Bose-E instein Correlations for Expanding F in ite

System s

or

From a Hot Fireball to a Snow - Flurry

B.Lorstad

Department of Physics

University of Lund, Solvegatan 14, S – 223 62 Lund, Sweden e-m ail:bengt.brstad@ quark.lu.s

Abstract

M ost boson em itting sources contain a core of nite dimensions surrounded by a large halo, due to long-lived resonances like $!; ; {}^{0};K {}^{0}$ etc. W hen the Bose-E instein correlation (BEC) function of the core can be determined we show that its intercept () m easures, as a function of m om entum, the square of the fraction of core particles produced. A simultaneos m easurem ent of BEC and the single-particle distributions can thus determine the characteristics of the core.

There are two types of scales present in the space-like as well as in the timelike directions in a model-class describing a cylindrically symmetric, nite, three-dimensionally expanding boson source. One type of the scales is related to the nite lifetime and geometrical size of the system, the other type is

Presentation of works done together with T.C sorg

goverened by the change of the local m om entum distribution in tem poral and spatial direction. If the geom etrical sizes of the core are su ciently large the parameters of the BEC function obey the m_t -scaling observed in SP b and P bP b reactions at CERN. The model can describe the measurements of the single-and two-particle distributions in the central region of SP b reactions. A to experimental data shows that the freeze-out of hadrons occurs at a larger volume and at a much lower tem perature than that given by the measurement of the inverse slope of the m_t-spectrum and standard BEC analysis.

I. IN TRODUCTION .

Hanbury-Twiss correlations were discovered 40 years ago, revealing information about the angular diam eters of distant stars [1]. The method, also referred to as intensity interferom etry, extracts information from the quantum statistical correlation function for (partially) chaotic elds. The method has extensively been applied to the study of the freeze-out geom etry in high energy nucleus-nucleus collisions as well as in elementary particle reactions. For recent reviews see refs. [2,3].

A coun ulating evidence indicates that the space-time structure of the pion emission in heavy ion reactions at the 200 AG eV bom barding energy region at CERN SPS has a peculiar feature, namely that the boson emission can be approximately divided into two parts: the centre and the halo [4{7]. The central part corresponds to a direct production mechanism e.g. hydrodynam ical evolution or particle production from excited strings, followed by subsequent rescattering of the particles, while the surrounding halo corresponds to pions emitted from the decay of long-lived hadronic resonances, like !; , ⁰ and K⁰, which have a mean decay length of more than 20 fm. These long-lived resonances will decay and give rise to mainly low momentum pions. However, the momentum distribution of the emitted pions is independent from the position of the decay which in turn is smeared out over a large region due to the long mean decay length. This mechanism will result in pions of similar (low) momentum

2

from a very large volume. This is in contrast to the core which when expanding in a hydrodynam icalm annerwillhave pions of sin ilarm on entum from a relatively small volume. We will investigate the phenom enological consequences of such a structure where there is a characteristic length-scale of the core which is smaller than any length-scale that can be connected to the surrounding halo. In the case of halo length-scales larger than 20 fm they give rise to a sharp peak of the correlation function in the Q h=R 10 M eV/c region. This region is strongly in uenced by the C oulom b interaction so the accuracy of the constitute a problem in itself. Furtherm ore, this Q < 10 M eV/c region is very dicult to measure due to that we must properly determ ine two very close tracks which make experimental system atic errors largest in this region of the correlation function even for high resolution experiments like the NA 35 and NA 44 high energy heavy ion experiments at CERN.

W e will show that even if we cannot measure reliably the correlation function below Q < 10 M eV/c important new information about the particle production in a core/halo scenario can be given by analyzing both the invariant momentum distribution of single particles and the correlation function for Q > 10 M eV/c. W e will apply our approach to NA 44 data on SP b reactions at 200 AG eV/c.

We investigate the core/halo scenario utilizing analytical results in the W igner-function form alism. This form alism is well suited to describe both the invariant momentum distribution (\mathbb{M} D) and the Bose-Einstein correlation function (BECF). We utilize the version of the form alism discussed in refs. [8,3] and applied to analytic calculations in refs. [10{15] recently.

II.THE W IGNER-FUNCTION FORMALISM

In the W igner-function formalism, the one-boson emission is characterized by the emission function, S(x;p), which is the time derivative of the single-particle W igner function. Here $x = (t;r) = (t;r_x;r_y;r_z)$ denotes the four-vector in space-time, and

3

 $p = (E;p) = (E;p_x;p_y;p_z)$ stands for the four-momentum of the on-shell particles with mass $m = {}_0^p \frac{E^2 - p^2}{1}$. The transverse mass is dened as $m_t = {}^q \frac{m^2 + p_x^2 + p_y^2}{m^2 + p_x^2 + p_y^2}$ and $y = 0.5 \log \frac{B}{Q} \frac{E + p_z C}{P_z}$ stands for the rapidity. Attention is drawn to the point that the normal size character x stands for a four-vector, normal size y is the symbol for the rapidity while the subscripts x and y index directions in coordinate and momentum space.

For chaotic sources the two-particle W igner-function can be expressed in terms of the sym m etrized products of the single-particle W igner functions. Based on this property, the two-particle Bose-E instein correlation functions can be determined from the single-particle em ission function solely. These relations are especially simple in terms of the Fourier-transform ed em ission function,

$$S'(k;K) = d^4x S(x;K) \exp(ik x);$$
 (1)

where

$$k = p_1 p_2;$$
 $K = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}$ (2)

and k = x stands for the inner product of the four-vectors. The invariant momentum distribution of the emitted particles is given by

$$\frac{d^2n}{dy dm_t^2} = S(k = 0; K = p):$$
(3)

The IMD is normalized to unity as

^Z
$$dy dm t^{2} \frac{d^{2}n}{dy dm t^{2}} = 1:$$
 (4)

The two-particle BECF-s are prescribed in term s of our auxiliary function,

$$C(K; k)' 1 + \frac{jS(k;K)j^{2}}{jS(0;K)j^{2}};$$
(5)

as was presented e.g. in ref. [8,3]. The e ect of nalstate C oulomb and Y ukawa interactions shall be neglected as implicitly assumed by the above equation, and we assume completely chaotic emission.

The W igner-functions are in general complex valued functions, being the quantum analogue of the classical phase-space distribution functions. For the purpose of M onte-C arlo simulations of the Bose-E instein correlation functions the o -shell W igner-functions are approximated by the on-shell classical emission functions from the simulation [8,9]; in case of analytic calculations they are usually modeled by some positive valued functions [10{15]. Neither of these approximations seems to be necessary: in fact we need only simplifying assumptions as listed below.

III. THE CORE/HALO MODEL

Assumption 0. There is a resolvable length-scale in the core

A ssum ption 1. The bosons are emitted either from a central core or from the surrounding hab. The respective emission functions are indicated by $S_c(x;p)$ and $S_h(x;p)$, and f_c indicates the fraction of the bosons emitted from the central part. A coording to this assumption, the complete emission function can be written as

$$S(x;p) = f_c S_c(x;p) + (1 f_c) S_h(x;p)$$
: (6)

Both the em ission function of the core and that of the halo are normalized similarly to the complete em ission function, normalized by eqs. (1,3,4).

A ssumption 2. We assume that the emission function which characterizes the halo changes on a scale R_H which is larger than R_{max} h=Q_{min}, the maximum length-scale resolvable by the intensity interferom etry m icroscope. Q_{min} is taken as 10 M eV/c in our considerations. However, the smaller central part of size R_c is assumed to be resolvable,

$$R_{\rm H} > R_{\rm max} > R_{\rm c}$$
 (7)

This inequality is assumed to be satised by all characteristic scales in the halo and in the central part, e.g. in case the side, out or longitudinal components [16,10] of the correlation function are not identical.

Based on Assumption 1 the $\mathbb{M} \mathbb{D}$ can be re-written as

$$\frac{d^2 n}{dy dm_t^2} = f_c \frac{d^2 n_c}{dy dm_t^2} + (1 \quad f_c) \frac{d^2 n_h}{dy dm_t^2};$$
(8)

where the subscripts c;h index the contributions by the central and the halo parts to the IM D given respectively by

$$\frac{d^2 n_i}{dy dm_t^2} = S_i (k = 0; K = p)$$
(9)

for i = c;h. The norm alization condition according to Assumption 1 reads as

$$^{Z} dy dm_{t}^{2} \frac{d^{2}n_{i}}{dy dm_{t}^{2}} = 1$$
(10)

for i = c;h. Note that the IM D as expressed by eq. (8) includes the possibility that the IM D for the bosons of the halo is di erent from the bosons emerging from the central part. Thus the relative contribution of the halo and the core is a function of the momentum in this model.

The BECF is expressed by

$$C (K; k) = 1 + \frac{jf_{c}S_{c}(k;K) + (1 f_{c})S_{h}(k;K)j^{2}}{jf_{c}S_{c}(k=0;K=p) + (1 f_{c})S_{h}(k=0;K=p)j^{2}};$$
(11)

which includes interference terms for boson pairs of (c;c) (c;h) and (h;h) type. Due to the assumption that the emission is completely chaotic, the exact value of the BECF at the k = 0 is always 2 in this model.

The measured two-particle BECF is determined for $Q > Q_{min}$ 10 MeV/c, and any structure within the $k < Q_{min}$ region cannot be resolved. However, the (c;h) and (h;h) type boson pairs create a narrow peak in the BECF exactly in this k region according to

eq. (11), which cannot be resolved due to A ssum ption 2. A coording to this assumption, the Fourier-transform ed em ission function of the halo for non-zero relative m om enta vanishes at the given resolution $Q_{m in}$. At zero relative m om entum the same quantity gives the single particle m om entum distribution from the halo, which is not elected by the two-particle resolution.

Including the nite resolution e ect, symbolized by the horizontal bar, the measured BECF can be written as

$$\frac{jS_{c}(k;K) = 1 + (K = p;Q_{min}) \frac{jS_{c}(k;K) j^{2}}{jS_{c}(k = 0;K = p) j^{2}};$$
(12)

where

$$(K = p; Q_{m in}) = \frac{jf_c S_c (k = 0; K = p) j^2}{jf_c S_c (k = 0; K = p) + (1 - f_c) S_h (k = 0; K = p) j^2};$$
(13)

or expressed with the $\mathbbm{M} \ \mbox{D} \ \mbox{s}$

$$(p;Q_{min}) = \frac{jf_{c} \frac{d^{2}n_{c}}{dy dm_{t}^{2}} j}{j \frac{d^{2}n}{j \frac{d^{2}n}{dy dm_{t}^{2}}} }$$
(14)

The $\mathbb{M} \ \mathbb{D}$ is connected to the cross-sections by the relations

$$\frac{1}{dy} \frac{d^2}{dm_t^2} = \langle n_i \rangle \frac{d^2 n_i}{dy} \frac{dm_t^2}{dt}$$
(15)

for i = c;h. From Eq. (8) we deduce $f_c = \frac{\langle n_c \rangle}{\langle n \rangle}$. The elective intercept (p;Q_{min}) is

then also given by

$$(p;Q_{m in}) = \frac{j \frac{d^2_{c}}{dy dm_{t}^2}}{j \frac{d^2_{c}}{dy dm_{t}^2}};$$
(16)
$$j \frac{d^2_{c}}{dy dm_{t}^2}$$

The electric intercept parameter shall in general depend on the mean momentum of the observed boson pair, and we have 0 1. The equation above shows that this electric has a very simple interpretation as the square of the fraction of core particles to all particles emitted. We also see that this equation makes it possible to measure the cross-section of the bosons produced in the central core with the help of the combined use of the BECF parameter $(y; m_t)$ and the cross-section of all particles.

Note that the $(y;m_t)$ intercept parameter in our picture relects the drop in the BECF from its exact value of 2 due to the contribution from the resonance halo, when measured with the nite two-particle momentum resolution Q_{min} . Thus the measured intercept, $(y;m_t)$ does not coincide with the exact value of the BECF at zero relative momentum within this description.

The e ect of the halo is to introduce a momentum -dependent e ective intercept param – eter to the BECF. The shape of the BECF for $Q > Q_{m in}$ shall be solely determ ined by the freeze-out phase-space distribution in the central part. This central part or core is usually well accessible to hydrodynam ical calculations.

Note also that this result has in principle nothing to do with the similar relation obtained for the case of partially coherent, partially chaotic elds, where $= f_{inc}^2$, and $f_{inc} = \langle n_{inc} \rangle = \langle n_{tot} \rangle$ gives the fraction of the total multiplicity in the chaotic eld, although the relation form ally is similar. For the case of partially coherent elds, the Bose-E instein correlation function contains an interference term in between the coherent and the chaotic elds which leads to a double-G aussian or double-exponential structure [17], emphasized recently in ref. [18]. In our case, the BECF contains not three but only two terms, and it looks very much like the BECF would look like for the case the halo were missing i.e. $f_c = 1$.

Note also, that the focus is not on the reduction of the intercept of the BECF due to the halo (resonance decays) but m ore precisely on the m om entum -dependence of this reduction. The fact of the reduction has been known before [5,4,8,9], how ever its m om entum dependence was not utilized as far as we know. W ithin our form alism how ever it is straightforw and to show that the core fraction of bosons can be estim ated by

$$f_{c} = \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dy \int_{m^{2}}^{Z_{1}} dm_{t}^{2} \frac{q}{(y;m_{t})} \frac{d^{2}n}{dy dm_{t}^{2}}$$
(17)

and we also have

$$\begin{array}{cccc} q & q \\ m \text{ in } (y; m_t) & f_c & m \text{ ax } (y; m_t) \end{array}$$
(18)

<u>Application</u>. Present NA 44 data [19] for central S + P b reactions at CERN SPS with 200 AG eV bom barding energy show an approximately m_t independent intercept parameter: + = 0.56 0.02 and 0.55 0.02 at the quite diment mean transverse momenta of 150 M eV and 450 M eV, respectively. This suggests that in them idrapidity region them on entum distribution of the resonance halo is similar to that of the central part, and the halo contains 1 = 25 = 2% of all the pions. For this special case of $f_c^2 = const$ we have the following simplified equations for the IM D and the BECF:

$$\frac{d^2n}{dy\,dm_t^2} = \frac{d^2n_c}{dy\,dm_t^2} = \frac{d^2n_h}{dy\,dm_t^2};$$
(19)

$$\overline{C(k;K)} = 1 + f_{c}^{2}R_{c}(k;K):$$
(20)

I.e. the only apparent e ect of the halo is to reduce the intercept parameter of the measured BECF to $= f_c^2$ while the IM D and the (k;K) dependence of the BECF is determined by the central part exclusively.

Pions com ing from the resonance halo surrounding a strongly interacting centre are predom inant at lower values of the transverse m om entum according to the SPACER calculations in ref. [10] and RQMD calculations in ref. [9], sim ilarly to the results of calculations with HYLANDER [5] and the hydrodynam ical calculations of the Regensburg group [20]. The resonance fraction as a function of the transverse m om entum was explicitly shown in the publications [9,5]. Each of the m entioned m odels predicts that the halo of resonances produces predom inantly low m om entum pions, and they predict that the e ective intercept

 $(p;Q_{m in})$ should increase with increasing transverse mass in contrast to the measured values.

The constancy of the elective parameter $(y;m_t)$ with respect to m_t suggests a mechanism of enhancing the low momentum particles in the core. Such an enhancement has a natural explanation in a hydrodynamical description of an expanding core as we will present below, see also [21,13]. The mechanism is a simple volume elect, as pointed out in [15]. To calculate the cross-section you integrate over the volume from where the particles of a given momentum are emitted. This volume is measured by the BECF. In the NA 44 experiment all three radii of this volume are equal and they are inversely proportional the the square root of m_t [19]. This will give an extra volume factor, V / $(m_t)^{3=2}$, enhancing the single particle cross-section at low m_t . We will return to this point.

<u>D iscussion</u>. The general result for the BECF of system s with large halo coincides with the most frequently applied phenom enological param eterizations of the BECF in high energy heavy ion as well as in high energy particle reactions [2]. P reviously, this form has received a lot of criticism from the theoretical side, claim ing that it is in disagreem ent with quantum statistics [18] or that the param eter is just a kind of fudge param eter or a m easure of our ignorance, which has been introduced to make theoretical predictions com parable to data. Now one can see that this type of param eterizations can be derived with a standard inclusion of quantum statistical e ects { if we assume that we discuss interferom etry for system s with large halo. The fact that most of the studied reactions, including $e^+ + e^-$ annihilations, various lepton-hadron and hadron-hadron reactions, nucleon-nucleus and nucleus – nucleus collisions are phenom enologically well describable [2] with variations of eq. (12) thus does not exclude the possibility that these high energy reactions all create boson em itting system s which include a large halo.

The applicability of the halo picture to a given reaction is not necessarily the only one possible explanation of a reduced intercept. It is known that the nal state interactions may have an in uence on the elective intercept of the two-particle correlation functions [22] and the elect has been shown to result in stronger drop in the intercept value for smaller source i.e. for hadronic strings created in lepton-lepton, lepton-hadron or hadron-hadron collisions. However, more detailed calculations indicate that the higher order corrections for

10

the nal state interactions may very well cancel the rst order e ects resulting in a very small total nal state interaction correction [23]. In our calculations these e ects have been neglected, so our results should in principle be compared to data which present the genuine Bose-E instein correlation function. This function is not necessarily the same as the short-range part of the two-particle correlation function since C oulom b and Y ukawa interactions as well as the $^{\circ}$ decay chain and other short-range correlations may mask the quantum statistical correlation functions. However, as the particle density is increased (in the lim it of very large energy or large colliding nuclei), the Bose-E instein correlations together with the nal state interactions dom inate over other short-range correlations due to combinatoric reasons.

IV.THE MODEL OF THE CORE

For central heavy ion collisions at high energies the beam or z axis becomes a symmetry axis. Since the initial state of the reaction is axially symmetric and the equations of motion do not break this pattern, the nal state must be axially symmetric too. However, in order to generate the thermal length-scales in the transverse directions, the ow - eld must be either three-dimensional, or the temperature must have signing and gradients in the transverse directions. Furthermore, the local temperature may change during the the duration of the particle emission either because of the re-heating of the system caused by the hadronization and/or intensive rescattering processes or the local temperature may decrease because of the expansion and the emission of the most energetic particles from the interaction region.

W e m odel the em ission function of the core for high energy heavy ion reactions as as

 \sim

$$S_{c}(x;K) d^{4}x = \frac{g}{(2)^{3}} m_{t} \cosh(y) \exp_{Q}^{B} \frac{K u(x)}{T(x)} + \frac{(x)}{T(x)} + \frac{(x)}{G} H(y) d_{0} d_{1} d_{$$

$$T (x) = \frac{T_{0}}{0}$$

$$\frac{B}{B} = \frac{1}{2} + a^{2} \frac{r_{x}^{2} + r_{y}^{2}}{2 \int_{0}^{2}} \frac{B}{A} = 1 + d^{2} \frac{(0 - 1)^{2}}{2 \int_{0}^{2}} \frac{C}{A}$$

$$\frac{(x)}{T (x)} = \frac{0}{T_{0}} - \frac{r_{x}^{2} + r_{y}^{2}}{2R_{G}^{2}} - \frac{(0 - 1)^{2}}{2 \int_{0}^{2}} \frac{C}{A}$$
(23)
$$(23)$$

$$(23)$$

We include a nite duration, H () / exp(($_0)^2 = (2 \ ^2)$), $= \ p \ t^2 \ z^2$, $_0$ is the mean em ission time, is the duration of the em ission in (proper) time, u(x) is the four-velocity of the expanding matter, (x) is the chemical potential and T (x) is the local temperature characterizing the particle em ission.

This emission function corresponds to a Boltzm ann approximation to the local momentum distribution of a longitudinally expanding nite system which expands into the transverse directions with a non-relativistic transverse ow. The decrease of the temperature in the transverse direction is controlled by the parameter a, while the strength of the transverse ow is controlled by the parameter b. The parameter c = 1 is reserved to denote the speed of light, and the parameter d controls the strength of the change of the local temperature during the course of particle emission.

For the case of a = b = d = 0 we recover the case of longitudinally expanding nite system s. The nite geom etrical and tem poral length-scales are represented by the transverse geom etrical size $R_{\rm G}$, the geom etrical width of the space-time rapidity distribution and by the mean duration of the particle emission . We assume here that the nite geom etrical and tem poral scales as well as the transverse radius and proper-time dependence of the inverse of the local tem perature can be represented by the mean and the variance of the respective variables i.e. we apply a G aussian approximation, corresponding to the form s listed above, in order to get analytically trackable results. We have not proposed the a = 0; b = 1 and d = 0 version of the present model, and elaborated also the a = b = d = 0 model [12] corresponding to longitudinally expanding nite systems with a costant freeze-out tem perature and no transverse ow. Soon the parameter b has been introduced [26] and

it has been realized that the transverse ow has to be non-relativistic at the saddle-piont corresponding to the maximum of the emission function. Yu. Sinyukov and collaborators classie ed the various classes of the ultra-relativistic transverse ow s [24], [25], and introduced a parameter which controls the transverse temperature prole, corresponding to the a $\frac{6}{5}$ b = 0 case. We have studied [21] the model-class a; b; d = 0 which we extend here to the d $\frac{6}{5}$ 0 case too.

The integrals of the emission function are evaluated using the saddle-point method [24{26]. The saddle-point equations are solved in the LCM S [12], the longitudinally comoving system, for $_{\rm s} << 1$ and $r_{\rm x,s} << _0$. These approximations are warranted if $jy = y_0 j << 1 + _2m_t=T_0$ and $_t = p_t=m_t << (a^2 + b^2)=b$. The low is non-relativistic at the saddle-point if $_t << (a^2 + b^2)=b^2$. The radius parameters are evaluated here up to 0 ($r_{\rm x,s}=_0$) + 0 ($_{\rm s}$), keeping only the leading order terms in the LCM S. However, when evaluating the invariant momentum distribution (IM D), sub-leading term s coming from the cosh ($_y$) pre-factor are also summed up, since this factor in unces the IM D in the lower m_t region where data are accurate.

A.Bose-Einstein correlations

The experimental BECF is parameterized in the form of $C(Q_L; Q_{side}; Q_{out}) = 1 + \exp(R_L^2 Q_L^2 - R_{side}^2 Q_{side}^2 - R_{out}^2 Q_{out}^2)$ where the intercept parameter and the radius parameters may depend on the rapidity and the transverse mass of the pair. The parameters of the the correlation function are given by

$$R_{side}^2 = R^2;$$
 (25)

$$R_{out}^2 = R^2 + \frac{2}{T} + \frac{2}{T}$$
 (26)

$$R_{\rm L}^2 = {}^2_0 {}^2$$
(27)

W e obtain [12,13]

$$\frac{1}{R^2} = \frac{1}{R_G^2} + \frac{1}{R_T^2} \cosh[s]$$
(28)

$$\frac{1}{\frac{2}{2}} = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{2}} + \frac{1}{\frac{2}{T}} \cosh[s] \frac{1}{\cosh^2[s]};$$
(29)

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\frac{2}{T}} \cosh^2[_{s}]:$$
(30)

where the therm al length-scales are given by

$$R_{T}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{0}^{2} T_{0}}{a^{2} + b^{2} m_{t}};$$
(31)

$$_{\rm T}^2 = \frac{{\rm T}_0}{m_{\rm f}};$$
 (32)

$$r_{\rm T}^2 = \frac{\frac{2}{0}}{d^2} \frac{T_0}{m_{\rm T}}$$
(33)

As a consequence, the parameters of the BECF-s are dominated by the smaller of the geometrical and the thermal scales not only in the spatial but in the temporal directions too. These analytic expressions indicate that the BECF views only a part of the space-time volume of the expanding systems, which implies that even a complete measurement of the parameters of the BECF as a function of the mean momentum K may not be su cient to determ ine uniquely the underlying phase-space distribution. We also can see that for pairs with jy_0 Y $j < 1 + {}^2M_t = T_0$ the term sarising from the non-vanishing values of $_s$ can be neglected. In this approximation, the cross-term generating hyperbolic mixing angle $_s = 0$ thus we not the leading order LCM S result:

$$C(Q;K) = 1 + (K) \exp(R_{L}^{2}Q_{L}^{2} - R_{side}^{2}Q_{side}^{2} - R_{out}^{2}Q_{out}^{2});$$
 (34)

with a vanishing out-long cross-term, $R_{out;L} = 0$. (K) is given by eqs. (14) or (16). A coording to the previous part, (K) = f_c^2 in case of the NA 44 m easurem ents. The di erence of the side and the out radius parameters is dominated by the lifetimeparameter . Thus vanishing di erence in between the R_{out}^2 and R_{side}^2 can be generated dynamically in the case when the duration of the particle emission is large, but the therm al duration _T becomes su ciently small. This in turn can be associated with intensive changes in the local temperature distribution during the course of the particle emission. If the nite source sizes are large compared to the therm al length-scales we have

$${}^{2} = {}^{2}_{T} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{T}}} \frac{\frac{2}{0}}{d^{2}} \frac{T_{0}}{m_{t}}$$
(35)

$$R_{L}^{2} = \frac{2}{0} \frac{T_{0}}{m_{t}}$$
(36)

$$R_{side}^{2} = \frac{\frac{2}{0}}{a^{2} + b^{2}} \frac{T_{0}}{m_{t}}$$
(37)

Thus if $d^2 >> a^2 + b^2$ 1 the model features a dynam ically generated vanishing di erence between the side and out radii.

If the vanishing duration parameter is generated dynamically, the model predicts an m $_{\rm t}$ - scaling for the life-time parameter as

$${}^{2} = \frac{R_{out}^{2} \quad R_{side}^{2}}{\frac{2}{t}} \, \prime \, \frac{1}{m_{t}} \, ; \qquad (38)$$

Note that this prediction could be checked experimentally if the error bars of the measured radius parameters could be decreased to such a level that the dierence between the out and the side radius parameters could be signicant.

If the nite source sizes are large compared to the therm al length-scales and if we also have $a^2 + b^2 = 1$, one obtains an m_t-scaling for the parameters of the BECF,

$$R_{side}^{2} ' R_{out}^{2} ' R_{L}^{2} ' \frac{2}{0} \frac{T_{0}}{m_{t}}; \text{ valid for } t << \frac{(a^{2} + b^{2})}{b^{2}} ' \frac{1}{b^{2}}:$$
(39)

Note that this relation is independent of the particle type and has been seen in the recent NA 44 data [19]. This m_t-scaling m ay be valid to arbitrarily large transverse m asses with $_{t}$ 1 if $b^2 << 1$. Thus, to generate the vanishing di erence between the side and out radius and the m_t scaling simultaneously, the parameters have to satisfy $b^2 << a^2 + b^2$ $1 << d^2$, i.e. the fastest process is the cooling, the next dom inant process within this phenom enological picture has to be the developm ent of the transverse tem perature pro le and nally the transverse ow shall be relatively weak.

B. Invariant m om entum distributions

The \mathbb{M} D plays a complementary role to the measured Bose-E instein correlation function [11{13]. Namely, the width of the rapidity distribution at a given m_t as well as T the e ective temperature at a mid-rapidity y_0 shall be dominated by the longer of the therm al and geometrical length-scales. Thus a simultaneous analysis of the Bose-E instein correlation function and the \mathbb{M} D m ay reveal information both on the temperature and ow proles and on the geometrical sizes. Eq. the following relations hold:

$$y (m_t)^2 = {}^2 + {}^2_T (m_t); \text{ and } \frac{1}{-} = \frac{f}{T_0 + T_G (m_t = m)} + \frac{1}{T_0} :$$
 (40)

The geometrical contribution to the elective temperature is given by $T_G = T R_G^2 = R_T^2$ and the fraction f is defined as $f = b^2 = (a^2 + b^2)$, satisfying 0 f 1. The saddle-point sits at $s = (y_0 \quad y) = (1 + 2 = 2 T)$, $r_{x;s} = t b R^2 = (0 T)$, $r_{y;s} = 0$ and s = 0.

For the considered model, the invariant momentum distribution of the core can be calculated as

$$\frac{d^{2}n_{c}}{dy dm_{t}^{2}} = \frac{g}{(2)^{3}} \exp \frac{B}{Q} \frac{{}^{0}C}{A} m_{t} (2)^{2} (2R^{2}) - \cosh(s) \exp(t)^{2} = 2)$$

$$\exp \frac{B}{Q} \frac{(2)^{3}}{T} = \frac{g}{T} \exp \frac{B}{Q} \frac{(2)^{3}}{T} = \frac{1}{T} \exp \frac{B}{Q} \frac{m_{t}}{T} = \frac{1}{T} \exp \frac{B}{Q} \exp \frac{B}{Q} \frac{m_{t}}{T} = \frac{1}{T} \exp \frac{B}{Q} \exp \frac{B}{Q} \frac{m_{t}}{T} = \frac{1}{T} \exp \frac{B}{Q} \exp \frac$$

This IM D has a rich structure: it features both a rapidity-independent and a rapiditydependent low-pt enhancement as well as a high-pt enhancement or decrease.

V.APPLICATIONS TO NA44 DATA

We have tted the NA 44 prelim inary invariant m on entum distributions for pions and kaons together with the nalNA 44 data [19] for the m_t dependence of the BECF parameters for both pions and kaons. As has been shown not to be dependent on m_t the MDs measured are proportional to the MDs of the core. Fixing the parameter $y_0 = 3$ we get a description of the MD and the BECF for both pions and kaons. The result of the prelim inary analysis, see Table, indicates large geometrical source sizes for the pions, R_G() 7 fm and R_L 20 fm, late freeze-out times of $_0$ 7 fm/c, a vanishing duration for both pions and kaons and nally surprisingly low freeze-out temperatures, T₀ 100 MeV. The kaons appear from a sm aller region of R_G(K) 4 fm.

Let us mention, that the measured points for the $\mathbb{M} \mathbb{D}$ contain statistical errors only, system atic errors are not included. This implies that the errors of the parameters, as stated in the Table, are probably to be enlarged, when we can use naldata. The ² is relatively large from the pion invariant momentum distribution. We have scanned the parts which contribute to the large increase in ² and concluded that this increase seems to be related to some large uctuations of data points at the edge of the acceptance region.

VI.CONCLUSIONS

In summary we have studied the case when the central boson-emitting region is surrounded by a large halo, which also emits bosons. If the size of the halo is so large that it cannot be resolved in Bose-E instein correlation measurements, lot of information shall be concentrated in the momentum dependence of the intercept parameter of the correlation function. We have shown that with the help of the Bose-E instein correlation measurement, the invariant momentum distribution can be measured for the two independent components belonging to the core and the halo, respectively. The results do not depend on any particular param etrization of the core nor of the halo.

A nalysis of the NA 44 data for two-pion correlations indicated that the norm alized invariant m om entum distribution of the pions from the halo of long-lived resonances within errors coincides with the norm alized invariant m om entum distribution of the pions from the central core. This result can be explained with a hydrodynam ical m odel of the core developm ent in space-time. The number of pions coming from the halo region, 20 fm, was found to be 25 2% of the total number of pions within the NA 44 acceptance.

Instead of observing a small reball we are observing a big and expanding snow – urry at CERN SPS S + P b reactions accordingly to this analysis of the partly prelim inary NA 44 data. The central tem perature in the snow – urry is slightly higher than in the outer regions, how ever the tem perature gradient is rather sm all ($a^2 = 0.03 \ 0.01$). The tem poral changes of the local tem perature during the particle em ission seem to be rather intensive ($d^2 = 0.9 \ 0.3$).

A cknow ledgements: I want to express my sincere gratitude to the organizers of this Sym – posium for creating such a nice and stimulating atm osphere.

Table

Results of a simultaneous t to NA 44 prelim in any invariant momentum distributions and Bose-E instein radius parameters for and K in central S + P b reactions at 200 AG eV

² =ndf, full t	490/220	
² , pion singles(128)	351	
² , kaon singles(94)	137	
² , radiim easurem ents(9)	2	
T ₀ [M eV]	100 2	
₀ [fm /c]	6.9	02
R _{G()} [fm]	6.9	0.4
R _{G;(K)} [ffm]	41	0.4
R _{G;L} [ffm]	21	6
() [fm /c]	0.1	0.1
(K) [fm /c]	6	4
a ²	0.03	0.01
b ²	0.85	0.01
d ²	0.9	0.3

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Hanbury-Brown and R.Q. Twiss, Phyl. Mag. 45 663 (1954); R. Hanbury-Brown and R.
 Q. Twiss, Nature (London) 177, (1956) 27 and 178, (1956) 1046
- [2] B.Lorstad, Int.J.M od.Phys.A 12 (1989) 2861
- [3] W.A.Zajc in "Particle Production in Highly Excited Matter", ed. by H.Gutbrod and J. Rafelski, NATO ASI series B 303 (Plenum Press, 1993) p.435
- [4] T.C sorgo et al, Phys. Lett. B 241 (1990) 301
- [5] J.Bolz et al, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 3860
- [6] J.Bolz et al, Phys. Lett. B 300 (1993) 404
- [7] B.R.Schleiet al, Phys.Lett.B 293 (1992) 275
- [8] S. Pratt, T. C sorg) and J. Zim anyi, Phys. Rev. C 42 (1990) 2646
- [9] J.P. Sullivan et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 3000
- [10] T.C sorg) and S.P ratt, K F K I-1991-28/A, p. 75
- [11] T.C sorgo, B.Lorstad and J.Zim anyi, Phys. Lett. B 338 (1994) 134
- [12] T.Csorgo, Phys. Lett. B 347 (1995) 354
- [13] T.Csorgo and B.Lorstad, "Bose-Einstein Correlations for Three-D in ensionally Expanding, Cylindrically Symmetric Finite Systems", preprint LUNFD 6/(NFFL-7082) 1994, submitted for publication to Phys. Rev. Lett.
- [14] S.Chapman, P.Scotto and U.Heinz, "A New Cross Term in the Two Particle HBT Correlation Function", hep-ph/ 9408207
- [15] S. Chapman, P. Scotto and U. Heinz, "Model Independent Features of the Two Particle Correlation Function", hep-ph/ 9409349

- [16] G.F.Bertsch, Nucl. Phys. A 498 (1989) 173c
- [17] M.Gyulassy, S.K.Kaufm ann and L.W.W ilson, Phys. Rev. C 20, (1979) 2267
- [18] R M .W einer, Phys. Lett. B 232 (1989) 278
- [19] H. Beker et al, NA 44 Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 3340
- [20] J.Sollfrank et al, Z.Phys. 52 (1991) 593; J.Sollfrank et al, Phys. Lett. B 252 (1990) 256
- [21] T.C sorg) and B.Lorstad, CU-TP-684, LUNFD 6/ (NFFL-7106) 1995, hep-ph/9503494, contr. to Quark M atter 95 Conference, Nucl. Phys. A. in press.
- [22] M.G.Bowler, Z.Phys.C39, (1988) 81
- [23] M.G.Bowler, Part. W orld 2 (1991) 1-6
- [24] Yu. Sinyukov, in Proc. HHM : TE (Divonne, June 1994, Plenum Press, J. Rafelski et al, eds.)
- [25] S.V.Akkelin and Yu.M.Sinyukov, Preprint II P-63-94E
- [26] S. Chapman, P. Scotto and U. Heinz, hep-ph/9408207; ibid., hep-ph/9409349