CHARMONIUM COMPOSITION AND NUCLEAR SUPPRESSION

D.Kharzeev and H.Satz

Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland and Fakultat fur Physik, Universitat Bielefeld, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany

Abstract:

We study charm onium production in hadron-nucleus collisions through the intermediate next-to-leading Fock space component $j(cc)_8gi$, formed by a colour octet cc pair and a gluon. We estimate the size of this state and show that its interaction with nucleons accounts for the observed charm onium suppression in nuclear interactions. Charmonium production in hadronic collisions inherently involves di erent energy and time scales; for an extensive recent treatment, see [1]. The rst step is the creation of a heavy cc pair, e.g., by gluon fusion; this takes place in a very short time, $_{pert}$ ' m $_{c}$ ¹. The pair is in a colour octet state; to neutralise its colour and yield a resonance state of J= quantum numbers, it has to absorb or emit an additional gluon (Fig. 1). The time $_{8}$ associated to this process is determined by the virtuality of the intermediate cc state. In the rest frame of the cc, it is approximately [2]

$$_{8}' \frac{1}{P-};$$
 (1)

where $[(p + k)^2 m_c^2] = 2pk$. For massless quarks, this gives the fam iliar $1=k_T$ for the time uncertainty associated with gluon emission/absorption; for charm quarks of (large) mass m_c, we get

$$_{8}' p \frac{1}{2m_{c}k_{0}}$$
 (2)

where k_0 is the energy of the additional gluon. If it is su ciently soft, $_8 > _{pert}$. For J= production at m id-rapidity of a nucleon-nucleon collision, the colour neutralisation time becomes

$$t_8 \prime _8 [1 + (P_A = 2m_c)^2]^{1=2}$$
 (3)

in the rest frame of target or projectile nucleon, with P_A denoting the momentum of the copair in this frame. As seen from the nucleon, colour neutralisation of fast copairs will thus take a long time. Equivalently, a fast contravels in the time t_8 a long distance,

$$d_8 ' _8 (P_a = 2m_c);$$
 (4)

in the rest frame of the nucleon. On the basis of the process shown in Fig.1, this seems to imply the existence of a coloured costate of well-de ned quantum numbers over times or distances much greater than the connement scale of about 1 fm (corresponding to $_{QCD}^{1}$ with $_{QCD}$ ' 0.2 GeV). This problem is particularly evident for J= production at low transverse momentum, for which the additional gluon has to be quite soft; in this case, however, perturbative arguments become in any case questionable. The problem seem s avoidable for production at su ciently high p_T, i.e., for large enough k₀. Hence the colour singlet model [3,4], which treats the complete colour singlet form ation process in Fig. 1 within perturbative QCD, was usually restricted to high p_T production. The low p_T problem was until now usually \solved" by noting that inclusive J= production unspecied (\colour evaporation" [5,6]).

Recent data [7] have shown, however, that also at high p_T non-perturbative longtime features seem to be essential for charmonium production [1,8]. The most important outcome of these studies (see [9] for a recent review) is that higher Fock space components of charmonium states play a dominant role in their production. We thus decompose the J= state j i

$$j i = a_0 j(cc)_1 i + a_1 j(cc)_8 gi + a_2 j(cc)_1 ggi + a_2^0 j(cc)_8 ggi + ...$$
(5)

into a pure ∞ colour singlet component (${}^{3}S_{1}$), into a component consisting of ∞ colour octet (${}^{1}S_{0}$ or ${}^{3}P_{J}$) plus a gluon, and so on. The higher Fock space ∞ e cients correspond to an expansion in the relative velocity v of the charm quarks. For the wave function of the J= , the higher components thus correspond to (generally sm all) relativistic corrections. For J= production, how ever, their role can become edecisive. W hile in short-time production the low est component is the most important, in long-time processes the next higher term becomes dominant.

A nalogous decompositions hold for the other charmonium states [1,8]. In all cases, the set higher Fock space state consists of a colour octet cc plus a gluon. For the ⁰, the next-to-leading terms again contain a colour octet (${}^{3}P_{J}$ or ${}^{1}S_{0}$ cc) plus a gluon; for the 's, a ${}^{3}S_{1}$ colour octet cc is combined with a gluon.

This sheds some light onto the unspeci ed colour evaporation process. When the colour octet coleaves the eld of the nucleon in which it was produced, it will in general neutralise its colour by combining non-perturbatively with an additional collinear gluon, thus producing the $(cc)_{8}$ g component of the J= or the other charmonium states (Fig. 2). A necessary prerequisite for this is the small size of the cc, due to the heavy quark mass; it is only because of this that the soft gluon interacts with the cc as a colour octet and not with the individual quarks. A fler the \relaxation time" $_{8}$, the $(cc)_{8}$ g will then absorb the accompanying gluon to revert to the dom inant $(cc)_{1}$ charmonium mode (Fig. 3). Note that we are here considering those cc pairs which will later on form charmonia. The $(cc)_{8}$ could as well neutralise its colour by combining with a light quark-antiquark pair, but this would result predom inantly in open charm production.

The production of charm onia in a kinem atic regim e involving long time scales thus implies the production of the composite and hence extensive state $(cc)_8g$. Its intrinsic transverse size r_8 can be estimated through the uncertainty in the transverse momentum induced in the charm quark when it absorbs the accompanying gluon to go from $j(cc)_8gi$ to the basic Fock component $j(cc)_1i$ (Fig. 3). From the non-relativistic form for heavy quarks,

$$\frac{p^2}{2m_c}$$
 / k_0 ; (6)

where p is the quark transverse m on entum in the $(cc)_8$ g cm s, we obtain from the lowest allowed gluon energy $k_0 = Q_{CD}$ the intrinsic size

$$r_8 ' p \frac{1}{2m_{c QCD}} ' 0:25 \text{ fm}:$$
 (7)

We now want to study the e ect of these considerations on J= production in proton-nucleus collisions. For low P_T production, with $k_0 \prime_{OCD}$ in Eq. (2), 8 would exceed the size of the even heavy nuclei for J = 's of su ciently high lab momenta. M aintaining an approach based on the colour singlet m odel would thus require a colour octet oc to pass through the entire nuclear medium [2][11{14]. The composition of the colour-neutralising cloud needed for this was so far undeterm ined, and hence estim ates for the resulting cross sections were generally obtained by assuming such a dressed $(cc)_8$ to be of hadronic size [2,11,15]. It was also left open why interactions with the surrounding cloud would not destroy the spatial and quantum number structure of the (cc)₈. Quarkonium production through higher Fock space components now provides a speci c description of the (cc)₈ passage through the nucleus. The system leaves the nucleon in whose eld it was formed as a colour singlet (cc) g and continues as such through the nuclear medium. The size of this charmonium state (cog) j(cc)₈gi is given by Eq. (7) and is thus essentially that of a J= . A is interaction with hadrons is similar to the J= -hadron interaction [16], but with two important distinctions. The gluon exchanged between the two colliding system swillnow couple predom inantly to the gluon or to the $(cc)_8$ component of the (ccg). Since both are colour octets, in contrast to the colour triplet components of the J=, the coupling is correspondingly enhanced by a factor 9/4. Such an interaction will render the $(\infty)_8$ g system coloured. Due to the repulsive one-gluon exchange interaction, the colour octet (α_{0}) is not bound, in contrast to the colour singlet $(cc)_1$. Moreover, the probability of the $(cc)_8$ encountering another collinear gluon to again form a colour singlet system of J= quantum num bers ism inim al. Hence any (cog) interaction will generally lead to its break-up, so that there is no threshold factor. The cross section for (cog)-hadron interactions is thus just the geometric J = -hadron cross section, N, increased by the enhanced coupling,

$$_{(ccg)N} = \frac{9}{4} N :$$
 (8)

Short-distance QCD calculations [16] lead to $_{\rm N}$ ' 2.5-3 mb, in good agreem ent with photoproduction data [17]. From this we get $_{\rm (ccg)N}$ ' 6-7 mb. Because of the general nature of the argum ents leading to this value, it holds equally for the interactions of the next-to-leading Fock space components of 0 and with hadrons.

This cross section, while larger than the high energy J= -hadron cross section by about a factor two, is very much smaller than the hadronic value of 20 – 50 mb previously assumed for the colour octet cc passing through the nucleus [2,11,15]. This has immediate consequences. Themean free path of the (ccg) in nuclearm atter, (ccg) = $1=n_0$ (ccg)N ' 10 fm is larger than the radius of even the heaviest nuclei. Moreover, since the cc combines with an already existing collinear gluon, there is no coherence length associated with any (ccg) formation. Hence shadowing [18,19] is excluded as dominant quarkonium suppression mechanism in p A collisions.

In passing through the nucleus, the sm all physical state (ccg) will interact incoherently with the nucleons along its trajectory. The charmonium production probability on nuclei relative to that on nucleons, valid for J=, and ⁰ production, thus becomes

$$S_{A} = \exp f n_{0} (ccg) N Lg;$$
(9)

where L denotes the length of the path through nuclear matter of standard density $n_0 = 0.17 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, and $_{(ccg)N}$ ' 6 - 7 mb is the inelastic (ccg)-nucleon cross section obtained above.

With Eq. (9) we have derived the Gerschel-Hufner t [20], introduced as a phenom enological description of hadron-nucleus data on J= production. In [20], it was attempted to interpret the cross section entering in Eq. (9) as the physical J= -hadron cross section, which led to theoretical as well as experim ental problem s. The t value was 5 - 7 mb; both short distance QCD [16] and photoproduction experiments [17] give a J= -hadron cross section smaller by at least a factor two. Moreover, p A data [21,22] lead to exactly the same suppression of ⁰ and J= production for all A, with an A-independent production ratio $^{0}/(J=)'$ 0:15. Since the geometric size of the ground state J= and the next radial excitation di er by m ore than a factor four, an equal suppression contradicts the interaction of fully form ed physical resonances. We nd here that all aspects of the observed suppression arise naturally in charm onium production through the next higher Fock space component (cog). A composite state (ccq), which is of the same size for all charm onia, passes through the nuclear medium and hence leads to equal 0 and J= suppression. The value of the cross section $_{(ccq)N}$ thus obtained is in good agreem ent with that obtained from a t to the data [20].

The same argumentation also provides the suppression of bottonium production in p A collisions. The radius of the $(bb)_8g$ state is (see Eq. (7)) a factor $m_c = m_b ' \frac{p}{3}$ smaller than that of the (cog), so that $(bbg)_N '$ (1=3) $(ccg)_N ' 2 mb$.

To illustrate how wellboth J= and production in p A collisions are described by this scenario, we show in Fig. 4 recent high energy data at ${}^{p}\overline{s}$ ' 20 [22], 30 [23] and 40 GeV [21]. W ith an average path length $L_{A} = (3=4)[(A \ 1)=A][1:12 \ A^{1=3}]$ we obtain excellent agreement for $_{(ccg)N}$ ' 6 m b and $_{(bbg)N}$ ' 2 m b. In [20] it was shown that J= production data from A collisons lead to very similar values for the cross section in Eq. (9).

W ith quarkonium suppression in hadron-nucleus collisions thus accounted for in terms of interaction between $(QQ)_8g$ states and nucleons, we can now also consider nucleus-nucleus interactions. For collision energies of $P_{\overline{s}}'$ 20 GeV, the centers of the two colliding nuclei have at time t_8 separated in the cm s by about 5 fm. W ith the nuclei Lorentz-contracted to a thickness of about 0.5 fm or less, this means that a J= produced at mid-rapidity in the cm s has experienced in its early phase an e ect corresponding to that obtained by superim posing the passages through the two nuclei [20]. Hence the charm onium suppression now is given by

$$S_{(A B)} = \exp f n_0 (\operatorname{ccg}) (L_A + L_B) g;$$
(10)

again in accord with the phenom enological t of [20]. The path length $L = L_A + L_B$ in Eq. (10) varies with impact parameter and hence with the associated transverse energy E_T produced in the collision. A relation between L and E_T can thus be obtained through a detailed study of the collision geometry [24]. An alternative is given by determining L through the broadening of the average transverse momentum of J= or D rell-Y an dileptons, since this broadening also depends on the average path length [25]. In Fig. 5

we show the resulting values [22], norm alised to the (ccg) suppression of Eqs. (8) and (9), for both p A and S U data. We conclude that also the J= suppression observed so far in S U collisions is completely accounted for by (ccg) suppression in standard nuclear matter.

The comparison of J= and ⁰ production in nucleus-nucleus collisions provides a test for the presence of a medium in the later stages. As long as the interaction leading to charm onium suppression is determined by the (cog) state, J = and ⁰ must be equally suppressed. To make J = and ⁰ suppression di erent, the medium must see the fully form ed resonances and distinguish between them . In Fig. 5 we have included also the 0 suppression divided by the (ccg) suppression (8) [26,22]. It is evident that U collisions J= and ⁰ are not a ected equally, the ⁰ being much stronger in S suppressed. This establishes the presence of a medium at a time late enough for fully form ed charm onium resonances to exist. On the other hand, thism edium breaks up only the 0 , leaving the J= una ected. It was shown [16] that interactions with hadrons in the range of present collision energies cannot dissociate a J =, while for the much m ore loosely bound ⁰ this is readily possible. We therefore conclude that the medium probed by charm onium production in present S U collisions is of hadronic nature, i.e., con ned; it could consist either of stopped nucleons or of secondary hadrons produced in the collision [27]. Note that we include the 0 data as function of L in Fig. 5 simply to show the additional suppression present in this case. It is not at all clear that L is a meaningful variable for the e ect of such a con ned environment on charmonia. We also note that the appearent absence of any e ect of this medium on the observed J =, even though this is to about 40 % produced through decay, is in accord with the short distance QCD form of the -hadron cross section [28].

To establish colour decon nem ent, either in equilibrium or pre-equilibrium systems, nucleus-nucleus collisions have to produce a J= suppression beyond that given by Eq. (10), i.e., beyond that found in p A collisions [20], and di erent from ⁰ suppression. If such an additional suppression were found, the results for inelastic J= -hadron collisions obtained from short distance QCD [2] would rule out a con ned medium, and the di erence in J= and ⁰ suppression would exclude (cog) interactions as a source.

In sum m ary: we study quarkonium production in hadron-nucleus collisions through the interm ediate next-to-leading Fock space state consisting of a colour octet cc and a gluon. We estim ate the inelastic (ccg)-nucleon cross section and use this to

- { exclude shadowing as main origin for the quarkonium suppression observed in hadron-nucleus interactions;
- { derive the Gerschel-Hufner t describing such suppression, both for cc and bb states;
- { conclude that the J= suppression observed in S U collisions is fully accounted for by early (cog) interactions with standard nuclear matter;
- { conclude that the stronger ⁰ suppression found in S U collisions is due to an additional con ned medium present at a later stage.

It will be interesting to see if the results of forthcom ing P b P b collisions can provide rst indications for decon nem ent { in particular, if they show a stronger J = dissociation than accounted for by (ccg) interactions.

A cknow ledgem ents

We thank A. Capella, C. Gerschel, A. Kaidalov, C. Lourenco and M. Mangano for stimulating and helpful discussions. The support of the German Research M inistry BMFT under contract 06 BI 721 is gratefully acknow ledged.

References

- 1) G.T.Bodwin, E.Braaten and G.P.Lepage, Phys. Rev.D 51 (1995) 1125
- 2) D.Kharzeev and H.Satz, Z.Phys.C 60 (1993) 389.
- 3) C.H.Chang, Nucl.Phys.B 172 (1980) 425;
 E.L.Berger and D.Jones, Phys.Rev.D 23 (1981) 1521;
 R.Baier and R.Ruckl, Phys.Lett.B 102 (1981) 364 and Z.Phys.C 19 (1983) 251.
- 4) For a recent survey, see G.A. Schuler, \Quarkonium Production and Decays", CERN-TH.7174/94, 1994, to appear in Physics Reports.
- 5) M.B.Einhorn and S.D.Ellis, Phys.Rev.D12 (1975) 2007;
 H.Fritzsch, Phys.Lett. 67B (1977) 217;
 M.Gluck, J.F.Owens and E.Reya, Phys.Rev.D17 (1978) 2324;
 J.Babcock, D.Sivers and S.W olfram, Phys.Rev.D18 (1978) 162.
- 6) For a recent survey, see R.V.G avaiet al., \Quarkonium Production in Hadronic Collisions", CERN-TH.7526/94, 1994, to appear in Int. J.M od. Phys.A.
- 7) See e.g. V. Papadim itriou (CDF), \Production of Heavy Quark States at CDF", Preprint Ferm ilab-Conf-95-128 E, M arch 1995;
 L. Markosky (D 0), \M easurements of Heavy Quark Production at D 0", Preprint Ferm ilab-Conf-95-137 E, M ay 1995.
- 8) E.Braaten and S.Fleming, Phys.Rev.Lett. 74 (1995) 3327.
- 9) M.L.Mangano, \Phenomenology of Quarkonium Production in Hadronic Collisions", CERN-TH/95-190.
- 10) A.H.Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B 415 (1994) 373.
- 11) G.Piller, J.M utzbauer and W.Weise, Z.Phys.A 343 (1992) 247, and Nucl. Phys.A 560 (1993) 437.
- 12) S.Gavin and J.M ilana, Phys.Rev.Lett. 68 (1992) 1834.
- 13) R.W ittm ann and U.Heinz, Z.Phys.C 59 (1993) 77.
- 14) K.Boreskov et al, Phys.Rev.D 47 (1993) 919.
- 15) J.Dolejsiand J.Hufner, Z.Phys.C 54 (1992) 489.
- 16) D.Kharzeev and H.Satz, Phys.Lett.B 334 (1994) 155.
- 17) S.D.Holmes, W. Lee and J.E.W iss, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 35 (1985) 397.
- 18) S.Gupta and H.Satz, Z.Phys.C 55 (1992) 391.
- 19) D.Kharzeev and H.Satz, Phys.Lett.B 327 (1994) 361.
- 20) C.Gerscheland J.Hufner, Z.Phys.C 56 (1992) 171.
- 21) D.M.Alde et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 133;
 - D.M.Alde et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 2285.

- 22) C.Lourenco (NA38/51), \Recent Results on D im uon Production from the NA38 Experim ent", CERN-PPE/95-72, M ay 1995, and Doctorate Thesis, Universidade Tecnica de Lisboa, Portugal, January 1995.
- 23) L. Fredj (NA 38/51), Doctorate Thesis, Universite de Clerm ont-Ferrand, France, September 1991.
- 24) R.Salmeron, Nucl.Phys.B 389 (1993) 301.
- 25) C.Baglin et al, Phys.Lett.B 268 (1991) 453.
- 26) C.Baglin et al, Phys.Lett.B 345 (1995) 617.
- 27) C.-Y.Wong, \Suppression of ⁰ and J= in High Energy Heavy Ion Collisions", Oak Ridge Preprint ORN L-CTP-95-04, June 1995.
- 28) D.Kharzeev and H.Satz, \Colour Decon nement and Quarkonium Dissociation", Preprint CERN-TH/95-117, M ay 1995; to appear in R.C.Hwa (Ed.), Quark-Gluon Plasm a II, W orld Scienti c Publ. Co., Singapore.

Figure Captions

- Fig.1: J= production through gluon fusion.
- Fig. 2: J= production via (cog) colour singlet.
- Fig. 3: Transition from (ccg) colour singlet to cc colour singlet.
- Fig. 4: J= and suppression in p A collisions; data [21{23} are compared to (cog) suppression (Eq. (9)) in nuclear matter and to the corresponding form for (bbg).
- Fig. 5: $J = , {}^{0}$ and suppression in p A and S U collisions, normalised to (cog) and (bbg) suppression in nuclear matter.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9508276v1

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9508276v1

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9508276v1