# Towards a Complete Feynm an Diagram s Automatic Computation System

D.PERRET-GALLIX

LAPP., IN<sup>2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>, CNRS e-mail: perretg@cemvm.cem.ch BP.11074941 Annecy-Le-Vieux France,

# Abstract:

C om plete Feynm an diagram autom atic com putation system s are now com ing of age afterm any years of developm ent. They are made available to the high energy physics community through user-friendly interfaces. Theorists and experim entalists can bene t from these powerful packages for speeding up time consum ing calculations and for preparing event generators. The general architecture of these packages is presented and the current developm ent of the one-loop diagram s extension is discussed. A rapid description of the prom inent packages and tools is then proposed. Finally, the necessity for de ning a standardization scheme is heavily stressed for the bene t of developers and users.

Invited talk at the Fourth InternationalW orkshop on Software Engineering and Arti cial Intelligence for H igh Energy and Nuclear Physics. A IHENP-95 P isa April 3-8 1995 Towards a Com plete Feynm an D iagram s A utom atic Com putation System

D.PERRET-GALLIX

L A P P , IN <sup>2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>, CNRS e-mail: perretg@ cernvm .cern.ch B P .110 74941 Annecy-Le-V ieux France,

C om plete Feynm an diagram autom atic com putation system s are now com ing of age after m any years of developm ent. They are m ade available to the high energy physics com – m unity through user-friendly interfaces. Theorists and experim entalists can bene t from these pow erful packages for speeding up tim e consum ing calculations and for preparing event generators. The general architecture of these packages is presented and the current developm ent of the one-loop diagram s extension is discussed. A rapid description of the prom inent packages and tools is then proposed. Finally, the necessity for de ning a standardization scheme is heavily stressed for the bene t of developers and users.

# 1. Introduction

Since its introduction by R P Feynm an<sup>1</sup> in 1949, the diagram m atic technique of com puting m atrix element and consequently, all physics quantities in high energy physics (HEP) has been extensively used and has proved to be the most simple, intuitive and generalm ethod to com pute even the most com plex processes. For its conciseness and its pictorial approach, this m ethod has spread over other research eld like atom ic, nuclear and solid state physics.

Once the Lagrangian of the theory is selected, a process (de ned by the initial and nalstate particles and the order of the calculation) is decomposed into a set of sub-processes represented by a diagram (g.1). The realpower of the Feynm an approach lies, through the use of de nite rules, on a quasi-m echanical transform ation of each diagram in an algebraic expression representing its quantitative contribution to the process. Finally, the total cross-section is obtained by the integration over the phase space of the square of the sum of the contribution of all individual graphs. A lthough this approach is straight forward in its principles, the actual computation of all but the most simple processes is a quite lengthy task, prone to errors and m istakes. In order to avoid these pitfalls, calculus were used to be perform ed independently by several theorists until the results did fully agree. In 1967 M .Veltm an<sup>2</sup> wrote the rst computer language to perform algebraic computation of the trace expressions resulting from the Feynm an diagram smethod. Schoonschip<sup>3</sup> was the rst step towards an automatization of these quite involved computations. For solving this same HEP computation problem s, several other developm ents started at this time: Reduce<sup>4</sup>, Macsyma<sup>5</sup>. Now adays general purpose computer algebra languages have been put on the marketplace. They provide valuable tools to per4 Towards a Complete Feynm an Diagram s Automatic Computation System





Fig.1. Decom position of a process in Feynman Fig.2. Main modules of a typical package diagram s

form many physics calculations<sup>y</sup>: Reduce<sup>7</sup>, Maple<sup>8</sup>, Mathematica<sup>9</sup>. However, the original Schoonschip and its more modern implementation, the Form language<sup>10</sup> (J. Vermaæren) are still prefered for these specialized computations. The technique developed by R.P.Feynman is well structured and quite suited to a complete automatization. However the idea of building a complete package performing the computation of any given process only from general principles appeared to many as an enorm ous task and a never ending enterprise. But several groups out-passing these arguments decided to launch such projects. This workshop series has played a positive role in the motivation and the building of collaboration between these groups. Today, several packages can be used by experimentalists to create (yet with som e limitations) their own event generator in an alm ost automatic way. Tools and libraries have also been created to facilitate complex calculations. 2. Motivations

These packages which could have remained at the level of pedagogical toys, have attracted a lot of interest because modern HEP research requires the calculation of more and more complex processes.

## 2.1. Larger center of m ass energy

The center of mass energy of the colliders going up (LEP-II, HERA, LHC and NLC), new heavy particle thresholds (W,Z,top, Higgs) are crossed increasing therefore the number of diagram s and the level of complexity of each diagram computation.

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>y}{\rm P}$  . N ason's paper in these proceedings

## 2.2. Better accuracy

High precision experiments require high precision theoretical predictions. et e colliders experim ents belong to this category and channels bearing sm all contributions must be included in the computation to cope with the high statistic data. For example, the t-channel in the  $e^+e$  ! 11 <sup>11</sup> where intringing event accum uwas observed in L3. The contribution of the non-resonant lation for large M t-channels in the W production at  $LEP-II^{12}$ , often neglected, must be computed below and well above the W -pair threshold. Higher precision also means including loops diagram s as for the lum inosity measurement at LEP-I which is limited by the theoretical uncertainty of the 2-loop calculation of the Bhabha scattering (<sub>tb</sub> 0:25%; <sub>exp</sub> 0:07 0:16%). The g-2 experiments requires the computation of 4-loops contributions<sup>13</sup> to barely m atch the experim ental precision. Loop calculations are more involved than tree level ones as the n-dim ensional regularization technique must be applied to treat the singularities. Furtherm ore, better accuracy in poses often to take into account ferm ion mass e ects, radiative corrections and polarization e ects.

## 2.3. M ore P rocesses

Looking for smalle ects revealing possible deviations from the standard model leads to the precise computation of many more processes: the "background processes", of no great theoretical importance but whose contribution could dilute or completely wash out the expected signal. Furtherm ore in the so-called supersymm etric models, the nal state particles are often unstable ( $q ! q + \sim, \sim^+ ! \sim^\circ + W + ! \sim^\circ qq$ ) and therefore the actual processes are rather complex (2! 4,6 or more particles). D ue to the particle in ation, more propagators are present. For example,  $e^+e$  !  $e^+e \sim^\circ \sim^\circ$  includes 704 diagram s not taking into account the  $\sim^\circ$  decay. Moreover, there are many possible models and each process may need to be computed for each model.

## 2.4. Perturbative QCD

N ext to leading order Q C D com putations are highly needed for a precise com parison with the experim ents, but the com plexity of loop calculation in non-abelian gauge theories bridles this expectation. New m ethods beyond the conventional Feynm an diagram m atic techniques are being developed including spinor helicity m ethods, supersym m etry W ard identities or string-based techniques<sup>14</sup>. The inclusion of these new approaches in autom atic com putation packages is the next logical step.

In sum mary, each diagram computation gets more complex (polarization, mass e ects, loops), each process gets more diagram s (loops, non-standard models, nonresonant channels), for each model, more processes (background processes,...) must be computed and nally more models have to be investigated. Therefore the need for automatic Feynman computation packages is clear as this task is beyond the reach of the theorist community. 6 Towards a Complete Feynm an Diagram s Automatic Computation System

3. C om ponents of an Feynm an diagram s autom atic com putation package The general structure of a typical package is represented in the g2.

### 3.1. M odel de nition

The fram ework on which the computation takes place has to be precisely and uniquely de ned. In principle the selection of the Lagrangian should lead to the speci cation of all coupling constants. All fundam ental parameters are computed from a set of experimental values. Severalm odels have already been im plemented, including QED, QFD, QCD and MSSM<sup>Y</sup>. For example, QFD can be de ned<sup>z</sup> from a selection of the following parameters ((0); (Q<sup>2</sup>);  $_{\rm S}$  (Q<sup>2</sup>); M<sub>Z</sub>;  $_{\rm Z}$ ; M<sub>W</sub>;  $_{\rm W}$ ; G<sub>F</sub>;  $\sin^2_{\rm W}$ ;  $V_{\rm CKM}^{\rm ij}$ ;  $M_{\rm H}$ ; M<sub>f</sub>) depending essentially on the experimental precision. The coupling parameters can be computed (Q<sub>f</sub>;  $g_{\rm V}^{\rm f}; g_{\rm a}^{\rm f}; g_{\rm W}^{\rm f}; g_{\rm W WZ}$ ) as well as M<sub>W</sub> and W the W propagatorm assand width. Then the nalobservables ( $\Re f; \frac{1}{d \cos}; tot$ ) should be uniquely de ned.

# 3.2. Process de nition

The initial and nal state particles are selected as well as the order in the coupling constant (;g; s). For example:  $e^+e ! W^+W$  at O (<sup>3</sup>) for tree level or  $e^+e ! W^+W$  at O (<sup>4</sup>) for 1 bop corrections. The nal state can be generic like  $e^+e ! 4$  fermions or ! qq. The initial state m ay contain composite particles as in P ! P . The way to handle radiative correction (ISR, soft photon contribution) and structure functions should also be de ned.

## 3.3. G raph generation, drawing and selection

At the tree level, the graph generation is straight forward, the generalization to the n-loop case has been solved by the so-called "orderly algorithm "<sup>15</sup> where all possible topologies without duplication are identified. However the computing time at a given order 0 (<sup>n</sup>) is proportional to the # nodes.<sup>K</sup>. Performance improvement for complex event has been achieved<sup>16</sup> based on the use of vertex classi cation.

The graph drawing module, producing usually postscript diagram representation, is needed for visual check, interactive selection and inclusion in publication. This work of art has been completed in some case up to 2-loop diagrams where topology di culties becomes quite substantial.

D iagram ordering or classi cation based on som e physics interest is a very im portant issue. F inding rules based on topological inform ations and m odel properties to select gauge invariant graph subgroups or to order diagram s by their expected contributions would provide ways to reduce com putation loads.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm y}{\rm Q}$ uantum Electro Dynamic, Quantum Electroweak Dynamic, Quantum Chromo Dynamic and Minim al Supersymmetric Standard Model

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm Z} {\rm LEP}$  -II workshop proposal (see R . K leiss's talk)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>x</sup>A node is an external particle or a vertex

## 3.4. M atrix element elaboration

Having generated all the diagram s and using the model description, one can now write the matrix element function. It will provide the contribution of all selected diagram s for each phase space point. Two approaches are possible and have been used. Let us consider, for example, the inclusive reaction,  $e^+e^-$ ! X. The matrix element can be written as follow s:

$$\Re \hat{j} = \int_{\beta}^{2} v(\mathbf{v}^{0}; \theta) \# u(\mathbf{v}; \theta) \hat{j}$$
(1)

where ; <sup>0</sup> are helicities and g graphs. The sym bolic approach

$$\Re f^{2} = \sum_{g,g^{0}, f^{0}}^{X \times X} (v(\mathbf{^{0}}; \ ^{0}) \# u(\mathbf{'}; \ )) (v(\mathbf{^{0}}; \ ^{0}) \# u(\mathbf{'}; \ ))$$
(2)

$$\Re f = \frac{X}{\pi \pi^{0}} \operatorname{Tr}({}^{0}\#_{g} \ \#_{g^{0}}) \text{ where } \# = {}^{0}\#^{y} \ {}^{0} \tag{3}$$

is based on trace calculus using symbolic manipulation languages: Schoonschip, Reduce, Form, Maple, Mathematica. The sum of the trace for all graphs is performed after summing over the helicities of the external particles. This technique leads to compact expressions for simple processes and to the analytic cancelation of singularities. How ever large expressions are di cult to reduce (nb. term s / (nb. of diagram s)<sup>2</sup>), polarization e ect cannot easily be computed as it adds many more terms and the gauge invariant check is di cult as the computation can only been done in the most appropriate gauge scheme.

In the num erical approach, expression (1) is directly developed in wave functions, vertices and propagators components. The matrix element is then built from sequential calls to a helicity amplitude library containing all necessary num erical routines associated to each basic components. This is a systematic approach, valid for all tree level processes and not limited by the complexity of the diagram s. How – ever, num erical stability ham pers the practical use of this technique. The load is put on the integration package and its ability to deal with singularities. Large computing time is needed for the integration and event generation. For example 100 hours on an HP-735 were necessary to compute a (2 ! 5) process like  $e^+e$  !  $e_{e}ud$ .

## 3.4.1. Higher order com putation

Three cases should be considered: 1-loop, 2-loop and n-loop corrections. In the rst case, a mixed approach (symbolic and numerical) is followed. Tree level, 1-loop diagrams and counterterms are generated, then the product of loop and tree diagram s is performed symbolically:  $_{i;j}$  (loop)<sub>i</sub> (tree)<sub>j</sub>. The output is composed of 2-4 point functions. A numerical integration is then performed using numerical<sup>27</sup> or analytical<sup>17</sup> scalar loop integral libraries (up-to 5-point functions). A lthough limited to 4 external particles in QFD, automatic computation have been used for

8 Towards a Complete Feynm an Diagram s Autom atic Computation System

 $e^+e^-!$  tt (2 tree and 50 1-loop diagram s) or  $e^+e^-!$  Z <sup>0</sup>H (1 tree and 80 1-loop diagram s). Num erical instabilities and com puter perform ance are the m a jor lim itations of this approach. Two-loop calculations is a very active eld where hand com - putation are still necessary to nd approaches suitable for autom atization. These calculations in ply dealing with quite com plex renorm alization and regularization problem s. How ever, m any tools are being developed, m any partial results have been obtained and system atic approaches begin to em erge. Higher loop calculations with the help of algebraic tools has been achieved. C om plete autom ation are probably in possible<sup>y</sup>

# 3.5. Integration and event generation

The matrix element function is integrated over the multi-dimensional phase space restricted by the cuts introduced by the experimental acceptance and by the intrinsic constraints needed to tame the gauge violation divergences. The mapping of the integration parameters to the physics variable is called the kinematics. This transformation must regularize or (at least) decorrelate singularities (infra-red divergences, mass singularity, -t channel singularities, resonance formation) to minimize the variance in order to obtain the best numerical stability. Each singularity is mapped to an independent variable whenever possible. O therw ise, the phase space is split to adapt to each kinematical regions.

M ultidim ensional adaptive integration algorithm s have been developed. In the "strati ed sam pling" approach, the grid spacing is adapted to the integrand m agnitude and gradient (VEGAS<sup>18</sup>, BASES<sup>19</sup>). Iterative integration is then perform ed until the requested accuracy is reached.

New ideas based on quadtree and simplexes partitioning<sup>20</sup> or on wavelets<sup>21</sup> analysis are proposed to improve the performance of this major module.

The gauge invariance is checked num erically by selecting a point in the phase space and computing the matrix element for the various gauge parameters and gauge schemes (covariant, unitary, axial gauge).

Structure functions F (x;s) can be taken into account to the cost of increasing the number of dimensions in the integration (s) =  $dxF(x;s)_0(x;s)$ . The kinematics becomes also more complex due to the s-dependence of the integrand.

Parton show er and hadronization are perform ed by independent packages. The partonic nal state is transform ed into physical particles using one of the many hadronization scheme including: Independent fragmentation (COJETS<sup>22</sup>), Color string (JETSET/PYTHIA<sup>23</sup>, ARIADNE<sup>24</sup>), low -mass cluster (HERW IG<sup>25</sup>). Color correlation and helicity constraint (resonance formation) are more di cult issues and should be implemented carefully.

E vent generation is obtained by sam pling the distributions com puted during the integration step. SPR  $\rm IN\,G^{19}$  is an event generator which has been developed to use

 $<sup>{}^{\</sup>mathrm{Y}}\mathsf{See}\,\,\mathsf{D}$  . B roadhurst's paper in these proceedings



Towards a Complete Feynm an Diagram s Autom atic Computation System 9

Fig. 3. A Grc++ exam ple

Fig. 4. Standardization: an Object Oriented Approach

the BASES integration informations.

## 4. Packages

To date<sup>y</sup> only two packages, GRACE and COMPHEP, are fully automatic: from the process de nition to the cross-section nalvalues.

The G R A C E packages have been developed by the M inam iT ateya C ollaboration<sup>26</sup>. G R A C E -T<sup>27</sup> is a general tree level package which adopts a num erical approach based on helicity am plitudes for m assive particles using the C H A N E L library. The Q F D and Q C D m odel are built-in. A nom alous couplings and M SSM are alm ost ready to be released. Integration and event generation rely on the BA SE S/SP R IN G package. G R A C E -L 1 is the one-loop extension to the previous package. The generation of n-loop and counter term s is perform ed, but the rest of the package is limited to 1-loop and 4 external particles. A lgebraic com putation is perform ed on the product of the loop and the tree level diagram s using Reduce. Then a num erical integration involving scalar loop integrals is carried out. R enorm alization is perform ed autom atically in 4+ dimensions. et e ! tt and ! tt are some of the processes which have been calculated with this package. G R C + + is an interactive package based on K U IP em bedded in PAW + + . It is fully interactive for up to 2! 4 and som e 2! 5 processes(g.3).

The COMPHEP package has been developed by the  $\mathbb{NP} \ \mathbb{M}$  oscow  $G \ \mathbb{roup}^{28}$  It is a general tree level system (up to 2! 4 process). It is based on an analytical approach where the square of the sum of the diagram same computed using their own symbolic calculation package, Reduce or M athem atica. The complete QFD m odel is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>Y</sup>C heck on these proceedings for m ore recent updates

#### 10 Towards a Complete Feynm an Diagram s Autom atic Computation System

treated in unitary and 'tH ooff-Feynm an gauge. A nom alous coupling and M SSM are in preparation. Furtherm ore the user m ay de ne its own m odel. Structure functions are implemented using PDFLIB<sup>29</sup>. BASES and SPRING are used for integration and event generation. The kinematics is generated automatically for the m ost current needs. The package provides an interactive computation for the 2! 3 and som e 2! 4 processes: from process speci cation to energy dependent total cross-sections and various angular distributions.

M ADGRAPH is an autom atic diagram generation<sup>30</sup> package for tree level processes. It produces a m atrix element in term of m assless or m assive helicity am plitudes using the HELAS library. The QFD and QCD m odel are available, MSSM will be released soon. BRS gauge invariance check is autom atically built by the package. D iagram generation is interactive but the kinematics, integration and event generation are let to the user.

FEYNARTS/FEYNCALC from the Wurzburg Group<sup>32</sup> is a Mathematica package providing convenient tools for radiative corrections in the Standard model. It generates and computes all tree, 1-loop graphs and som e 2-loop processes. It can handle up to 4 external particles (2! 2 at 1-loop and 2-loop photon self energy). QFD and QCD are basically built in and MSSM is in preparation. The 1-loop  $e^+e$ ! HZ<sup>0</sup> calculation<sup>31</sup> has been perform ed with this package.

FDC, the Feynm an D iagram C om putation<sup>33</sup> package perform sautom atic calculation of 1-loop diagram susing the W ick's theorem. It follows an analytic approach based on Reduce, R Lisp to produce the matrix element. This package is still in development stage.

### 5. Computing A ids

Some tools have been developed for more specic computations and are sometimes more advanced that some of the modules of the complete packages. However they cannot be used blindly to automatically produce cross-sections and event generators.

H IP  $^{34}$  is a high level M aple or M athem atica functions library to perform symbolic calculation on trace of product of D irac M atrix. It provides an easy way to elaborate cross-section integrand and decay width functions. It im plan ents the Vector Equivalence technique where pair of external ferm ions are replaced by an equivalent four-vector.

TRACER from the Garchin group<sup>35</sup> is a Mathematica package to perform symbolic manipulation and trace operations on string of -algebra objects in n-dimensions using the 't Hooff-Veltman scheme.

 $C O M P U T E^{36}$  from the Raleigh G roup<sup>36</sup> is a M aple or M athem atica package in plem enting spinor techniques for exclusive processes in perturbative QCD, for exam ple: Nucleon compton scattering (378 basic Feynm an D iag.).

M IN CER<sup>37</sup> is a Form package dedicated to the calculation of massless 1, 2, 3-loop diagram s of propagator type, for example:  $Z^{0}$ ! Hadrons ( ${}_{s}^{3}$ , NNL approximation).

PHYSICA<sup>38</sup> is a M athem atica package for the symbolic calculation of tree level.

#### processes.

#### 6. Standardization

It was decided during the workshop to set up a standardization agreement in order to open these packages to the outside world and to x the structure of the event generators. Working groups have been formed and the PISA-I document will be available soon on http://lapphp0.in2p3.fr/aihep/aihep.html

G eneral package structure: A unique de nition of the input and output of each majorm odule should perm it the building of a uni ed workbench (Fig.4) where m odules could be exchanged easily and where the same output could serve as input to several other modules.

G eneral structure of the event generator: The event generator routine needs a deeper level of standardization in order to be interfaced to various structure functions, radiative corrections and hadronization packages. M oreover the general structure should perm it a simple introduction in the large detector simulation packages.

## 7.Conclusions

C om plete tree level autom atic com putation system s like GRACE, COM PHEP and MADGRAPH, com plem entary in their approaches, are available today. Im provements in the code e ciency, in the user interface and in the kinematics libraries are been pursued. Based on these packages, cross-sections and event generation databases are being prepared<sup>26 28</sup> for all tree level processes (2 ! 2;3;4;5). Com plete 1-bop QFD computations are close to be released. A lthough num erical stability problem s and lim itation to 4 external particles m ay reduce its practical use, it clearly dem onstrate the feasibility of this program me and, judging from the work being dedicated to these issues, it will keep in proving and getting m ore general in the coming years. QCD packages are now being actively developed. Next to leading order com putations are investigated in the fram ework of supersymmetric and string based approaches. C om plete autom atic 2-loop correction packages are still in the science ction section as they are one order of magnitude higher in technical com plexity and in the need for com puter perform ances. However a lot of activity is devoted to these them es and progress will de nitely com e. C om plete autom atic n-bop calculation seems out of reach with today technology. The future of autom atic Feynm an diagram s autom atic com putation depends on a widely accepted standardization scheme. Working groups have been created during this workshop to produce the rst rst Standardization A green ent docum ent (PISA-I) very soon.

## A cknow ledgm ents

I am happy to thank all my colleagues from the LAPP theory group, from the M inam iTateya collaboration and from the M oscow State University ( $\mathbb{N}P$ ) for their constant help and support during the preparation of this talk.

- 12 Towards a Complete Feynm an Diagram s Automatic Computation System
- 1. R.P.Feynm an Phys. Rev 76 769 (1949);
- 2. M. Veltm an, Schoonschip, a CDC 6600 program for symbolic evaluation of algebraic expressions, July 1967; M. Veltm an, D iagram m atica: The path to Feynm an rules Cam – bridge lecture notes in physics, 4, (1994)
- 3. H. Strubbe, M anual for Schoonschip, Comp. Phys. Comm. 8 1 (1974)
- 4. A . H earn, A pplication of symbolic manipulation in theoretical physics, comm . A .C M . 14 511 (1971)
- 5. R L.Brenner, The evaluation of Feynm an D iagram s on MACSYMA (1979 MACSYMA user's conf.), E.V. Ellen Lew is, M IT Lab. for Comp. Sci. 1 (1979); Stephen W olfram, MACSYMA tools for Feynm an diagram calculation op. cit., 25 (1979)
- 6. MACSYMA Reference manual version 9 Lab. com p. Sci. M II (1977)
- 7. A C. Heam, REDUCE User's m anual Version 3.5 RAND, Santa M onica (1993)
- 8. B W . Char, K D . G eddes, G H . G onnet, M B . M onagan, S . M . W att, M aple users Guide" 5th edition W atcom Publitd W aterloo (1988)
- 9. S.W olfram, M athem atica: A system for doing m athem atics by com puters (1988)
- 10. JA M .Verm aseren, Sym bolic m an ipulation with FORM, published by CAN, K ruislaan 413, 1098 SJAm sterdam (1991)
- 11. J.Fujim oto at al. Phys. Lett. B 304 189 (1993)
- 12. J.Fujim oto at al.Nucl Phys.Proc. supp. 37 169 (1994); K.Kurihara et al.Phys.Lett. B 349 367 (1995)
- 13. T.Kinoshita and W.B.Lindquist Phys. Rev. D 42 636 (1990)
- 14. Z.Bern, G.Chalmers, L.Dixon, D.C.Dumbar, D.A.Kosower SLAC PUB95-6771
- 15. P.Nogueira, J. of Com p. Phys. 105 279 (1993)
- 16. T.Kaneko, Preprint KEK-CP-020
- 17. G.J. van Oldenborgh, Comp. Phys. Comm. 66 1 (1991) G.J. van Oldenborgh, Phys. Lett. B 282 185 (1992)
- 18. G.P. Lepage J. of Com p. Phys. 27 192 (1978)
- 19. S.Kawabata, Comp.Phys.Comm.41 127 (1986); KEK-PREPRINT-94-197, Feb 1995
- 20. D ICE by S.K awabata and F.V. Tkachov in these proceedings, as reported by V. Lyin
- 21. A B.Owen, Variance of scram bled equidistribution, Stanford Uni.
- 22. R.Odorico, Comp. Phys. Comm. 32 139 (1984); Comp. Phys. Comm. 59 527 (1990)
- 23. T.Sjostrand JETSET/PYTHIA CERN-TH 6488/92, T.Sjostrand and M.Bengtsson, Comp.Phys.Comm.43 367 (1987)
- 24. AR IADNE: L. Lonnblad, Comp. Phys. Comm. 71 15 (1992).
- 25. M archesini and B R .W ebber, HERW IG 3.0: A M onte C arb E vent G enerator for Sim ulating H adron Em ission Reactions with Interfering G luons C avendish-HEP-88/7 (1988)
- 26. M inam i Tateya Coll.: J. Fujim oto, T. Ishihawa, M. Jim bo, T. Kaneko, K. Kato, S. Kawabata, T. Kon, Y. Kurihara, N. Nakazawa, Y. Shim izu, H. Tanaka
- 27. Grace Manual<sup>26</sup> Preprint KEK Report 92-19
- 28. E E, Boos, M N. Dubinin, V A. Llyin, A E. Pukhov, V J. Savrin, SNUTP 94-116
- 29. H.Plothow-Besch, Cemlib W 5051 PDFLIB, Comp. Phys. Comm. 75 396 (1993)
- 30. T.Stelzer, W F.Long, Comp. Phys. Comm. 81 357 (1994)
- 31. A.Denner, J.Kubleck, R.Mertig, M.Bohm Nucl. phys., B suppl. 29A 263 (1992)
- 32. J.Kublbeck, R.M ertig, M.Bohm, A.Denner, Comp. Phys. Comm. 60 165 (1990); 64 345 (1991)
- 33. J.X.W ang Comp.Phys.Comm.77 263 (1993)
- 34. A H sieh, E.Yehudai, Comp. in Phys. 6 253 (1992).
- 35. M. Jam in, M. E. Lautenbacher, Com p. Phys. Com m. 74 265 (1993)
- 36. A lex C.Y. Pang, Chueng-Ryong Ji, CEBAF-TH-94-04
- 37. SA. Larin, F.V. Tkachov, JAM. Verm aseren NIKHEF-H/91-18
- 38. Jurg Beringer, Bern, AIHENP-92