamma\gamma$. The branching ratio from the anomaly graph for $\phi \to \rho\gamma\gamma$ is the same as the branching ratio of 4.3% for the process $\phi \to \rho^0\pi^0$, since the π^0 can be on-shell, and it decays almost exclusively into two photons. Thus the total decay rate from the anomaly overwhelms that from the loop diagram. However the rate from the anomaly graph is concentrated in a band in the $x\hat{s}$ plane centered around $\hat{s} = M_\pi^2/m_\phi^2 = 0.0175$. The ratio of the loop to the total decay distribution is show in Figs. (3,4). There are regions in the Dalitz plot where the loop graph is the dominant decay mechanism. Measuring the radiative decay rate in this region should allow one to determine $\Gamma_{\rm loop}$, and thus the coupling constant g_2.

The rate for $\phi \to \rho\gamma\gamma$ near the pion-pole is mainly from the anomaly graph. A measurement of the rate in this region of the Dalitz plot probes the q^2 dependence of the $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ amplitude, which is theoretically interesting. The q^2 dependence arises from higher order terms in the meson chiral Lagrangian that contain an ϵ-symbol, and also from loop graphs involving the Wess-Zumino term.

This work was supported in part by the Department of Energy under Grant Nos. DOE-FG03-90ER40546 and DE-FG03-92-ER40701. A.M. was supported in part by the PYI program, through Grant No. PHY-8958081 from the National Science Foundation.

[1] The DAΦNE Physics Handbook, edited by L. Maiani, G. Pancheri and N. Paver, (INFN, Frascati, Italy 1992); P. Franzini, in Proceedings of the XXVII International Conference on High Energy Physics, Glasgow, U.K., edited by P.J. Bussey and I.G. Knowles, Institute of Physics Publishing, (Bristol, 1995).

[2] E. Jenkins, A.V. Manohar, and M.B. Wise, e-print `hep-ph/9506356`, CALT-68-2000 (unpublished).

[3] E. Jenkins and A.V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. **B255**, 558 (1991), **B259**, 353 (1991).

[4] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B72**, 461 (1974).

[5] G. Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. **B117**, 519 (1976).

[6] J.J.J. Kokkedee, The Quark Model (Benjamin, New York, 1969).

[7] P. Cho and M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D49**, 6228 (1994).

[8] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. **B250**, 465 (1985).

[9] A.V. Manohar and H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. **B234**, 189 (1984).

[§]For $\hat{s}$ very near $M_\pi^2/m_\phi^2 = 0.0175$ the $\phi \to \omega\gamma\gamma$ rate is dominated by the isospin violating process $\phi \to \omega\pi \to \omega\gamma\gamma$ which is expected to have a branching ratio around 4×10^{-6}




FIG. 1. Loop Graphs for $\phi \to \rho\gamma\gamma$ and $\phi \to \omega\gamma\gamma$ that contribute at leading order in chiral perturbation theory. Graphs with a photon attached to a vector meson line vanish in $v \cdot \epsilon = 0$ gauge.




FIG. 2. The anomaly graph for $\phi \to \rho\gamma\gamma$ and $\phi \to \omega\gamma\gamma$.




FIG. 3. The ratio $d\Gamma_{\rm loop}/dx\,d\hat{s}/(d\Gamma_{\rm loop}/dx\,d\hat{s} + d\Gamma_{\rm anomaly}/dx\,d\hat{s})$ over the Dalitz plot. The pion pole is at $\hat{s} = 0.0175$.




FIG. 4. The ratio $d\Gamma_{\rm loop}/d\hat{s}/(d\Gamma_{\rm loop}/d\hat{s} + d\Gamma_{\rm anomaly}/d\hat{s})$ as a function of $\hat{s}$. The pion pole is at $\hat{s} = 0.0175$.