hep-ph/yymmnn

Towards a Nonequilibrium Quantum Field Theory

A pproach to E lectrow eak B aryogenesis

ANTONIO RIOTTO

International School for Advanced Studies, SISSA-ISAS,

Strada Costiera 11, I-34014, M iram are, Trieste, Italy

and

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova, 35100 Padova, Italy

Abstract

We propose a general method to compute CP-violating observables from extensions of the standard model in the context of electroweak baryogenesis. It is alternative to the one recently developed by Huet and Nelson and relies on a nonequilibrium quantum eld theory approach. Them ethod is valid for all shapes and sizes of the bubble wall expanding in the thermal bath during a rst-order electroweak phase transition. The quantum physics of CP-violation and its suppression coming from the incoherent nature of thermal processes are also made explicit.

riotto@tsm i19.sissa.it. Address after November 95: Theoretical Astrophysics Group, NASA/Ferm ilab, Batavia, IL60510, USA.

1. Introduction

It is commonly believed that many of the current questions of cosmology can be answered by studying the nontrivial dynamics of the approach to equilibrium in complex systems. Nevertheless, despite their immense relevance, only very recently much e or thas been made to understand nonequilibrium phenomena occurred in the early Universe. For instance, recent investigations on the non-linear quantum dynamics of scalar elds have in plications for the reheating affer the in ationary era and reveal that particle production appears to be significantly differ the in ationary era and reveal that particle production of the in ation eld [1]. The quantum non-linear elss lead to an extrem ely e ective dissipational dynamics and particle production even in the simplest self-interacting theory where the single particle decay is kinematically forbidden.

At the electroweak scale, the focus has been in generation of the baryon asymmetry during a rst-order phase transition where the SU $(2)_L$ U $(1)_r$ symmetry is broken down to U $(1)_{en}$ [2]. Even though there are certain questions related to the reliability of the perturbative expansion for weak enough transitions [3], it is well established that nonequilibrium conditions are a crucial ingredients for baryogenesis.

An interesting attempt to explain the observed baryon to entropy ratio $n_B = s 10^{10}$ was made by Farrar and Shaposhnikov [4] in the context of Standard M odel (SM). How – ever, it was later shown [5] that the CP -violating processes of quantum interference provided by the K obayashi-M askawa matrix were far too slow in comparison with the rapid quark-gluon plasma interactions responsible for the loss of quantistic coherence. In contrast, many extensions of the standard model, like the M inim al Supersymmetric Standard M odel, contain additional sources of CP in the H iggs sector (which requires at least two H iggs doublets) and can account for the observed baryon asymmetry.

Both in the case of explicit [6] or spontaneous [7] C P -violation, particle m assm atrices acquire a nontrivial space-time dependence when bubbles of the broken phase nucleate and expand during a rst-order electroweak phase transition. This space-time dependence cannot be rotated away at two adjacent points by the same unitary transform ation and gives rise to su ciently fast nonequilibrium C P -violating elects inside the wall of a bubble of broken phase expanding in the plasm a. Two di erent lim its were originally investigated in the literature. In the case of thin bubble walls, characterized by the condition $=L_w$ 1, where L_w and are the wall thickness and the typical particle interaction time, the asymmetric (in fermion numbers) relation of particles of the bubble wall is the dominant elect [8]. The induced fermion number ux is then reprocessed into a baryon asymmetry by the anomalous (B + L)-violating sphaleronic transitions in the unbroken phase [9].

In the opposite limit of thick bubble walls, $=L_w$ 1, local operators coupling the baryon current, or related currents, to the space-time derivative of the CP-violating phase act as classical CP-violating perturbations inside the bubble wall [10,11] and e ective chemical potentials account for particle asymmetries.

In both scenarios these CP -violating sources are locally induced by the passage of the wall and fuel baryogenesis. They are then inserted into a set of classical Boltzm ann equations for particle distribution densities which perm it to describe D ebye screening of induced gauge charges [12], particle number changing reactions [13] and to trace the crucial role played by di usion [14]. Indeed, it has been convincingly established that transport allow CP -violating charges to e ciently di use in front of the advancing bubble wall where anom abus electroweak baryon violating processes are unsuppressed, thus greatly enhancing the nalbaryon asymmetry.

A new method to compute the e ects of CP-violation from extensions of SM on the electroweak baryogenesis has been recently developed by Huet and Nelson [15] and subsequently applied to supersymmetric baryogenesis [16]. It takes into account both the e ects of scattering from thermal particles and the terms which lead to CP-violation in particle propagation, relecting the interplay between the coherent phenomenon of CPviolation and the incoherent nature of plasm a physics. It is also valid for generic wall shapes and sizes with a signic cant improvement over the previous estimates. As a matter of fact, particle interaction times are neither very small nor very large compared to the thickness of the wall and the thin (thick) wall limit can overestimate (underestimate) the amount of baryon asymmetry. Moreover, particles moving with large oblique angles relative to the advancing wall are likely to interact many times when inside the wall and the thin wall limit may not be applied.

W hen taking the thick wall lim it, the method predicts the correct behaviour with the

m ass background in agreement with the results of ref. [11] and evades the assumption that local particle distributions relax towards thermal equilibrium according to some classical equations of motion, which is not well-grounded for particles whose wavelength perpendicular to the wall is larger than their mean free path and makes the classical treatment of CP -violation not adequate.

Technically speaking, in refs. [15,16] C P -violating sources were described in terms of quantum mechanical C P -violating rejection and transmission from layers of the phase boundary. Them alization elects on particle distributions are included by averaging over a layer of thickness equal to the free mean path = v (v being the particle velocity) the currents J in the rest frame of the wall and resulting from particles moving toward the positive (negative) direction z perpendicular to the wall. For example, the current J₊ receives contributions from either particles originating from the therm al bath at a certain point z an moving with a positive velocity and transmitted to z + w (with the possibility of different scatterings along the path), and from particles originating at z+ and moving with velocity v and being rejected back towards z + w hen boosted to the plasm a frame, these currents give rise to the CP-violating source terms for the nall baryon asymmetry.

It is indisputable that the ultim ate answer on electroweak baryogenesis can be provided only by a self-consistent nonequilibrium Q uantum Field Theory (QFT) approach. K inetic theory and classical B oltzm ann equations have been used to describe the dynam ics of particles treated as classical with a de ned position, energy and momentum. However, the validity of the kinetic theory is restricted by the condition that the mean free path of particles must be larger than any other microscopic length scale. In particular, the mean free path must be large compared to the C ompton wavelength of the underlying particle in order for the classical picture to be valid, which is not guaranteed for particles with a small momentum perpendicular to the wall. Moreover, since the effects of scattering on particle propagation can be accounted for by substituting particles with quasiparticles with a modi ed dispersion relation and a given damping rate proportional to the in aginary part of the self-energy, only when the energy of quasiparticles is large compared to it is possible to speak about coherent excited states and describe them through classical B oltzm ann equations opportunely modi ed to include plasm a effects. [17]. In the opposite lim it, the key elements to address the space-time evolution of distribution functions are provided by the W igner function techniques which date back to W igner's work on transport phenom ena [18]. Quantum distribution functions are the correct functions to describe particles in an interacting, m any-particle environm ent and obey the quantum Boltzm ann equation.

The aim of this paper is to describe a new method to compute the e ect of CP - violation coming from extensions of the SM on the mechanism of electroweak baryogenesis and, in these respects, it should be regarded as alternative and complementary to the one proposed in refs. [15,16]. The method, valid for all wall shapes and sizes, is entirely based on a nonequilibrium QFT diagrammatic approach and naturally incorporates the e ects of the incoherent nature of plasm a physics on CP -violating observables. As such, it should be considered as a rst step towards a full nonequilibrium quantum kinetic theory approach to electroweak baryogenesis. For sake of clarity, the method is also illustrated through an example in the framework of the two-H iggs doublet model.

2. The method

The ordinary quantum eld theory (at nite temperature), which mainly deals with transition amplitudes in particle reactions, is not useful to study the dynam ics of classical order param eters. This is because we need their tem poral evolution with de nite initial conditions and not simply the transition amplitude of particle reactions with xed initial and nal conditions. The most appropriate extension of the eld theory to deal with these issues it to generalize the time contour of integration to a closed-time path (CPT). M ore precisely, the time integration contour is deformed to run from 1 to +1 and 1 [19]. The CPT form alism is a powerful G reen's function form ulation for deback to scribing nonequilibrium phenomena in eld theory. It allows to describe phase transition phenomena and to obtain a self-consistent set of quantum Boltzmann equations. The form alism yields various quantum averages of operators evaluated in the in-state without specifying the out-state. As with the Euclidean time form ulation, scalar (ferm ionic) elds are still periodic (anti-periodic) in time, but with (t;x) = (t i;x), = 1=T. Tem perature appears due to boundary condition, but now time is explicitly present in

the integration contour.

As a consequence of the time contour, we must now identify eld variables with arguments on the positive or negative directional branches of the time path. This doubling of eld variables leads to four dierent real-time propagators on the contour [19] for a generic scalar eld (and analogously for fermionic elds)

$$G^{++} (x \quad x^{0}) = ihT_{+} (x) (x^{0})i;$$

$$G \quad (x \quad x^{0}) = ihT \quad (x) (x^{0})i;$$

$$G^{+} (x \quad x^{0}) = ih (x^{0}) (x)i = G^{>} (x \quad x^{0});$$

$$G^{+} (x \quad x^{0}) = ih (x) (x^{0})i = G^{<} (x \quad x^{0});$$
(1)

where T_+ and T_- indicate the chronological and anti-chronological ordering, G^{++} is the usual physical (causal) propagator. The other three propagators come as a consequence of the time contour and are auxiliary (unphysical) propagators.

The explicit expressions for the above propagators in terms of their momentum space Fourier transforms are given by

where

$$G^{++}(k;t \quad t) = G^{>}(k;t \quad t) \quad (t \quad t) + G^{<}(k;t \quad t) \quad (t^{0} \quad t);$$

$$G^{-}(k;t \quad t) = G^{>}(k;t \quad t) \quad (t^{0} \quad t) + G^{<}(k;t \quad t) \quad (t \quad t);$$

$$G^{+}(k;t \quad t) = G^{>}(k;t \quad t);$$

$$G^{+}(k;t \quad t) = G^{<}(k;t \quad t);$$
(3)

The nite-tem perature, real-time propagator G^{++} (k;t t) can be written in terms of the spectral function (k;k₀) [20]

$$G^{++}(k;t \quad t) = \frac{Z_{+1}}{1} \frac{dk^{0}}{2} e^{ik^{0}(t t^{0})} (k;k^{0}) \stackrel{nh}{1+n(k^{0})} (t \quad t) + n(k^{0}) (t^{0} t) (t^{0} t)$$
(4)

where $n(k^0)$ is the distribution function.

To account for interactions with the surrounding particles of the therm albath, particles must be substituted by quasiparticles and dressed propagators are to be adopted (the use of the full corrected propagators should be done with some care to avoid an overcounting of diagram s [21]). Self-energy corrections at one- or two-bops to the propagator modify the dispersion relations and introduce nontrivial e ects (dam ping) due to the imaginary contributions to the self-energy: (k) = Re(k) + i Im(k) [21]. Due to the nonvanishing Im , $(k;k^0)$ acquires in the weak limit a nite width

$$(k) = \frac{\text{Im} (k;!)}{2! (k)};$$

$$!^{2}(k) = k^{2} + m^{2} + \text{Re} (k;!);$$
(5)

where m indicates the tree-level mass, and is expressed by

$$(k;k^{0}) = i \frac{1}{(k^{0} + i^{"} + i)^{2} !^{2}(k)} \frac{1}{(k^{0} i^{"} i^{2} !^{2}(k))}$$
(6)

It is easy to show that the free propagator is obtained when taking the limit ! 0. Equation (6) has four poles in the complex plane q^0 plane given by ! i and ! i. Perform ing the integration over k^0 in the Eq. (4), one gets [20]

$$G^{>}(k;t \quad t) = \frac{1}{2!}^{n}[1 + n(! \quad i)] e^{i(! \quad i)(t \quad t)} + n(! + i) e^{i(! + i)(t \quad t)^{\circ}};$$

$$G^{<}(k;t \quad t) = G^{>}(k;t^{0} \quad t):$$
(7)

In what follows we shall adopt dressed propagators to compute the therm all averages of composite operators, allowing us to naturally and self-consistently include the elects of the incoherent nature of plasma physics and to show that CP-violating quantities vanish in the limit of fast interactions: as already evident from expression (7), ' ¹ is a natural temporal cut-o and any contribution at times $t_x < t_z$ to a CP-violating observable computed at the instant t_z should be expected to vanish for $(t_z - t_z) < .$

We are now in the position to compute CP-violating sources resulting from particle interaction with an expanding bubble during a rst-order electroweak phase transition. Our starting point is the CPT nite temperature generating functional for the 1PIG reen's functions with insertion of a composite operator \hat{O} (z) (in the following \hat{O} (z) will represent a particle current) [11]

$$\begin{bmatrix} & X & Z \\ & C_{1}; J_{0} \end{bmatrix} = W \begin{bmatrix} J & J_{0} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{X} d^{4}x J (x) _{C1}(x);$$
(8)

where the $_{cl}$'s are the di erent classical elds of the theory with sources J's and will be labelled with greek indices, J_0 is the source for the operator \hat{O} and the subscript c on the time contour reminds that the closed-time path has been chosen [19]. Note that the Legendre transform ation has been performed only on the elds and not on the composite operator [22]. To avoid any confusion, we point out that the term inology classical elds should be understood in the QFT sense [22], i.e. the $_{cl}$'s are the elds which extrem ize the combination

$$[; J_{0}] + \int_{C}^{X} d^{4}x J(x) (x)$$
(9)

and from now on we will abolish the su ce cl.

A coording to the time contour, any generic quantity X is doubled to X_+ and X. A lthough X_+ and X are actually the same, one has to regard them dimension from each other for technical reasons. The association of $X_c = (X_+ + X_-)=2$ with the physical eld imposes we take $X_- = X_+$ $X_- = 0$ at the end of the calculation [19].

The quantity we are interested in is the (tem poralevolution of the) expectation value $O_c(z)$ of the operator $\hat{O}(z)$ on the background given by the elds $_c$:

The m inus sign in front of the functional derivative = J takes into account that time now runs only forward.

Following a standard procedure in QFT, we can now expand the (nite temperature) generating functional $[; J_0]$ in terms of the classical elds of the theory (x) [22]

$$[; J_{0}] = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{1}} \sum_{i_{1}, \dots, i_{n}}^{X^{1}} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{c}^{2} d^{4}x_{1} \qquad {}^{4}x_{n} d_{i_{1}}^{(n)} \sum_{n} (x_{1}; \dots, n); x_{1} (x_{1}) \qquad {}^{i_{n}} (x_{n}); \quad (11)$$

where, with a shorthand notation, we have indicated through the indices i_1 ; $_n$ allithe possible combinations of the scalar elds of the theory , and the coe cients of the expansion

are the n-point 1PIG reen's functions computed for vanishing (x). The functional 0 $_{+}$; (z) can then be written in a power series of

$$O \stackrel{h}{_{+}}; \quad (z) = \frac{x^{4} \times 1}{\sum_{n=0 \ i_{1}; n}^{n} \frac{1}{n!} c} d^{4}x_{1} \qquad {}^{4}x_{n} \partial_{i_{1}; n}(x_{1}; n; z) \times 1 (x_{1}) \qquad 1 (x_{n}):$$
(13)

The coe cients of the expansion (13) are the n-point 1PIG reen's functions with one insertion of the operator \hat{O} computed for vanishing (x)

$$O_{i_{1}; n}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_{1}; n; \mathbf{x}_{1}; n; \mathbf{x}_{1}; n; \mathbf{x}_{2}) = \frac{1}{i_{i_{1}}(\mathbf{x}_{1})} \begin{pmatrix} h & i_{1} \\ +; ; J_{0} \\ i_{n}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) & J_{0}(\mathbf{z}) \end{pmatrix}_{i_{0}}^{i_{1}}(\mathbf{z}_{1}) = J_{0}^{i_{1}} = J_{0} = 0$$
(14)

and can be used to compute through a diagram matic approach the temporal evolution of the vacuum expectation value $O_c(z)$ of the operator $\hat{O}(z)$ in a generic space-time dependent background described by the classical elds (x). For our practical purposes, such a background will be the bubble wall conguration expanding in the therm all bath with velocity v_w , which extrem izes the combination (9) with vanishing sources.

Thus, given a certain theory with the necessary amount of CP-violation and predicting nonequilibrium conditions at a certain space-time point through the passage of the bubble wall, the general form ulae (10-14) can be applied to compute in the rest frame of the therm albath and at a certain point z the tem poral evolution of the nonequilibrium expectation values J_c (t_z ;z) of the current operators J (t_z ;z) for the di erent particles of the theory.

Even if the general form alism described so farm ight seem rather cum bersom e, we shall see through a practical example that it leads to the computation of physical quantities whose meaning is clear and well-established, making the physical interpretation of the whole picture rather intuitive. Moreover, the expansion (13) is adequate to demonstrate the physics of quantum interference required to generate CP-violating observables. It originates a series of powers in the classical Higgs elds whose in aginary parts leads to nonvanishing CP-violating observables. CP-violating sources $_{Q}$ (z) (per unit volum e and unit time) of a generic charge Q associated to the current J (z) and accumulated by the moving wall at a point z of the plasm a can then be constructed from J_c (z) [15,16]

$$_{Q}(z) = 0 \ J_{c}(z)$$
: (15)

W e point out that this de nition of the CP-violating source $_{\circ}$ (z), though adequate to describe the damping e ects originated by the plasm a interactions, does not involve any relaxation time scale arising when di usion and particle changing interactions are included. In this paper we will focus on the computation of CP -violating observables through a nonequilibrium QFT approach and take the same point of view as in refs. [15,16]: one can leave aside di usion and particle changing interactions and account for them independently in the rate equations. It is clear, however, that a full nonequilbrium QFT approach to electroweak baryogenesis, based on a complete set of quantum Boltzm ann equations, should describe consistently all the e ects. Indeed, the CPT form alism, in combination with the so-called non-local source theory and loop expansion techniques developed by Comwall, Jackiw and Tamboulis [23], could provide the Ginzburg-Landau equation [24] for the order parameter (in our case the Higgs background eld) and the generalized D yson-Schwinger equations which incorporate the initial state correlations and provide a system atic treatm ent of the quantum correlations to any order of perturbation theory. This procedure would yield two distinct equations for each of the W igner functions: the renorm alization equation and the transport equation. The latter should account in a self-consistent way for all the e ects (di usion, CP-violation, dam ping, particle changing interactions, etc.) giving rise to the nalbaryon asymmetry. This analysis is, however, beyond the scope of the present work.

D ue to their generality, expressions (10-14) are valid for a generic wall shape and size L_w and are not based on any assumptions on the relative magnitude of the mean free paths and thickness of the bubble wall. In this respect, the method described in this paper should be regarded as an extension of the linear response method [25] (as accurate as the thick wall approximation is made) to compute the charge current densities produced from an initial C P -symmetric thermal particle distribution when space-time dependent C P - violating terms are turned on in the Ham iltonian for a time equal to the thermalization time.

3. An example of construction of a CP-violating source

Let us now illustrate the method by means of a speci c situation: the two-H iggs doublet model; more in particular, we will work out the CP -violating source constructed from the Higgs current operator J_1 (z) associated to the neutral Higgs eld H $_1^0$

$$J_{1}(z) = i H_{1}^{y} @ H_{1} @ H_{1}^{y} H_{1} :$$
 (16)

The reader should keep in m ind that the m ethod applies to other theories as well.

The most general tree level scalar potential for the two-H iggs doublet model is given by

Of the two phases of the classical vacuum expectation values of the Higgs elds $_1$ and $_2$, de ned by H $_{c,i}(x) = v_i(x) \exp [i_i(x)]$ (i = 1;2), only the gauge invariant combination $= _1 + _2$ appears in the scalar potential, whereas the orthogonal combination represents the gauge phase. We assume that the parameters of the Lagrangian are such that, when loop corrections are considered, the potential assumes a double-well shape and expanding bubble wall solution exists described by the conguration H $_{c,i}(x)$.

The interesting dynam ics for baryogenesis takes place in a region close to or inside the bubble wall and we approximate it with an in nite plane traveling at a constant speed v_w along the z-axis.

Since the coe cients of the expansion (13) must be computed for vanishing (x), we must deal with resummation of the propagators of the Higgs elds in order to deal with infrared divergencies [26]. In the unbroken phase, the Higgs spectrum contains two complex electrically neutral elds and two charged ones. At the tree-level, the squared masses of one of the neutral states and one of the charged ones are negative, since the origin of the eld space becomes a minimum of the electric potential only after inclusion of the nite temperature corrections. The resummation can be achieved by considering the propagators for the eigenstates of the thermal mass matrix, which has positive eigenvalues given by

$$M_{h,H}^{2}(T) = \frac{m_{1}^{2}(T) + m_{2}^{2}(T)}{2} \qquad (18)$$

where the $m_1^2(T)$ are the thermal corrected mass parameters of the potential (17), $m_1^2(T)$ ' m_1^2 + (3=16)g²T², $m_2^2(T)$ ' m_2^2 + (1=4) h_t^2 T², while $m_3^2(T)$ receives only logarithmic corrections in T [7]. Here g and h_t are the SU (2)_L gauge coupling and the top Yukawa coupling, respectively. Correspondingly, the neutral complex eigenstates are given by

⁸
^k h =
$$\cos_{hH} H_1^0 + \sin_{hH} H_2^0$$
;
^k H = $\sin_{hH} H_1^0 + \cos_{hH} H_2^0$; (19)

where

$$\sin 2_{hH} = \frac{2 m_3^2 (\Gamma)}{M_h^2 (\Gamma) M_H^2 (\Gamma)};$$
(20)

C om pletely analogous form ulae hold for the charged eigenstates.

G iven the classical action (rem ind that time runs only forward)

$$S [H_1; H_2] = d^4 x fL [H_{1;+}; H_{2;+}] L [H_1; ; H_2;]g;$$
(21)

it is not di cult to show that the the vacuum expectation value $J_{1,c}(z)$ gets (beyond the tree level ones) contributions from four di erent one-loop Feynm an diagram s, sym bolically depicted in Fig. 1, which are obtained by assigning in all the possible ways the space-time points x and z on the positive or negative time branches. One gets

$$J_{1,c}(z) = \frac{J_{1,+}(z) + J_{1,+}(z)}{2} = 4_{5} \sin 2_{hH} d^{4}x H(x) (x;z) + 0^{h} (H_{c}=T)^{4}; (22)$$

where we have m ade an expansion in the parameter (H $_{\rm c}{=}T$). The function

$$(x;z) = (t_{z} t_{x}) \lim_{y \neq z} e_{y} \operatorname{Im}^{h} G_{H}^{++} (y x) G_{h}^{++} (x z) (h \ H)$$
(23)

is expressed in terms of the retarded self-energy G reen's function and we have de ned

$$H(x) = Im H_{1;c}^{0} H_{2;c}^{0} (x):$$
(24)

Notice that, being dependent on H (x), $J_{1;c}$ is vanishing if no CP -violation is present in the scalar sector.

U sing the spectral representation (6), we can cast the function (x;z) into the form

$$(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{i} \quad (\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}) \stackrel{Z}{\longrightarrow} \frac{d^{4}q_{1}}{(2)^{4}} \stackrel{Z}{\longrightarrow} \frac{d^{4}q_{2}}{(2)^{4}} q_{1} + (q_{1}) + (q_{2})$$

$$\stackrel{h}{\underset{h}{\underset{h}{n_{h}}(q_{2}^{0})} \quad q_{H} + (q_{1}^{0}) = \mathbf{i}^{(z-\mathbf{x})} + (q_{1}-\mathbf{y}) + (q_{2})$$

$$(h \ S \ H \):$$

$$(25)$$

Performing the q_1^0 and q_2^0 integrations, one can easily show that $J_{1,c}(z)$ is vanishing in the limit of constant space-time CP-violating background H (x): this is the very wellknown result that CP-violating sources arise only in a nontrivially space-time dependent CP-violating Higgs background.

The presence of the retarded G reen's function carrying the $(t_z t_z)$ makes the physical interpretation of expression (22) rather intuitive: let us in agine the bubble wall conguration moving along the z-axis and divided into many strips along the same axis; when each of these strips passes from t_x to $t_x + dt_x$ through a small volume element centered at the point z in the therm albath, it deposits there a certain amount of charge and the vacuum expectation value of J_1 at the time t_z turns out to be the sum of all these contributions received for times $t_x < t_z$. Moreover, because of the presence of a nite damping rate 1, the strips' contributions are not all equivalent, but they will be weighted by the exponential factors present in the G reen's functions, thus making the strips' contributions negligible for times $(t_z t_z)^{> 1}$.

The advantage of the m ethod illustrated in this paper is that very general form ulae, like Eqs. (22-23), can be obtained for the tem poral evolution of CP -violating observables without any particular assumption on the relative m agnitude of the mean free paths and the thickness of the wall, and on the particle distribution functions (eventually determ ined by the Boltzm ann equations of motion). The standard thin wall and thick wall lim its are recovered in the lim it L_w ! 0 and 1, respectively, once the G reen's functions (7) are inserted in the expression (23) for (x;z).

In order to deal with analytic expressions, we can work out the thick wall lim it and sim plify expressions (22-24) by perform ing a derivative expansion

H (x) ' H (z) +
$$\theta_x$$
 H $\dot{J}_{x=z}$ (x z) + O $(h_{tH} L_w)^2$: (26)

This expansion is valid only when the mean free paths ' ¹ are smaller than the scale of variation of the Higgs background determined by the wall thickness L_w . An

estim ate of the Higgs damping rate in the Standard M odel was obtained in ref. [27] in the low momentum limit and can be used here only to give very crude estimate of the Higgs coherent times, 1 (10 2 10 1) T (with such values, our derivative expansion is not perfectly justified since the wall thickness can span the range (10 100)=T. Its bene t relies on the possibility to obtain analytical expressions in and we adopt it for pedagogical purposes).

To work out exactly the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs current $J_{1,c}(z)$ one should know the exact form of the distribution functions which, in ultimate analysis, is provided by solving the Boltzmann equations. However, any departure from thermal equilibrium distribution functions is caused at a given point by the passage of the wall and, therefore, is O (v_w). Working with thermal equilibrium distribution functions in Eq. (7) amounts to ignoring terms of order $v_w^2 < 1$, which is as accurate as the bubble wall is moving slow ly in the plasm a and we shall adopt this approximation from now on. At this order, the G^{++} (k;t f) G reen's function becomes

$$G^{++}(k;t = \frac{e^{t'}}{2! [\cosh(!) \cos(!)]} fsinh(!)\cos(!t = t) + sin()sin(!t = t) + i [\cos() - \cosh()]sin(!t = t)g: (27)$$

To demonstrate the conict between the incoherent nature of fast plasma interactions and the coherent phenom enon of CP-violation, we can take the ideal limit $_{\rm h}$ $'_{\rm H}$ T. Even if the physical lower limit in the temporal integration is about t_z L_w , we can safely take it 1 in the thick wall approximation. Using expressions (22-27) one can show that

$$(z) = (U_{1;c}(z)' 2_{5}m_{3}^{2}(t_{z}H(z))_{1}^{2} dt_{x}(t_{z} \pm t_{x})_{h}^{2} e^{2_{h}(t_{z} \pm t_{x})}^{2} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2_{})^{3}} \frac{\sin(t_{h})}{t_{h}^{4}}$$

$$[\cos(t_{h} + t_{H})(t_{z} \pm t_{x}) \cos(t_{h} + t_{H})(t_{z} \pm t_{x})] + O v_{w}^{2}; (t_{h}L_{w})^{2}; (M_{h,H} = T)^{4}: (28)$$

Perform ing the integration in t_x one gets

$${}_{1}(z) ' {}_{5} \frac{\sin(h)}{2^{2}} \frac{T m_{3}^{2}}{2^{2}} \frac{2}{h} (t_{z} H (z) I_{h} (T; h) + O v_{w}^{2}; (h L_{w})^{2}; (M h; H = T)^{4}; (29)$$

where

$$I_{h}(T; h) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx \frac{x^{2}}{(x^{2} + y^{2})} \frac{p}{\cosh(x^{2} + y^{2})} \frac{1}{\cos(x^{2} + y^{2})}$$

$$' \frac{2}{\sin(h)} \arctan \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\cos(h)} + O(y^{2});$$

y = M_h(T)=T: (30)

The steep dependence of $_{1}(z)$ on $_{h}$ releases the high suppression coming from decoherence due to incoherent scatterings in the plasm a whose rate increases with $_{h}$. The origin of this strong suppression is similar to the one forbidding electroweak baryogenesis in SM [5] and is evident from Eq. (28): due to the exponential cut-o exp [$_{h}t$], as the wall crosses a small volume element of the plasm a the current density deposited at the instant t_{z} into it may receive relevant contributions only from those sheets of the bubble wall which pass through the volume element at times in the range $[t_{z} = _{h};t_{z}]$, which vanishes for very small interaction times $_{h}$.

In the opposite lim it of very weak interactions $_{\rm h}$ $^<$ T , a straightforward calculation leads to

$${}_{1}(z) ' \frac{5}{32} {}_{h}T \frac{m_{3}^{2}}{M_{h}^{3}(T)} @_{t_{z}}H (z) + O v_{w}^{2}; ({}_{h}L_{w})^{2}; (M_{h;H}=T)^{4} :$$
(31)

Eq. (31) warrants some comments. First, we notice that the momentum integration giving rise to the 1=M $_{\rm h}^{\rm 3}$ dependence in the above expression is infrared dom inated: quasiparticles with long wavelengths and incident perpendicularly to the wall give a large contribution to $_1$ (z) and a classical approximation is not adequate to describe the quantum interference nature of CP-violation. Secondly, the vanishing of $_1$ (z) for very small T is in agreement with what found in ref. [15] and should be exinteraction rates h pected since the propagation is sem i-classical in that lim it (even if one should not expect to recover our result by a complete classical treatment, because the momentum integral is dom inated by particles with long wavelengths for which a full classical approach is inappropriate). Working in the thick wall lim it and with very small dam ping rates makes (contrary to what happens for strong dam ping rates) all the di erent sheets of the bubble wall equivalent. In these lim its, there are no time-scales involved and the am ount of charge deposited in a small volum e elem ent turns out to be approximately independent of time, resulting in a vanishing $_1(z)$. As a matter of fact, such a behaviour for very sm all dam ping rates should not be com pletely trusted since our derivative expansion m ay be applied only for $_{\rm h}$ > ${\rm L_w}^1$. Also, as we mentioned above, our computation tacitly

assumes large relaxation times provided by the dimension properties of the particles in the plasma. When considering the elects of nite relaxation times, the behaviour of $_1(z)$ for $_h$ T should dimension the one obtained here since particles are allowed to dimensional away from the region centered at the point z.

4. Conclusions

In this paper we have proposed a general method to compute CP-violating observables in the context of electroweak baryogenesis. It is valid for all shapes of the expanding bubble wall and relies on a nonequilibrium quantum eld theory diagram matic approach. D ressed propagators have been adopted to account for the interactions with the surrounding particles, which modify the dispersion relation and introduce damping elects. The suppression of CP-violating sources resulting from fast incoherent them all processes has been recovered in a direct way. The method is complementary to the one introduced in refs. [15,16] and reproduce qualitatively their results. Our computation based on nonequilibrium QFT should be regarded as a rest step towards a complete understanding of the dynamics of the electroweak baryogenesis by means of a complete nonequilibrium quantum kinetic theory approach. Indeed, only the latter should be able to properly describe the kinematics of quasiparticle excitations in the infrared energy region, i.e. excitations whose C ompton wavelength is larger both than the typical interaction time and the bubble wall width. For such quasiparticles, the classical approximation to the Boltzmann equation is not adequate. We hope to address this problem in the next future.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank A E. Nelson for reading the manuscript and very useful discussions. M. Pietroni is also acknow ledged for criticism s.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 2343; D. Boyanovsky, D.-S. Lee and A. Singh, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 800; D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega and R. Holman, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 2769 and Proceedings of the Second Paris Cosmology Colloquium, Observatoire de Paris, June 1994, p. 125-215, H. J. de Vega and N. Sanchez Editors, W orld Scienti c, 1995; L. Kofman, A. D. Linde and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 3195; Y. Shtanov, J. Traschen and R. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 5438; A. Dolgov and K. Freese, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 2693; D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, D. S. Lee and A. Singh, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 4419; D. Boyanovsky, M. D'Attanasio, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman and D.-S. Lee and A. Singh, hep-ph/9505220; D. Boyanovsky, M. D'Attanasio, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, D. S. Lee and A. Singh, hep-ph/9505220; D. Boyanovsky, M. D'Attanasio, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, D. S. Lee and D. S. Lee and D. S. Lee, hep-ph/9507414.
- [2] A.G. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan, and A.E. Nelson, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 43 (1993) 27; A.Dolgov, Phys. Rep. 222, (1992) 311.
- [3] For a review see, M. Quiros, Field theories at nite temperature and Phase Transitions, Helvetica Physica Acta 67 (1994) 451 and references therein.
- [4] G R. Farrar and M E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 2833 and Phys. Rev.D 50 (1994) 774.
- [5] M B.Gavela et al, M od.Phys.Lett.A 9 (1994) 795 and Nucl.Phys.B 430 (1994)
 382; P.Huet and H.Sather, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 379.
- [6] A.G. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan, and A.E. Nelson, Phys. Lett. B 263 (1991) 86.
- [7] D. Com elli and M. Pietroni, Phys. Lett. B 306 (1993) 67; D. Com elli and M.
 Pietroni and A. Riotto, Nucl. Phys. B 412 (1994) 441; Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 7703; Phys. Lett. 343 (1995) 207; J.R. Espinosa, J.M. Moreno, M. Quiros, Phys. Lett. B 319 (1993) 505.
- [8] A.G. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan, and A.E. Nelson, Nucl. Phys. B 373 (1992) 453; Phys. Lett. 294 (1992) 57.
- [9] V A. Kuzmin, V A. Rubakov and M E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B 155 (1985)36.

- [10] L. Mc Leman et al, Phys. Lett. B 256 (1991) 451; M. Dine, P. Huet and R. Singleton Jr., Nucl. Phys. B 375 (1992) 625; M. Dine et al., Phys. Lett. B 257 (1991) 351; A.G. Cohen and A.E. Nelson, Phys. Lett. B 297 (1992) 111.
- [11] D. Com elli, M. Pietroni and A. Riotto, Phys. Lett. B 354 (1995) 91 and DESY 95-109 preprint, submitted to Phys. Rev. D.
- [12] S.Yu.Khlebnikov, Phys.Lett.B 300 (1993) 376; A.G.Cohen, D.B.Kaplan, and A.E.Nelson, Phys.Lett.B 294 (1992) 57; JM.Cline and K.Kainulainen, Phys. Lett.B 356 (1995) 19.
- [13] A G. Cohen, D B. Kaplan, and A E. Nelson, Phys. Lett. 336 (1994) 41.
- [14] M. Joyce, T. Prokopec and N. Turok, hep-ph 9401351 and hep-ph 9408339; D. Com elli, M. Pietroni and A. Riotto, hep-ph 9406369, to appear in Astroparticle Phys. 4 (1995).
- [15] P.Huet and A E.Nelson, Phys. Lett. B 355 (1995) 229.
- [16] P.Huet and A.E.Nelson, UW /PT 95-07 preprint, hep-ph 9506477.
- [17] S. Jeon, UW /PT 94-09 preprint, hep-ph 9409250.
- [18] E P.W igner, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1932) 749.
- [19] See, for instance, K. Chou, Z. Su, B. Hao and L. Yu, Phys. Rep. 118 (1985) 1 and refs. therein.
- [20] N.P. Landsmann and Ch.G. van Weert, Phys. Rep. 145 (1987) 141.
- [21] See, for instance, R.R. Parwani, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 4695 and refs. therein.
- [22] See, for instance, S. Pokorski, Gauge Field Theories Cambridge Univ. Press (1987).
- [23] JM. Comwall, R. Jackiw and E. Tamboulis, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 2428.
- [24] V L.G inzburg and L D. Landau, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 20 (1950) 1064.
- [25] E M. Lifschitz and L P. Pitaevkii, Statistical Physics, part 2, Pergam on Press, Oxford (1980); A L. Fetter and J D. W alecka, Quantum Theory of M any particle System s, M c G raw Hill, New York (1971).

[26] P.A mold and O.Espinosa, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 3546.

[27] P.Elm fors, K.Enqvist and I.Vilja, Nucl. Phys. 412 (1994) 459.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1: The 1-bop contribution to the vacuum expectation value of the H iggs current $J_1\ (z)$.