

B IHEP-T H -95-28

# Electroweak Penguin Contributions in $B \rightarrow \overline{B}_d^0$ ! PP and PV Decays Beyond Leading Logarithms

Dongsheng Du<sup>a,b</sup> and Libo Guo<sup>b,c</sup><sup>a</sup> CCAST (World Laboratory), P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China<sup>b</sup> Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences  
P.O. Box 918 (4), Beijing, 100039, P.R. China<sup>y</sup><sup>c</sup> Department of Physics, Henan Normal University  
Xinxiang, 453002, Henan, P.R. China

13.25.Hw, 13.40.Hq

## Abstract

Using the next-to-leading order low energy effective Hamiltonian for  $j_B j = 1$ ,  $C = U = 0$  transitions, the contributions of electroweak penguin operators in  $B \rightarrow \overline{B}_d^0$  ! PP and PV decays are estimated in the standard model. We find that, for some channels, the electroweak penguin effects can enhance or reduce the QCD penguin and/or tree level contributions by at least 30%, and can even play dominant role.

---

E-mail address: Duds@bepc3.ihep.ac.cn; Guolb@bepc3.ihep.ac.cn  
<sup>y</sup> mailing address.

# 1 Introduction

In a recent publication [1], the QCD penguin contributions to decay rates and direct CP violating rate asymmetries are systematically investigated using the low energy effective Hamiltonian [2] including next-to-leading order QCD correction for  $j \neq B = 1, C = U = 0$  transitions. Since this Hamiltonian has been generalized by Bura et al. through the inclusion of electroweak penguin operators [3,4,5], now we are in a position to analyze not only the contributions of the QCD penguin operators beyond the leading logarithmic approximation, but also the contributions of the electroweak penguins. Naively, people believe that the electroweak penguin contributions are suppressed by a factor of  $\epsilon_m = s^{-1} \approx 10^{-2}$  relative to QCD corrections [7,8], so the electroweak penguin corrections comparing with the QCD penguin may be negligible. However, this is not always true.

As is well-known from the anatomy of the penguin-dominated quantity  $\Omega$  describing direct CP violation in the  $K \rightarrow \pi$  meson system, the electroweak penguin contributions can become important and can even compete with QCD penguin operator contributions in the presence of a heavy top-quark [5,6]. But how about in  $B \rightarrow B_d^0$ ? PP and PV decays?

In this paper, by applying the BSW model [9] based on the factorization assumption, we calculate the branching ratios and rate asymmetries in  $B \rightarrow B_d^0$ ? PP and PV decays, and find that, for some decay channels, the electroweak penguin contributions can be as large as 30%–95% in decay width and the CP-asymmetries can be larger than 30% in CP asymmetries.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give a brief description of the low energy effective Hamiltonian including both leading and next-to-leading order QCD corrections and leading order corrections in the QED coupling constant and the explicit cancellation of the renormalization scheme dependence arising beyond the leading logarithmic approximation. In section 3, we then apply the effective Hamiltonian discussed in section 2 and the BSW model in  $B \rightarrow B_d^0$ ? PP and PV decays to calculate the weak decay amplitudes. The numerical results for the branching ratios and CP-asymmetries are discussed in section 4. At the end, we give a brief summary.

## 2 The Effective Hamiltonian Beyond Leading Logarithms and the Cancellation of Renormalization Scheme Dependence

As discussed in ref. [5], the next-to-leading order low energy effective Hamiltonian describing  $B = 1, C = U = 0$  transitions takes the following form at the renormalization scale  $\mu = m_b$

$$H_{\text{eff}}(B=1) = \frac{G_F}{2} \sum_{q=u,c}^X v_q \left( Q_1^q C_1 + Q_2^q C_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{10} Q_k^q C_k \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $C_k(k=1, \dots, 10)$  are the Wilson coefficients which are calculated in renormalization group improved perturbation theory and include leading and next-to-leading order QCD corrections and leading order QED corrections. The CKM factors  $v_q$  are defined as

$$v_q = \begin{cases} V_{qd} V_{qb} & \text{for } b \neq d \text{ transitions} \\ V_{qs} V_{qb} & \text{for } b \neq s \text{ transitions.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Using the Wolfenstein parametrization [10] in which the CKM matrix is parameterized by  $A$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\rho$ , we have

$$v_u = \begin{cases} A^{-3} (1 + i) & \text{for } b \neq d \text{ transitions} \\ A^{-4} (1 + i) & \text{for } b \neq s \text{ transitions.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$$v_c = \begin{cases} A^{-3} & \text{for } b \neq d \text{ transitions} \\ A^{-2} (1 + i^2) & \text{for } b \neq s \text{ transitions.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

In our numerical calculation, we use  $\lambda = 0.22$ ,  $A = 0.8$ ,  $\mu = 0.34$ ,  $\rho = 0.12$  which are obtained from the fit to the experimental data [11]. The operators  $Q_1^u$ ,  $Q_2^u$ ,  $Q_3$ , ...,  $Q_{10}$  are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^u &= (qu)_V P_A (ub)_V A & Q_2^u &= (qu)_V P_A (ub)_V A \\ Q_3 &= (qb)_V A P_q^0 (q^0 q^0)_V A & Q_4 &= (qb)_V A P_q^0 (q^0 q^0)_V A \\ Q_5 &= (qb)_V A P_q^0 (q^0 q^0)_{V+A} & Q_6 &= (qb)_V A P_q^0 (q^0 q^0)_{V+A} \\ Q_7 &= (qb)_V A P_q^0 \frac{3}{2} e_q^0 (q^0 q^0)_{V+A} & Q_8 &= (qb)_V A P_q^0 \frac{3}{2} e_q^0 (q^0 q^0)_{V+A} \\ Q_9 &= (qb)_V A P_q^0 \frac{3}{2} e_q^0 (q^0 q^0)_V A & Q_{10} &= (qb)_V A P_q^0 \frac{3}{2} e_q^0 (q^0 q^0)_V A \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here  $Q_1^q$  and  $Q_2^q$  ( $q = u, c$ ) are current-current operators, for  $q = c$  case, the two operators  $Q_1^c$  and  $Q_2^c$  are obtained through making substitution  $u \leftrightarrow c$  in  $Q_1^u$  and  $Q_2^u$  respectively; the operators  $Q_3$ , ...,  $Q_{10}$  represent the so-called QCD penguin operators, whereas  $Q_7$ ;  $Q_{10}$  denote the electroweak penguin operators which will be of special interest to us in the present paper.  $q^0$  is running over the quark flavours being active at the  $\mu = 0$  ( $m_b$ ) scale ( $q^0 \in \{u, d, c, s, b\}$ ),  $e_q^0$  are the corresponding quark charges and  $q = d$  or  $s$  for  $b \neq d$  or  $s$  transitions respectively. The indices  $V, A$  are  $SU(3)_c$  colour indices.

Beyond the leading logarithmic approximation, the Wilson coefficients  $C_k(\mu)$  ( $k = 1, \dots, 10$ ) obtained by solving the renormalization group equation depend both on the form of the operator basis (5) and on the renormalization scheme used. Consequently, the tree level penguin transition matrix elements or amplitudes calculated by  $H_{\text{eff}}$  are also scheme dependent. However, the physical quantities, of course, should be renormalization scheme independent if one handles the hadronic matrix elements correctly. In order to cancel these scheme dependence, we introduce the renormalization scheme independent Wilson coefficient function [2,5,12]:

$$C(\mu) = (\hat{1} + \frac{s(\mu)}{4} \hat{m}_s^T + \frac{em(\mu)}{4} \hat{m}_e^T) C(0) \quad (6)$$

where  $\hat{m}_{se}$  are obtained from one-loop matching conditions, and treat the matrix elements to one-loop level [12,13,21]. These one-loop matrix elements can be rewritten in terms of the tree-level matrix elements  $\langle Q_j \rangle_0$  as

$$\langle Q^T(\mu) \rangle = \langle Q^T \rangle_0 \hat{1} + \frac{s(\mu)}{4} \hat{m}_s^T(\mu) + \frac{em(\mu)}{4} \hat{m}_e^T(\mu); \quad (7)$$

which define matrices  $\hat{m}_s(\mu)$  and  $\hat{m}_e(\mu)$ . In eq.(6) and (7),  $C(\mu)$ ,  $C(0)$  and  $Q(\mu)$  are all column matrix. Combining (1) with (6) and (7), we obtain the following renormalization scheme independent transition amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_{\text{eff}}(B=1) \rangle &= \frac{G_F}{2} \sum_{q=u,c}^X v_q \langle Q_1^q \rangle_0 C_1^{\text{eff}}(\mu) + \langle Q_2^q \rangle_0 C_2^{\text{eff}}(\mu) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=3}^{X+1} \langle Q_k \rangle_0 C_k^{\text{eff}}(\mu); \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $C_k^{\text{eff}}$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^{\text{eff}} &= C_1; & C_2^{\text{eff}} &= C_2 \\ C_3^{\text{eff}} &= C_3( ) \quad P_s = 3; & C_4^{\text{eff}} &= C_4( ) + P_s \\ C_5^{\text{eff}} &= C_5( ) \quad P_s = 3; & C_6^{\text{eff}} &= C_6( ) + P_s \\ C_7^{\text{eff}} &= C_7( ) + P_e; & C_8^{\text{eff}} &= C_8( ) \\ C_9^{\text{eff}} &= C_9( ) + P_e; & C_{10}^{\text{eff}} &= C_{10}( ) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $P_{s,e}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_s &= \frac{s}{8} \frac{10}{9} G(m_q; q^2; ) C_2( ); \\ P_e &= \frac{em}{9} \frac{10}{9} G(m_q; q^2; ) 3C_1( ) + C_2( ); \\ G(m; q^2; ) &= 4 \int_0^{Z_1} x(1-x) \ln \left( \frac{m^2 - x(1-x)q^2}{2} \right) dx \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

here  $q$  denotes the momentum of the virtual gluons and photons or  $Z^0$  bosons appearing in the QCD and QED time-like matrix elements. For the details of this calculation, the reader is referred to refs.[12,21]. In the numerical calculation, we will use  $q^2 = m_b^2 = 2$  which represents the average value.

If we take  $m_t = 174 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $m_b = 5.0 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $s(M_z) = 0.118$ ,  $em(M_z) = 1.128$ , we have the numerical values of the renormalization scheme independent Wilson coefficients  $C_i$  at  $= m_b$  scale as follows [14]:

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= 0.3125; & C_2 &= 1.1502; & C_3 &= 0.0174; \\ C_4 &= 0.0373; & C_5 &= 0.0104; & C_6 &= 0.0459; \\ C_7 &= 1.050 \cdot 10^{-5}; & C_8 &= 3.839 \cdot 10^{-4}; \\ C_9 &= 0.0101; & C_{10} &= 1.959 \cdot 10^{-3}; \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

### 3 Decay Amplitudes in BSW Model

To work out the decay amplitudes, we follow Bauer, Stech and Wirbel [9]. With the help of the factorization hypothesis [15], the three-hadron matrix elements  $\langle X Y J \bar{J}_{\text{eff}} B \rangle$ , that is the decay amplitude, can be factorized into a sum of products of two current matrix elements  $\langle X j_1 j_2 \rangle$  and  $\langle Y j_2 B \rangle$ . The former matrix elements are simply given by the corresponding decay constants  $f_X$  and  $g_X$  [16]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 j_1 j_2 (0) \rangle &= i f_X k \\ \langle 0 j_1 j_2 (1) \rangle &= M_X g_X \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for a pseudoscalar and a vector meson with the polarization vector respectively, where  $J = V$   $A$  is the usual colour-singlet  $V-A$  current. The latter kind of matrix elements can be expressed in terms of Lorentz-scalar form factors [9,16]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle X(0) \bar{J}^{\mu} \bar{B} \rangle &= (k_B + k_X) \frac{k}{k^2} F_1^{BX}(k^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{M_B^2 M_X^2}{k^2} k F_0^{BX}(k^2) \\
\langle X(1) \bar{J}^{\mu} \bar{B} \rangle &= \frac{2}{M_B + M_X} k_B k_X V^{BX}(k^2) \\
&\quad + i(M_B + M_X) \frac{k}{k^2} k A_1(k^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{i k}{M_B + M_X} [(k_B + k_X) \frac{M_B^2 M_X^2}{k^2} k] A_2(k^2) \\
&\quad + i \frac{2M_X}{k^2} k A_0(k^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where  $k = k_B - k_X$  and  $M_B, M_X, M_Y$  are the masses of meson B, X and Y, respectively. The form factors  $F_{0;1}(k^2); V(k^2); A_{0;1,2}(k^2)$  are related to the form factors  $F_{0;1}(0); V(0); A_{0;1,2}(0)$  defined in ref. [9] by

$$\begin{aligned}
F_0(k^2) &= \frac{F_0(0)}{1 - k^2/m^2(0^+)} & F_1(k^2) &= \frac{F_1(0)}{1 - k^2/m^2(1^-)} \\
A_0(k^2) &= \frac{A_0(0)}{1 - k^2/m^2(0^-)} & A_1(k^2) &= \frac{A_1(0)}{1 - k^2/m^2(1^+)} \\
A_2(k^2) &= \frac{A_2(0)}{1 - k^2/m^2(1^+)} & V(k^2) &= \frac{V(0)}{1 - k^2/m^2(1^-)}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

On the other hand, there are additional contributions from  $(V+A)$  penguin operators, for example  $Q_5, Q_6, Q_7$  and  $Q_8$  in (5). Using the equation of motion, this kind of matrix elements can be rewritten in terms of those involving usual  $(V-A)$  currents [17]. After a straightforward calculation, we can obtain three different kinds of expressions relevant to  $M^{XY} \langle X \bar{J}_1 \bar{J} \rangle \langle Y \bar{J}_2 \bar{B} \rangle$ :

case 1  $J_X^P = 0$  and  $J_Y^P = 0$  :

$$M^{XY} = i(M_B^2 M_Y^2) f_X F_0^{BY}(M_X^2) \tag{15}$$

case 2  $J_X^P = 0$  and  $J_Y^P = 1$  :

$$M^{XY} = 2M_Y f_X A_0^{BY}(M_X^2) (Y \cancel{k}) \tag{16}$$

case 3  $J_X^P = 1$  and  $J_Y^P = 0$  :

$$M^{XY} = 2M_X g_X F_1^{BY}(M_X^2) (X \cancel{k}) \tag{17}$$

Conveniently, we define

$$a_{2i-1} = C_{2i-1}^{\text{eff}} + \frac{C_{2i}^{\text{eff}}}{3}; \quad a_{2i} = C_{2i}^{\text{eff}} + \frac{C_{2i-1}^{\text{eff}}}{3}; \quad (i=1, \dots, 5) \tag{18}$$

Using these formulas, we can give the decay amplitudes of  $B \rightarrow \overline{B}_d^0$  ! PP and PV decays. As an example, we give the result of  $\langle \bar{H}_{\text{eff}} \bar{B}_u \rangle$  in the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{H}_{\text{eff}} \bar{B}_u \rangle = & \frac{G_F}{2} v_u (a_1 M_{uud}^0 + a_2 M_{duu}^0) \\ & + \sum_{q=u,c} x_q v_q \left( a_4 - \frac{3}{2} a_7 + \frac{3}{2} a_9 + \frac{a_{10}}{2} \right. \\ & \left. \frac{M_B^2}{m_d(m_b - m_d)} (a_6 - \frac{a_8}{2}) M_{uud}^0 \right. \\ & \left. + (a_4 + a_{10} + \frac{2M_B^2}{(m_b - m_u)(m_u + m_d)} (a_6 + a_8)) M_{duu}^0 \right) \# \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $M_{uud}^0$  and  $M_{duu}^0$  are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{uud}^0 &= \langle \bar{j}(uu)_V A \bar{p} \rangle \langle j(db)_V A \bar{B}_u \rangle \\ &= i(M_B^2 - M^2) f_0 F_0^B (M^2) \\ M_{duu}^0 &= \langle \bar{j}(du)_V A \bar{p} \rangle \langle \bar{j}(ub)_V A \bar{B}_u \rangle \\ &= i(M_B^2 - M^2) f_0 F_0^B (M^2) = \frac{p}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where  $f_0$  and  $f$  are the decay constants of the  $^0$  meson, respectively,  $F_0$  is the form factor (see Appendix).

## 4 NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In the  $B$  rest frame, the two body decay width is [18]

$$\langle B \rightarrow X Y \rangle = \frac{1}{8} \bar{p} \langle X Y \bar{H}_{\text{eff}} \bar{B} \rangle \frac{\bar{p} \bar{j}}{M_B^2} \quad (21)$$

where

$$\bar{p} \bar{j} = \frac{[(M_B^2 - (M_X + M_Y)^2) (M_B^2 - (M_X - M_Y)^2)]^{1/2}}{2M_B} \quad (22)$$

is the magnitude of the momentum of the particle  $X$  or  $Y$ . The corresponding branching ratio is given by

$$BR(B \rightarrow X Y) = \frac{\langle B \rightarrow X Y \rangle}{B_{\text{tot}}} \quad (23)$$

In our numerical calculation, we take  $\frac{B_u}{B_{\text{tot}}} = 4.273 \times 10^{-13}$  GeV and  $\frac{B_d^0}{B_{\text{tot}}} = 4.387 \times 10^{-13}$  GeV [19].

The CP-asymmetry  $A_{CP}$  for the charged  $B$  system is defined as

$$A_{CP} = \frac{(B^- \rightarrow f) - (B^+ \rightarrow f)}{(B^- \rightarrow f) + (B^+ \rightarrow f)} \quad (24)$$

While for the neutral B-meson system,

$$A_{CP} = \frac{(\overline{B}_0 ! f) - (B_0 ! f)}{(\overline{B}_0 ! f) + (B_0 ! f)} \quad (25)$$

if  $f$  is CP-eigenstate, eq(25) can be simplified as

$$A_{CP} = \frac{1 - j \beta}{(1 + j \beta)(1 + x^2)} \quad (26)$$

where  $x$  and  $\beta$  are defined in [22]. In our numerical calculation, we take  $\beta = 0.71$ . The numerical results of electroweak penguin contributions to the branching ratios and CP asymmetries are collected in table 1-8, where "QCD + QED" means the branching ratios and CP asymmetries with full QCD and QED penguin contributions, "QCD" with only QCD penguin contributions,  $\Delta$  represents the enhancement percentage of the electroweak penguin contributions to the decay width,  $A = A_0$  represents the enhancement percentage of the electroweak penguin contributions to rate asymmetry. All the parameters needed in the calculations, such as the meson decay constants, form factors and pole masses etc, are listed in the appendix.

From table 1-8, we can see the following features:

1) For  $B^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$  and  $B_d^0 \rightarrow K^0 \pi^0$ , the electroweak penguin contributions to the branching ratios are dramatically large, they can enhance the branching ratio by 3 orders in magnitude. This is because the relation  $C_3(m_b) + C_5(m_b) = 1/3(C_4(m_b) + C_6(m_b))$  which could lead to a strong cancellation between the QCD penguin matrix elements in the decay amplitudes.

For  $B^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^+, K^- \eta$  and  $B_d^0 \rightarrow K^0 \eta, K^0 \eta'$ , the electroweak penguin effects compete with or dominate over QCD penguin and/or tree level contributions in branching ratios. For example, the electroweak penguin effects can enhance the branching ratio by a factor of 3 in magnitude for  $B^- \rightarrow K^- \eta$ . This is because the particular structure of  $\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(uu - dd)$  which could lead to a strong cancellation between the uu and dd contributions in QCD penguin matrix elements.

For  $B^- \rightarrow K^- \eta, K^- \eta'$  and  $B_d^0 \rightarrow K^0 \eta, K^0 \eta'$ , the electroweak penguin effects are non-negligible in branching ratios, they can enhance or reduce the QCD and/or tree level contributions by a percentage larger than 30%.

2) For  $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+$  and  $B_d^0 \rightarrow K^0 J/\psi$ , the electroweak penguin contributions are the only source of CP asymmetries.

For  $B^- \rightarrow D^- D^0, D^+ D^0$  and  $B_d^0 \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0$ , the electroweak penguin contributions to CP asymmetries are dominant, they can enhance the CP asymmetries by an order of magnitude.

For  $B^- \rightarrow K^- \rho^0, K^- \omega, K^- \phi$  and  $B_d^0 \rightarrow K^0 \rho^0, K^0 \omega, K^0 \phi$ , the electroweak penguin contributions are non-negligible or comparable with QCD and/or tree level contributions in CP asymmetries, the percentage is larger than 30%.

For all decay modes, the CP asymmetries change not very much in magnitude except from 20.64% to 6.81% for  $B^- \rightarrow K^- \eta$  and from 35.07% to 4.85% for  $B^- \rightarrow K^- \eta'$ .

At last, we should mention that some decay modes have been calculated by others using a similar approach, for example,  $B^- \rightarrow \eta \eta$  in ref.[13],  $B^- \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \eta$  in ref. [20,21]. Our results agree with them.

In summary, we can say that for some decay modes, the electroweak penguin contributions are not negligible, they can enhance or reduce the QCD and/or tree level contributions by

at least 30%, and can even play dominant role in decay width, but can not change the CP asymmetries in magnitude largely.

## Acknowledgment

This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the Grant of State Commission of Science and Technology of China.

## Appendix

### Parameters for the Numerical Calculations:

In order to calculate branching ratios and CP-violating asymmetries, we use the following values for the mass parameters, form factors, decay constants, etc.

quark masses:

$$m_u = 5 \text{ MeV}; \quad m_d = 10 \text{ MeV}; \quad m_c = 1.35 \text{ GeV};$$

$$m_s = 175 \text{ MeV}; \quad m_b = 5.0 \text{ GeV}; \quad m_t = 174 \text{ GeV};$$

meson masses and decay constants:

$$M_{B_u} = 5.279 \text{ GeV}$$

meson (P)		$K^0$		$K^0$	K	$K^0$	D	$D^0$	$D_s$	c
mass (MeV)	140	135	547	958	494	498	1869	1865	1969	2979
decay (MeV)			$u\bar{d}: 92$	$u\bar{d}: 49$						
constant	132	93	$s: 105$	$s: 120$	161	161	220	220	280	350

[6]	meson (V)		$0^-$	!		K	$K^0$	D	$D^0$	$D_s$	J=
	mass (MeV)	770	770	782	1019	892	896	2010	2007	2110	3097
	decay-const. (MeV)	221	156	156	233	221	221	240	240	280	384

Pole masses (GeV):

current	$m(0^-)$	$m(1^-)$	$m(0^+)$	$m(1^+)$
dc	1.87	2.01	2.47	2.42
sc	1.97	2.11	2.60	2.53
ub	5.27	5.32	5.78	5.71
sb	5.38	5.43	5.89	5.82
cb	6.30	6.34	6.80	6.73

Form factors at zero momentum transfer:

D ecay	$F_1 = F_0$	V	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_3 = A_0$
B ! D	0.690				
B ! K	0.379				
B !	0.333				
B !	0.307				
B ! <sup>0</sup>	0.254				
B ! D		0.705	0.651	0.686	0.623
B ! K		0.369	0.328	0.331	0.321
B !		0.329	0.283	0.283	0.281
B ! !		0.328	0.281	0.281	0.280

## R eferences

- [1] G .K ram er, W F .P almer and H .S imma, Z .Phys. C 66 (1995) 429.
- [2] A J.B uras, M .J am in, M E .L autenbacher and P H .W eisz, Nucl. Phys. B 370 (1992) 69;  
*ibid* B 375 (1992) 501.
- [3] A J.B uras, M .J am in, M E .L autenbacher and P H .W eisz, Nucl. Phys. B 400 (1993) 37.
- [4] A J.B uras, M .J am in and M E .L autenbacher, Nucl. Phys. B 408 (1993) 209.
- [5] A J.B uras, M .J am in and M E .L autenbacher, Nucl. Phys. B 400 (1993) 75.
- [6] M .C iuchi ni, E .F ranco, G .M artinelli and L .R eina, Phys. Lett. 301B (1993) 263;  
 J M .F lynn and L .R andall, Phys. Lett. 224B (1989) 221;erratum Phys. Lett. 235B (1990) 412;  
 G .B udhalla A J.B uras and M K .H arlander, Nucl. Phys. B 337 (1990) 313.
- [7] F J.G ilman and J S.H agelin, Phys. Lett. 126B (1983) 111.
- [8] A J.B uras and J M .G erard, Phys. Lett. 203B (1988) 272.
- [9] M .B auer, B .S tech and M .W irbel, Z .Phys. C 29 (1985) 637;  
*ibid* C 34 (1987) 103.
- [10] L .W olfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 1945.
- [11] A .A li and D .L ondon, Preprint CERN-T H 7248/94.
- [12] R .F leischer, Z .Phys. C 58 (1993) 483.
- [13] R .F leischer, Phys. Lett. 321B (1994) 259.
- [14] N G .D eshpande and X G .H e, O II S-572; O II S-538.

- [15] D .Fakirov and B .Stech, Nucl.Phys.B 133 (1978) 315;  
N .Cabibbo and L.m aiani, Phys.Lett. 73B (1978) 418.
- [16] J. B ijnens and F .H oogeveen, Phys.Lett. 283B (1992) 434.
- [17] L L .Chau, H Y .Cheng, W K .Sze, H .Yao and B .Tseng, Phys.Rev.D 43 (1991) 2176.
- [18] Particle Data Group, Phys.Rev.D 50 (1994) 1207.
- [19] Particle Data Group, Phys.Rev.D 50 (1994) 1290.
- [20] N G .D eshpande and X G .H e, Phys.Lett. 336B (1994) 471.
- [21] R .F leischer, Z .Phys.C 62 (1994) 81.
- [22] M .G ronau, Phys.Rev.Lett, 63 (1989) 1451;  
B .G rinstein, Phys.Lett. 229B (1989) 280.

Table 1 Branching ratios and CP asymmetries with QCD + QED and with only QCD penguin contributions in  $B \rightarrow PP$  (through  $b \rightarrow d$  transition)

decay mode	QCD + QED		QCD		corrections	
	Br	$A_{CP} [\%]$	Br	$A_{CP} [\%]$	$= [\%]$	$A = A [\%]$
$B^- \pi^0$	$4.88 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.7	$5.15 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.6	5.2	11.9
$B^- \pi^-$	$5.82 \cdot 10^{-6}$	32.3	$5.61 \cdot 10^{-6}$	33.9	3.8	4.7
$B^- \pi^0 \pi^-$	$9.57 \cdot 10^{-6}$	15.1	$9.64 \cdot 10^{-6}$	15.0	0.7	0.5
$B^- \pi^- \pi^-$	$2.93 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0	$3.89 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0	24.6	
$B^- K^- K^0$	$7.20 \cdot 10^{-7}$	2.9	$7.33 \cdot 10^{-7}$	2.9	1.8	1.0
$B^- D^- D^0$	$4.17 \cdot 10^{-4}$	2.0	$4.18 \cdot 10^{-4}$	1.9	0.2	0.6

Table 2 Branching ratios and CP asymmetries with QCD + QED and with only QCD penguin contributions in  $B^- \rightarrow PS$  (through  $b \rightarrow s$  transition)

decay mode	QCD + QED		QCD		corrections	
	Br	$A_{CP} [\%]$	Br	$A_{CP} [\%]$	$= [\%]$	$A = A [\%]$
$B^- K^- \pi^0$	$7.21 \cdot 10^{-6}$	6.3	$4.98 \cdot 10^{-6}$	8.8	44.7	28.5
$B^- K^- \pi^-$	$2.57 \cdot 10^{-7}$	29.6	$4.06 \cdot 10^{-7}$	17.4	36.8	69.9
$B^- K^- \pi^0 \pi^-$	$1.30 \cdot 10^{-5}$	3.3	$1.37 \cdot 10^{-5}$	3.1	5.8	5.7
$B^- K^- \pi^- \pi^-$	$7.34 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0	$9.43 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0	22.2	
$B^- K^- K^0$	$8.25 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.2	$8.40 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.2	1.8	0.9
$B^- D^- D_s^0$	$1.45 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.09	$1.45 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.09	0.2	0.6

Table 3 Branching ratios and CP asymmetries with QCD + QED and with only QCD penguin contributions in  $B^- \rightarrow PV$  (through  $b \rightarrow d$  transition)

decay mode	QCD + QED		QCD		corrections	
	Br	A <sub>CP</sub> [%]	Br	A <sub>CP</sub> [%]	= [%]	A = A [%]
B !	1.12 10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.5	1.15 10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.4	2.6	2.2
B ! 0	1.14 10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.6	1.12 10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.7	1.4	1.6
B ! 0	1.24 10 <sup>-5</sup>	3.2	1.28 10 <sup>-5</sup>	3.1	2.8	3.9
B ! c	9.36 10 <sup>-7</sup>	0	1.24 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0	24.6	
B ! !	3.49 10 <sup>-6</sup>	12.3	3.56 10 <sup>-6</sup>	11.9	1.9	3.4
B ! 0	4.20 10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.9	4.69 10 <sup>-6</sup>	35.1	10.4	86.2
B !	6.17 10 <sup>-9</sup>	0.2	1.19 10 <sup>-12</sup>	0	5.2 10 <sup>5</sup>	
B ! J=	1.29 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.3	1.78 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0	27.7	
B ! D D <sup>0</sup>	3.58 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.7	3.59 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.7	0.3	0.7
B ! D D <sup>0</sup>	2.49 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.3	2.62 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.1	4.7	1.8 10 <sup>3</sup>
B ! K K <sup>0</sup>	1.73 10 <sup>-8</sup>	5.8	1.95 10 <sup>-8</sup>	5.3	11.1	8.5
B ! K K <sup>0</sup>	4.38 10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.4	4.55 10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.3	3.6	2.2

Table 4 Branching ratios and CP asymmetries with QCD + QED and with only QED penguin contributions in B ! PV (through b ! s transition)

decay mode	QCD + QED		QCD		corrections	
	Br	A <sub>CP</sub> [%]	Br	A <sub>CP</sub> [%]	= [%]	A = A [%]
B ! K !	6.83 10 <sup>-7</sup>	13.1	3.70 10 <sup>-7</sup>	20.9	84.7	37.2
B ! K 0	1.56 10 <sup>-6</sup>	6.8	3.79 10 <sup>-7</sup>	20.6	3.1 10 <sup>2</sup>	67.0
B ! K	5.50 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.3	7.42 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.2	25.8	19.5
B ! K J=	3.19 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0	4.24 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0	24.8	
B ! K	1.06 10 <sup>-6</sup>	11.2	5.90 10 <sup>-7</sup>	18.3	79.1	38.5
B ! K 0	6.68 10 <sup>-8</sup>	58.5	4.20 10 <sup>-8</sup>	69.1	59.1	15.3
B ! K 0	5.33 10 <sup>-6</sup>	9.4	3.67 10 <sup>-6</sup>	13.0	45.3	27.9
B ! K c	2.26 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0	2.91 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0	22.2	
B ! K 0	5.18 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.2	5.38 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.2	3.6	2.2
B ! K 0	1.73 10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.4	1.97 10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.3	12.2	9.0
B ! D <sub>s</sub> D <sup>0</sup>	9.50 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.03	9.53 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.03	0.3	0.7
B ! D <sub>s</sub> D <sup>0</sup>	8.12 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.006	8.15 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.006	0.3	0.7

Table 5 Branching ratios and CP asymmetries with QCD + QED and with only QCD penguin contributions in B<sub>d</sub><sup>0</sup> ! PP (through b ! d transition)

decay mode	QCD + QED		QCD		corrections	
	Br	A <sub>CP</sub> [%]	Br	A <sub>CP</sub> [%]	= [%]	A = A [%]
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>+</sup>	7.95 10 <sup>-6</sup>	42.5	7.98 10 <sup>-6</sup>	42.7	0.3	0.4
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0 0</sup>	6.09 10 <sup>-8</sup>	18.9	1.00 10 <sup>-7</sup>	16.9	39.1	11.7
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup>	2.83 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.9	2.84 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.9	0.3	0.2
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0 0</sup>	5.27 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.4	5.46 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.4	3.3	2.0
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup> <sub>c</sub>	1.43 10 <sup>-6</sup>	26.1	1.89 10 <sup>-6</sup>	23.0	24.6	13.9
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>+</sup>	1.25 10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.8	1.18 10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.8	6.5	1.1
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup>	5.11 10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.1	5.06 10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.1	1.1	0.03
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>+</sup> <sub>c</sub>	7.70 10 <sup>-7</sup>	26.1	1.02 10 <sup>-6</sup>	23.0	24.6	13.9
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0 0</sup>	8.45 10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.4	8.54 10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.4	1.0	0.2
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup> <sub>c</sub>	2.35 10 <sup>-7</sup>	26.1	3.11 10 <sup>-7</sup>	23.0	24.6	13.9
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>+</sup> K <sup>0</sup> K <sup>0</sup>	7.01 10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.02	7.14 10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.03	1.8	25.7
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>+</sup> D <sup>+</sup> D <sub>s</sub>	4.05 10 <sup>-4</sup>	30.2	4.05 10 <sup>-4</sup>	30.2	0.2	0.1

Table 6 Branching ratios and CP asymmetries with QCD + QED and with only QCD penguin contributions in B<sub>d</sub><sup>0</sup> ! PP (through b ! s transition)

decay mode	QCD + QED		QCD		corrections	
	Br	A <sub>CP</sub> [%]	Br	A <sub>CP</sub> [%]	= [%]	A = A [%]
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>+</sup>	9.89 10 <sup>-6</sup>	7.4	9.56 10 <sup>-6</sup>	7.6	3.4	2.7
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup> K <sup>0</sup>	2.57 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.8	4.08 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.5	37.0	68.3
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup>	6.38 10 <sup>-8</sup>	7.4	2.89 10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.5	77.9	3.9 10 <sup>2</sup>
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup> K <sup>0</sup>	1.22 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.5	1.31 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.4	7.0	6.0
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup> <sub>c</sub>	7.15 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0	9.18 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0	22.2	
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! D <sup>+</sup> + D <sub>s</sub>	1.41 10 <sup>-2</sup>	0	1.41 10 <sup>-2</sup>	0	0.2	

Table 7 Branching ratios and CP asymmetries with QCD + QED and with only QED penguin contributions in B<sub>d</sub><sup>0</sup> ! PV (through b ! d transition)

decay mode	QCD + QED		QCD		corrections	
	Br	A <sub>cp</sub> [%]	Br	A <sub>cp</sub> [%]	= [%]	A = A [%]
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup> + <sup>0</sup>	1.27 10 <sup>-7</sup>	26.3	2.73 10 <sup>-7</sup>	24.1	53.6	9.2
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup> !	1.09 10 <sup>-7</sup>	10.5	1.24 10 <sup>-7</sup>	10.7	12.4	2.5
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup>	6.41 10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.5	5.59 10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.6	14.6	4.3
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup> 0	2.93 10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.1	2.70 10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.1	8.5	1.1
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup> !	6.74 10 <sup>-7</sup>	14.5	7.66 10 <sup>-7</sup>	13.9	12.0	4.8
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup> !	2.92 10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.7	2.89 10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.7	1.0	0.3
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>c</sup> <sup>0</sup>	4.56 10 <sup>-7</sup>	26.1	6.05 10 <sup>-7</sup>	23.0	24.6	13.9
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>c</sup> !	4.50 10 <sup>-7</sup>	26.1	5.96 10 <sup>-7</sup>	23.0	24.6	13.9
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup>	3.01 10 <sup>-9</sup>	0	5.8 10 <sup>-13</sup>	0	5.2 10 <sup>5</sup>	
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> !	1.65 10 <sup>-9</sup>	0	3.17 10 <sup>-13</sup>	0	5.2 10 <sup>5</sup>	
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup>	5.22 10 <sup>-10</sup>	0	1.00 10 <sup>-13</sup>	0	5.2 10 <sup>5</sup>	
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>+</sup>	5.88 10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.2	5.91 10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.09	0.5	2.8
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>+</sup>	2.28 10 <sup>-5</sup>	4.8	2.29 10 <sup>-5</sup>	4.8	0.4	0.9
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup> J=	6.29 10 <sup>-7</sup>	30.4	8.69 10 <sup>-7</sup>	26.2	27.7	16.0
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! J=	3.23 10 <sup>-7</sup>	30.4	4.47 10 <sup>-7</sup>	26.2	27.7	16.0
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! <sup>0</sup> J=	8.72 10 <sup>-7</sup>	30.4	12.06 10 <sup>-7</sup>	26.2	27.7	16.0
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup> K <sup>0</sup>	1.68 10 <sup>-8</sup>	5.8	1.89 10 <sup>-8</sup>	5.3	11.1	8.5
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup> K <sup>0</sup>	4.27 10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.4	4.42 10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.3	3.6	2.2
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! D <sup>+</sup> D	2.42 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.3	2.54 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.1	4.7	1.8 10 <sup>3</sup>
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! D <sup>+</sup> D	3.47 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.7	3.48 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.7	0.3	0.7

Table 8 Branching ratios and CP asymmetries with QCD + QED and with only QED penguin contributions in B<sub>d</sub><sup>0</sup> ! PV (through b ! s transition)

decay mode	QCD + QED		QCD		corrections	
	Br	A <sub>cp</sub> [%]	Br	A <sub>cp</sub> [%]	= [%]	A = A [%]
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>+</sup>	6.91 10 <sup>-7</sup>	19.7	6.16 10 <sup>-7</sup>	21.5	12.1	8.3
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>+</sup>	7.48 10 <sup>-6</sup>	12.2	7.03 10 <sup>-6</sup>	12.8	6.4	4.9
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup> 0	1.59 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.9	2.61 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.5	39.0	74.3
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup> 0	1.93 10 <sup>-7</sup>	5.1	9.89 10 <sup>-8</sup>	11.9	95.4	57.3
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup> !	2.62 10 <sup>-7</sup>	4.3	9.54 10 <sup>-8</sup>	10.5	1.7 10 <sup>2</sup>	58.7
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup>	4.03 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.3	4.96 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.2	18.8	28.4
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup> 0	3.29 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.05	2.84 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.06	15.7	18.4
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup> c	2.20 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0	2.83 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0	22.2	
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup>	5.36 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.3	7.22 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.2	25.8	19.5
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! K <sup>0</sup> J=	3.10 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.01	4.13 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0	24.8	
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! D <sup>+</sup> D <sub>s</sub>	7.89 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.006	7.91 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.006	0.3	0.7
B <sub>d</sub> <sup>0</sup> ! D <sup>+</sup> D <sub>s</sub>	9.22 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.03	9.24 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.03	0.3	0.7