ANL-HEP-PR-95-53 FTP/BBSR/95-80 UF-FT-HEP-95-20

SCALE INVARIANT O (g⁴) LIPATOV KERNELS

AT NON-ZERO MOMENTUM TRANSFER

Claudio Coriano,^{a,by} Rajesh R. Parwani^{C+} and Alan. R. White^{a#}

^aH igh Energy Physics D ivision A rgonne N ational Laboratory 9700 South Cass, 11 60439, USA.

^bInstitute for Fundam ental Theory Departm ent of Physics University of Florida at Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

> °Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar 751005, India.

Abstract

W e sum marize recent work on the evaluation of the scale invariant next-toleading order Lipatov kernel, constructed via transverse momentum diagrams. At zero momentum transfer the square of the leading-order kernel appears together with an additional component, now identied as a new partial-wave am plitude, having a separate, holom orphically factorizable, spectrum. We present a simplied expression for the full kernel at non-zero momentum transfer and give a complete analysis of its infrared properties. We also construct a nonforward extension of the new amplitude which is infra-red nite and saties W ard identity constraints. We conjecture that this new kernel has the conform al invariance properties corresponding to the holom orphic factorization of the forward spectrum.

W ork supported by the U S.D epartm ent of Energy, D ivision of H igh Energy Physics, C ontracts W -31-109-ENG-38 and DEFG 05-86-ER-40272

^y coriano@physu edu ⁺ parwani@iopbemet.in [#] arw@hepanlgov

1. A BREFOVERVEW

The Regge limit of QCD has recently undergone a considerable revival of interest. The small-x behaviour of the parton distributions observed at HERA, characterized by a strong rise of the gluon density, and the detection of di ractive hard scattering events in D IS, both provide motivation for developing a better understanding of the Regge regime of QCD. Because of the overlap of the small-x and Regge limits, it is natural to expect that the theoretical tools developed in the past in the analysis of Regge theory are useful also at small-x. Properties of the \exchanged reggeon singularities" can be constructed from perturbation theory, by resumming the leading log 1=x and=or logQ² behaviour. Resummation is achieved in various possible ways, but it is widely anticipated that the BFKL evolution equation [1], rst derived more than 20 years ago, plays a crucial role in describing the physical properties of the leading \Pomeron" singularity.

The crucial ingredient in the \construction" of the BFKL Pom eron is the kernel of the evolution equation, its spectrum and its leading eigenvalue. Both forward (q = 0) and non-forward $(q \neq 0)$ versions of the lowest order $(O (g^2))$ kernel are known. C onform alpartial waves diagonalize the $O (g^2)$ equation at non zero q, since the equation is invariant under special conform al transform ations, and in the lim it of q ! 0 reproduce the well known eigenfunctions, and eigenvalues of the BFKL parton (or forward) kernel. A necessary condition for the conform al invariance of the equation is the property of holom orphic factorization of the eigenvalues of the parton kernel.

M ost analyses of the BFKL equation involve only the O (g^2) kernel and its related properties of conform al invariance. It is, of course, in portant to see how radiative corrections a ect the leading order evolution. It is expected that renormalization e ects will introduce a running of the coupling and will spoil conform al invariance. The direct evaluation of next-to-leading-order (NLO) contributions to this equation requires both a calculation of the correction to the Regge trajectory of the gluon and corresponding corrections to the reggeon (s)-particle (s) transition vertices. So far only part of this program has been com pleted [2].

Both the leading-order kernel and an infrared approximation to the NLO order kernel have been determined by a reggeon diagram technique based indirectly on t-channel unitarity [3]. More recently we have shown [4, 5] how these results can be obtained by a direct analysis of the t-channel unitarity equations, analytically continued in the complex j plane and expanded around nonsense poles. A lso, in a recent paper, K irschner [6] has discussed how the same NLO kernel m ay emerge as an approximation when non-leading results are obtained using the s-channel multi-R egge e ective action. The kernel obtained is automatically scale invariant (there is no scale in the t-channel analysis) and is naturally expressed in term s of two-dimensional transverse momentum integrals. We should emphasize, nevertheless, that both the t-channel analysis[5] and the s-channel form alism [6], im ply that the ambiguity of the scale-dependence includes the overall norm alization of the kernel.

P reviously we have shown [7] that, in the forward case, the new NLO kernel splits naturally into two components. A part proportional to the square of the O (g^2) kernel and a new component that is separately infrared safe and has an eigenvalue spectrum sharing m any of properties of the leading-order spectrum. In particular the very important property of holom orphic factorization. From the unitarity analysis [5] we have now shown that this new component is actually a distinct partial wave am plitude that appears for the rst time at O (g^4) . It is natural to expect that the spectral property of holom orphic factorization will be related to the leading-order conform al invariance of this amplitude when it is fully identied as a non-forward kernel.

In this work we are going to elaborate further on the non-forward, scale invariant NLO kernel, by providing an explicit proof of its infrared safety and sim plifying drastically the expression given in [7]. For this purpose we extend to the non-forward case a method of calculation of the various diagram s, based on the use of com plex momenta, due to K inschner[6]. This method has been successful in reproducing the spectrum calculated in [7] and in separating, in the forward direction, the new holom orphically factorizable component. We will explicitly construct a non-forward extension of this component that has the appropriate analytic structure and satis es the W and identity constraints. We believe that this extension can indeed be identied as a new partial-wave amplitude which at \leading-order" is conform ally invariant. We intend to study this issue in the near future. Note that since the spectrum of a conform ally invariant kernel is independent of q^2 it is, in principle, de ned uniquely by the forward spectrum .)

W e will also show that the new non-forward (potentially conform ally invariant) kernel is not naturally written as a transverse m om entum integral but rather is sim ply expressed in the complex m om enta form alism of K irschner. This is interesting because the unitarity form alism of [5] actually shows that the transverse m om entum integral form alism is only necessarily applicable, as q^2 ! 0, and for the leading threshold behaviour in reggeon m ass variables. We show explicitly that extracting this threshold behavior from the transverse m on entum integral kernel is not su cient to give the desired non-forward extension. It is only at $q^2 = 0$ that a transverse m on entum integral gives the appropriate threshold behavior.

2. THE FORW ARD KERNEL

Consider st the leading-order BFKL evolution equation for parton distributions at sm all-x i.e.

$$\frac{0}{0(\ln 1 = x)} F(x;k^2) = F(x;k^2) + \frac{1}{(2)^3} \frac{d^2k^0}{(k^0)^4} K(k;k^0) F(x;k^{0^2})$$
(2.1)

with a parton kernel K $(k;k^0)$ given (for SU (N)) by

$$(N g^2)^{-1} K (k;q) = {}^{2} (k k^{0}) k^{6}^{-2} \frac{d^2 p}{p^2 (k p)^2} + \frac{2k^2 k^{0^2}}{(k k^{0})^2}$$
(2.2)

The original Regge limit derivation included also a non-forward (i.e.q \in 0 in the following) version of this equation. Transforming to ! - space, where ! is conjugate to $\ln \frac{1}{x}$, the non-forward equation takes the form

$$!F(!;k;q k) = F' + \frac{1}{16^{3}} \frac{d^{2}k^{0}}{(k^{0})^{2}(k^{0} q)^{2}} K(k;k^{0};q)F(!;k^{0};q k^{0})$$
(2:3)

where the \reggeon" kernel K $(k;k^{0};q) = K_{2;2}^{(2)}(q k;k;k^{0};q k^{0})$ contains three kinem atic form s.

$$\frac{1}{N g^{2}} K_{2;2}^{(2)} (k_{1}; k_{2}; k_{3}; k_{4}) = \frac{1}{2} k_{1}^{4} J_{1} (k_{1}^{2}) k_{2}^{2} (16^{-3})^{-2} (k_{2} - k_{3}) + \frac{k_{1}^{2} k_{3}^{2}}{(k_{1} - k_{4})^{2}} \frac{1}{2} (k_{1} + k_{2})^{2} - K_{1}^{(2)} + K_{2}^{(2)} + K_{3}^{(2)} :$$

$$(2:4)$$

where

$$J_1(k^2) = \frac{1}{16^{-3}}^Z \frac{d^2k^0}{(k^0)^2 (k^0 - k)^2}$$
(2.5)

and the $\stackrel{P}{}$ implies that we sum over combined permutations of both the initial and the nalstate (i.e. 1 < > 2 combined with 3 < > 4).

W e use transverse m om entum diagram s, which we construct using the com ponents illustrated in Fig. 2.1.



Fig. 2.1 (a) vertices and (b) interm ediate states in transverse m om entum.

The rules for writing amplitudes corresponding to the diagram s are the following

For each vertex, illustrated in Fig. 2.1 (a), we write a factor

16 ^{3 2}
$$(k_{i})^{X}$$
 $(k_{i})^{X}$ $(k_{i})^{2}$

For each interm ediate state, illustrated in Fig. 2.1 (b), we write a factor

(16³)ⁿ
$$d^2k_1 ::: d^2k_n = k_1^2 ::: k_n^2$$

D in ensionless kernels are de ned by a hat

$$\hat{K}_{2;2}^{(2)}(k_1;k_2;k_3;k_4) = 16^{-3-2}(k_1 + k_2 - k_3 - k_4)K_{2;2}^{(2)}(k_1;k_2;k_3;k_4)$$

The kernels so de ned are form ally scale-invariant (even though potentially infra-red divergent). The diagram matic representation of $\hat{K}_{2,2}^{(2)}$, the non forward BFKL kernel, is then as in Fig.22.

$$\sum \left(-\frac{1}{2} \xrightarrow{--} + \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

Fig. 2.2 D iagram m atic representation of $\hat{K}_{2;2}^{(2)}$

The summation sign again implies a sum over combined permutations of the initial and nalmomenta.

The O (g^4) transverse m om entum integral kernel K $_{2,2}^{(4)}$, obtained by considering the contribution of the 4-particle nonsense states to the unitarity equations is de ned by the sum

$$\frac{1}{(g^2N)^2} K_{2;2}^{(4n)}(k_1;k_2;k_3;k_4) = K_0^{(4)} + K_1^{(4)} + K_2^{(4)} + K_3^{(4)} + K_4^{(4)} :$$
(2:6)

with

$$K_{0}^{(4)} = k_{1}^{4}k_{2}^{4}J_{1}(k_{1}^{2})J_{1}(k_{2}^{2}) (16^{3})^{2}(k_{2} k_{3}); \qquad (2:7)$$

$$K_{1}^{(4)} = \frac{2}{3} x_{1}^{X} k_{1}^{4} J_{2} (k_{1}^{2}) k_{2}^{2} (16^{-3})^{-2} (k_{2} - k_{3})$$
 (2.8)

$$K_{2}^{(4)} = \frac{X_{2}}{(k_{1}^{2} - k_{1}^{2})k_{2}^{2}k_{3}^{2} + k_{1}^{2}k_{3}^{2}J_{1}(k_{4}^{2})k_{4}^{2}}{(k_{1} - k_{4})^{2}}; \qquad (2.9)$$

$$K_{3}^{(4)} = k_{2}^{2}k_{4}^{2}J_{1}((k_{1} k_{4})^{2});$$
 (2:10)

and

$$K_{4}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad k_{1}^{2} k_{2}^{2} k_{3}^{2} k_{4}^{2} I(k_{1}; k_{2}; k_{3}; k_{4}); \qquad (2:11)$$

where J_1 (k^2) is dened by (2.5) and

$$J_{2}(k^{2}) = \frac{1}{16^{3}} \int_{0}^{Z} d^{2}q \frac{1}{(k - q)^{2}} J_{1}(q^{2}) ; \qquad (2.12)$$

and

$$I(k_1;k_2;k_3;k_4) = \frac{1}{16^{-3}} d^2 p \frac{1}{p^2 (p + k_1)^2 (p + k_1 - k_4)^2 (p + k_3)^2}:$$
(2:13)

The diagram matic representation of $\vec{K}_{2,2}^{4n}$ is shown in Fig.2.3.

Fig.2.3 The diagram matic representation of $\hat{K}_{2;2}^{\,4n}$.

The evaluation of these diagrams (in particular the non planar box) has been done by an extension of the K allen and Tollm ethod [8], developed in [7]. This involves a rew riting of the \trees " [8] of the decomposition in a suitable base. The decomposition has the advantage of generating a minimal number of logarithms. The proliferation of logarithms at NLO is a considerable source of complexity. (At leading order there is a logarithm only in the trajectory function of the gluon.) In particular, the box introduces 6 logarithms, each of which is obtained by putting on shell 2 lines (pairwise) and which we represent as in Fig. 2.4.



Fig. 2.4 Tree diagram s obtained by putting on-shell the crossed lines.

The logarithm s are of two types:

- 1) external line m ass" thresholds i.e. A_{12} ; A_{14} ; A_{23} and A_{34} four logarithm s.
- 2) \s" and \t" thresholds i.e. A_{13} ; A_{24} -two logarithm s.

In the forward direction it is straightforward to combine the type 2) logarithms from the box with the logarithms of the connected components $K_2^{(4)}$ and $K_3^{(4)}$ giving (in the notation of [7] K_1). Adding the logarithms generated by the disconnected components $K_0^{(4)}$; $K_1^{(4)}$ (denoted in [7] as K_0) gives a kernel which is infrared safe both before and after convolution with the eigenfunctions and is equal to the square of the lowest order BFKL kernel $K_{2,2}^{(2)}$. That is we have the identity

$$\hat{K}_0 + \hat{K}_1 = \frac{1}{4} \hat{K}_{2;2}^{(2)}$$
; (2:14)

The proof of this identity is given in [7].

The set of box diagram logarithms 1) was denoted in [7] as K_2 . It contains only the mass thresholds and is the contribution which, in the forward direction, the unitarity analysis of [5] determ ines should be correctly given by the transverse momentum integral formalism. It is a new, separately infrared nite, kernel for which the spectrum has been calculated and shown to satisfy the property of holom orphic factorization [7]. Therefore for the full forward, or parton, kernel we can write

$$K_{2;2}^{(4)} = g^2 K_{BFKL} + O(g^4) (K_{BFKL}=2)^2 + K_2$$
: (2.15)

where both K_{BFKL} and K_2 have a spectrum which is holom orphically factorizable. In both cases the spectrum is also infrared (IR) safe. (In writing $0 (g^4)$ " in (2.15) we have indicated the norm alization uncertainty due to scale dependence.)

W hile a direct check of IR safety is easily accomplished in the case of the forward kernel, the case of the non forward kernel, starting from its explicit expression given in [7], is far less obvious. The proof of infrared safety given there involves diagram m atic identities. In the next sections we are going to reproduce this cancelation by de ning suitable, consistent, regularizations of the various components of the kernel. W e will work directly in two dimensions and show from the nal expression that the resulting kernel is IR nite. The m ethod employs an analytic continuation of the diagram s to complex space.

3. THE NON-FORWARD TRANSVERSE MOMENTUM DIAGRAM KERNEL

K inschner has recently shown [6] that the same separation of the K₂ component from the remaining part of the g^4 kernel, rst obtained in [7], can be reobtained by performing a complex expansion of the relevant diagrams. Here we extend his method of calculation to the non-forward case. We complexify the \propagators" and \vertices" as follows. We write

$$\frac{1}{k^{2}} \quad ! \quad \frac{1}{kk} \quad \frac{1}{k^{2}}$$

$$(k+q)^{2} (k-q)^{2} \quad ! \quad k+q^{2}k \quad q^{2} = k^{2} \quad q^{2}jk^{0} \quad q^{2}j: \qquad (3.1)$$

That is we replace all the momenta $k = (k_0; k_1)$ by their complex versions $k = k_0 + ik_1$. We also de ne

$$RR^{0} \dot{k}^{2} q^{2} \dot{f} \dot{k}^{0} q \dot{f}$$
(3.2)

The contribution of the box diagram to $K_4^{(4)}$ is now given by

$$I[xx] = RR^{0} \frac{d^{2}l}{j(l+k+q)jjjj(l+k+k^{0})jj(l+k^{0}+q)j};$$
 (3.3)

W e partial fraction the denom inator by writing

$$A = \frac{1}{1(1+k^{0}+k)} = \frac{1}{(k+k^{0})} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1+k+k^{0}}$$
$$B = \frac{1}{(1+k+q)(1+k^{0}+q)} = \frac{1}{(k-k^{0})} \frac{1}{1+k+q} \frac{1}{1+k^{0}+q};$$

so that

$$I[pox] = RR^{0} \frac{dldl}{4\dot{y}\dot{f}\dot{j}l+\dot{j}}\dot{f}+\dot{j}\dot{f}+AB+AB \qquad (3.4)$$

where

$$\frac{(k+q)(k^{0}+q)}{2q}$$
(3.5)

In the limit q ! 0 one can show that the \m ixed products" A B and AB give directly that part of the box which we have identi ed above as K_2 . As we have discussed previously and will discuss further below, there are good reasons to think this part of the scale invariant kernel is a new contribution at NLO which is not related to renorm alization e ects.

$$Z = \frac{dldl}{l(l+)} = 2 \log \frac{1}{jj}$$

$$Z = \frac{dldl}{l+j} = 2 \log \frac{1}{jj}$$

$$Z = 2 \log \frac{1}{l+j}$$

$$Z = 2 \log \frac{1}{l$$

The second integral is discussed further in Appendix A. It is easy to show that all the spurious UV singularities introduced by the complex decomposition cancel. The infrared singularities, instead, in single integrals which are IR divergent, do not cancel. The analysis of their cancellation is the non trivial part of our analysis. W ith the above de nitions we obtain e.g.

$$I_{1} = \int_{1}^{2} \frac{dldl}{jl + jl(l + k^{0} + q)} = \frac{2}{(k^{0} + q)} \log \frac{jk^{0} + qj_{1}}{jk^{0} + q j_{1}}$$
(3.7)

and

$${}^{Z} \frac{\text{dldl}}{j + j(l + k + k^{0})(l + k + q)} = \frac{2}{{}^{0}(k^{0} - q - {}^{0})} \log \frac{j {}^{0}(q - q)}{j {}^{0}(q - q)}; \quad (3.8)$$

where 0 k q.

W e can now evaluate the integrals involving A and B as follows. The $\mbox{\sc m}$ ixed" terms give

$$\begin{split} I\mathbb{A}B \] + cx := \ \dot{k}^{2} \ \ \dot{q}^{2}\dot{j}\,\dot{k}^{0} \ \ \dot{q}^{2}\dot{j}^{2} \ \ \frac{ddl \ AB}{\dot{j}ql + (k + q)(k^{0} + q)\dot{f}} \\ &= \frac{\dot{k}^{2} \ \ \dot{q}^{2}\dot{j}\,\dot{k}^{0} \ \ \dot{q}^{2}\dot{f}}{4\dot{j}q\dot{j}(k + k^{0})(k \ \ k^{0})} \ \ \frac{2}{(k + q \)} \log \frac{\dot{k} + qj_{1}}{j \ \ \dot{j}k + q \ \ \dot{j}} \\ &= \frac{2}{(k^{0} + q \)} \log \frac{\dot{k}^{0} + qj_{1}}{j \ \ \dot{j}k^{0} + q \ \ \dot{j}} \ \ \frac{2}{(k + q \)} \log \frac{\dot{k}^{0} \ \ \dot{q}j_{1}}{(k + q)(k + k^{0} \)} \log \frac{\dot{k}^{0} \ \ \dot{q}j_{1}}{j \ \ \dot{k} + k^{0} \ \ \dot{j}} \\ &+ \frac{2}{(k^{0} \ \ q)(k + k^{0} \)} \log \frac{\dot{k} \ \ \dot{q}j_{1}}{j \ \ \dot{k}^{0} \ \ \dot{q}j_{1} + k^{0} \ \ \dot{j}} + c:c: \end{split}$$
(3.9)

Similarly we obtain

$$I[A^{j}] = k^{2} q^{2}jk^{\alpha} q^{2}j^{2} \frac{dldl A^{j}}{j^{2}ql + (k + q)(k^{0} + q)j^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{k^{2} q^{2}jk^{\alpha} q^{2}j^{2}}{4jq^{2}k + k^{0}j^{2}} \frac{2}{(k + k^{0})} \log \frac{k + k^{0}j_{1}}{(k + k^{0})} \frac{2}{(k + k^{0})}$$

$$\log \frac{k + k^{0}j_{1}}{jjk + k^{0}} + \frac{4}{j^{2}}\log \frac{j}{j} + \frac{4}{k + k^{0}} \log \frac{k + k^{0}}{j}\log \frac{j}{1}$$
(3.10)

and

$$\begin{split} I[B\hat{f}] &= \hat{x}^{2} \quad q^{2}\hat{f} \hat{x}^{0} \quad q^{2}\hat{f}^{2} \quad \frac{dldl \quad B\hat{f}}{j^{2}ql + (k + q)(k^{0} + q)\hat{f}} \\ &= \frac{2\hat{x}^{2} \quad q^{2}\hat{f} \hat{x}^{0} \quad q^{2}\hat{f}}{4\hat{y}\hat{f}\hat{x} \quad k^{0}\hat{f}} \quad \frac{2}{\hat{x} + q} \quad \hat{j} \log \frac{\hat{x} + q}{1} \quad j \\ &+ \frac{2}{\hat{x}^{0} + q} \quad \hat{j} \log \frac{\hat{x}^{0} + q}{1} \quad j \quad \frac{k + q}{(k + q)(k^{0} + q)} \log \frac{\hat{x}^{0} + q}{1\hat{x}^{0} \quad kj} \int \frac{1}{k + q} \quad j \\ &+ \frac{2}{\hat{x}^{0} + q} \quad \hat{j} \log \frac{\hat{x}^{0} + q}{1} \quad j \quad \frac{k + q}{(k + q)(k^{0} + q)} \log \frac{\hat{x}^{0} + q}{1\hat{x}^{0} \quad kj} \int \frac{1}{k + q} \int \frac{1}{k + q} \int \frac{1}{k + q} \quad j \\ &+ \frac{2}{k + q} \quad \hat{j} \log \frac{\hat{x}^{0} + q}{1} \quad \frac{1}{k + q} \quad j \\ &+ \frac{2}{k + q} \quad \hat{j} \log \frac{\hat{x}^{0} + q}{1 \quad k + q} \int \frac{1}{k + q} \int$$

$$\frac{k+q}{k+q} \frac{k^{0}+q}{k^{0}+q} \frac{jk+q}{k^{0}} \frac{j}{k^{0}}$$
(3.11)

M oving on to the other connected components of K $_{2,2}^{(4)}$, we obtain

$$K_{2}^{(4)}(k;k^{0};q) = \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}{k^{0} + k^{0} j^{2}} \log \frac{k^{0}}{1} + \frac{4 \frac{j}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}} \log \frac{k^{0}}{1} q_{j}^{2}}{1} + \frac{4 \frac{j}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}} \log \frac{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}{1}}{1} + \frac{4 \frac{j}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}} \log \frac{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}{1}}{1} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{1} \log \frac{j \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2}}{1} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{1} \log \frac{j \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2}}{1} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + k^{0} \frac{q_{j}^{2}}{1}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + k^{0} \frac{q_{j}^{2}}{1}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + k^{0} \frac{q_{j}^{2}}{1}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + k^{0} \frac{q_{j}^{2}}{1}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + k^{0} \frac{q_{j}^{2}}{1}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + k^{0} \frac{q_{j}^{2}}{1}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + k^{0} \frac{q_{j}^{2}}{1}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + q_{j}^{2}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + q_{j}^{2}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + q_{j}^{2}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + q_{j}^{2}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + q_{j}^{2}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + q_{j}^{2}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + q_{j}^{2}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + q_{j}^{2}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + q_{j}^{2}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}}{k^{0} + q_{j}^{2}}}{\frac{k^{0}}{k} + q_{j}^{2}} + \frac{4 \frac{k}{k} + q_{j}^{2} \frac{k^{0}$$

and

$$K_{3}^{(4)}(k;k^{0};q) = \frac{4 + q^{2}k^{0} + q^{2}}{k + k^{0}f} \log \frac{k + k^{0}f}{1} + \frac{4 + q^{2}k^{0} + q^{2}}{j + k^{0}f} \log \frac{j + k^{0}f}{1} + \frac{4 + q^{2}k^{0} + q^{2}}{j + k^{0}f} \log \frac{j + k^{0}f}{1} + \frac{4 + q^{2}k^{0} + q^{2}}{k + k^{0}f} \log \frac{k + k^{0}f}{1}$$

$$+ \frac{4 + q^{2}k + q^{2}j + k^{0} + q^{2}}{k + k^{0}f} \log \frac{k + k^{0}f}{1} + \frac{4 + q^{2}k + q^{2}j + k^{0} + q^{2}}{k + k^{0}f} \log \frac{k + k^{0}f}{1}$$

$$(3.13)$$

4. INFRARED CANCELLATIONS

In order to prove that the complete kernel, $K_{2,2}^{(4)}$, is IR safe, and to simplify the notation, let's de ne R₁ as the operation which isolates the infrared sensitive logarithm s of all the components i.e. the coe cient of log₁. We get (om itting an overall factor of 2)

$$R_{1} I[AB] = \frac{RR^{0}}{4jqf} \frac{1}{(k+q)(k^{0}+q)(k+k^{0})} + cc:$$
(4.1)

leading to

$$R_{1} I[AB + AB] = 8\dot{g}\dot{f}; \qquad (4.2)$$

This shows that the "m ixed" contributions are separately \mathbb{R} safe only in the forward direction i.e. q = 0.

W e sim ilarly obtain

$$R_{1} I[\bar{j}A^{2}] = 4j\bar{j}f^{2} \frac{k q^{2}jk^{0} q^{2}j + k + q^{2}jk^{0} + q^{2}j}{k + k^{0}j^{2}}$$
(4.3)

and

$$R_{1} I[\vec{B}^{2}] = 4 \dot{m}_{1}^{2} \frac{\dot{k} + q \dot{f} \dot{k}^{0} q \dot{f} + \dot{k} q \dot{f} \dot{k}^{0} + q \dot{f}}{\dot{k} + k^{0} \dot{f}}$$
(4.4)

Combining these last results with (4.2) we see that R $_1$ I [box] is non zero. We conclude that the box diagram is not separately IR safe.

W e also obtain

$$R_{1} K_{4}^{(4)} = \frac{2}{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}^{0} \mathbf{f}} \mathbf{k} q\mathbf{f} \mathbf{k}^{0} q\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{k} + q\mathbf{f} \mathbf{k}^{0} + q\mathbf{f}$$

$$\frac{2}{\mathbf{k} k^{0} \mathbf{f}} \mathbf{k} q\mathbf{f} \mathbf{k}^{0} + q\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{k} + q\mathbf{f} \mathbf{k}^{0} q\mathbf{f}$$
(4.5)

and, after a quite involved pattern of cancellations,

$$R_{1} (K_{2}^{(4)} + K_{3}^{(4)}) = + \frac{2}{\mathbf{k} + k^{0}\mathbf{f}} (\mathbf{k}^{0} + q\mathbf{f}\mathbf{k} q\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{k}^{0} q\mathbf{f}\mathbf{k} + q\mathbf{f}) + \frac{2}{\mathbf{k} k^{0}\mathbf{f}} (\mathbf{k}^{0} + q\mathbf{f}\mathbf{k} q\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{k}^{0} q\mathbf{f}\mathbf{k} + q\mathbf{f})$$
(4.6)

Combining (42), (43), (42), (45) and (4.6) we obtain

$$R_{1} (K_{2}^{(4)} + K_{3}^{(4)} + K_{4}^{(4)}) = 0$$
(4.7)

showing that the infra-red divergences cancel.

5. SEPARATION OF THRESHOLDS

The holom orphic factorization properties of K_2 clearly suggest that there should be a conform ally invariant extension to the non-forward direction. Since we

expect to identify this extension as a new partial-wave reggeon amplitude we look for a separately infra-red nite component of the non-forward kernel which satis es the W and identity constraint that it vanish when any k_i ! 0; i = 1; ::; 4. From the unitarity analysis of [5] and the discussion in [7] we know that we should try to isolate the thresholds from the box diagram associated with logarithms of type 1) discussed in Section 2.

The logarithm swe are interested in are again present in the mixed term sAB and A B discussed in the last Section. However, there are also additional logarithm s of the form $q^2 \log 4q^2$, which are associated with infra-red divergences that appear. If we extract these logarithm swe obtain

$$I_{AB}(q) = (I \quad R_{q} \quad R_{-1}) \quad IAB + cc:]$$

$$= \frac{2 \quad (k+q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k^{0}+q)^{2} \quad (k^{0} \quad q)^{2}}{(k+k^{0})^{2} \quad (k \quad k^{0})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{(k^{2} \quad q^{2}) \quad (k^{2} \quad k^{2}) + [qk^{0}] \quad k^{0}k]}{(k+q)^{2} \quad (k^{0} \quad q)^{2} \quad (k+q)^{2}} \log (k^{0}+q)^{2} \quad (k^{0} \quad q)^{2} \quad (k+q)^{2}}{(k^{0}+q)^{2} \quad (k^{0}+q)^{2} \quad (k^{0}+q)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{(k^{2} \quad q^{2}) \quad (k^{2} \quad k^{2}) + [qk] \quad k^{0}k]}{(k^{0}+q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k^{0}+q)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{(k^{2} \quad q^{2}) \quad (k^{2} \quad k^{2}) + [kq] \quad k^{0}k]}{(k+q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2}} \log (k + q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2}}{(k^{0}+q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{(k^{2} \quad q^{2}) \quad (k^{2} \quad k^{2}) + [k^{0}q] \quad k^{0}k]}{(k^{0}+q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2}} \log (k^{0}+q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2}} :$$

$$= \frac{(k^{2} \quad q^{2}) \quad (k^{2} \quad k^{2}) + [k^{0}q] \quad k^{0}k]}{(k^{0}+q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2}} :$$

$$= \frac{(k^{2} \quad q^{2}) \quad (k^{2} \quad k^{2}) + [k^{0}q] \quad k^{0}k]}{(k^{0}+q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2}} \left(k \quad q)^{2} \quad (k \quad q)^{2} :$$

where we have de ned [qk] q k qk. Introducing vectors $\hat{k} = (k_1; k_0)$, dual to $k = (k_0; k_1)$, with the properties $\hat{k}^2 = k^2$ and $\hat{k} = 0$,

$$[qk] = 2i\hat{k} \quad q: \tag{5.2}$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$I_{AB} (q) = \begin{cases} k^2 + k^2 k^2 k^2 k^2 k^2 \\ q^2 + k^2 k^2 k^2 k^2 k^2 k^2 k^2 k^2 \\ (k + k^2)^2 (k - k^2)^2 \log \frac{k^2}{k^2} \\ = K_2 \end{cases}$$
(5:3)

However, I_{AB} (q) has several problems if we wish to identify it as a non-forward extension of K_2 . It is not infra-red nite in the sense that the arguments of the

logarithms are not ratios of momentum factors. In addition the behaviour at the thresholds i.e. at $q \ k \ 0$ and $q \ k^0 \ 0$ is su ciently singular that the W ard identities are not satis ed. That is I_{AB} (q) does not vanish in these limits.

We conclude that the transverse m on entum integral corresponding to the nonforward box diagram does not contain the extension of K₂ that we are seeking. Given the limitations of the transverse m on entum integral form alism away from $q^2 = 0$ that we have discussed in [5] this is, perhaps, not surprising.

For completeness we also give here the explicit expression for the remainder of the O (g^4) connected part of K $_{2,2}^{(4)}$. That is if we write

$$K_{2}^{(4)} + K_{3}^{(4)} + K_{4}^{(4)} = R (q) + I_{AB} (q)$$
 (5:4)

then

$$R (q) = \frac{2 (k+q)^{2} (k - q)^{2} (k^{0} + q)^{2} (k^{0} - q)^{2}}{(k+k^{0})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{(k^{0} - q^{2}) (k^{2} - q^{2}) + 2 [qk] [qk^{0}]}{(k+q)^{2} (k - q)^{2} (k^{0} + q)^{2} (k^{0} - q)^{2} (k^{0} + q)$$

6. THE NON-FORWARD EXTENSION OF K2

From the discussion of the last Section, it is clear that to nd an extension

of K₂ that satis es the W and identity constraints, we must weaken the thresholds in I_{AB} (q) at q k! 0 and q k⁰! 0. A simple way to achieve this is to remove the denom inator in (3.4). To retain the correct dimension we must modify the \vertex function" and reduce the degree of the zeroes at q k! 0, q k⁰! 0. Consequently we now de ne

$$K_{2}(k;k^{0};q) = (q^{2} k^{2})(q^{2} k^{0}) \text{ dldl} [A B + AB]$$
 (6.1)

Using extensively the rst integral in (3.6) we obtain

$$K_{2}(k;k^{0};q) = \frac{(k^{2} + k^{0})(q^{2} + k^{2})(q^{2} + k^{0})}{(k + k^{0})^{2}(k + k^{0})^{2}} \log \frac{(q + k)^{2}(q + k^{0})^{2}}{(q + k^{0})^{2}(q + k^{0})^{2}}$$
(6.2)

C learly

$$K_{2}(q;k;k^{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} & K_{2} \\ & q^{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (6:3)

It is also manifest that $K_2(k;k^0;q) ! 0$ when q k ! 0 or $q k^0 ! 0$. Consequently $K_2(k;k^0;q)$ satis as the W and identity constraints, has all the right symmetries, and has singularities only at the desired thresholds.

7. CONCLUSIONS

It is clearly of considerable interest to study the conformal properties of $K_2(q;k;k^0)$ in the conjugate impact parameter space. Given the parallel with the leading-order kernel it is natural to expect that we will nd an analogous conformal invariance property. Indeed we conjecture that the W and Identity constraints are the crucial feature that determ ine the conformally invariant non-forward extension of a kernel with a holom orphically factorizable spectrum.

It is interesting that to obtain $K_2(k;k^0;q)$ we had to abandon the transverse momentum integral formalism and go to the complex momentum formalism of K inschner. This is clearly related to the natural connection between the complex momenta formalism and conformal symmetry. It is also consistent with the limitations of the transverse momentum integral formalism uncovered in the unitarity analysis of [5].

A cknow ledgem ents

R.P. thanks the Theory G roup at Argonne for its hospitality. C \mathcal{L} . warm ly thanks the Theory G roup at the Physics D ept. of the Univ. of Lecce, Italy, for their hospitality at various stages of this work.

Appendix A.Regularization of Integrals

This appendix illustrates in more detail the procedure adopted in the regularization of the various integrals we encounter. As an example let's consider

$$I_{1} = \int_{-1}^{Z} \frac{d^{2}l}{l(l+1)}$$
(A.1)

in which the complex integration region is de ned for jlj < 1, since there is an UV divergence. We rewrite it as a contour integral on the unit circle

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{Z} dj j \frac{1}{iw} \frac{dw}{(1 + w \frac{1}{j})}$$
(A.2)

and perform the contour integral to get

$$I_1 = 2 \int_{jj}^{Z} dj lj = 2 \log \frac{1}{jj}$$
 (A.3)

Complex changes of variables are also allowed

k !
$$1 + = 1^{0}$$

l ! $1 + = 1^{0}$
dldl = dl⁰dl⁰ (A.4)

giving

$$Z = \frac{dldl}{jl+j} = 2 \qquad \sum_{1}^{Z} \frac{dl^{0}dl^{0}}{jl^{0}j}$$
$$= 2 \log \frac{1}{1}; \qquad (A.5)$$

Notice that this integral is a m assless tadpole" and, as we know, in dimensional regularization (DR) it is hard to make sense out of it both in 2 + and in 2

dimensions. Therefore, massless tadpoles, in DR are set to be zero. This is not the case in our analysis and eq. (A.5), therefore, has to be handled with a special care.

In order to further illustrate the last point let's consider the integral

$$b = \frac{z}{jl} \frac{d^2 l}{j^2} = \frac{1}{i} \int_{0}^{z} dj j \frac{dw}{(w - \frac{1}{l})(\frac{j}{l} - w)}$$
(A.6)

where we have again rewritten the angular integral in a contour form. The radial integral is ill de ned. In our case we get

$$b = 2 \begin{array}{c} z_{1} \\ d \downarrow j \downarrow j \\ j \downarrow j \downarrow j \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} z_{j j} \\ d \downarrow j \downarrow j \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} z_{j j} \\ d \downarrow j \downarrow j \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} z_{j j} \\ d \downarrow j \downarrow j \\ 0 \end{array}$$
(A.7)

A careful evaluation then gives

$$b = \log \frac{4\left(\frac{1}{2} + j\right)^{2}}{1^{2}}$$

= 2 log $\frac{1}{1}$ + (2 log 2): (A.8)

Notice that this last term (2 log 2) has to be omitted in order to obtain consistent results. By doing so the result is consistent with dimensional regularization.

The m ethod, therefore, simply consists of a combination of partial fractioning of complex propagators with an application of eq. (3.6) to evaluate all the integrals involved. Partial fractioning introduces spurious singularities at interm ediate stages, which cancel only if the integral is well de ned. For example, let's consider

$${}^{Z} \frac{dldl}{jl(l+k+k^{0})\frac{1}{j}} = {}^{Z} \frac{dldl}{jk+k^{0}j} \frac{1}{l} \frac{1}{l+k+k^{0}}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{2}{jk+k^{0}j} \log \frac{1}{l} \log \frac{1}{jk+k^{0}j}$$

$$= \frac{2}{jk+k^{0}j} \log \frac{jk+k^{0}j}{l}; \qquad (A.9)$$

where the Log $_1$ term s cancel in the nal result. It can shown that all such term s disappear in the nalexpression of the box, as expected. Notice that (A.9) is exactly what one expects from dimensional regularization after expanding the result for the

self energy diagram in D = 2 + dimensions and introducing a renormalization scale 1. In fact

^Z
$$\frac{d^{2+}}{l^2(l+k+k^0)^2} = \frac{(k+k^0)^2}{(k+k^0)^2} \log \frac{(k+k^0)^2}{l^2} + 0$$
 (): (A.10)

The derivation of I_1 , given in section 3, proceeds as follows. After a partial fractioning we get

$$I_{1} = \frac{1}{(k+q)}^{Z} \text{ dldl} \frac{1}{1(l+)} \frac{1}{1(l+k+q)} \frac{1}{jk+j} + \frac{1}{(l+)(l+k+q)}$$
(A.11)

Using (3.6) we get

$$I_{1} = \frac{2}{(k+q)} \log \frac{1}{jj} \log \frac{1}{jk+qj} \log \frac{1}{1} + \log \frac{1}{jk+q-j}$$
(A.12)

Combining all the 4 terms together we get the result given in section 3.

A s another example we consider

$$I_{2} = \frac{d^{2}l}{jl + j(l + k + q)(l + k^{0} + q)}$$
(A.13)

A fter partial fractioning the denom inator

$$\frac{1}{(l+)(l+)(l+)(l+k+q)(l+k^{0}+q)} = \frac{1}{(k+q)(k^{0}+q)}$$

$$\frac{1}{(l+)(l+k^{0}+q)}$$

$$\frac{1}{(l+)(l+k^{0}+q)}$$

$$\frac{1}{(l+k+q)(l+)} + \frac{1}{(l+k+q)((l+k^{0}+q))}$$
(A.14)

and closing contour integrations in the various sub-integrals we get

$$I_{2} = \frac{2}{(k+q)(k^{0}+q)} \log_{-1} \frac{1}{j^{0}+q} \log_{-1} \frac{1}{j^{0}+q}$$

An additional check on the consistency of the m ethods of regularization, after partial fractioning, comes from the cancellation of the $q^2 \log q^2$ terms in the nonforward kernel. We have brie y mentioned this important point in Section 5. Since the proof is not obvious, we brie y sketch it here. We introduce a new subtraction, denoted as R_q , which isolates the log $4q^2$ terms in the \m ixed" contributions. A fter som e appropriate manipulations we get

$$R_{q}I[AB + cc:] = \frac{RR^{0}[(k+q)(k^{0}+q)(k+k^{0}) + cc:]}{4jqjk+q} = 8jqj;$$
(A.16)

(an overall factor of 2 has been om itted). Sim ilarly

$$R_{q} I[A^{2}] = \frac{RR^{0}}{4jqfk + k^{0}f} - \frac{1}{(k + k^{0})} - \frac{1}{(k + k^{0})}$$

$$\frac{1}{jf} \frac{1}{jk + k^{0}f} = 4jqf$$
(A.17)

and

$$R_{q} I[B^{2}] = \frac{RR^{0}}{4jqfk} \frac{1}{k^{0}f} \frac{1}{k+q-f} \frac{1}{jk^{0}+q-f} + \frac{1}{k+q-f} + \frac{1}{k+q-f} \frac{1}{k+q-f} = 4jqf(A-18)$$

Therefore we have the identity

$$R_q I[box] = R_q I[AB + cc:] + I[Af] + I[Bf] = 0$$
 (A.19)

as should be the case.

The expression for $(1 R_q R_1) I[AB + cc:]$ has been given in Section 5. Using this, together with the identity

$$I[box] = (1 R_q) I[AB + cc:] + (1 R_q) (I[A^2] + I[B]):$$
 (A 20)

we obtain for the other parts of the box

$$(1 \ R_{q} \ R_{1}) \ I[\dot{A}^{2}] = \frac{2 \ R R^{0}}{\dot{k} + k^{0} f}$$

and

$$(1 \quad R_{q} \quad R_{1}) \quad I[\mathfrak{B}^{2}] = \frac{2 \quad RR^{0}}{\mathfrak{k} \quad k^{0}\mathfrak{f}}$$

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{k}^{0}\mathfrak{f} \quad \mathfrak{j}\mathfrak{g}^{2})(\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{f} \quad \mathfrak{j}\mathfrak{g}^{2})}{RR^{0}}\log \frac{\mathfrak{k} \quad k^{0}\mathfrak{f}}{RR^{0}}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{\mathfrak{k} + q\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{k}^{0} \quad q\mathfrak{f}}\log \mathfrak{k} + q\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{k}^{0} \quad q\mathfrak{f} + \frac{2}{\mathfrak{k} \quad q\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{k}^{0} + q\mathfrak{f}}\log \mathfrak{k} \quad q\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{k}^{0} + q\mathfrak{f} :$$
(A 22)

U sing these relations and the identity (4.7) we obtain an expression for the full NLO connected kernel of the form

$$K_{2}^{(4)} + K_{3}^{(4)} + K_{4}^{(4)} = K_{2}^{(4)} + K_{3}^{(4)} + I[box]$$

= (1 R_q R₁) (K₂⁽⁴⁾ + K₃⁽⁴⁾) + (1 R_q R₁) I[AB +
+ (1 R_q R₁) (I[A²]] + I[B²]): (A 23)

Appendix B. Spectrum Evaluation.

This appendix contains some comparison of two possible ways to evaluate the spectrum of the various kernel components that we have discussed. The rst method, already presented in [7], is based on the use of dimensional regularization. The second method has been brie y discussed by Kirschner[6]. In the case of the forward kernel, the evaluation of the spectrum of the new partial wave component is more easily performed by using dimensional regularization. However, we anticipate that the second approach may be useful for studying the non-forward kernel and so we give more details here.

A simple treatment of the bubble diagram or 2-point function, $J_1(k)$, illustrates both methods. In the approach of [6] we work in D = 2 and regulate the 2-point function by a cuto () using the integral representation

$$[\mathbf{j}k^{0} \quad k\mathbf{j} \quad] = \frac{1}{2\mathbf{i}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z} & \mathbf{i}\mathbf{l} + \mathbf{d}\mathbf{l} \\ \mathbf{z} & \mathbf{i}\mathbf{l} + \mathbf{d}\mathbf{l} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{j}k^{0} & \mathbf{k}\mathbf{j} \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}} : \quad (B.1)$$

The contour in ! is closed on the right half plane or on the left half plane depending on whether k^0 kj < ² or k^0 kj > ² respectively. All the diagram s will now depend on ! and the nal result in the calculation of the spectrum is obtained by extracting the residui for ! = 0 of the eigenvalues (i.e. perform ing the integral over ! at the end).

This method allows us to work directly at D = 2 without the need of a mass cuto in the propagators. Therefore, we regulate J_1 (k) by

(For simplicity we om it an overall factor $1 = (16)^3$ compared to (2.5)). A fler inserting the representation of the step-function given by (B.1) and after performing the integral over the bop momentum with the help of the formula (with D = 2)

$$I[R;S] = \frac{Z}{[(k q)^{2}]^{R}[k^{2}]^{S}}$$

=
$$\frac{D^{=2}[D=2][R][D=2][R+S][R+S][D=2]}{[R][S][D][R][S][P][R][S][Q]^{R+S}[D=2]};$$
(B.3)

it is straightforward to obtain

$$J_{1;\text{reg}}(k) = \frac{1}{(2 \text{ i})^2 k^2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d! d!_0}{d! \cdot 1} & \frac{k^2}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d! d!_0}{2} & \frac{k^2}{2} \end{bmatrix} C (!;!_0)$$
(B.4)

where

$$C(!;!_{0}) = (\frac{1}{!} + \frac{1}{!_{0}})f(!;!_{0});$$
(B.5)

with

$$f(!;!_{0}) = \frac{[1 ! !_{0}] [1 + !_{0}] [1 + !_{0}]}{[1 !_{0}] [1 !_{0}] [1 !_{1}] [1 + ! + !_{0}]};$$
(B.6)

A fter expanding in $\,!\,$ and $\,!_{\,0}$ and picking up the residui at the single poles in both variables we get

$$J_{1,\text{reg}}(k) = \frac{1}{(2 \text{ i})^2 k^2} \int \frac{d! d!_0}{!!_0} (2 \text{ Log} \frac{k^2}{2} + \cdots) f(!;!_0)$$
$$= \frac{2}{k^2} \log \frac{k^2}{2}; \qquad (B.7)$$

which is the usual (cuto) expression. As shown in [7], the eigenfunctions of the NLO unitarity kernelare of the form f_n ; $(k) = (jkj^2)^{1+2+i} (\frac{k}{jkj})^n$, as in the lowest order case. Convoluting $J_1(k)$ with these eigenfunctions one gets the eigenvalue equation

$$J_{1;reg} = f_{n}; \quad (k^{2})^{2} \frac{d^{2}k^{0}}{k^{0}jkj} J_{1reg}(k = k^{0}) (k^{0}j)^{1=2+i} = \frac{k^{0}}{k}$$

$$= {}_{s}(a;b)f_{n}; : \qquad (B.8)$$

Notice that in (B.8) we have divided by the factor $1 = (k^2 k^{(2)})$ which appear in the de nition of the convolution product [7], and introduced a vertex factor $(k^2)^2$, as discussed in Section 2. The singularity at $k^0 = 0$ does not need regularization since it is taken care of by the power behaviour of the eigenfunctions. We get

$$J_{1;reg} = \frac{k^2}{(2 i)^2} \frac{d! d!_0}{(2 i)^2} \frac{C(!;!_0)(k)}{(2)^{1+1}};$$
(B.9)

where

$$(k;a;b) = \frac{Z}{(k^0 f)^a (k^0 f)^b} = \frac{dk^0 d k^0 f e^{in}}{(k^0 f)^a (k^0 k f)^b} :$$
(B.10)

with a = 1=2 i + n=2 and b = 1 ! !₀. The angular integral has branch cuts, due to the ! term s in the exponents of the denom inator. The same di culty appears in dimensional regularization.

In order to understand this last issue we illustrate the point in detail. The structure of the angular integral in (k) is of the form

$$I = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} Z & 2 & d & e^{in} \\ 0 & (1 & z \cos) \end{array}}$$

= i(1) + 12 $\begin{array}{*{20}c} I & dw w^{n-1+} \\ \hline (zw^2 & 2w + z) \end{array}$
= i2 $\begin{array}{*{20}c} I & dw (1) + 1w^{n+1} \\ \hline z & (w & w_1) & (w & w_2) \end{array}$ (B.11)

with cuts between $[0;w_1 = 1=z(1 \quad p_{\overline{1 \quad z^2}})]$ and $[w_2 = 1=z(1 + p_{\overline{1 \quad z^2}});1]$. We rewrite it in the form

$$I = i(1)^{+1} (e^{2i} 1)_{0}^{Z_{w_{1}}} \frac{dw w^{n+1}}{jw_{1} w j jw_{2} w j}; \qquad (B.12)$$

where we have used the expression of the discontinuity of the factor in the integrand $(g(w) = i = ((w_1 \ w) (w_2 \ w)))$ on the rst interval $[0; w_1]$

$$g^{+}(w) \quad g^{-}(w) = i \frac{e^{2 i} 1}{jw_{1} \quad w j jw \quad w_{2}j}$$
$$= 2(1) \frac{1}{B[;1]jw_{1} \quad w j jw \quad w_{2}j};$$
(B.13)

where we have used sin = =B[;1] by an analytic continuation, and B[x;y] is the beta-function.

Wenow obtain

$$I = \frac{2^{+1}}{B[;1]} \frac{z w_1^n}{w_2} \int_{0}^{Z_{-1}} \frac{dx x^{n-1+}}{jk} \frac{dx x^{n-1+}}{1j ((w_1 = w_2)x - 1)}$$
$$= \frac{2^{+1}}{z n B[;n] w_2} \frac{w_1^n}{w_2} F_{2;1}[;n+;1+n;\frac{w_1}{w_2}]:$$
(B.14)

having used as a de nition of the hypergeom etric function

$$F_{2;1}[a;b;c;z] = \frac{1}{B[b;c]} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} t^{b_{1}} (1 t)^{c_{b_{1}}} (1 tz)^{a_{1}} dt \qquad (B.15)$$

A long the sam e lines, following the derivation presented above, one can easily evaluate the integral

$$I^{0}[] = \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} \frac{d e^{in}}{(1 + v^{2} - 2v \cos)}$$
$$= i^{T} \frac{dw (1)^{+1}w^{n+1}}{v (w w_{1}) (w w_{2})};$$
(B.16)

where now the cuts are between $[0; w_1 = v]$ and $[w_2 = 1=v; 1]$. Notice that (B.11) and (B.12) are quite general. Therefore it is a simple exercise to show that

$$I^{0}[] = \frac{2 v^{n}}{nB[;n]} F_{2;1}[; + n;n + 1;v^{2}]:$$
 (B.17)

The radial integral in k can now be done and the result, as we are going to show, can be expressed in term s of sim ple hypergeom etrics or also as a string of products of G am m a-functions. This second form is obtained by using the Schwinger parametrization of the integrand. Notice that in the evaluation of the spectrum of K_2 these discutties are not present [7] since the angular integral has just two single poles – the angular integration being embedded in D-dimensions.

In dimensional regularization we de ne

$$J_{1} = \int_{\mathbf{a}} (\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}) f_{\mathbf{n}}; \qquad (\mathbf{k}^{2})^{2} \frac{d^{D} k^{0}}{\mathbf{j}^{0} \mathbf{j}^{2} \mathbf{j}^{2}} J_{1} (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}^{0}) (\mathbf{j}^{0} \mathbf{j}^{2})^{1=2+i} = \frac{\mathbf{k}^{0}}{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\mathbf{k}^{0}}{\mathbf{k}}, \qquad (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{I8})$$

with D = 2 + and embed in a D-dimensional angular space parameterized by (1; 2; ...; D 1) by assuming D 1. Using the expression of I^0 [] given above it is not hard to show that

$$\begin{array}{c} z_{2} \\ {}_{0} \end{array} \frac{d e^{in}}{(k^{2} + k^{0} - 2kk^{0}\cos(n))} \\ = k^{0} k \left[\frac{2 e^{in}}{(k^{0})^{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n} nB[;n]} \frac{k^{0}}{k}^{n} F_{2,1}[; + n;n + 1; (k=k^{0})^{2}] \\ + k k^{0} \left[\frac{2 e^{in}}{(k^{2})^{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n} nB[;n]} \frac{k}{k^{0}}^{n} F_{2,1}[; + n;n + 1; (k=k^{0})^{2}]; \end{array} \right]$$

$$(B.19)$$

with $\cos = k$ \hat{x} and $\cos = k \hat{x}$ and = 2 D = 2. Then we get

$$J_{1} = \frac{2 D [D=2 1]^{2} [2 D=2+n]}{[n+1] [D 2] [D=2]} (1+2) (k^{2})^{D} f_{n};; \quad (B.20)$$

with

$${}_{1} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx \ x^{n+(D_{2})+2i} \ F_{2;1}[; + n;n + 1;x^{2}]$$

$${}_{2} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{dx}{x^{(2D_{4})} + 2i} F[; + n;n + 1;x^{2}]: \qquad (B.21)$$

Notice that the spurious factors containing $(k^2)^{D-2}$, with D = 2 + are eliminated at the end, when all components of the spectrum are combined and the singularities cancel. One easily gets

$$I = \frac{1}{1} F_{3,2}[; + 1j_{1};n + 1; + 1;1]$$

$$I = \frac{1}{2} F_{3,2}[; + 1; 2jn + 1; 2 + 1;1];$$
(B 22)

with $_1 = n=2$ 1=2 + D = 2 + i and $_2 = 5=2$ D 2 i + n=2.

O ne reason for expecting that the cuto regularization m ight turn out to be useful in the investigation of the spectrum of the nonforward kernel is that, at least to leading order, the eigenfunctions are given by conform al partial waves, which are known in D = 2 [9]. To our know ledge, how ever, a direct calculation of the spectrum using these eigenfunctions has not been attempted, even for the BFKL kernel.

In order to conclude our illustration of the m ethod of calculation of the spectrum for the 2-point function, we reconsider (k;a;b), which we rewrite in exponential form

$$(k;a;b) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dw_{1}dw_{2} (1 \quad w_{1} \quad w_{2})$$

$$Z_{1} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx x^{a+b} e^{x(k^{0} \quad kw_{2})^{2} + xk^{2}w_{2}^{2} \quad xw_{2}k^{2}} \frac{w_{1}^{a-1}w_{2}^{b-1}}{[a \quad 1] \quad [b \quad 1]} k^{0} : (B.23)$$

To obtain (B 23) we have used the Schwinger parametrization of the propagators and performed a scaling on the integration parameters by x.

Notice that we have used a mixed real and complex notation (for instance $\tilde{K} \ K^0 = 1=2 (kk^0 + k k^0)$, and so on) for convenience. Notice, in particular, that k^{0n} is a complex vector. It is easy to show that

^Z
$$d^{2}k^{0}k^{0}e^{x(k^{0}+kw_{2})^{2}} = -k^{n}w_{2}^{n}$$
: (B.24)

(B 24) is easily derived by a complex change of coordinates in the momentum integration and using the complex expansion $(k + k^0)^n = {P \atop p=0}^n n \models (k!(n k)!)k^pk^{(n p)} \cdot 0 n ly$ the n = p term is nonvanishing after angular integration. The integration over x is also gaussian and we get

$$(k;a;b) = \frac{k^{n}}{(k;a;b)} = \frac{k^{n}}{(k;a;b)} \begin{bmatrix} k^{n} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k^{2} & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} dw_{2} (1 - w_{2})^{b} w_{2}^{n} = 0$$

$$s(a;b) f_{n}; ; \qquad (B 25)$$

(since a 1 = 1=2 + n=2 i). Notice that the additional factor $(k^2 = 2)^{1+1}$ is removed after the nal integration in the 1 variables which sets 1 = 1 = 0. After some manipulations we obtain

$$_{s}(a;b) = [a+b 1] [1 a] [1 b]B [1+n a;1 b]:$$
 (B 26)

F inally, after perform ing the integrals over $!;!_0$ in the expression above, only the residui at the simple poles in these variables survive. A similar approach can be followed also in dimensional regularization. We conclude by recalling that the observation in [7] that part of the O (g⁴) forward kernel is simply the square of the O (g²) Lipatov kernel allowed us to write down immediately the corresponding spectrum.

References

- [1] E.A.Kuraev, L.N.Lipatov, V.S.Fadin, Sov. Phys. JETP 45, 199 (1977);
 Ya.Ya.Balitsky and L.N.Lipatov, Sov. J.Nucl. Phys. 28, 822 (1978).
- [2] V.S. Fadin, presentation at the Gran Sasso QCD Summer Institute (1994);
 V.S.Fadin and L.N.Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. B 406, 259 (1993).
- [3] A.R.W hite, Phys. Lett. B 334, 87 (1994).
- [4] C. Coriano and A. R. White ANL-HEP-CP-94-79, Proceedings of the XXIV International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics, Vietri sul Mare, Italy (1994).
- [5] C. Coriano and A. R. White, ANL-HEP-PR-95-19.
- [6] R.Kirschner, LE IP Z IG -18-1995, hep-ph/9505421.
- [7] C. Coriano and A. R. W hite Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4980 (1995); C. Coriano and A. R. W hite Nucl. Phys. B 451, 231 (1995).
- [8] G.Kallen and J.Toll, J.M ath. Phys. 6 (1965) 299.
- [9] L.N.Lipatov, in Perturbative QCD, ed.A.H.Mueller (World Scientic, 1989).