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A bstract: W e use a very simple version of the optim ized (linear) - expansion by scaling the free part of the Lagrangian $w$ ith a variational param eter. This m ethod is well suited to calculate the renorm alized coupling constant in term s of the free one and the cuto. O ne never has to calculate any new Feynm an graphs but sim ply can m odify existing results from the literature. W e nd that ${ }_{4}^{4}$ theory as well as QED are free in the $\lim$ it where the cuto goes to in nity. In contrast to this, the structure of $Y$ ang -M ills theories enforces a special choioe of the Lagrangian of the -expansion. Together with the change in the sign of the - function, this leads to a di erent behavior and allow s Yang $M$ ills theory to becom e non trivial.

## 1 Introduction

The \optim ized -expansion" (for the older literature see Stevenson '[ $[\underline{1} 1]$ and references therein), also called \linear -expansion", is a powerfiulm ethod which com bines the $m$ erits of perturbation theory $w$ ith those of variationalapproaches. The underlying idea is sim ple. G enerically, the Lagrangian is split into a free and an interacting part in such a way that an arbitrary param eter (orm ore) is arti cially introduced. The interacting part is $m$ ultiplied by a factor which serves as expansion param eter and is put equal to one at the end. The exact solution should be independent of the param eter while any approxim ate solution w ill depend on it. The idea, often called \Stevenson's principle of m inim al sensitivity" [T] $]$, is that the approxim ate solution should depend as little upon the param eter as possible. Thism eans that should be chosen such that the quantity to be calculated has an extrem um. In this w ay the result becom es non perturbative because
becom es a non linear function of the coupling constant. In every order of perturbation theory the param eter has to be calculated again and usually goes to in nity with grow ing order.

The $m$ ethod has been applied with great success to sim ple cases like the zero di$m$ ensional and one dim ensional (quantum mechanics) anharm onic oscillator [ह].], where rigorous proofs for the (rapid) convergence exist. T here are also interesting applications to the calculation of the e ective potential and the question of spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking $[\stackrel{[\overline{4}}{1}]$ - $\left[\bar{\eta}_{i}^{2}\right]$. In this context the $m$ ethod is usually called \G aussian e ective potential" (GEP), or, in higher orders, \post G aussian e ective potential" (PGEP).W e also $m$ ention applications in lattioe theory [G].

In $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ - $\left[\begin{array}{l}i=1\end{array}\right]$ the $m$ ass param eter of the free Lagrangian was treated as variational param eter. In our approach we will xit at the physicalm ass. Instead we scale the free part of the Lagrangian w th a factor . That's all! D ue to the \benevolent paradox" " of the linear - expansion we don't need to calculate any Feynm an graphs. W e sim ply can use existing calculations and modify the results. W e nd that this m ethod is not only quite simple but also very useful in order to calculate the renorm alized coupling constant in term s of the bare one and the cuto. A ll our results are already obtained in one-loop order.

For ${ }_{4}^{4}$-theory, treated in sect. 2, and QED in sect. 3, one easily nds that these theories are free, i.e. that the renorm alized coupling constant goes to zero when the cuto goes to in nity, irrespective of the behavior of the bare coupling. In the case of
${ }_{4}^{4}$ - theory one can explicitly show that the conclusion holds in any (even) order of perturbation theory in. In QED one can use a realistic cuto and give an upper bound for the ne structure constant. Yang M ills theories are treated in sect. 4. Here two im portant changes happen. Firstly, the change of the sign of the -function is crucial. Secondly, gauge invariance enforces specialconditions on the splitting of the Lagrangian. B oth together im plies that the theory can becom e non-trivial. In all cases generalization to higher orders is straightforw ard in principle.

O urm ethods are not rigorous but they give transparent analytical expressions in a sim ple way and lead to an understanding of the relevant features of the various theories.

## $2 \quad{ }_{4}^{4}$ - Theory

W e split the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2} @ \quad @ \quad{\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2}}{2}}_{2}^{2} \quad{\frac{g_{0}}{4!}}^{4} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by introducing an arti cialparam eter which scales the free Lagrangian. T he expansion param eter is called as usual. The param eter $M$ will not be treated as a variational param eter but $w$ ill be $x e d$ at the physicalm ass. This is very convenient and $w i l l$ lead to the results in a sim ple way.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{0}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I}} \tag{2.2.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{L}_{0}=\frac{1}{-}\left[\frac{1}{2} @ @\left(\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{2}{ }^{2}\right] ;\right.  \tag{22.b}\\
\left.\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1=
\end{array}\right) \sum_{2}^{1} @ @ \quad \frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{2}{ }^{2}\right] \frac{\mathrm{m}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}}{2}{ }^{2} \frac{g_{0}}{4!}{ }^{4}: \tag{22.c}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $=1$ the original Lagrangian is recovered.
The Feynm an rules are directly read o from (22). The essential modi cation is that the propagator acquires a factor and that we obtain insertions containing the free Lagrangian. They will be denoted by a thidk dot in order to distinguish them from the $m$ ass insertions which, as usual, are denoted by a cross:

P ropagator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{p^{2} \quad M^{2}+i} \tag{2.3.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Free Lagrangian insertion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { i }(1 \quad 1=)\left(l^{2} \quad M^{2}\right) \tag{2.3.b}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ass insertion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(m_{0}^{2} \quad M^{2}\right) \tag{2.3.c}
\end{equation*}
$$

V ertex:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { i } g_{0} \text { : } \tag{2.3.d}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see how the insertions of the free Lagrangian work. If we com bine an insertion $w$ ith one adjacent propagator, the $p^{2} \quad M^{2}$ cancels and one is left w ith a factor
(1 ). Sum ming up the geom etrical series consisting of the bare propagator together with 1;2; ;n insertions and putting $=1$ gives

$$
\frac{i}{p^{2} M^{2}+i}{ }_{=0}^{X^{n}}(1 \quad)=\frac{i}{p^{2} M^{2}+i}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & (1 & )^{n+1} \tag{2.4}
\end{array}\right]:
$$

C learly the extrem um is at the \natural" value $=1$. For $0 \ll 2$ the series converges and the lim it is independent of as it should be. In a theory with interactions there are, of course, additional contributions which will shift the extrem um aw ay from 1.

C alculations w ith the Lagrangian (2.2) are easily perform ed by just modifying the expressions of usual perturbation theory. A though our essential results are already obtained at the one loop level we present the general procedure. Let $2 \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ be the connected one particle irreducible G reen function w ith 2 N extemal legs, the propagators for the extemal legs are not inchuded. In usual perturbation theory w ith respect to the bare coupling constant $g_{0}$, one has an expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{\mathrm{V}} \underset{2 \mathrm{~N}}{(\mathrm{~N})}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right): \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our approach the $G$ reen function $w i l l$ depend on and in any nite order, therefore we denote it by ${ }_{2 \mathrm{~N}}$ ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$; ; ). If we expand it w th respect to, every intemal line gets an extra factor. There are $I=2 V \quad N$ intemal lines in the graphs $w$ ith $V$ vertioes which contribute to $\left.{ }_{2 \mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{~N}^{( }\right)\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$. Furtherm ore, we have to take into account the insertions of the free Lagrangian which give a factor ( $1 \quad$ ) com pared to the graph w ithout the insertion. The num ber of possibilities to place $J$ insertions on $I$ intemal lines (com pare the well know $n$ analogous problem of B ose statistics to put $J$ indistinguishable particles into $I$ boxes) is

$$
I+\underset{J}{J} 1^{!}=2 \mathrm{~V} \quad \begin{gather*}
\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{J}  \tag{2.6}\\
\mathrm{~J}
\end{gather*} \quad \text { ! }
$$

For the expansion of $2 \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} ;\right.$; ) w ith respect to $u p$ to order $n$ we therefore obtain, if we substitute $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{J}$
w ith $J_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=\mathrm{M}$ axf $\left.\quad 1 \quad \mathbb{N}=2\right] ; 0 \mathrm{~g}$. C onsider next the two point function $G_{2}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right.$; ; ) of all one particle irreducible contributions to the propagator, which will be needed to
 $\mathrm{G}_{2}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} ;\right.$; ) up to order ${ }^{2}$ are shown in $g .1$. In general one has the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} ; ;\right)=\frac{i}{\mathrm{p}^{2} M^{2}+i} 1+(1 \quad)+\frac{\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} ; ;\right)^{\prime}}{\mathrm{p}^{2} M^{2}+i} \tag{2.8.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

The propagator $D\left(p^{2} ; ~ ; ~\right)$ is obtained by sum $m$ ing up the geom etrical series of all one particle irreducible contribution contained in $G\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} ; ~ ; ~\right)$. Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p^{2} ; ;\right)=\left(p^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)^{0}\left(M^{2} ; ;\right)+\left(p^{2} ; ;\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.D\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} ; ~ ; ~\right)=\frac{i}{\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}+i\right)[1} \quad(1 \quad) \quad{ }^{0} \mathrm{M}^{2} ; ~ ; ~\right)\right] \quad(\mathrm{B} ; ~ ; ~) ~ \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{iZ}(;)}{\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}+\mathrm{i} \quad \operatorname{ren}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} ; ~ ; ~\right.} \text {; } \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \quad(;)=\frac{}{1} \quad(1 \quad) \quad{ }^{0}\left(M^{2} ; ~ ; ~ a n d ~ r e n ~\left(p^{2} ; ~ ; ~\right)=Z(;)\left(p^{2} ; ~ ; ~\right):\right. \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides the propagator and the wave function renorm alization constant we need the vertex function ( $p_{i}$; ; ) (norm alized such that the expansion starts with 1). This, in tum, determ ines the vertex renom alization constant $Z_{v}(;)$ through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{i} ; ~ ; ~\right)!~ 1=Z_{V}(;): \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are only interested in the behavior for cuto to in nity, the special choice of the $m$ om enta for the renorm alization prescription of is unessential.

In ordinary perturbation theory w ith respect to the bare coupling constant $g_{0}$, one has the form al expansions

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=1+X_{=1}^{X^{A}} g_{0} Z^{()} ; \quad\left(p_{i}\right)=1+X_{=1}^{X^{A}} g_{0}^{()}\left(p_{i}\right) ; \quad 1=Z_{v}=1+X_{=1}^{A^{A}} g_{0}^{() ;} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where () are the coe cients () $\left(p_{i}\right)$ taken at the extemalm om enta where the renorm alization prescription is im posed.

The corresponding expansion for Z ( ; ) w ith respect to is obtained from (2.11), (2.9), (2.8). The quadratically divergent tadpole in $g .1$ is independent of the extemal m om entum and only contributes to the m ass renom alization. If M is chosen as the physical m ass, it is canceled by the m ass counterterm. Therefore we m ay om it all tadpole contributions here and in the follow ing. From the graphs in g. 1, togetherw ith the foregoing considerations we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(;)={ }^{n} 1+[1 \quad]+{ }^{2}\left[(1 \quad)^{2}+g_{0}^{2}{ }^{4} Z^{(2)}\right]+O\left({ }^{3}\right)^{0}: \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, a term $g^{2} Z^{(1)}$ would also show up in the curly bracket of (2.14) which, how ever, vanishes in ${ }^{4}$-theory.

For the vertex functions the graphs of $g .2$ contribute. This results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=Z_{V}(;)=1+g^{2(1)}+{ }^{2}\left[2 g_{0}^{2}(1 \quad)^{(1)}+g_{0}^{2}{ }^{4}(2)\right]+O\left({ }^{3}\right): \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation between bare and renorm alized coupling constant is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=g_{g} Z^{2}(;)=Z_{v}(;): \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e need this relation only up to order ${ }^{2}$ at the $m$ om ent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=g^{2^{n}} 1+\left[2(1 \quad) \quad 3 g^{2} C=2\right]+O\left({ }^{2}\right)^{0}: \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant C is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(1)}=3 C=2 ; \quad w \text { th } \quad C!\frac{b}{3} \ln \frac{2}{M^{2}}=\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \ln \frac{2}{\mathrm{M}^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad!\quad 1 \text {; } \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and b is the rst coe cient in the usual expansion of the -function, $(\mathrm{g})=\mathrm{bg}^{2}+$ H ere and in the follow ing we use the results of well known one loop calculations which m ay be found e. g . in [10].

Before going on we have to clarify an im portant conceptional point. In quantum $m$ echanics or any other theory without in nities one w ould prescribe $g_{0}$ and then calculate $g$ as a power series in. In the usual treatm ent of quantum eld theory, how ever, one proceeds the other way round. O ne xes $g$ at its physical value and calculates $g_{0}$ as a pow er series w ith coe cients that are divergent in the lim it ! 1 . We stress that the latter $m$ ethod is not applicable here! The reason is that the expansion of $g$ starts $w$ th a term proportional to. Ifwe invert (2.17), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{2}=\operatorname{gf1} \quad 2(1 \quad)+3 g C=2+\text { rest } g: \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

But now the rest contains an in nity of term s of order ${ }^{0}$, because $g_{0}{ }^{2}=g+$ starts w ith order 1, not w ith order. Therefore it is not allow ed to truncate the series. If we do it nevertheless, this $m$ eans that we retum to naive perturbation theory in $g$. In the latter case one easily nds that the renorm alized vertex function ren $\left(p_{i}\right)=Z_{v} \quad\left(p_{i}\right)$ as well as other renom alized quantities like the self energy, becom e independent of . This also happens in higher orders. The renorm alization procedure is powerfiulenough to rem ove our $m$ anipulations $w$ ith the Lagrangian!

We will see now, how ever, that the linear expansion in our special form ulation is extrem ely useful and simple in order to obtain inform ation about the renorm alized coupling constant in term $s$ of the bare coupling and the cuto. W e retum to (2.17), truncate after the order ${ }^{2}$, and put $=1$, thus ending up with

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=g_{0} f 3^{2} \quad 2^{3} \quad 3 g_{0} C \quad{ }^{4}=2 g: \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation for the extrem um in (dropping the unacoeptable solution $=0$ ) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=g_{9} C^{2}: \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elim inating $g_{0} C$ from this, (220) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{1}{2 C}(1 \quad)(3 \quad): \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us rst assum e, as usual, that $g_{0}>0$. Then (221) has just one positive solution for . The solution lies between 0 and 1 , in this interval ( $1 \quad$ ) ( $3 \quad 3$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \quad \frac{3}{2 C}!\frac{3(4)^{2}}{2 \ln \left({ }^{2}=M^{2}\right)}: \tag{223}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, in the lim it ! 1 the renorm alized coupling constant $g \mathrm{w}$ ill necessarily converge to zero, irrespective whether $g_{0}$ becom es constant, goes to zero, or diverges.

In the literature there are suggestions forpossible non-trivialand stable ${ }_{4}^{4}$-theories,

 function renorm alization). A s we have just seen, an autonom ous theory cannot arise in our approach. Let us look for the possibility of a precarious theory by putting $g_{0}$
< 0. In this case (221) has two solutions $w$ ith $>1$. A nite value of $g$ in the lim it C ! 1 can now be obtained if C ! 0, ! $1=\mathrm{C}$ ! 1 . Therefore the negative bare coupling constant must become in nitesim ally sm all. For $g$ one then would get g! $1=2^{2} C^{3}$ which is positive. Depending on how fast vanishes in the lim it ! 1 , this $m$ ay converge to 0,1 , or to a nite value. W e consider this possibility, how ever, as unacceptable. A ccording to the generalphilosophy of the principle ofm inim alsensitivity the second extrem um at $1+C$ should be preferred because the second derivative is (drastically!) sm aller. (T his would also, and even stronger, be the case if we would have chosen $1=$ as variation variable.) This second solution would lead to a negative $g \quad!\quad 0$. Therefore these exotic possibilities do not show up in our approach.

It is instructive to look at the perturbative features and the analyticity properties contained in (2.20), (2 21). O ne may expand the solution (2 21) for the extrem um

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\overbrace{\left(1+4 g_{0} C\right.}^{q} \quad 1)=2 g_{0} C=1 \quad g_{0} C+2\left(g_{0} C\right)^{2} \quad 5\left(g_{0} C\right)^{3}+14\left(g_{0} C\right)^{4}+ \tag{224}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introduce this into (2.20) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=g_{0} f 1 \quad 3 g_{0} C=2+3\left(g_{0} C\right)^{2} \quad 7\left(g_{0} C\right)^{3}+18\left(g_{0} C\right)^{4}+\quad g: \tag{225}
\end{equation*}
$$

The linear term in $g_{0} C$ coincides $w$ ith that of naive perturbation theory as it should, because we expand at the extrem um .

O bviously (2.24) has a branch cut at $g_{0} \mathrm{C}=1=4$, corresponding to $=2$, which determ ines the radius of convergence of (25). We expect that in higher orders of the optim ized -expansion the branch cut approaches zero as it happens in sim ple models. So the $m$ ethod shows how the non-analytic behavior at $g_{0}=0$ and the divergence of ordinary perturbation theory for any $g_{0}$ arises.

It is surprising that all the previous conclusions can form ally be extended to arbitrary orders $n$ of the optim ized -expansion. Instead of (2.17) one obtains a m ore com plicated form ula of sim ilar structure, which again has a factor $g^{2}$ in front. W hat we need is inform ation about the behavior of the various contributions in the lim it ! 1 and about the presence of an extrem um for positive.

Ifwe expand the factors $\mathrm{Z}^{2}(;)$ and $1=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{V}}(;)$ in (2.16) w ith respect to we obtain series involving the coe cients Z ' ' and (') in (2.13), m odi ed by the changes perform ed in the Lagrangian. Because we have chosen $M$ as the physical $m$ ass, all quadratically
divergent tadpole contributions canœel and only the logarithm ic divergences survive in the coe cients. For ! 1 one has
$Z^{()} \quad z\left(\ln \frac{2}{M^{2}}\right)^{1}$ (for
() $\quad$ ( 1$) C\left(\ln \frac{2}{M^{2}}\right)$ with $C>0:$

The im portant point is that we know the signs of the coe cients $C$. These signs are easily obtained from inspecting the various factors i stem $m$ ing from the propagators, vertices, and rotations to euclidean space in the integration variables, for a graph contributing to . The rem aining integrand is then positive de nite. A speci c cuto prescription for higher order graphs is e.g. the replacem ent of the euclidean propagator by $\exp \left[\left(P^{2}+M^{2}\right)={ }^{2}\right]=\left(P^{2}+M^{2}\right)$. There is no sim ple statem ent conceming the signs of the coe cients $z$, but fortunately these signs are unim portant. In our approach we have the sam e m odi cations as in (2.7): Every propagator gets an additional factor , this has the consequence that $Z{ }^{(1)}$ as well as (') alw ays appear together with a factor
${ }^{2}$. The insertions of the free Lagrangian give factors of ( $1 \quad$ ) com pared to the corresponding graph w ithout the insertion.
$T$ he clue for the existence of an extrem um is the sign of the term $w$ ith the highest power of in the expansion ofg in (2.16). From the previous rem arks it is clear that the highest power of contains no insertions. Furtherm ore, the coe cients of () have one power of $\ln \left({ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \mathrm{m}$ ore than those of $Z^{(1)}$. To nd the leading term in the coe cient for ! 1 , we therefore have to take the low est order of $Z^{2}(;)$ and the highest order of $1=Z_{v}(;)$. Therefore the term $w$ th the highest pow er of in the expansion of (2.16) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} 1\left[\quad g^{2} \ln \left({ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{n}^{1}}: \tag{228}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has a de nite sign and is negative for $g_{0}>0$ and even order $n$. This term $w$ th the highest power of is also the one $w$ ith the highest power of $\ln \left({ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }^{2}\right)$.

The term with the lowest power of is, correspondingly, obtained if we take the low est order in $Z^{2}(;)$ and $1=Z_{V}(;)$, and only consider the insertions. $T$ his gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=Z_{\mathrm{v}}(;)!1 \text { and } Z^{2}(;)!\frac{2}{\left[1 \quad(1)^{3}\right.}!\frac{2}{[1)^{2}}!2_{=0}^{x^{1}}(+1) \text { : } \tag{229}
\end{equation*}
$$

O bviously this factor is positive. Together w ith the previous result this guarantees that for even $n$ there $w$ ill alw ays be at least one $m$ axim um at positive, ie. the principle of m inim al sensitivity is applicable.

It is now easy to see what happens in the lim it ! 1 . In the case that $g_{0} \ln \left({ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ stays nite (or goes to zero) there $w$ ill be a maxim um at some nite. In this case $g_{0}$ necessarily goes to zero, therefore the extra factor $g_{0}$ in front as in (2.17) will im ply that $g$ vanishes for ! 1 . Let us next assum e that $g_{0} \ln \left({ }^{2}=M^{2}\right)$ diverges for ! 1 . Then
at the $m$ axim um has to go to zero, because otherw ise the highest order term would dom inate all the other ones. Therefore the expression $m$ ay be sim pli ed considerably.

In any term we only need to consider the highest power of $\ln \left({ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }^{2}\right)$ and the lowest power of. Insertions therefore only give powers of . W e end up $w$ th an expression of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=g^{2} \sum_{=0}^{<\mathbb{X}^{1}}(+1)+\mathbb{X}^{1} X^{1} S_{j=1}\left[{ }^{2} g_{0} \ln \left({ }^{2}=M^{2}\right)\right] \quad \stackrel{9}{=} ; \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $S_{; j}$ are uninteresting num erical coe cients, the im portant point is that we know the highest one: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }_{1 ; 0}=(1)^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }_{1}<0$ for n even.

The rest is trivial. Putting ${ }^{2} g_{0} \ln \left({ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }^{2}\right)=\mathrm{x}$ and setting $=1$, (2.30) becom es

For even $n$ there is a maxim um for some nite $x$, if this is chosen one nds that $g!0$ for ! 1 , i.e. the theory is trivial.
$T$ he case of a precarious theory w ith $g_{0}<0$ can be excluded in the sam e way if one chooses $n$ odd.

W e cannot $m$ ake a general statem ent whether there is an extrem um for $g_{0}>0$ and n odd or vice versa; for $\mathrm{n}=3$ there is none in the $\lim$ it $g_{0} \ln \left({ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)!1$. This does not $m$ atter at all because we alw ays $m$ ay choose a convenient subset of values of $n$. It is a well know $n$ feature of the optim ized - expansion, which shows up already in the com pletely understood toy $m$ odel of a \zero dim ensional ${ }^{4}$ - partition function" [检, that one has to restrict to even or odd $n$, respectively.

Of course we don't claim that the previous considerations provide a proof that ${ }_{4}^{4}$ - theory is free. (See [1] altemative insight into the problem and add further evidence for the triviality of this theory.

## 3 Q ED

The situation is very sim ilar to that in ${ }^{4}$－theory，therefore we can concentrate on the m odi cations．For sim plicity we work in the Feynm an gauge．The free Lagrangian

$$
L=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ie } & m_{0} \tag{3.1}
\end{array}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} @ A @ A \quad e_{0} A
$$

is split in the follow ing way：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{0}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{l}) \text {; } \mathrm{w} \text { ith }  \tag{32.a}\\
& \mathrm{L}_{0}=\frac{1}{2}(\text { 迪 } \mathrm{M}) \quad \frac{1}{2_{3}} @ A @ A \text {; }  \tag{32.b}\\
& L_{I}()={ }^{2}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 迅 }
\end{array}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2}\binom{1}{3} @ A @ A+\left(M \quad m_{0}\right) \quad\right] \\
& \text { © } A \text { : } \tag{32.c}
\end{align*}
$$

Som e com $m$ ents are appropriate here．
W e have now introduced two scaling param eters， 2 for the free electron Lagrangian， and 3 for the free photon Lagrangian．$M$ is chosen as the physicalm ass of the electron． The expansion param eter is again which is put equal to 1 at the end．Only for $=1$ the Lagrangians have to coincide．This freedom was used to choose di erent powers of
in the various term $s$ of $L_{I}()$ ，nam ely for the electron－photon vertex but ${ }^{2}$ for the free Lagrangian insertions and the $m$ ass insertions．The reason for doing this is that in the fam iliar perturbative treatm ent of Q ED the contributions to the $m$ ass counter term
m as well as to the renom alization constants $\mathrm{Z}_{1} ; \mathrm{Z}_{2} ; \mathrm{Z}_{3}$ alw ays arise in connection w ith loop graphs，which in lowest order are proportional to $0=e_{0}^{2}=4$ ．The Lagrangian （3 2）has the corresponding structure，it is not only invariant under the transform ation $e_{0}!\quad e_{0} ;$ A ！A ，but also under ！；A ！A．This guarantees that at the end expansions go w th 0 ，not w ith $e_{0}$ itself．
$T$ he Feynm an rules which are derived from（32）read：
E lectron propagator：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i_{2}}{p \mathrm{M}+i} \tag{3.3.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

P hoton propagator：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i_{3} g}{k^{2}+i} \tag{3.3.b}
\end{equation*}
$$

E lectron free Lagrangian insertion：

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{2}\left(1 \quad 1={ }_{2}\right)(\rho \quad M) \tag{3.3.c}
\end{equation*}
$$

P hoton free Lagrangian insertion：

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{2}\left(1 \quad 1={ }_{3}\right) k^{2} g \tag{3.3.d}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E$ lectron $m$ ass insertion:

$$
i^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M & m_{0} \tag{3.3.e}
\end{array}\right.
$$

E lectron photon vertex:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \theta \quad: \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s in the previous section, $G$ reen functions can be calculated by using the expansion coe cients of ordinary perturbation theory. Because it is m ore convenient to write the expansion in 0 instead of $e_{0}$ we introduce the follow ing notation. Let $2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ denote the num ber of extemal electron lines, $2 \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{w}$ th $=0$ or 1 the extemal photon lines, and $2 \mathrm{~V}+$ the vertices. $T$ he usual perturbation expansion of the vertex function then reads

The graphs which contribute to ${\underset{2 N}{ }(\mathrm{~N})}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2 \mathrm{~N}}+\mathrm{h}+$ have $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{e}}=2 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ intemal electron lines and $I=V \quad N$ intemal photon lines. C onsidering the additional factors in the propagators and the insertions in analogy to (2.7), we obtain for the expansion up to order $2 \mathrm{n}+$


W e keep as close to the usual notation as possible. The vertex renorm alization, electron wave function renom alization, and photon renom alization constants are now functions of ${ }_{2} ; 3$; and are denoted by $Z_{k}\left({ }_{2} ;{ }_{3} ;\right)$. For ${ }_{2}={ }_{3}=\quad=1$ they go over into $\mathrm{Z}_{1} ; \mathrm{Z}_{2} ; \mathrm{Z}_{3}$. The form alexpansion of the latter in usual perturbation theory reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{k}=1+{ }_{=1}^{x^{1}} Z_{k}^{()} \quad 0 \text { for } k=1 ; 2 ; 3 \text { : } \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The W ard identity guarantees that $\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{()}=\mathrm{Z}_{2}^{()}$in any order.

${ }^{2}$ is com pletely parallel to the one in the last section, therefore we just give the result:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z}_{1}(2 ; 3 ;)=1+{ }_{2}^{2}{ }_{2}^{2} 0 \mathrm{Z}_{1}^{(1)} \text {; }  \tag{3.7}\\
& Z_{2}(2 ; 3 ;)=2\left[1+{ }^{2}\left(1 \quad 2+\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
2
\end{array} 0_{0} \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{(1)}\right)\right] ;  \tag{3.8}\\
& Z_{3}(2 ; 3 ;)=3\left[1+{ }^{2}\left(1 \quad 3+{ }_{2}^{2} 30 Z_{3}^{(1)}\right)\right]: \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

C learly $\mathrm{Z}_{1}\left({ }_{2} ;{ }_{3} ;\right) \not \mathrm{Z}_{2}(2 ; 3 ;)$, ie. the W ard identity does not hold in it's usual form! The reason for this is easily traced badk to the extra contribution of the free
electron Lagrangian insertion in $g .3$ which contributes to the selfenergy and therefore to $Z_{2}\left({ }_{2} ;{ }_{3} ;\right)$, but does not appear in the vertex function and in $Z_{1}\left({ }_{2} ;{ }_{3} ;\right)$. It is, how ever, easy to derive the $m$ odi ed $W$ ard identity from the fam iliar one. T he result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\mathrm{Z}_{2}\left({ }_{2} ; 3 ;\right)}+{ }^{2}(1 \quad 2)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{Z}_{1}(2 ; 3 ;)}: \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he renorm alized ne structure constant is obtained from the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
={ }^{2}{ }_{0} Z_{2}^{2}(2 ; 3 ;) Z_{3}\left(2 ;{ }_{3} ;\right)=Z_{1}^{2}(2 ; 3 ;): \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order ${ }^{4}$ this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
=20_{2}^{2} 3^{\mathrm{n}} 1+{ }^{2} \mathrm{R}(1 \quad 2)+1 \quad{ }_{3}+{ }_{2}^{2} 30 \mathrm{Z}_{3}^{(1)^{\circ}}: \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

O riginally, instead of $Z_{3}^{(1)}$ one had $Z_{3}^{(1)}+2 Z_{2}^{(1)} 2 Z_{1}^{(1)}$, the last tw o term s canceldue to the W ard identity. This cancellation also happens in higher orders. Therefore, though the W ard identity is modi ed in our approach, the \old" W ard identity for the coe cients, $\mathrm{Z}_{1}{ }^{()}=\mathrm{Z}_{2}^{(1)}$, still does its job .

W e have to determ ine the extrem um of (3.12) for $=1 \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to the two variables $2 ; 3$. O ne easily sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
@=@_{2}=2 @=@_{3} \text { for } 2={ }_{3} \text { : } \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus there is an extrem um with $2=3$. This is in fact a necessary condition. Therefore, from now on we shall take

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=3 \quad: \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $=1$ the relation (3.12) then sim pli es to

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0^{n} 4^{3} 3^{4} \quad{ }_{0} C^{6^{\circ}}: \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we have de ned

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=Z_{3}^{(1)}!b \ln \frac{2}{M^{2}}=\frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{2}{M^{2}} \text { for } \quad!1 ; \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with b again being the rst coe cient in the expansion of the - function, (e) = be $+\quad:$ The structure of (3.15) is very sim ilar to (2.20) an can be discussed along the sam e lines. The maxim um is at

$$
\begin{equation*}
1={ }_{0} C^{3}=2: \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation has just one real solution and the solution lies in the interval between 0 and 1. (T he possibility of a precarious theory does not arise here from the beginning, because 0 is necessarily positive). Elim inating ${ }_{0} C$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2}{\mathrm{C}}(1 \quad)\left(2 \quad \frac{4}{\mathrm{C}} \text { for } 0 \quad 1:\right. \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we nd that ! 0 for ! 1 , irrespective of the behavior of 0 . For the triviality of Q ED see | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4}]$. |
| :--- |
| -1 |

A s before, one can expand the solution of (3.17),

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1 \quad{ }_{0} C=2+3\left({ }_{0} C\right)^{2}=4 \quad 3\left({ }_{o} C\right)^{3}=2+55\left({ }_{0} C\right)^{4}=16+\quad ; \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introduce it into (3.15):

$$
\begin{equation*}
={ }_{0} f 1 \quad{ }_{0} C+3\left({ }_{0} C\right)^{2}=2 \quad 11\left({ }_{o} C\right)^{3}=4+91\left({ }_{0} C\right)^{4}=16+\quad g: \tag{3,20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The branching point can be found by sim ultaneously requiring the vanishing of the rst and second derivative of (3.15). It is located at ${ }_{0} \mathrm{C}=8=27$; $=3=2$.

It is tem pting to look into the consequences of the inequality (3.18) if one takes it seriously. To do this we have to extend the theory by including all elem entary charged ferm ions and the charged $W$ - bosons (assum ing, of course, that there exist no further elem entary charged particles). W e use the sam e factor for allparticles in generalization of (3.14). In the order in whidh we work, the various contributions to the vacuum polarization sim ply add up. W e obtain again the relations (3.15), (3.18) but now

$$
C=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2} \mathrm{Z}_{3 ; \mathrm{f}}^{(1)} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{3 ; \mathrm{N}}^{(1)}:
$$

For the ferm ionic contributions one has, as in (3.16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{3 ; \mathrm{E}}^{(1)} \quad \frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{2}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}} ; \tag{322}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the W -boson contributes w ith opposite sign (see e.g. [ī퍼 $]$ ).

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{W} ; 3}^{(1)} \quad \frac{3}{4} \ln \frac{2}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}}:
$$

For a num erical estim ate we use the physical m asses for leptons and $W$, while for the
 supplem ented by the value for the top quark. To be de nite we give the values used, though they are not essential.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{u}=7: 6 ; M_{d}=13: 3 ; M_{s}=260 ; M_{c}=1270 ; M_{b}=4250 ; M_{t}=176000 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}: \tag{324}
\end{equation*}
$$

For we m ay either choose the uni cation scale $u=10^{15} \mathrm{GeV}$, or the P lanck mass, $\mathrm{p}=10^{19} \mathrm{GeV}$, depending on where we expect that the theory becom es modi ed and a natural cuto is provided. W e then $n d C_{U}=46: 35$ and $C_{P}=57: 59 \mathrm{which}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: 086 \text { or } 0: 069 \tag{325}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Because is a m onotonically increasing function of 0 these bounds are reached for 0 ! 1 . The value $1=137$ would be obtained for $0 \quad 1=98$ and $0 \quad 1=90$ respectively. C learly these considerations are highly speculative at this stage.

## 4 Y ang - M ills T heory

The results of the last two sections, ${ }^{4}$-theory and QED, clearly depended on the sign of the rst non-trivialcorrection to the renorm alized coupling constant. This, in tum, is directly connected to the positive sign of the -function for sm all coupling. Yang-M ills theories are asym ptotically free, the -function is negative and the sign of the rst nontrivial correction changes. $N$ aively one would expect that we again obtain an equation like (3.15) in QED, but with the sign of the term with C reversed. This would im ply that there is no extrem um in the lim it oC! 1 . So the m ethod would sim ply avoid to run into the previous conclusions by refusing to produce an extrem um .

A ctually, what happens is $m$ uch $m$ ore subtle. The structure of non-abelian gauge theories w illen foroe a special choice of the Lagrangian of the -expansion, in order that all the usual com pensations of the theory still take place. This in tum will reduce the power of in the term with oC so that there willbe an extrem um now. For a suitable behavior of 0 C the position of this extrem um moves to in nity when C ! 1 . So, a nite renorm alized coupling constant arises.

The usual YM - Lagrangian (the follow ing considerations hold for any non-abelian gauge group, for sim plicity we use the language of Q CD ) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L_{0}+g_{0} L_{3}+g_{0}^{2} L_{4}+g_{0} L_{G h}+g_{0} L_{F}+L_{G F}: \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere $L_{0}$ denotes the free part of $L, L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$ denote the three-ghon and four-ghon couplings, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{Gh}}$ the ghost Lagrangian, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{F}}$ the ferm ion-ghon coupling, and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{GF}}$ the gauge xing term. The explicit expressions are well known and there is no need to repeat them here. W e use again Feynm an gauge for sim plicity. Rem embering the result for $Q E D$ in the last section we introduce a com $m$ on scaling param eter for all elds from the beginning. A $n$ essential modi cation is necessary now in order not to destroy the com pensations between various graphs of the sam e order in $g_{0}$. C onsider, as illustration, the second order contributions of $g .4$ to the gluon propagator. The three graphs in the second line have two intemal lines and would get a factor ${ }^{2}$, the graph in the third line which contains the four gluon vertex has only one intemal ghon line and would get a factor only. This would, of course, be a disaster.

Fortunately this apparent di culty can be sim ply overcom e by an appropriate choioe of the Lagrangian of the -expansion. We introduce three functions $w_{0}(;) ; w_{3}(;)$; and $w_{4}(;)$ to be speci ed later, which will be multiplied with the free part and the three- and four-ghon couplings respectively. O ur Lagrangian reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=\stackrel{1}{-}_{L_{0}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{w} \text { ith }  \tag{42.a}\\
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I}}={ }^{2} \mathrm{~W}_{0}(;)(1 \stackrel{1}{-}) \mathrm{L}_{0}+\mathrm{W}_{3}(;)\left(\mathbb{L} \mathbb{L}_{3}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{Gh}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{F}}\right] \\
& +{ }^{2} \mathrm{~W}_{4}(;){ }^{2} \mathrm{~L}_{4}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{GF}}: \tag{4.2.b}
\end{align*}
$$

The requirem ent that the original Lagrangian is recovered for $=1$ gives the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}(; 1)=1 ; \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

but we cannot sim ply put all $w_{k}$ identical to one for the reasons just $m$ entioned; we have to im pose the conditions im posed by gauge invariance. C onsider the vertex function n ( $p_{i}$ ) w ith $N$ extemalghon lines. In ordinary perturbation theory it has the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)={ }_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{\mathrm{V}}{\underset{N}{\mathrm{~N}})}_{\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right): ~} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number I of intemal ghon lines is now not xed by $N$ and $V$, because ${ }_{N}^{(V)}\left(p_{i}\right)$ contains graphs with di erent num bers $V_{3}$ of three ghon and $V_{4}$ of four gluon vertioes. ( W e forget ghosts and ferm ions for the m om ent for sim plicity). O bviously $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{3}+2 \mathrm{~V}_{4}$. Wemay write

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{N}^{(N)}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)={ }^{X}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{I})}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right): \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The num bers of vertioes can be expressed in term sof N and I:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{3}=\mathrm{N} \quad 2 \mathrm{~V}+2 \mathrm{I} ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{4}=3 \mathrm{~V}=2 \quad \mathrm{~N}=2 \quad \mathrm{I}: \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expansion for the vertex function in the -expansion, using the Lagrangian (4 2) reads

This should be obvious from com parison w ith the analogous expansions in the pre${ }_{P}$ vious sections. The sum over $J$ which represents the insertions, can be perform ed using $J{ }_{J}^{I+J_{J}^{1}} x^{j}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x\end{array}\right)^{I}$, and $V_{3}$ and $V_{4}$ elim inated from (4.6). This results in

W e m ust insist that the com pensations betw een various graphs of the sam e order in $g_{0}$ have to take place also in the -expansion. This will be the case if and only if all the contributions $\left.{ }_{N}^{N} ; \mathrm{I}\right) \mathrm{w}$ ill get a factor which depends on the order $V$ only, but not on the num ber I of intemal gluon lines. Therefore the curly bracket in (4.8) m ust be equal to one. T his gives a relation between the $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{3}^{2}(;)=\mathrm{w}_{4}(;)\left[1 \quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{w}_{0}(;)(1 \quad)\right]: \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $=1$, where the $w_{k}$ are equal to one, this relation is ful lled.
It is easily seen that the inclusion of ghosts and ferm ions does not alter the previous considerations because the latter alw ays appear in three particle vertioes.

U sing (4.9), the sum over I in (4.8) simpli es to ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(\mathrm{V})}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$. The insertions have, of course, only apparently disappeared, they are now taken into account through the functions $w_{3}$ and $w_{4}$ and their behavior dictated by (4.9).

There is som e freedom in solving (4.9). If we insist on a reasonable and sim ple solution, how ever, the result becom es essentially unique. $W$ e $w$ ant all $w_{k}$ to be polynom ials in , in order to be sure that we don't introduce any singularities into the Lagrangian (4 2). In order that we can take the square root of $w_{3}^{2}(;)$ in (4.9) we have to choose $\mathrm{w}_{4}(;)=\left[1 \quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{w}_{0}(;)(1 \quad)\right]=$ where the factor $1=$ is implied by (4.3). Finally we $m$ ay sim ply choose $w_{0}(;)=1$. So we arrive at

$$
\mathrm{w}_{0}(;)=1 ; \quad \mathrm{w}_{3}(;)=\mathrm{w}_{4}(;)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & { }^{2}(1 & ) \tag{4.10}
\end{array}\right]=:
$$

$T$ he calculation of the renorm alized coupling constant ( ${ }^{2}$ ) at the renorm alization scale
in order ${ }^{2}$ is now straightforw ard along the lines of the two preceding sections. T he only di erence is the consideration of the additional factors (4.10) in the appropriate order. It is the factor of $1=$ there which im plies essential changes in the pow ers of . The result reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{2}\right)={ }^{2}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{n}} 1+{ }^{2}\left[(1 \quad)+{ }_{0} \mathrm{C}^{\circ}\right]: \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}!4 \mathrm{~b} \ln \frac{2}{2} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith b the coe cient in the expansion $(\mathrm{g})=\mathrm{bg}^{3}+\quad$ of the -function. (Strictly speaking, we should better use dim ensional regularization, $d=42$, now, and later retranslate $1=$ ! $\ln \left({ }^{2}={ }^{2}\right)$.) For $=1$ (4.11) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{2}\right)={ }_{0} f 2 \quad{ }^{2}+{ }_{0} C^{2} g: \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extrem um is at $=1=\left(1 \quad{ }_{0} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Obviously we now have $>1$ as long as ${ }_{0} \mathrm{C}<1$. Introducing this value for gives the sim ple relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{2}\right)=\frac{0}{1{ }_{0} C}: \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow, if for C ! 1 the bare coupling o goes to zero such that $1 \quad{ }_{0} \mathrm{C}$ ! ! $0^{+}$one has ! 1=C which willbe nite if is proportional to $1=C$.

To be m ore explicit we have to note that o $\quad 1=C \mathrm{~m}$ ust not depend upon the arbitrary renorm alization scale. Therefore we have to introduce a dim ensional param eter $Q C D$ and take $0 \quad 1=\left[4 \operatorname{bln}\left({ }^{2}=\sum_{Q C D}^{2}\right)\right]$. This leadsto $=\ln \left({ }^{2}=\sum_{Q C D}^{2}\right)=\ln \left({ }^{2}=Q_{Q C D}^{2}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{2}\right)!\frac{1}{C}!\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~b} \ln \left({ }^{2}={\underset{Q C D}{2})}^{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\right.} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

as it should. In a higher-order calculation it should be possible to relate $Q C D$ to the cuto .

If there were so m any ferm ions that the theory would no longer be asym ptotically free, b w ould be negative and the theory would becom e trivial as in the exam ples of the previous sections.

## 5 C onclusions

T he ansatz of scaling the whole free Lagrangian, including the kinetic term, appears both sim ple and powerful. In this paper we restricted to one loop order and to a calculation of the renorm alized coupling constant. G eneralizations to higher orders and/or to the calculation of the whole e ective potential appear straightforw ard in principle, w ithout any new conceptional problem s.

A characteristic of the $m$ ethod is, that one has to consider the bare constant $g_{0}$ as given and the renorm alized coupling constant $g$ as a fiunction of the expansion param eter
. O ne cannot do it the other way round, because then an expansion with respect to
becom es im possible. Therefore it will need som e further considerations before the $m$ ethod can be applied to other problem s in eld theory.
$F$ inally, one should frankly adm it that all these $m$ ethods ofgoing beyond perturbation theory have a \distinctly alchem ical avor" as phrased by D uncan and Jones $\left.{ }_{-1}^{1}\right]$. The splitting of the Lagrangian into $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{I}}$ is w idely ambiguous, som etim es it m ay be advantageous or even $m$ andatory to use a $m$ ore com plicated dependence of $L_{I}$ upon , and nally every quantity to be calculated (even a function, say a power or a logarithm, of the originalquantity) leads to a di erent position of the extrem um . N evertheless, the inherent am biguities of the $m$ ethod can be overcom e by using physical principles and sim plicity argum ents and lead to results which go far beyond naive perturbation theory.
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## F igure C aptions

Fig. 1: O ne particle irreducible contributions to the two point function in ${ }^{4}$-theory up to order ${ }^{2}$. H ere and in the follow ing, the thick dot denotes the insertion of the free Lagrangian.

Fig. 2: C ontributions to the vertex function in ${ }^{4}$-theory up to order ${ }^{2}$.
Fig. 3: O ne particle irreducible contributions to the electron tw o point function, photon tw o point function, and vertex function in QED up to order ${ }^{2}$.

Fig. 4: C ontributions to the two point function in $Y$ ang $M$ ills theory.
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