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#### Abstract

W e calculated the single spin asym m etry in the inclusive pion production in the frag$m$ entation region of transversely polarized proton-proton collisions. W e generated the asym $m$ etry at the level of fragm entation function (C ollins e ect) by the Lund coloured string $m$ echanism. $W$ e com pared our results to the presently available experim ental data. W e obtained a qualitative agreem ent with the data after assum ing that the transverse polarizations of the $u$ and the $d$ quarks in the proton are +1 and $\{1$, respectively, at $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}}=1$.
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## 1. Introduction

$Q$ uantum C hrom odynam icspredicts that single transverse spin asym $m$ etries are suppressed in hard collisions, as a consequence of helicity conservation (chiral invariance) in the subprocess. These asym $m$ etries indeed appear as interferences between helicity am plitudes which di er by one unit ofhelicity, therefore they vanish in the lim it m quark! 0 , or equivalently $Q^{2}$ ! 1 ( Q m easures the hardness of the subprocess). N evertheless, a num ber of high $p_{T}$ reactions persist in show ing large asym $m$ etries [1].
$T$ hese facts do not invalidate QCD but $m$ ean that the approach to the asym ptotic regim e in $p$ ? is very slow, as regards polarization. H ow ever, in spite of their \nonasym $p$ totic" character, it is not unreasonable to think that the $m$ echanism $s$ of the asym $m$ etries lie at the parton level. In other words, the asym $m$ etries w ould be $m$ anifestations of quark transverse spin (or transversity). Thus, we could extract inform ation from them about the quark transversity distribution in the nucleon and/or the transversely polarized quark fragm entation. In this paper, we shall present a model for the spin asym $m$ etry in the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime \prime}+p!\quad+x \tag{1:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, unlike previous approaches [2,3], involves the transverse spin asym $m$ etry of the polarized quark fragm entation $[4,5]$, which hereafter will be referred to as the Collins e ect.

The paper is organized as follow s: section 2 gives a very short review of the experim ental data. In section 3, we explain how the Collins asym $m$ etry can give rise to the observed single spin asym $m$ etry in reaction (1.1) and deduce low er bounds on the transverse polarizations of the quarks in the proton, as well as on the size of the C ollins e ect. Section 4 presents a quantitative $m$ odelbased on string fragm entation and section 5 gives the num erical results. Section 6 contains discussion of our results and conclusions.
2. $M$ ain features of single spin asym $m$ etry in inclusive pion production

A strong polarization e ect has been observed in recent years by the Ferm ilab E 704 collaboration in the reaction (1.1) w th 200 GeV transversely polarized pro jectile protons [6-8]. $T$ he asym $m$ etry is de ned as

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}} ; \mathrm{p}_{?}\right) \quad \frac{" \quad \#}{"+} ;
$$

assum ing that " refers to the $+\hat{y}$ direction (vertical upw ards), and the transverse $m$ om entum $P_{\text {? }}$ of the pion points tow ards the $+\hat{x}$ direction ( $P_{b e a m}$ is along the $\hat{z}$ axis). In other words, positive $A_{N} m$ eans that for upw ard polarization, the pions tend to go to the left. $x_{F}=2 p_{z}^{C M}={ }^{P} \bar{s}$ is the longitudinalm om entum fraction of the produced pion and $p_{\text {? }}$ is its transverse $m$ om entum .
The data covered tw o kinem atical regions:

- P ro jectile fragm entation region, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad 0: 2$. In this region the 200 GeV E 704 data [6-8] show large asymm etries for all pions; positive for ${ }^{+}$and ${ }^{0}$ and negative for . $T$ he asym $m$ etries vary from about 0 at $x_{F} \quad 0: 2$ to $a b o u t+0.4,+0.15$ and $0: 4$, for + , 0 and respectively, at $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad 0: 7 \quad 0: 8$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\text {? }}>0: 7 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}$. The earlier 13.3
and 18.5 G eV data [9] showed the asym m etry of ${ }^{+}$reaching 0.1 at $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}=0: 6$ but that of consistent w ith zero. H ow ever, that m easurem ent was done for ${ }^{+}$and in di erent p? regim es.
- C entral region, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad 0$. Ref. [10] reported large positive asym $m$ etry of ${ }^{0}$ for the transverse m om entum fraction $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{T}}=2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}=\overline{\mathrm{S}}>0: 4$. H ow ever, the reanalysis of that data [11] showed the asym $m$ etry consistent $w$ th zero in the $w h o l e x_{T}$ range covered. Form er experim ents, at 13.3, 18.5, 24 and 40 GeV , [12] observed signi cant asym metries in the central region and high $\mathrm{p}_{\text {? }}$.
In this paper we shall concentrate only on the forw ard fragm entation region.


## 3. P ossible explanations of the asym $m$ etry

3.1 G eneralities from the parton m odel.

In the factorized parton $m$ odel, the cross section forp+ $p!+X$ in the forw ard hem isphere is a convolution of the parton distribution $q\left(x ; q_{2}\right)$, the parton-hadron scattering cross section ${ }^{\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{B}}$ ! $\mathrm{q}^{0}+\mathrm{x} \quad \hat{q}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ! $\mathrm{q}^{0}$ and the parton fragm entation function $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{q}^{0}\left(\mathrm{z} ; \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_{\text {? }}\right)$. In short hand notations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A!\quad q \quad \hat{q}^{\mathrm{q}!} \mathrm{q}^{0} \quad \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{q}^{0} \tag{3:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each factor in this equation $m$ ay or $m$ ay not depend on spin. T ransverse polarization can act at three di erent levels:
a) in a dependence of $q(x ;$ ) $)$ on the azim uth of . (hereafter referred to as Sivers e ect $[2,13]$ ).
b) in a single spin asym $m$ etry in $\wedge_{q!} q^{0}$ hereafter referred to as Szwed $m$ echanism [3]. In this case, (but not necessarily in case a), the quark $q$ has to inherit a part of the polarization of the proton.
c) in a dependence ofD $=q^{0}\left(z ; \widetilde{\mathrm{n}}_{\text {? }}\right)$ on the azim uth of $\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\text {? }}$ hereafter referred to as C ollins e ect $[4,5]$. H ere, a transfer of polarization has to occur not only from the proton to quark q but also from $q$ to $q^{0}$.

### 3.2 T he C ollins e ect.

A coording to $C$ ollins $[4,5]$, the fragm entation function of the transversely polarized quark $q$ takes the form

$$
D_{=q}\left(\mathbb{P}_{q} ; z_{i} h_{?}\right)=D=q\left(z ; h_{?}\right)^{n} 1+A=q\left(z ; h_{?}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{q} j \sin \left[{ }^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \quad, \quad\left(\tilde{H}_{?}\right)\right] ;
$$

where $P_{q}$ is the quark polarization vector ( $F_{q} j$ 1), $\check{n}_{\text {? }}$ is the pion transverse $m$ om entum relative to the quark $m$ om entum $q$ and $(\mathrm{a})$ is the azim uth of $a$ around q . The factors after A can be replaced by $\dot{\mathcal{q}} \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\text {? }} \mathrm{j}^{1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad$ (q $\tilde{h}_{\text {? }}$ ). Such a dependence is allowed by Pand $T$ - invariance but still rem ains to be $m$ easured.
$T$ he $C$ ollins e ect is the reciprocal of the Sivers e ect. H ow ever, C ollins argued that the latter is prohibited by time reversal invariance [4], while the form er is not. As for the $m$ echanism b), 边 vanishes for $m$ assless quarks due to chiral sym $m$ etry: single spin
asymmetry in $q!q^{0}$ is not com patible $w$ ith conservation of quark helicity. Therefore it should be sm all for hard or sem i-hard scattering at high energy. In conclusion, am ong the sources of asym $m$ etry a), b) and c) discussed above, we have a preference for the C ollins e ect ilhustrated by Fig. 1.**
3.3 C onsequence for the single spin asym $m$ etry.

Let us consider the hypothesis that the E 704 asym m etry is due to the Collins e ect. In the parton $m$ odel, the polarized inclusive cross section reads

$$
\frac{d}{d^{3} p}=\underbrace{X}_{a ; b ; c ; d} \quad \mathrm{dxq}_{G}(x)^{Z} \quad d y q_{b}(y)
$$

$$
\mathrm{Z} \cos ^{\wedge} d^{\wedge} \frac{d^{\wedge q_{a}}+q_{b}!q_{c}+q_{d}}{d^{\wedge}} d z d^{2} h_{?} D=q_{c}\left(\Psi_{q_{c}} ; z ; \tilde{h}_{?}\right) \quad\left(\rho \quad z e \widetilde{h}_{?}\right) ;
$$

where e is the $m$ om entum of the scattered quark. The transverse polarization of that quark is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{q_{c}}=R P_{\text {beam }} \frac{? q_{G}(x)}{q_{G}(x)} \hat{D}_{N N}(\hat{\prime}): \tag{3:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R$ is the rotation about pbeam ewhich brings pbeam along $e$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ? } q(x) \quad q "(x) \quad q \#(x) \text {; } \tag{3:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

also called $h_{1}(x)$, is the quark transversity distribution $[15,16]$ in the proton polarized upw ards, and $\hat{D_{N ~ N ~}}\left({ }^{\wedge}\right)$ is the coe cient of the spin transfer, norm alto the scattering plane, in the subprocess. Form ula (3.1) results from integration of (3.3) over the m om entum fraction $y$ of the parton of the target. $q_{b}$ and $q_{d}$ in (3.3) m ay also be replaced by gluons. $T$ his does not change the results conceming the asym $m$ etry.

At large $x_{F}$, the dom inant quark avours are $q_{a}=q_{c}=u$ for ${ }^{+}$production and $q_{G}=q_{c}=d$ for production. Furthem ore, the hard scattering occurs predom inantly at sm all ${ }^{\wedge}$ and $\hat{D}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\hat{}{ }^{\wedge}\right)$ is close to unity, as in the case of the $\hat{t}$-channel one-ghon exchange $w$ here $\hat{D_{N N}}=2 s \hat{u}=\left(s^{2}+\hat{u}^{2}\right) ; s$ and $\hat{u}$ being the $M$ andelstam variables of the parton subprocess. Thus, the results of E 704 collaboration im ply

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x})}{\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x})} \mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{?}}\right) \quad \text { about } 0: 4 ;  \tag{3:6}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x})}{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x})} \mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{h}_{?}\right) \text { about } 0: 4 \text {; } \tag{3:7}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\mathrm{xz}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{\prime} 0: 8$. $\mathrm{x} m$ eans the m ost probable value of x . T he inequalities take into account the fact that integration over $\Phi_{\text {s }}$ and ${ }^{\wedge}$ alw ays dilutes the $C$ ollins asym $m$ etry. It

[^1]$m$ eans that we get at least a low er bound of 0.4 separately for $j$ ? $u=u j, j$ ? d=djat large $x$ and $\Rightarrow A(z ; h$ ? $) j$ at large $z$ and for the $m$ ost probable value of $h_{\text {? }}$.

## 4. Simple m odel of single-sp in asym m etry

In order to $m$ ake the conclusions of the previous section $m$ ore quantitative, we have constructed a sim ple m odel based on the string model [17] of quark fragm entation.

W e consider only the valence quarks of the pro jectile proton. We assum e that the quark elastic-scattering cross-section $d^{\wedge}=d$ in (3.3) depends only on the transverse mom entum $\mathrm{q}_{\text {? }}$ of the scattered quark. Since the scattering angle is in our case very sm allwe assume $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{N}}=1 \mathrm{in}$ Eq. (3.4). A fter scattering, the quark spans a string betw een itself and the target. The string decays according to the recursive Lund recipe [17], for which we use the Standard Lund splitting function:

$$
f(z)=(1+C)(1 \quad z)^{C} ;
$$

$z$ being the fraction of the null plane $m$ om entum $p^{+} \quad p^{0}+p^{3}$ of the string taken aw ay by the next hadron. $f(z)=D^{\text {rank }=1}(z)$ corresponds to the production rate of the leading*** hadron. T his gives for all ranks the fragm entation function [17]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(z)=(1+C) \frac{1}{z}(1 \quad z)^{C}=\frac{1}{z} f(z): \tag{4:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus, for all the other (subleading) hadrons originating from the string we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\text {rank }} \quad 2(z)=(1+C) \frac{1 \quad z}{z}(1 \quad z)^{C}=f(z) \frac{1 \quad z}{z}: \tag{4:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transverse $m$ om enta, relative to the direction of the string, of the quark ( $\mathbb{K}_{\text {q }}$ ) and the antiquark ( $\widetilde{K}_{\mathrm{q} \text { ? }}$ ) of every pair created in the string balance each other (localcom pensation of the transverse $m$ om entum ) and are distributed according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{K}_{?}\right) \mathrm{d}^{2} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{?}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \widetilde{K}_{?}}{} \exp \quad \mathrm{k}_{?}^{2} \quad ; \tag{4:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

being the string tension.
Each quark-antiquark pair created during the string breaking is assum ed to be in a ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ state (vacuum quantum num bers) [18], i.e., w ith parallel polarizations. A ccording to the Lund $m$ echanism for inclusive polarization [17], their polarizations are correlated to the transverse $m$ om entum $k_{q}$ ? of the antiquark by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathfrak{K}_{\mathrm{q} ?}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{+\mathrm{L}} \frac{\hat{\mathrm{z}} \quad \tilde{K}_{\mathrm{q}} ?}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}} \text { ? }} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

*** W e call "leading" or " rst-rank" the hadron which contains the original quark spanning the string.
where $\hat{z}$ is the unit vector along the z direction, is the param eter determ ining the correlation and $L$ is the classical orbital angular $m$ om entum of the qq pair:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\underline{2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q} ?} ? \overline{\mathrm{q}} \overline{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q} ?}^{2}}, 2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} ? ~} \tag{4:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Fig. 2 for the schem atic explanation).
In order that $q_{0}$ and $q_{1}$ of $F$ ig. 2 com bine into a pion, they have to form a spin singlet state, the probability of which is

$$
\frac{1}{4}\left(1 \quad P_{q_{0}} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}_{1}}\right) ;
$$

in accordance w ith the projection operator on the singlet state $\frac{1}{4} \quad s\left(q_{0}\right) \quad s(q), s$ being the quark spin operator. T he polarization of the leading quark $q_{0}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{q_{0}}(x)=\frac{? q_{0}(x)}{q_{0}(x)} \quad \hat{y}: \tag{4:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor (4.7) causes the Collins e ect: if $q_{0}$ in $F$ ig. 2 is polarized upwards then $q_{1}$ and the pion which contains $q_{1} \mid$ tends to go to the lefthand-side of the $\hat{z}$ direction.

Putting together Eqs (4.1), (4.4) and (4.7), we get the contribution of the leading $p i o n s$ to the fragm entation function of the polarized quark $q$ :

$$
D_{=q}^{\text {rank }=1}\left(z ; \tilde{n}_{?}\right)=c_{1} D^{\text {rank }=1}(z) \quad\left(\mathrm{h}_{?}\right)\left(1 \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{?}\right)\right) ;
$$

where $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is given by (4.5) and (4.6). $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ is the probability that the avours of q and q com bine into the pion of the appropriate charge. W e do not take into account the vector $m$ esons since at high $x_{F}$ the pions are mostly produced directly. Therefore we om it the factor $\frac{1}{4}$ of Eq. (4.7).

W e do not introduce the $C$ ollins e ect in subleading ranks. T he subleading fragm entation function is spin independent and reads:

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{rank}}{ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{K}_{\text {? }}\right)=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{\text {rank }} \quad 2(\mathrm{z}) \quad\left(\mathrm{h}_{\text {? }}\right) ;
$$

where ( $h_{\text {? }}$ ) is the distribution of the transverse $m$ om entum of the produced pion $w$ ith respect to the direction of the fragm enting quark. It is the convolution of the transverse m om entum distributions of its constituents (4.4) and is also a Gaussian function but of the tw ice larger variance. $C_{2}$ is the avour factor analogical to that of Eq. (4.9).

W e do not take into account the second string which is spanned by the rem nant diquark of the pro jectile. A large part of the energy of that string goes into the leading baryon and it does not contribute $m$ uch to the pion spectrum at high $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}$.

O ur nal form ula for the polarized cross-section reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d x_{F} d^{2} \theta_{?}}=X_{q=u ; d}^{Z} d x q(x)^{Z} d^{2} \Phi_{?} \frac{d^{\wedge}}{d^{2} \Phi_{?}} d z^{2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{?} D=q\left(z ; \tilde{H}_{?}\right) \\
& x_{F} \quad \begin{array}{l}
z^{2} x^{2} \quad \frac{4 p_{?}^{2}}{s}
\end{array} \quad 2\left(\theta_{?} \quad z G_{?} \quad \tilde{K}_{\text {? }}\right) ; \tag{4:11}
\end{align*}
$$

w here

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=q\left(z ; \tilde{n}_{?}\right)=D \underset{=q}{\operatorname{rank}=1}\left(z ; \tilde{n}_{?}\right)+D \underset{=q}{\text { rank }} 2\left(z ; \tilde{H}_{?}\right): \tag{4:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the production rate varies w ith the azim uthal angle of the pion m om entum like in (3.2) then, in order to obtain the asym metry at given values of $p_{\text {? }}$ and $x_{F}$, we need to compare $d\left(x_{F} ; P_{?} ;\right.$ ) only at $=0$ and $=$. Thus, for the transverse spin asym m etry we get:

$$
A_{N}\left(x_{F} ; p_{?}\right)=\frac{d\left(x_{F} ; p_{?} ; 0\right) d\left(x_{F} ; p_{?} ;\right)}{d\left(x_{F} ; p_{?} ; 0\right)+d\left(x_{F} ; p_{?} ;\right)}
$$

## 5. N um erical results

For the num erical calculations w e param etrized the quark distributions as follow s:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x)=\frac{16}{3} x^{1=2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x
\end{array}\right)^{3=2} \\
& d(x)=\frac{15}{16} x^{1=2}(1 \quad x)^{2}: \tag{5:1}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne has to note that the scale of the process we are dealing w ith here is usually below 1 GeV . T his $m$ eans that one cannot use the quark distributions obtained from the deeply inelastic scattering at high $Q^{2}$. In this region we have to use a param etrization being betw een the large $Q^{2}$ region, where, at high $x, u(x) \quad(1 \quad x)^{3}$ and $d(x) \quad(1 \quad x)^{4}$ and the dualparton $m$ odel region, where the quark-diquark splitting function, $q(x) \quad(1 \quad x)$.

W e have used the string tension $=0: 197 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ (达 corresponds to $1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{fm}$ ) and the param eter of the fragm entation function $C=0: 5$. In pair creation we have used the avour abundances w th the ratio $u: d: s=3: 3: 1$, which determ ines the coe cients in Eqs (4.9) and (4.10) to be $c_{1}=3=7, c_{2}=9=49$ for charged and $c_{2}=18=49$ for neutral pions ${ }^{x}$.

[^2]The quark scattering cross-section was param etrized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d^{2} q_{a}} \frac{1}{\left(q_{?}^{2}+M^{2}\right)^{3}} \tag{5:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the param eter $\mathrm{M}^{2}=0: 5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ aking this cross-section nom alizable.
Such a param etrization, w ith the pow er-law decrease advocated by Field and Feynm an [19], gives, up to the overall norm alization, a good agreem ent w ith the experim ental inchusive spectra of pions. $W$ e show the com parison to the 400 GeV and 360 GeV experim ental data $[20,21]$ in $F$ ig. 3.

A $s$ it w as noted in section 2, if one assum es the C ollins e ect to be responsible for the observed asym $m$ etry, then the E 704 data im plies ? $u=u=? d=d$ at high $x$ thus indicating a violation of $S U(6)$, where $\quad u=u=2=3$ and $\quad d=d=1=3$. For the num erical calculations of the single spin asym $m$ etry, we assum ed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ? } u=u=\quad ? d=d=P(x)=P_{\text {max }} x^{n}: \tag{5:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e found that $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=1$ and $\mathrm{n}=2$ gives the best agreem ent w ith the experim ental $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}$ dependence of the asym $m$ etry.

Such a transversity does not violate the positivity constraints derived recently by So er [22]. The So er's inequality relates the transversity distribution ? $q=h_{1}$ to the helicity distribution $q=g_{1}$ and reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 j \text { ? } q j \text { q }+q: \tag{5:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one takes into account only the valence quarks, then the helicity asym $m$ etries of the proton, $m$ easured in the deeply inelastic scattering, is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{p}=\frac{4 u+d}{4 u+d} \tag{5:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that of the neutron equals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{n}=\frac{u+4 d}{u+4 d}: \tag{5:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if we assum e that $\quad$ u $u=u=\quad ? d=d=P(x)$, then the $S o$ er's inequality im plies

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x}) & 2 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x}) & 1 ; \\
\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}) & 2 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x}) & 1: \tag{5:7}
\end{array}
$$

The above inequalities are satis ed by the present data if one assum es $P(x)=x^{2}$. This is shown in Fig. 4 where $A_{1}^{p}(x)$ m easured by SM C [23] and E143 [24] and $A_{1}^{n}(x)$ m easured by the E 142 collaboration [25] are plotted together with the curves representing Eqs (5.7). O ne can see that $P(x)=x^{2}$ is well within the lim its and $P(x)=x$ is still allowed by the data, as well as the powers of $x$ higher than 2 .

W e plot the results of our calculation of the transverse spin asym $m$ etry in $F$ igs. $5\{8$. $T$ he full lines and the dashed ones in F igs 7 and 8 show the predictions of our m odel obtained w ith the param eter of Eq. (4.5) equall [17].
$T$ hese predictions are in reasonable agreem ent w ith $m$ ost of the data. O nly the asym $m$ etry m easured by the E 704 collaboration at $0.7 \mathrm{GeV}<\mathrm{p}_{\text {? }}<2 \mathrm{GeV}$ ( F ig. 5a) is strongly underestim ated. O ur model gives the opposite asym $m$ etries for ${ }^{+}$and and predicts the increase of the absolute values of the asym $m$ etries $w$ ith $x_{F} . N$ evertheless, it cannot accout for the very strong $p_{\text {? }}$ dependence of the asym $m$ etries $m$ easured by E 704. W e got agreem ent $w$ th the low -p ? data but underestim ate the high -p ? ones.
$H$ ow ever, the $p_{\text {? }}$ dependence of the E 704 data w as discussed recently by A restov [26] from the purely experim ental point of view and was found to be questionable. A lso the asym $m$ etries of ${ }^{0}$, m easured by E 704 in the central region, show ed initially a very strong $p_{\text {? }}$ dependence [10] but after reanalysis [11] showed no such dependence at all and are consistent $w$ ith zero. B oth the lack of the strong $p$ ? dependence of the asym $m$ etry and its very sm all m agnitude in the central region are in agreem ent w ith the C ollins e ect.

In the previous version of this paper [27] we were able to obtain the strong $p_{\text {? }}$ dependence of the asymm etry at high $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}$ but it was only due to the fact that we neglected the quark scattering and assum ed only the exponentially falling intrinsic $q$ distribution of the leading quark, sim ilar to the $h$ ? distribution in the fragm entation. In the present approach, the $q$ distribution (5.2) in quark scattering is much atter than the $h$ ? distribution (4.4). Thus, at high $\mathrm{p}_{\text {? }}$ the contribution of the transverse m om entum of the fragm entation ( $w$ hich determ ines the asym $m$ etry) to the total $p_{\text {? }}$ saturates. $T$ his $m$ akes the asym $m$ etries rise at rather low $p_{\text {? }}$ and then atten at higher $p_{\text {? }}$.

W e checked, by forcing in (4.5) to be 0 , that the strong enough $C$ ollins e ect (satisfying the inequalities (3.6) and (3.7)) can account for the $m$ agnitude of the experim ental asym $m$ etries of $F$ ig. 5 a . N evertheless, $=0\left(100 \%\right.$ spin $\mathrm{k}_{\text {? }}$ correlation in string breaking independent ofk ${ }_{\text {? }}$ ) is not thinkable. It does not change the $p_{\text {? }}$ dependence either; so large Collins e ect leads to strong overestim ation of the lower-p? data.

A s regards the region where both $x_{F}$ and $p_{\text {? }}$ are low (sm all $x_{F}$ points of $F i g .5 b$ ), the calculated asym $m$ etry can be slightly overestim ated. At so low $p_{\text {? }}$ and rather $s m$ all $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}$, the pions produced in the decay of the second string, spanned by the diquark, can contribute signi cantly and wash out the asym $m$ etry.
$T$ he asymm etry of ${ }^{0}$ is a combination of the ${ }^{+}$and ones:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{N}\left({ }^{0}\right)=\frac{\left({ }^{+}\right) A_{N}\left({ }^{+}\right)+(\quad) A_{N}(\quad)}{\left({ }^{+}\right)+()}: \tag{5:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his relation follow sfrom the isospin sym $m$ etry for isoscalar targets. For the proton target it holds provided that the isospin correlations are of short range in rapidity; this is the case in the multiparticle production. Nevertheless, we show in $F$ ig. 6 the com parison of our results to the E 704 data $\left[7,8\right.$ ] on ${ }^{0}$ production in pp and pp collisions. H ere there is no discrepancy betw een the $m$ odel and the data. $N$ ote that our $m$ odel predicts the sam $e$ asym $m$ etry for the proton and the antiproton beam $s$.

For com pleteness we show in Fig. 7 the earlier data m easured w ith 13.3 and 18.5 GeV polarized protons [9]. H ere the agreem ent of the $m$ odel and the data is also good. Only
the asym $m$ etry of calculated in the $m$ odel tend to overestim ate the data, particularly at low $x_{F}$. H ow ever, the data has been $m$ easured at very low $p_{\text {? }}$ and the contribution of the diquark fragm entation can be not negligible also here.
$F$ inally, in $F$ ig. 8 we show the predictions of the $m$ odel for the asym $m$ etry of charged kaons. Since in this $m$ odel the asym $m$ etry is a purely leading e ect, the asym $m$ etry of $K$ vanishes. $M$ easuring this asym $m$ etry and its $x_{F}$ dependence would provide inform ation on $w$ hether and to what extent the asym $m$ etry is lim ited to the leading particle. From the point of view of our $m$ odel, the nonvanishing asym $m$ etry of $K$ would be an indication of the Collins e ect in higher-rank hadrons.

The asym $m$ etry of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$is predicted to be sim ilar to that of the positive pions.

## 6. D iscussion and conclusions

To sum $m$ arize, we have calculated the single transverse spin asym $m$ etry in high-energy pp collisions in a sim ple $m$ odel involving the $C$ ollins e ect (asym $m$ etry arising at the level of fragm entation of a quark into hadrons). W e param etrized the C ollins e ect by the Lund $m$ echanism of polarization in the coloured string $m$ odel.

W e got qualitative agreem ent w ith the data when we assum ed that:
a) The transverse polarization of the $u$ and $d$ quarks in the transversely polarized proton are close to unity but of the opposite sign ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{proton}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{proton}}$ ) atm om entum fraction x close to 1 .
b) The dependence of the quark transversity (or polarization) on the $m$ om entum fraction $x$ is close to be proportional to $x^{2}$.
H ow ever, the Collinse ect cannot explain the very strong p? dependence of the E 704 data. Som e additional m echanism of the asym m etry would be needed in order to account for the E 704 data for charged pions at $p_{\text {? }}>0: 7 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}$. A part of this set, our m odel gives good agreem ent w th the data. P resently, we do not nd any $m$ echanism which could rem ove the above discrepancy.
$T$ he quark transvensities we inferred at large x :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{? u(x)}{u(x)} \quad \frac{? d(x)}{d(x)}!1 \quad(\text { for } x!1) \tag{6:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are, in fact, not unreasonably large. C onsider a covariant $m$ odel of the baryon consisting of a quark and a bound spectator diquark [16,28] ; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime \prime}(x)={\frac{x}{16^{2}}}^{Z} q_{1}^{2} d^{2}{\frac{g\left(q^{2}\right)}{q^{2}} m_{q}^{2}}_{2}^{x} \quad \text { diquark polarization }(q ") V u(p ") j^{2} \tag{6:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g\left(q^{2}\right)$ is the $q \quad q$ B form factor, $V=1$ for a scalar diquark, $V=5 \quad$ "for a 1 diquark of polarization " and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{m}^{2}=x m_{B}^{2} \quad \frac{x}{1 x_{x}} m_{q T}^{2}: \tag{6:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Form ula (62) is sim ilar to the covariant $W$ eizsacker\{W illiam sform ula, but for a $\operatorname{sp}$ in $\frac{1}{2}$ cloud"). Independently of $g\left(q^{2}\right)$, this $m$ odelpredicts the follow ing behaviour at $x!1$ :

- for a $1^{+}$spectator diquark, helicity is fully transm 䜣ted ( $\mathrm{L} q(x)=\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ ! 1 ), transversity is fully reversed ( $\quad \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ ! 1 ). In particular, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{x})$ ! 1 .
- for a $0^{+}$diquark, ? $q(x)$ and $q^{+}(x)$ coincide and, for $g\left(q^{2}\right)$ decreasing faster than $q^{2}$, they exceed $\frac{2}{3} q(x)$ as $x!1$
$T$ hus, a dom inance of the scalar spectator for the $u$ quark and the pseudo-vector one for the d at $\mathrm{x} \quad 1$ could lead to the large opposite transversities as in (6.1).
$T$ he conclusion b), related to the $x_{F}$ dependence, is $m$ odel-dependent and does not need to be considered as a m prediction. O ne needs a good param etrization ofthe C ollins e ect before one can deduce the $x$ dependence of the quark transversity. O ur param etrization is an approxim ation which should work only at reasonably high vahes of $x_{F} . W e$ took into account the Collins e ect only for the rst-rank (leading) hadrons, wherefrom A / $z$ in (32). In the string $m$ odel, the second-rank hadrons have the asym $m$ etry of the opposite sign as com pared to the rst-rank ones. M ore generally, the subsequent ranks are asymm etric in the opposite way to each other (as required also by local com pensation of transverse $m$ om entum ). This should cause a faster decrease of A at low er $z$ values, where the higher-rank hadrons are $m$ ore im portant. Unfortunatly this feature was not possible to include in our sim ple sem i-analytical calculation, since the yields of rank-2 (and higher) hadrons do not have sim ple analytical form $s$. A ssum ing $x \quad z \quad P \overline{x_{F}}$, a steeper A (for instance / $z^{2}$ ) would have to be com pensated by a atter $P_{q}(x)$ (for instance / x).

The contribution of vectorm esons also should reduce A at low er $z$. M oreover, it has been show $n$ that the vector $m$ eson can also have a tensor polarization [29] which would result in the Collins e ect for the decay products. W e did not include this possibility. A nother $m$ echanism of asym $m$ etry can be the interference between direct and resonance production [30].

The $m$ ain conclusion of this paper is that the single spin asym $m$ etry $m$ ay be the rst experim entalindication for the existence of the $C$ ollins e ect. A m ore detailed experim ent would be usefulto select betw een this and altemative explanations. B esides its theoretical interest, the C ollins e ect $m$ ay be the $m$ ost e cient "quark polarim eter" necessary for the $m$ easurem ents of the transversity distributions in the nucleons $[5,31]$. W e hope that this e ect will soon be tested directly, for instance in the azim uthal correlation of two pion pairs from opposite quark jets in $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ annihilation.
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Figure captions
Fig. 1 Inclusive pion production. Two events (a) and (b), sym m etric with respect to the $\hat{y} \hat{z}$ plane, are represented. W thout polarization, they would have the sam e probability. In the polarized case, the Collins e ect favours the case (a). The arrow s labelled $q_{i}$ represent the $m$ om enta of the quarks in the subprocess. The spins are denoted by the arch-like arrow s . The C ollins e ect acts at the last stage, where the quark $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ fragm ents into the pion carrying $m$ om entum $p . h_{\text {? }}$ is the pion's transverse $m$ om entum $w$ ith respect to the quark $q_{c}$.
Fig. 2 P roduction of the leading pion in a string spanned by the transversely spinning quark $\mathrm{q}_{0}$.
Fig. 3 Inclusive cross-section for production of + and $p l o t t e d$ versus $x_{F}$ and $p_{?}^{2}$. The 360 GeV data com e from [21] and the 400 GeV data from [20]. T he dashed curve corresponding to ${ }^{+}$and the solid one corresponding to show the results ofe q. (4.11) together $w$ ith (5.1) and (5.2).
Fig. 4 Longitudinal spin asym $m$ etries of the proton and of the neutron $m$ easured in deeply inelastic scattering. The full squares are the E 143 data [24], the full circles the SM C data [23] and the open squares represent the E 142 data [25]. The values of $A_{1}^{p}$ and $A_{1}^{n}$ allowed by the So er's inequality (5.4) if $j$ ? $q j=q=x^{2}$ are denoted by the hatched area. O ne can see that $j$ ? $q j=q=x$ is also allow ed by the present data.
Fig. 5 Single spin asymmetry measured by E 704 collaboration for charged pions at $0.2<$ $p_{\text {? }}<2: 0 \mathrm{GeV}$ [6]. The curves are our $m$ odel results calculated $w$ ith quark transverse polarizations $? \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{u}=\quad$ ? $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{x}^{2}$ and $=1$.
Fig. 6 The asymm etry of ${ }^{0}$ 's produced in pp and pp collisions m easured by the E 704 collaboration $[7,8]$. The curve is our $m$ odel prediction.
Fig. 7 The single spin asym $m$ etries ofcharged pionsm easured w ith 13.3 and 18.5 GeV proton beam s [9]. The dashed lines are the predictions of ourm odel for 13.3 GeV and the full ones are for 18.5 GeV .
Fig. 8 Transverse spin asymmetry of the charged kaons as predicted by our model. No asym $m$ etry is predicted for $K$ when the spin e ects are assum ed to act only on the leading hadron.
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[^0]:    * On leave from Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University, ul Reym onta 4, PL-30059 K rakow, P oland

[^1]:    ** W e shall not discuss other approaches [14] not relying on the factorized parton description (Eq. (3.1) or (3.3)). They are not necessarily in contradiction w th the present one.

[^2]:    x In this model, every uu or dd $m$ eson is considered as a ${ }^{0}$ (no ${ }^{0}$ ); it gives ( ${ }^{+}$) +
    $(\quad)=\left({ }^{0}\right)$, instead of $2\left({ }^{0}\right)$ as required by isospin. Nevertheless, Eq. (5.8) below rem ains true.

