

QUARK-ANTIQUARK BETHE-SALPETER EQUATION IN QCD

N. BRAMBILLA and G.M. PROSPERI

*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Milano and I.N.F.N.
Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano*

ABSTRACT

We review our recent results ¹ on the derivation of a B-S equation in QCD in a Wilson loop context. We work in a second order formalism, use the Feynman-Schwinger path integral representation for a quark in external field and obtain a similar expression for a quark-antiquark amplitude in which the gauge fields appears only through the Wilson loop integral W . A $q\bar{q}$ B-S equation is obtained starting from this expression under the assumption, already used in the derivation of heavy quark potential, that $i \ln W$ can be expressed as the sum of a perturbative contribution and an area term. The intrinsically nonperturbative derivation method is first discussed on the simpler case of two spinless particles interacting through a scalar field. The $q\bar{q}$ semirelativistic potential is reobtained in the large quark mass limit.

1. Introduction

Many attempts have been made to apply the Bethe-Salpeter equation to a study of the spectrum and the properties of the mesons, hoping to obtain an unified and consistent description of the quark-antiquark bound states involving light quarks as well as heavy ones. In all these attempts, to our knowledge, the choice of the confinement part of the kernel was purely conjectural and only made in such a way that the successful heavy quarks potential could be recovered in the non relativistic limit. Beside being theoretically unsatisfactory, as well known, such a procedure encounters many conceptual and phenomenological difficulties ².

On the contrary, in the case of large quark masses it was possible *to derive* the semirelativistic $q\bar{q}$ potential “essentially from first principles” reducing it to an evaluation of the Wilson loop integral ^{3,4}

$$W = \frac{1}{3} \langle \text{Tr} P_{\Gamma} \exp ig \left\{ \oint_{\Gamma} dx^{\mu} A_{\mu} \right\} \rangle \quad (1)$$

(the loop Γ is supposed made by a quark world line (Γ_1), an antiquark world line (Γ_2) followed in the reverse direction and closed by two straight lines connecting the initial (y_1, y_2) and the final (x_1, x_2) points of the two world lines; $A_{\mu}(x)$ denotes a colour matrix of the form $A_{\mu}(x) = \frac{1}{2} A_{\mu}^a \lambda^a$; P_{Γ} prescribes the ordering along the loop and Tr denotes the trace on the colour matrices; the expectation value stands for a functional integration on the gauge field alone (see below)).

The basic tool for this derivation was the use of a path integral representation of the quark propagator in an external field and the basic assumption for the evaluation

of W was that the logarithm of this quantity could be written as the sum of a perturbative contribution and an area law term

$$i \ln W = i(\ln W)_{\text{pert}} + \sigma S_{\text{min}}. \quad (2)$$

In principle S_{min} should be the minimum area enclosed by Γ ; in practice one uses its straight line approximation, consisting in replacing it with the surface spanned by the straight lines connecting equal time points on the quark and the antiquark worldlines. Precisely one writes

$$S_{\text{min}} \cong \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt r \int_0^1 d\lambda [1 - (\lambda \mathbf{v}_{1T} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{v}_{2T})^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3)$$

(t stands for the ordinary time, $\mathbf{z}_1(t)$ and $\mathbf{z}_2(t)$ for the quark and the antiquark positions at the time t , $\mathbf{v}_j = \frac{d\mathbf{z}_j}{dt}$ denotes the ordinary velocity and the suffix T specifies its transverse component $(\delta^{hk} - \frac{r^h r^k}{r^2})v_j^k$, $\mathbf{r}(t)$ denotes the relative position of the two particles $\mathbf{z}_1(t) - \mathbf{z}_2(t)$). Actually this equation can be shown to be exact up to the v^2 terms.

As well known, due to asymptotic freedom, the first term in (2) is assumed to describe correctly the short range interaction and vanishes as the average distance \bar{r} between the quark and the antiquark increases; the second one can be justified on the basis of the strong coupling expansion, is assumed to describe the long range interaction and vanishes as \bar{r} decreases. Possibly (2) is too poor at intermediate distances and instanton contributions should be taken into account (attempts already exist in this direction, see e.g. ⁵). Furthermore, presently the theory is not able to give a relation between the ‘‘string tension’’ σ and the renormalized strong coupling constant $\alpha_s = \frac{g^2}{4\pi}$, which in practice have to be treated as independent variables. In spite of the above circumstance, significant results can be already produced by (2) and (3).

The difficulty in obtaining a confining Bethe–Salpeter equation in QCD comes from the fact that usually this equation is derived by appropriate resummations of the perturbative series expansion and obviously such a procedure can provide only the perturbative part of the kernel. In this paper we want to review some of our recent results ¹ to show that the technique used for the potential can be adapted even to the case of the Bethe–Salpeter equation and that a theoretically well founded kernel can be obtained in this way on the basis of (2) and (3). Since (3) is not Lorentz invariant, such equation shall be assumed to be valid in the center of mass frame.

Let us begin to present our results in more precise terms. The QCD lagrangian can be written as

$$L = \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} \bar{\psi}_f (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_f) \psi_f - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + L_{\text{GF}} \quad (4)$$

(where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu$ and L_{GF} is the gauge fixing term), and as usual the gauge invariant quark–antiquark Green function as

$$G_4^{gi}(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{3} \langle 0 | T \psi_2^c(x_2) U(x_2, x_1) \psi_1(x_1) \bar{\psi}_1(y_1) U(y_1, y_2) \bar{\psi}_2^c(y_2) | 0 \rangle =$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr} \langle U(x_2, x_1) S^{(1)}(x_1, y_1; A) U(y_1, y_2) C^{-1} S^{(2)}(y_2, x_2; A) C \rangle \quad (5)$$

Here c denotes the charge-conjugate fields, C is the charge-conjugation matrix, U the path-ordered gauge string

$$U(b, a) = P_{ba} \exp \left(ig \int_a^b dx^\mu A_\mu(x) \right) \quad (6)$$

(the integration path being along the straight line joining a to b), S_1 and S_2 the quark propagators in the external gauge field A^μ and obviously

$$\langle f[A] \rangle = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}[A] M_f(A) f[A] e^{iS[A]}}{\int \mathcal{D}[A] M_f(A) e^{iS[A]}}, \quad (7)$$

$S[A]$ being the pure gauge field action and $M_f(A)$ the determinant resulting from the explicit integration on the fermionic fields (which however in practice we set equal to 1 in the adopted approximation).

The propagators S_1 and S_2 are supposed to be defined by the equation

$$(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m)S(x, y; A) = \delta^4(x - y) \quad (8)$$

and the appropriate boundary conditions.

In the derivation of the potential one performs over (8) a Foldy–Wouthuysen transformation, replaces the Dirac type propagators $S^{(1)}$ and $S^{(2)}$ by Pauli ones $K^{(1)}$ and $K^{(2)}$, takes advantage of ordinary path–integral representations for $K^{(1)}$ and $K^{(2)}$. In this way one obtains a two–particle Pauli propagator in which the gauge field appears only through the quantity W and its functional derivatives with respect to the world lines of the quark q and the antiquark \bar{q} . Finally, after using (2) and (3) and expanding in the velocities one can verify that the obtained propagator satisfies a two–particle Schrödinger equation.

For a fully relativistic treatment it is convenient first to pass to the second order formalism by setting

$$S(x, y; A) = (i\gamma^\nu D_\nu + m)\Delta_\sigma(x, y; A), \quad (9)$$

with

$$(D_\mu D^\mu + m^2 - \frac{1}{2}g \sigma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu})\Delta_\sigma(x, y; A) = -\delta^4(x - y) \quad (10)$$

($\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$). Then, taking into account gauge invariance, we can write

$$G_4^{\text{gi}}(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) = (i\gamma_1^\mu \partial_{x_1\mu} + m_1)(i\gamma_2^\nu \partial_{x_2\nu} + m_2)H_4(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) \quad (11)$$

with

$$H_4(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) = -\frac{1}{3} \text{Tr} \langle U(x_2, x_1) \Delta_\sigma^{(1)}(x_1, y_1; A) U(y_1, y_2) \tilde{\Delta}_\sigma^{(2)}(x_2, y_2; -\tilde{A}) \rangle \quad (12)$$

(where the tilde denotes transposition on the colour indices alone) and we can use for $\Delta_\sigma^{(1)}$ and $\Delta_\sigma^{(2)}$ the quadridimensional path integral representation of Feynman-Schwinger obtaining a corresponding representation for H_4 .

Working on such expressions eventually we arrive at a "second order" nonhomogeneous Bethe-Salpeter equation of the form

$$H_4(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) = H_2^{(1)}(x_1 - y_1) H_2^{(2)}(x_2 - y_2) - i \int d^4\xi_1 d^4\xi_2 d^4\eta_1 d^4\eta_2 H_2^{(1)}(x_1 - \xi_1) H_2^{(2)}(x_2 - \xi_2) I(\xi_1, \xi_2; \eta_1, \eta_2) H_4(\eta_1, \eta_2; y_1, y_2). \quad (13)$$

Here H_2 stands for a kind of colour independent one particle propagator and I denotes the appropriate kernel obtained as an expansion in the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s = \frac{g^2}{4\pi}$ and in the string tension σ (better in σa^2 , a being a characteristic length, typically the radius of the particular bound state).

We stress that the method by which (13) has been obtained is essentially nonperturbative.

At the lowest order in α_s and σa^2 , after factorizing the conservation δ , we can write in the momentum space

$$\hat{I}(p_1, p_2; p'_1, p'_2) = \hat{I}_{\text{pert}}(p_1, p_2; p'_1, p'_2) + \hat{I}_{\text{conf}}(p_1, p_2; p'_1, p'_2) \quad (14)$$

($p'_1 + p'_2 = p_1 + p_2$), with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_{\text{pert}} = & 16\pi \frac{4}{3} \alpha_s \{ D_{\rho\sigma}(Q) q_1^\rho q_2^\sigma - \frac{i}{4} \sigma_1^{\mu\nu} (\delta_\mu^\rho Q_\nu - \delta_\nu^\rho Q_\mu) q_2^\sigma D_{\rho\sigma}(Q) + \\ & + \frac{i}{4} \sigma_2^{\mu\nu} (\delta_\mu^\sigma Q_\nu - \delta_\nu^\sigma Q_\mu) q_1^\rho D_{\rho\sigma}(Q) + \\ & + \frac{1}{16} \sigma_1^{\mu_1\nu_1} \sigma_2^{\mu_2\nu_2} (\delta_{\mu_1}^\rho Q_{\nu_1} - \delta_{\nu_1}^\rho Q_{\mu_1}) (\delta_{\mu_2}^\sigma Q_{\nu_2} - \delta_{\nu_2}^\sigma Q_{\mu_2}) D_{\rho\sigma}(Q) \} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\hat{I}_{\text{conf}} = \int d^3\mathbf{r} e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} J(\mathbf{r}, q_1, q_2), \quad (16)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J(\mathbf{r}, q_1, q_2) = & \frac{2\sigma r}{q_{10} + q_{20}} \left[q_{20}^2 \sqrt{q_{10}^2 - \mathbf{q}_T^2} + q_{10}^2 \sqrt{q_{20}^2 - \mathbf{q}_T^2} + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{q_{10}^2 q_{20}^2}{|\mathbf{q}_T|} \left(\arcsin \frac{|\mathbf{q}_T|}{q_{10}} + \arcsin \frac{|\mathbf{q}_T|}{q_{20}} \right) \right] + \\ & + 2\sigma \frac{\sigma_1^{k\nu} q_{20} q_{1\nu} r^k}{r \sqrt{q_{10}^2 - \mathbf{q}_T^2}} - 2\sigma \frac{\sigma_2^{k\nu} q_{10} q_{2\nu} r^k}{r \sqrt{q_{20}^2 - \mathbf{q}_T^2}} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In (15)–(17) it has been set

$$q_1 = \frac{p_1 + p'_1}{2}, \quad q_2 = \frac{p_2 + p'_2}{2}, \quad Q = p'_1 - p_1 = p_2 - p'_2, \quad (18)$$

$D_{\rho\sigma}(Q)$ denotes the usual gluon free propagator and the center of mass frame is understood ($\mathbf{q}_1 = -\mathbf{q}_2 = \mathbf{q}$, $q_T^h = (\delta^{hk} - \hat{r}^h \hat{r}^k)q^k$).

Eqs. (13)-(17) are the main results of our paper.

Notice, obviously, that, instead of (13) we could have written the homogeneous equation

$$\Phi_P(k) = -i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \hat{H}_2(\eta_1 P + k) \hat{H}_2(\eta_2 P - k) \hat{I}(k, k'; P) \Phi_P(k'), \quad (19)$$

which is more appropriate for the bound state problem. In this equation $\eta_j = \frac{m_j}{m_1 + m_2}$, P denotes the total momentum $p_1 + p_2$, k stands for the relative momentum $\eta_2 p_1 - \eta_1 p_2$ ($q_j = \eta_j P + \frac{k+k'}{2}$ and in the CM frame $\mathbf{q} = \frac{\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{k}}{2}$), $\Phi_P(k)$ is a kind of Bethe-Salpeter wave function in the momentum space.

From (19) by replacing $\hat{H}_2(p)$ with the free propagator $\frac{-i}{p^2 - m^2}$ and performing an appropriate instantaneous approximation on \hat{I} [consisting in setting: $Q_0 = 0$ and $q_{j0} = \frac{w'_j + w_j}{2}$ or $p_{j0} = p'_{j0} = \frac{w'_j + w_j}{2}$ or $k_0 = k'_0 = \eta_2 \frac{w'_1 + w_1}{2} - \eta_1 \frac{w'_2 + w_2}{2}$ and $P_0 = \frac{1}{2}(w'_1 + w_1 + w'_2 + w_2)$, with $w_j = \sqrt{m_j^2 + \mathbf{k}^2}$, $w'_j = \sqrt{m_j^2 + \mathbf{k}'^2}$] we can further obtain an effective square mass operator for the mesons (in the CM frame $\mathbf{P} = 0$, $P = (m_B, 0)$)

$$M^2 = M_0^2 + U \quad (20)$$

with $M_0 = \sqrt{m_1^2 + \mathbf{k}^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2 + \mathbf{k}^2}$ and

$$\langle \mathbf{k} | U | \mathbf{k}' \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{w_1 + w_2}{2w_1 w_2}} \hat{I}_{\text{inst}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \sqrt{\frac{w'_1 + w'_2}{2w'_1 w'_2}}. \quad (21)$$

The quadratic form of Eq.(20), obviously derives from the second order character of the used formalism. It should be mentioned that for light mesons this form seems phenomenologically favoured with respect to the linear one.

In more usual terms we can also write

$$M = M_0 + V \quad (22)$$

with

$$\langle \mathbf{k} | V | \mathbf{k}' \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{w_1 w_2 w'_1 w'_2}} \hat{I}_{\text{inst}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') + \dots \quad (23)$$

where the dots stand for higher order terms in α_s and σa^2 and kinematical factors equal to 1 on the energy shell are neglected. In the limit of small $\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m^2}$ the potential V as given by (23) reproduces the semirelativistic potential of ref. ³. On the contrary, if one neglects in V the spin dependent terms, one reobtains the hamiltonian of the relativistic flux tube model ⁶ for quarks without spin with an appropriate ordering prescription ³.

Finally we want to mention that a result strictly related to our one, but directly in hamiltonian form (22), has been obtained by Simonov and collaborators ⁷.

The following part of the paper is organized in this way. In Sect. 2 we illustrate our nonperturbative method on the model case of two spinless particles interacting through a scalar field, to which also the usual perturbative derivation applies. In Sect.3 we obtain the mentioned path integral representation of H_4 and in Sect.4 we sketch a derivation of Eqs.(13)-(17). Finally in Sect.5 we discuss how the $q\bar{q}$ potential is reobtained from Eq. (23).

2. Bethe–Salpeter equation for scalar particles

Let us consider two scalar “material” fields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 interacting through a third scalar field A with the coupling $\frac{g}{2}(\phi_1^2 A - \phi_2^2 A)$. Then, after integration over ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , the full one–particle propagator can be written as

$$G_2(x - y) = \langle 0 | T \phi(x) \phi(y) | 0 \rangle = \langle i \Delta(x, y; A) \rangle \equiv \frac{\int \mathcal{D}A e^{iS_0(A)} M(A) i \Delta(x, y; A)}{\int \mathcal{D}A e^{iS_0(A)} M(A)}, \quad (24)$$

where $\Delta(x, y, A)$ is the propagator for the particle in the external field A , $S_0(A)$ is the free action for the field A and the determinantal factor $M(A)$ comes from the integration on the fields ϕ

$$\begin{aligned} M(A) &= \prod_{j=1,2} \left[\frac{\det(\partial^\mu \partial_\mu + m^2 \mp gA)}{\det(\partial^\mu \partial_\mu + m^2)} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 1 - \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1,2} \left\{ \mp g \int d^4x A(x) \Delta_F(0) - \frac{1}{2} g^2 \int d^4x d^4y A(x) \Delta_F(x - y) A(y) \Delta_F(y - x) + \dots \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

(where the upper minus sign refers to the quark, $j = 1$ and the lower plus sign refers to the antiquark ($j = 2$)) Δ_F denoting the usual scalar particle free propagator.

The covariant Feynman-Schwinger representation for $\Delta(x, y; A)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x, y; A) &= -\frac{i}{2} \int_0^\infty ds \int_y^x \mathcal{D}z \mathcal{D}p \exp \left\{ i \int_0^s d\tau \left[-p_\mu \dot{z}^\mu + \frac{1}{2} p_\mu p^\mu - \frac{1}{2} m^2 + \frac{1}{2} \pm gA(z) \right] \right\} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \int_0^\infty ds \int_y^x \mathcal{D}z \exp \left\{ -i \int_0^s d\tau \frac{1}{2} [(\dot{z}^2 + m^2) \mp gA(z)] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where again the upper sign refers to the quark and the lower to the antiquark; the path integrals are understood to be extended over all paths $z^\mu = z^\mu(\tau)$ connecting y with x expressed in terms of an arbitrary parameter τ with $0 \leq \tau \leq s$. In Eq.(26) \dot{z} stands for $\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau}$ and the “functional measures” are assumed to be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}z &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i \varepsilon} \right)^{2N} d^4 z_1 \dots d^4 z_{N-1}, & \mathcal{D}p &= \left(\frac{i\varepsilon}{2\pi} \right)^{2N} d^4 p_1 \dots d^4 p_{N-1} d^4 p_N \\ \mathcal{D}z \mathcal{D}p &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{4N} d^4 p_1 d^4 z_1 \dots d^4 p_{N-1} d^4 z_{N-1} d^4 p_N. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

(ε being the lattice time spacing and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ being understood).

Replacing Eq.(26) in (24) we obtain

$$G_2(x-y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty ds \int_y^x \mathcal{D}z \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{2} \int_0^s d\tau (\dot{z}^2 + m^2)\right\} \langle \exp \frac{\pm ig}{2} \int_0^\tau d\tau A(z) \rangle, \quad (28)$$

and, if we take simply $M(A) = 1$ (quenched approximation),

$$\langle \exp \frac{\pm ig}{2} \int_0^s d\tau A(z) \rangle = \exp \frac{ig^2}{4} \int_0^s d\tau \int_0^\tau d\tau' D_F(z-z'), \quad (29)$$

$D_F(x)$ being now the free propagator for field A .

Similarly for the two-particle propagator we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_4(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) &= \langle 0 | T \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) \phi_1(y_1) \phi_2(y_2) | 0 \rangle = \langle G_2^{(1)}(x_1, y_1; A) G_2^{(2)}(x_2, y_2; A) \rangle = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty ds_1 \int_0^\infty ds_2 \int_{y_1}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}z_1 \int_{y_2}^{x_2} \mathcal{D}z_2 \times \exp \frac{-i}{2} \left\{ \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 (\dot{z}_1^2 + m_1^2) + \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 (\dot{z}_2^2 + m_2^2) \right\} \\ &\langle \exp \frac{i}{2} \{ g \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 A(z_1) - g \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 A(z_2) \} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

and (always for $M(A) = 1$)

$$\langle \exp \frac{i}{2} \{ g \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 A(z_1) - g \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 A(z_2) \} \rangle = \exp \sum_{j=1,2} \frac{ig^2}{4} \int_0^{s_j} d\tau_j \int_0^{\tau_j} d\tau'_j [D_F(z_j - z'_j)]. \quad (31)$$

In conclusion we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_4(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty ds_1 \int_0^\infty ds_2 \int_{y_1}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}z_1 \int_{y_2}^{x_2} \mathcal{D}z_2 \\ &\exp \frac{-i}{2} \left[\int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 (\dot{z}_1^2 + m_1^2) - \frac{g_1^2}{2} \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau'_1 D_F(z_1 - z'_1) \right] \times \\ &\exp \frac{-i}{2} \left[\int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 (\dot{z}_2^2 + m_2^2) \right] - \frac{g_2^2}{2} \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 \int_0^{\tau_2} d\tau'_2 D_F(z_2 - z'_2) \times \\ &\exp \frac{ig_1 g_2}{4} \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 D_F(z_1 - z_2). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

(z_j stands for $z_j(\tau_j)$, z'_j stands for $z_j(\tau'_j)$).

From (32), using the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \frac{-ig^2}{4} \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 D_F(z_1 - z_2) &= 1 - \\ - \frac{ig^2}{4} \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 D_F(z_1 - z_2) \exp \left[\frac{-ig^2}{4} \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau'_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau'_2 D_F(z'_1 - z'_2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

we can write after some manipulations

$$\begin{aligned}
G_4(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) &= G_2(x_1 - y_1)G_2(x_2 - y_2) - \frac{ig^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^\infty ds_1 \int_0^\infty d\tau_2 \int_{\tau_2}^\infty ds_2 \\
&\int d^4z_1 \int d^4z_2 \int_{z_1}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}z_1 \int_{z_2}^{x_2} \mathcal{D}z_2 \int_{y_1}^{z_1} \mathcal{D}z_1 \int_{y_2}^{z_2} \mathcal{D}z_2 D_F(z_1 - z_2) \\
&\exp \frac{i}{2} \left\{ \int_{\tau_1}^{s_1} d\tau'_1 [-\dot{z}'_1{}^2 - m_1^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau'_1} d\tau''_1 D_F(z'_1 - z''_1)] + \int_{\tau_2}^{s_2} d\tau'_2 [-\dot{z}'_2{}^2 - m_2^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} \int_{\tau_2}^{\tau'_2} d\tau''_2 \right. \\
&D_F(z'_2 - z''_2)] \left. \right\} \times \exp \frac{i}{2} \left\{ \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau'_1 [-\dot{z}'_1{}^2 - m_1^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} \int_0^{\tau'_1} d\tau''_1 D_F(z'_1 - z''_1)] + \right. \\
&+ \int_0^{\tau_2} d\tau'_2 [-\dot{z}'_2{}^2 - m_2^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} \int_0^{\tau'_2} d\tau''_2 D_F(z'_2 - z''_2)] - \frac{g^2}{2} \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau'_1 \int_0^{\tau_2} d\tau'_2 D_F(z'_1 - z'_2) \left. \right\} \times \\
&\exp i \left\{ \frac{g^2}{4} \int_{\tau_1}^{s_1} d\tau'_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau''_1 D_F(z'_1 - z''_1) + \frac{g^2}{4} \int_{\tau_2}^{s_2} d\tau'_2 \int_0^{\tau_2} d\tau''_2 D_F(z'_2 - z''_2) + \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{g^2}{4} \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau'_1 \int_{\tau_2}^{s_2} d\tau'_2 D_F(z'_1 - z'_2) \right\}. \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

Then, if we replace the last exponential with 1, we obtain immediately the Bethe-Salpeter equation

$$\begin{aligned}
G_4(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) &= G_2(x_1 - y_1)G_2(x_2 - y_2) - i \int d^4z_1 \int d^4z_2 \int d^4z'_1 \int d^4z'_2 \\
&G_2(x_1 - z_1)G_2(x_2 - z_2)I(z_1, z_2; z'_1, z'_2)G_4(z'_1, z'_2, y_1, y_2) \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

with the *ladder approximation* kernel

$$I(z_1, z_2, z'_1, z'_2) = g^2 D_F(z_1 - z_2) \delta^4(z_1 - z'_1) \delta^4(z_2 - z'_2). \tag{36}$$

On the contrary, if we had considered the entire expansion of the last exponential in (33), we would have obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
I(z_1, z_2, z'_1, z'_2) &= g^2 D_F(z'_1 - z'_2) \delta^4(z_1 - z'_1) \delta^4(z_2 - z'_2) - \\
&- ig^4 \int d^4\xi_1 D_F(z_1 - z'_1) G_2(z_1 - \xi_1) G_2(\xi_1 - z'_1) \\
&D_F(\xi_1 - z_2) \delta^4(z_2 - z'_2) - ig^4 \int d^4\xi_2 \delta^4(z_1 - z'_1) D_F(z_1 - \xi_2) G_2(z_2 - \xi_2) G_2(\xi_2 - z'_2) \\
&D_F(z_2 - z'_2) + ig^4 D_F(z_1 - z'_2) G_2(z_1 - z'_1) D_F(z_2 - z'_1) G_2(z_2 - z'_2) + \dots \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

(see Fig.1). Finally one can go beyond the quenched approximation and take into account additional terms in Eq.(25). This would amount to insert $\phi_1 \bar{\phi}_1$ and $\phi_2 \bar{\phi}_2$ loops in all possible ways inside the graph.

Notice that the final form of the kernel we have obtained is expressed as an expansion in the coupling constant g as in the ordinary derivation. However in the method described, once we have written eq. (31) and set the last exponential in (34) equal to 1, Eqs.(35)-(36) follow exactly. So perturbative expansion appear only at the

level of successive corrections and not in the basic approximation. This is the reason why the method applies even to QCD when we replace (31) with (2). In fact, as we have already mentioned, we shall see that in such a case the kernel I is obtained as an expansion both in α_s and σa^2 . Obviously by Fourier transform of Eq.(35) we may pass from this to the more usual momentum counterpart.

For subsequent developments it is important to mention that we can also obtain the B-S equation directly in the momentum space starting from the first line of (26) and working in the phase space rather than in the configurational one. The only difference in such a case is that we need to make explicit reference to the discrete form of the path integral (cf.(27)) and use the discrete counterpart of (33); we refer to ¹ for details. In the basic approximation we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}(p_1, p_2, p'_1, p'_2) &= 4g^2 \int d^4 z_1 d^4 z_2 \int \frac{d^4 k_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 k_2}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 z'_1 d^4 z'_2 e^{-i(p_1 - k_1)z_1} e^{-i(p_2 - k_2)z_2} \\ &D\left(\frac{z_1 + z'_1}{2} - \frac{z_2 + z'_2}{2}\right) e^{i(p'_1 - k_1)z'_1} e^{i(p'_2 - k_2)z'_2} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

which would literally correspond to the mid-point discretization prescription (even if immaterial in this case). In (37) $\tilde{I}(p_1, p_2; p'_1, p'_2)$ is the ordinary Fourier transform of $I(z_1, z_2; z'_1, z'_2)$; introducing the total momentum $P = p_1 + p_2$ and the relative momentum $k = \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} p_1 - \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} p_2 \equiv \eta_1 p_1 - \eta_2 p_2$, we can also factorize the δ conservation function

$$\tilde{I}(p_1, p_2; p'_1, p'_2) = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(P - P') \hat{I}(k, k'; P) \quad (39)$$

and write

$$\hat{I}(k, k'; P) = g^2 D_F(k - k'). \quad (40)$$

3. The quark-antiquark propagator

Let us come back to the QCD case.

The Feynman-Schwinger representation can now be written

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^\sigma(x, y; A) &= -\frac{i}{2} \int_0^\infty ds P_{xy} T_{xy} \exp \frac{is}{2} (-D_\mu D^\mu - m^2 + \frac{1}{2} g \sigma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}) = -\frac{i}{2} \int_0^\infty ds \\ &\int_y^x \mathcal{D}z P_{xy} T_{xy} \exp i \int_0^s d\tau \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (m^2 + \dot{z}^2) + g A_\rho(z) \dot{z}^\rho + \frac{g}{4} \sigma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}(z) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where P_{xy} and T_{xy} prescribe the ordering along the path of the colour and of the spin matrices respectively.

Furthermore, notice that, as a consequence of a variation in the path $z^\mu(\tau) \rightarrow z^\mu(\tau) + \delta z^\mu(\tau)$ respecting the extreme points, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \left\{ P_{xy} \exp ig \int_0^s d\tau \dot{z}^\mu(\tau) A_\mu(z) \right\} &= \\ = ig \int_0^s \delta S^{\mu\nu}(z(\tau)) P_{xy} \left\{ -F_{\mu\nu}(z(\tau)) \exp ig \int_0^s d\tau' \dot{z}^\mu(\tau') A_\mu(z(\tau')) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

with $\delta S^{\mu\nu}(z) = \frac{1}{2}(dz^\mu\delta z^\nu - dz^\nu\delta z^\mu)$. So one can write

$$\begin{aligned} T_{xy} & \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\int_0^s d\tau\sigma^{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta S^{\mu\nu}(z)}\right)\left(\mathbb{P}_{xy}\exp ig\int_0^s d\tau'\dot{z}^\mu(\tau')A_\mu(z(\tau'))\right) \\ & = T_{xy}\mathbb{P}_{xy}\exp ig\int_0^s d\tau[\dot{z}^\mu(\tau)A_\mu(z(\tau)) + \frac{1}{4}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}(z(\tau))] \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

and Eq.(41) can be rewritten as

$$\Delta^\sigma(x, y; A) = -\frac{i}{2}\int_0^s d\tau\int_y^x \mathcal{D}z\mathbb{P}_{xy}T_{xy}\mathcal{S}_0^s\exp i\int_0^s d\tau\left[-\frac{1}{2}(m^2 + \dot{z}^2) + ig\dot{z}^\mu A_\mu(\bar{z})\right] \quad (44)$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}_0^s = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4}\int_0^s d\tau\sigma^{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta S^{\mu\nu}(\bar{z})}\right]. \quad (45)$$

In (44) it is understood that $\bar{z}^\mu(\tau)$ has to be put equal to $z^\mu(\tau)$ after the action of \mathcal{S}_0^s . Alternatively, it is convenient to write $\bar{z} = z + \zeta$, assume that \mathcal{S}_0^s acts on $\zeta(\tau)$ with $\delta S^{\mu\nu}(z) = \frac{1}{2}(dz^\mu\delta\zeta^\nu - dz^\nu\delta\zeta^\mu)$ and set eventually $\zeta = 0$.

Replacing (44) in (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_4(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) & = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\int_0^\infty ds_1\int_0^\infty ds_2\int_{y_1}^{x_1}\mathcal{D}z_1\int_{y_2}^{x_2}\mathcal{D}z_2T_{x_1y_1}T_{x_2y_2}\mathcal{S}_0^{s_1}\mathcal{S}_0^{s_2} \\ & \exp\left(\frac{-i}{2}\left\{\int_0^{s_1}d\tau_1(m_1^2 + \dot{z}_1^2) + \int_0^{s_2}d\tau_2(m_2^2 + \dot{z}_2^2)\right\}\right) \\ & \frac{1}{3}\langle\text{TrP}_\Gamma\exp(ig)\left\{\oint_\Gamma d\bar{z}^\mu A_\mu(\bar{z})\right\}\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where now $\bar{z} = \bar{z}_j = z_j + \zeta_j$ on Γ_1 and Γ_2 , $\bar{z} = z$ on the end lines x_1x_2 and y_2y_1 and again the final limit $\zeta_j \rightarrow 0$ is understood.

Then, let us try to be more explicit concerning Eqs. (2) and (3). For the first term in (2) we have, at the lowest order of perturbation theory,

$$\begin{aligned} i(\ln W)_{\text{pert}} & = i\ln\left\langle\frac{1}{3}\text{TrP}\exp ig\oint_\Gamma dz^\mu A_\mu(z)\right\rangle_{\text{pert}} = \frac{4}{3}g^2\int_0^{s_1}d\tau_1\int_0^{s_2}d\tau_2D_{\mu\nu}(z_1 - z_2)\dot{z}_1^\mu\dot{z}_2^\nu - \\ & -\frac{2}{3}g^2\int_0^{s_1}d\tau_1\int_0^{s_1}d\tau_1'D_{\mu\nu}(z_1 - z_1')\dot{z}_1^\mu\dot{z}_1'^\nu - \frac{2}{3}g^2\int_0^{s_2}d\tau_2\int_0^{s_2}d\tau_2'D_{\mu\nu}(z_2 - z_2')\dot{z}_2^\mu\dot{z}_2'^\nu + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

On the other side, for the second term in general we have to write

$$S_{\text{min}} = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt\int_0^1 d\lambda\left[-\left(\frac{\partial u^\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial u_\mu}{\partial t}\right)\left(\frac{\partial u^\mu}{\partial\lambda}\frac{\partial u_\mu}{\partial\lambda}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial u^\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial u_\mu}{\partial\lambda}\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (48)$$

$x^\mu = u^\mu(t, \lambda)$ being the equation of the minimal surface with contour Γ . Let us assume that for fixed t and for λ varying from 0 to 1, $u^\mu(\lambda, t)$ describes a line connecting a point on the quark world line Γ_1 with one on the antiquark world line Γ_2 ,

$$u^\mu(1, t) = z_1^\mu(\tau_1(t)), \quad u^\mu(0, t) = z_2^\mu(\tau_2(t)). \quad (49)$$

Obviously (48) is invariant under reparametrization, so a priori the parameter t could be everything. In particular, however, if Γ_1 and Γ_2 never go backwards in time, t can be chosen as the ordinary time, as in (3), $u^0(s, t) \equiv t$. Then $\tau_1(t)$ and $\tau_2(t)$ are specified by the equation

$$z_1^0(\tau_1) = z_2^0(\tau_2) = t. \quad (50)$$

We shall set

$$L = \int_0^1 d\lambda \left[- \left(\frac{\partial u^\mu}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u_\mu}{\partial t} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u^\mu}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial u_\mu}{\partial \lambda} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u^\mu}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u_\mu}{\partial \lambda} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (51)$$

Obviously L cannot depend only on the extremal points $z_1(\tau_1)$ and $z_2(\tau_2)$, but has to depend even on the shape of the world lines, at least in a neighbourhood of such points. So, we can think of it as a function of z_1, z_2 and of all their derivatives in τ_1 and τ_2 , $L = L(z_1, z_2, \dot{z}_1, \dot{z}_2, \dots)$. Finally we can write (48) as (recall $\dot{z}_j = \frac{dz_j}{d\tau_j}$)

$$S_{\min} = \int dz_1^0 \int dz_2^0 \delta(z_1^0 - z_2^0) L(z_1, z_2, \dot{z}_1, \dot{z}_2, \dots) = \int d\tau_1 \int d\tau_2 \delta(z_1^0 - z_2^0) \dot{z}_1^0 \dot{z}_2^0 L(z_1, z_2, \dot{z}_1, \dot{z}_2, \dots). \quad (52)$$

In principle this expression can be considered a good approximation even if the world lines contain pieces going backwards in time. In fact, in such a case if we fix e.g. τ_1 , (50) has more than one solution in τ_2 and, if Γ_1 and Γ_2 are not too much irregular in space (otherwise S_{\min} is large and the weight of the loop is small), the minimal surface can be reconstructed as the algebraic sum of various pieces of surface.

In the straight line approximation we must choose

$$u^0(\lambda, t) = t \quad ; \quad u^k(\lambda, t) = \lambda z_1^k(\tau_1(t)) + (1 - \lambda) z_1^k(\tau_2(t)) \quad (53)$$

and we have

$$\dot{z}_1^0 \dot{z}_2^0 L = \sigma |\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2| \int_0^1 d\lambda \left\{ \dot{z}_{10}^2 \dot{z}_{20}^2 - (\lambda \dot{\mathbf{z}}_{1T} \dot{\mathbf{z}}_{20} + (1 - \lambda) \dot{\mathbf{z}}_{2T} \dot{\mathbf{z}}_{10})^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (54)$$

which, introduced in (52) becomes equivalent to Eq.(3). The important point is that (52) with (54) has the same general form as (47). However we stress that the approximation (53) must be performed only *after* that the application of the operators $\mathcal{S}_0^{s_1}$ and $\mathcal{S}_0^{s_2}$ has been performed.

Substituting (47) and (52) in (46) we obtain at the lowest order

$$\begin{aligned} H_4(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty ds_1 \int_0^\infty ds_2 \int_{y_1}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}z_1 \int_{y_2}^{x_2} \mathcal{D}z_2 \mathbb{T}_{x_1 y_1} \mathbb{T}_{x_2 y_2} \mathcal{S}_0^{s_1} \mathcal{S}_0^{s_2} \\ &\exp \{ i \left[- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 (m_1^2 + \dot{z}_1^2) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 (m_2^2 + \dot{z}_2^2) + \right. \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} g^2 \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_1' D_{\mu\nu}(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_1') \dot{z}_1^\mu \dot{z}_1^{\nu'} + \frac{2}{3} g^2 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_2' D_{\mu\nu}(\bar{z}_2 - \bar{z}_2') \dot{z}_2^\mu \dot{z}_2^{\nu'} \\ &\left. - \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 E(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \dot{z}_1, \dot{z}_2, \dots) \right\}, \quad (55) \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$E(z_1, z_2, \dot{z}_1, \dot{z}_2 \dots) = \frac{4}{3}g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(z_1 - z_2) \dot{z}_1^\mu \dot{z}_2^\nu + \sigma \delta(z_{10} - z_{20}) \dot{z}_{10} \dot{z}_{20} L(z_1, z_2, \dot{z}_1, \dot{z}_2 \dots). \quad (56)$$

Now, let us denote the quantity in curly bracket in (55) by Φ and perform a Legendre transformation by introducing the momenta $p_{j\mu} = -\frac{\delta\Phi}{\delta\dot{z}_j^\mu}$ (where the various quantities $z_j, \dot{z}_j, \ddot{z}_j, \dots$ are assumed to be treated as independent)

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mu 1} &= \dot{z}_{\mu 1} + \frac{4}{3}g^2 \int_0^{s_1} d\tau'_1 D_{\mu\nu}(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}'_1) \dot{z}'_1{}^\nu + \int_0^{s_2} d\tau'_2 \frac{\partial E(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}'_2, \dot{\bar{z}}_1, \dot{\bar{z}}_2 \dots)}{\partial \dot{z}_1^\mu} \\ p_{\mu 2} &= \dot{z}_{\mu 2} + \frac{4}{3}g^2 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau'_2 D_{\mu\nu}(z_2 - z'_2) \dot{z}'_2{}^\nu + \int_0^{s_1} d\tau'_1 \frac{\partial E(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}'_2, \dot{\bar{z}}_1, \dot{\bar{z}}_2 \dots)}{\partial \dot{z}_2^\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Eq.(57) cannot be inverted in a closed form with respect to \dot{z}_1 and \dot{z}_2 , however, we can do this by an expansion in $\alpha_s = \frac{g^2}{4\pi}$ and σa^2 and at the lowest order we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{z}_1^\mu &= p_1^\mu - \frac{4}{3}g^2 \int_0^{s_1} d\tau'_1 D_{\mu\nu}(\bar{z}_1 - z'_1) \bar{p}'_1{}^\nu - \int_0^{s_2} d\tau'_2 \frac{\partial E(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}'_2, \bar{p}_1, \bar{p}'_2 \dots)}{\partial p_1^\mu} + \dots \\ \dot{z}_2^\mu &= p_2^\mu - \frac{4}{3}g^2 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau'_2 D_{\mu\nu}(\bar{z}_2 - \bar{z}'_2) p_2{}^\nu - \int_0^{s_1} d\tau'_1 \frac{\partial E(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}'_2, \bar{p}'_1, \bar{p}_2 \dots)}{\partial p_2^\mu} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

with

$$\bar{p}_j^\mu = p_j^\mu + \dot{\zeta}_j^\mu. \quad (59)$$

In conclusion we find (up to a determinantal factor that in this approximation can be set equal to 1)

$$\begin{aligned} H_4(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty ds_1 \int_0^\infty ds_2 \int_{y_1}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}z_1 \mathcal{D}p_1 \int_{y_2}^{x_2} \mathcal{D}z_2 \mathcal{D}p_2 T_{x_1 y_1} T_{x_2 y_2} \\ &\mathcal{S}_0^{s_1} \mathcal{S}_0^{s_2} \exp i \left\{ \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 K_1 + \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 K_2 - \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 E(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2, \dots) + \dots \right\} \\ &, \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

where

$$K_j = -p_j \cdot \dot{z}_j + \frac{1}{2}(p_j^2 - m_j^2) + \frac{2}{3}g^2 \int_0^{s_j} d\tau'_j D_{\mu\nu}(\bar{z}_j - \bar{z}'_j) \bar{p}_j^\mu \bar{p}'_j{}^\nu + \dots \quad (61)$$

includes the self-interacting term. Notice that now in $\mathcal{S}_0^{s_j}$ it must be understood $\delta S^{\mu\nu}(\bar{z}_j) = \frac{1}{2}d\tau_j(p_j^\mu \delta\zeta_j^\nu - p_j^\nu \delta\zeta_j^\mu) + \dots$

4. The Bethe–Salpeter equation in QCD

From Eq. (60) we proceed along the same line followed in Sect. 2. with reference to Eq.(32).

Using

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 E(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2 \dots) = \\ = & 1 + \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 E(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2 \dots) \exp \int_0^\tau d\tau' E(\bar{z}'_1, \bar{z}'_2, \bar{p}'_1, \bar{p}'_2, \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

corresponding to (33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_4(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty ds_1 \int_0^\infty ds_2 \int_{y_1}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}z_1 \mathcal{D}p_1 \int_{y_2}^{x_2} \mathcal{D}z_2 \mathcal{D}p_2 \\ T_{x_1 y_1} T_{x_2 y_2} \mathcal{S}_0^{s_1} \mathcal{S}_0^{s_2} &\left\{ \exp i \left[\int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 K_1 + \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 K_2 \right] - i \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 E(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2 \dots) \right. \\ &\times \exp i \left\{ \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 K_1 + \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 K_2 - \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau'_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau'_2 E(\bar{z}'_1, \bar{z}'_2, \bar{p}'_1, \bar{p}'_2, \dots) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

To obtain from this an equation analogous to (34) we need to commute $\mathcal{S}_0^{s_1} \mathcal{S}_0^{s_2}$ with E . To this aim using the method of Ref. ¹ and bearing in mind (56), (51) and (55), we find first

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{\delta S^{\mu\nu}(z_1)} & \int_0^{s_1} d\tau'_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau'_2 E(z'_1, z'_2, p'_1, p'_2, \dots) = \\ &= \int_0^{s_2} d\tau'_2 \left[\frac{4}{3} g^2 (\partial_\nu D_{\mu\sigma}(z_1 - z'_2) - \partial_\mu D_{\nu\sigma}(z_1 - z'_2)) p_2^\sigma + \right. \\ & \left. + \sigma \delta(z_{10} - z_{20}) \frac{p_{1\nu}(z_{1\mu} - z'_{2\mu}) - p_{1\mu}(z_{1\nu} - z'_{2\nu})}{\sqrt{(p_{10}^2 - \mathbf{p}_1^2)(\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2)^2 + (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2))^2}} + \dots \right] \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

and a similar result, with a minus sign in front, for the derivative $\frac{\delta}{\delta S^{\mu\nu}(z'_2)}$. Furthermore

$$\frac{\delta^2}{\delta S^{\mu\nu}(z_1) \delta S^{\rho\sigma}(z'_1)} \int_0^{s_1} d\tau''_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau''_2 E = \frac{\delta^2}{\delta S^{\mu\nu}(z_2) \delta S^{\rho\sigma}(z'_2)} \int_0^{s_1} d\tau''_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau''_2 E = 0, \quad (65)$$

but

$$\frac{\delta^2}{\delta S^{\mu_1 \nu_1}(z_1) \delta S^{\mu_2 \nu_2}(z_2)} \int_0^{s_1} d\tau''_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau''_2 E = \frac{4}{3} g^2 (\delta_{\mu_1}^\rho \partial_{\nu_1} - \delta_{\nu_1}^\rho \partial_{\mu_1}) (\delta_{\mu_2}^\sigma \partial_{\nu_2} - \delta_{\nu_2}^\sigma \partial_{\mu_2}) D_{\rho\sigma}(z_1 - z_2). \quad (66)$$

Then, taking into account the relation

$$e^A B e^{-A} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} [A, [A, \dots [A, B] \dots]], \quad (67)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 \mathcal{S}_{\tau_1 - \varepsilon}^{\tau_1 + \varepsilon} \mathcal{S}_{\tau_2 - \varepsilon}^{\tau_2 + \varepsilon} E(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2, \dots) (\mathcal{S}_{\tau_1 - \varepsilon}^{\tau_1 + \varepsilon} \mathcal{S}_{\tau_2 - \varepsilon}^{\tau_2 + \varepsilon})^{-1} = \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{s_1} ds'_1 \sigma_1^{\mu_1 \nu_1} \frac{\delta}{\delta S^{\mu_1 \nu_1}(z'_1)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{s_2} ds'_2 \sigma_2^{\mu_2 \nu_2} \frac{\delta}{\delta S^{\mu_2 \nu_2}(z'_2)}\right) \\ & \int d\tau_1 \int d\tau_2 E(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2, \dots) = R(z_1, z_2, p_1, p_2) \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

with

$$R = R_{\text{pert}} + R_{\text{conf}} \quad (69)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\text{pert}} = & -\frac{4}{3}g^2 \left\{ D_{\rho\sigma}(z_1 - z_2) p_1^\rho p_2^\sigma \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \sigma_1^{\mu\nu} (\delta_\mu^\rho \partial_{1\nu} - \delta_\nu^\rho \partial_{1\mu}) D_{\rho\sigma}(z_1 - z_2) p_2^\sigma - \frac{1}{4} \sigma_2^{\mu\nu} (\delta_\mu^\sigma \partial_{2\nu} - \delta_\nu^\sigma \partial_{2\mu}) D_{\rho\sigma}(z_1 - z_2) p_1^\rho \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{16} \sigma_1^{\mu_1\nu_1} \sigma_2^{\mu_2\nu_2} (\delta_{\mu_1}^\rho \partial_{1\nu_1} - \partial_{\nu_1}^\rho \partial_{1\mu_1}) (\delta_{\mu_2}^\sigma \partial_{2\nu_2} - \partial_{\nu_2}^\sigma \partial_{2\mu_2}) D_{\rho\sigma}(z_1 - z_2) \right\} \quad (70) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\text{conf}} = & \sigma \delta(z_{10} - z_{20}) \left\{ |\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2| \int_0^1 ds \sqrt{p_{10}^2 p_{20}^2 - [s p_{1T} p_{20} + (1-s) p_{2T} p_{10}]^2} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{4} p_{20} \sigma_1^{\mu\nu} \frac{p_{1\nu}(z_{1\mu} - z_{2\mu}) - p_{1\mu}(z_{1\nu} - z_{2\nu})}{|\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2| \sqrt{p_{10}^2 - \mathbf{p}_{1T}^2}} + \frac{1}{4} p_{10} \sigma_2^{\mu\nu} \frac{p_{2\nu}(z_{1\mu} - z_{2\mu}) - p_{2\mu}(z_{1\nu} - z_{2\nu})}{|\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2| \sqrt{p_{20}^2 - \mathbf{p}_{2T}^2}} \right\} \quad (71) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that in (68) we have eventually suppressed reference to the higher order derivatives in z_1, z_2 and this corresponds to the adoption of the straight line approximation.

Finally setting

$$H_2(x - y) = \frac{-i}{2} \int_0^\infty ds \int_y^x \mathcal{D}z \mathcal{D}p T_{xy} \mathcal{S}_0^s \exp i \int_0^s d\tau K \quad (72)$$

we can write Eq.(18) as

$$\begin{aligned} H_4(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) = & H_2(x_1 - y_1) H_2(x_2 - y_2) + \\ & - \frac{i}{4} \int_0^\infty ds_1 \int_0^\infty ds_2 \int_{y_1}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}z_1 \mathcal{D}p_1 \int_{y_2}^{x_2} \mathcal{D}z_2 \mathcal{D}p_2 \mathbb{T}_{x_1 y_1} \mathbb{T}_{x_2 y_2} \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1 \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2 R(z_1, z_2, p_1, p_2) \\ & \mathcal{S}_0^{s_1} \mathcal{S}_0^{s_2} \exp i \left\{ \int_0^{s_1} d\tau_1' K_1' + \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2' K_2' - i \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_1' \int_0^{s_2} d\tau_2' E(z_1', z_2', p_1, p_2 \dots) \right\}. \quad (73) \end{aligned}$$

At this point, as mentioned at the end of Sect.2, to go ahead it is necessary to take explicitly into account the discrete form of (73). If, in particular, we set

$$\text{P exp} \left[ig \oint_\Gamma dz^\mu A_\mu(z) \right] = \text{P} \prod_\Gamma U(z_n, z_{n-1}) = \text{P exp} ig \sum_\Gamma (z_n^\mu - z_{n-1}^\mu) A_\mu \left(\frac{z_n + z_{n-1}}{2} \right) \quad (74)$$

(as required by a gauge invariant definition of the integral on the gluon field) by appropriate manipulations we eventually obtain (13) with (cf.(38))

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^4 \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p_1' - p_2') \hat{I}(p_1, p_2; p_1', p_2') = & -4i \int d^4 \xi_1 d^4 \xi_2 \int d^4 \eta_1 d^4 \eta_2 \\ & \int \frac{d^4 k_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 k_2}{(2\pi)^4} e^{i(p_1 - k_1)\xi_1 + i(p_2 - k_2)\xi_2} R\left(\frac{\xi_1 + \eta_1}{2}, \frac{\xi_2 + \eta_2}{2}, k_1, k_2\right) e^{-i(p_1' - k_1)\eta_1 - i(p_2' - k_2)\eta_2} \quad (75) \end{aligned}$$

Then, using (69)–(71) and performing explicitly the integrals we find Eqs. (14)–(18).

Obviously the homogeneous Eq. (19) follow from (13) using the usual decomposition of the quark–antiquark propagator in terms of Bethe-Salpeter wave functions

$$G_4(k, k', P) = \sum_B \frac{\Psi_B(k)\bar{\Psi}(k')}{P^2 - m_B^2} + \text{regular terms.} \quad (76)$$

5. The semirelativistic potential

From (23), expanding in $\frac{1}{m}$ and passing to the coordinate representation, we obtain the following potential

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} + \sigma r + \frac{4\alpha_s}{3m_1m_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2r} (\delta^{hk} + \frac{r^h r^k}{r^2}) q^h q^k \right\}_W - \\ &- \frac{4}{3} i\alpha_s \left(\frac{1}{2m_1} \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}}{r^3} - \frac{1}{2m_2} \frac{\alpha_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}}{r^3} \right) + \frac{4\alpha_s}{3 \cdot 2m_1m_2} (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{q}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \frac{\alpha_s}{m_1m_2} \left[\frac{3(\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{r})(\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{r})}{r^5} - \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2}{r^3} \right] + \frac{4\alpha_s}{3m_1m_2} \frac{2\pi}{3} (\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2) \delta^3(\mathbf{r}) - \\ &- \frac{\sigma}{6} \left(\frac{1}{m_1^2} + \frac{1}{m_2^2} - \frac{1}{m_1m_2} \right) \{ \mathbf{q}_T^2 r \}_W - \\ &- \frac{\sigma}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{m_1^2} + \frac{\sigma_2}{m_2^2} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} \times \mathbf{q} \right) - \frac{\sigma i}{2} \left[\frac{1}{m_1} \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}}{r} - \frac{1}{m_2} \frac{\alpha_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}}{r} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

where now \mathbf{q} stands for the momentum operator and the symbol $\{ \}_W$ stands for the Weyl ordering prescription. Notice the nonhermitian terms in the Dirac matrices α_1 and α_2 . Such terms can be eliminated by performing the Foldy–Wouthuysen transformation with the nonhermitian generator

$$S = \frac{i}{2m_1} \alpha_1 \cdot \mathbf{q} - \frac{i}{2m_2} \alpha_2 \cdot \mathbf{q} \quad (78)$$

and we end up with the semirelativistic potential

$$\begin{aligned} V &= -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} + \sigma r - \frac{1}{2m_1m_2} \left\{ \frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} (\delta^{hk} + \hat{r}^h \hat{r}^k) q^h q^k \right\}_W - \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{6m_j^2} \{ \sigma r \mathbf{q}_T^2 \}_W + \frac{1}{6m_1m_2} \{ \sigma r \mathbf{q}_T^2 \}_W + \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1}{m_1^2} + \frac{1}{m_2^2} \right) \nabla^2 \left(-\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} + \sigma r \right) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r^3} - \frac{\sigma}{r} \right) \left[\frac{1}{m_1^2} \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{m_2^2} \mathbf{S}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{q}) \right] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{m_1m_2} \frac{4\alpha_s}{3r^3} [\mathbf{S}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{q})] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{m_1m_2} \frac{4\alpha_s}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^3} \left[\frac{3}{r^2} (\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r})(\mathbf{S}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 \right] + \frac{8\pi}{3} \delta^3(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

which coincides with the Wilson loop one ³.

6. References

1. *Confining Bethe–Salpeter equation from scalar QCD*, IFUM 485/FT Dec. 1994; (hep-ph/9501206); N. Brambilla, E. Montaldi, G.M. Prosperi, *Bethe–Salpeter in QCD*, IFUM 499/FT March 1995 (hep-ph/9504229)
2. A. Gara, B. Durand and L. Durand, *Phys. Rev.* **D42** (1990) 1651; **D40** (1989) 843; J.F. Lagae, *Phys. Rev.* **D45** (1992) 305; **D45** (1992) 317; M. G. Olsson, S. Veseli, K. Williams, MADPH-95-880 (hep-ph/9503477)
3. N. Brambilla, P. Consoli and G. M. Prosperi, *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994) 5878; N. Brambilla and G.M. Prosperi, *Wilson loops, $q\bar{q}$ and $3q$ potentials, Bethe–Salpeter equation*, “Quark Confinement and the Hadron Spectrum”, World Scientific Singapore 1995, N. Brambilla and G.M. Prosperi ed. and references therein.
4. For a general review on the subject see e.g. W. Lucha, F.F. Schoeberl, D. Gromes, *Phys. Rep.* **200** (1991) 127
5. F. J. Yndurain and S. Titard, *Phys. Rev.* **D 49** (1994) 6007
6. C. Olson, M.G. Olsson and K. Williams, *Phys. Rev.* **D45** 4307 (1992); N. Brambilla and G.M. Prosperi, *Phys. Rev.* **D47** 2107 (1993); M.G. Olsson and K. Williams, *Phys. Rev.* **D48** 417 (1993); C. Olson, M. G. Olsson and D. La Course, *Phys. Rev.* **D49** (1994) 4675; M. G. Olsson, *Flux tube and Heavy quark Symmetry*, “Quark Confinement and the Hadron Spectrum”, World Scientific Singapore 1995, N. Brambilla and G.M. Prosperi ed., and quoted references
7. Y. Simonov, HD-THEP-93-16; Y. Simonov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B324** (1989) 67; Yu. Simonov, J. Tjon, *Ann. Phys.* **228** (1993) 1; A. Dubin, A. Kaidalov and Yu. Simonov, *Yad. Fiz.* **(12)** (1993) 56; *Phys. Lett.* **B323** (1994) 41; A. Dubin private communications.