

$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0 \gamma$ Background at B-FactoriesP. Colangelo ¹, G. Corcella ^{1,2} and G. Nardulli ^{1,2}¹ *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bari*² *Dipartimento di Fisica dell' Università di Bari, Bari, Italy*

(October 1995)

Abstract

We give an estimate of the C -even $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ background coming from the radiative decay $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0 \gamma$ at B -factories. Our result $B(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0 \gamma) \simeq 3 \times 10^{-9}$ shows that such background could be safely neglected in the analyses of CP violating effects.

PACS:13.25

The study of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0\bar{B}^0$ at the future high luminosity asymmetric B -factories [1,2] will provide us, in the next few years, with the possibility of measuring CP violation in a context different from the kaon physics, which is the only system where it has been observed so far. The B pairs produced via decay of the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance offer the possibility of a clean determination of the CP violating parameter, since they are in a $C = -1$ state. For this program to be implemented it is crucial to assess the role of the background processes where the $B^0\bar{B}^0$ pair has $C = +1$, such as, for example, $B\bar{B}$ produced by two photon scattering (with or without undetected e^+e^- pair in the final state) or the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow B\bar{B}\gamma$. Similar analyses have been performed for ϕ -factories [3-5] and in particular both the S -wave resonant contribution to $\phi \rightarrow K^0\bar{K}^0\gamma$ [4] and the non-resonant one [5] have been studied ¹.

In the present work we wish to give an estimate of the branching ratio for the process

$$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0\bar{B}^0\gamma \quad (1)$$

and to evaluate its role in the future analysis of CP violation in the $B\bar{B}$ system.

Differently from the case of the kaon system, we do not expect that (1) is dominated by resonance decay into B pairs, since the nearest 0^+ resonance is $\chi_{b0}(10235)$ which is below threshold and rather narrow ($\Gamma \simeq 0.5 \text{ MeV}$).² We shall study, on the contrary, the contribution arising from the diagram in Fig.1 which is potentially important due to the small $B^* - B$ mass difference.

In order to compute the contribution of Fig.1 we need the matrix elements

$$\langle B^0(p_1) | J_\mu^{em} | B^{*0}(k, \epsilon_1) \rangle = i g_{B^*B\gamma} \epsilon_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \epsilon_1^\rho p_1^\sigma k^\tau \quad (2)$$

and

¹On the whole, the predicted branching ratios are in the range $10^{-9} - 10^{-5}$ [6].

²The full width can be estimated using the experimental measurement of $B(\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\gamma)$ [7] and, e.g., the model in ref. [8].

$$< B^{*0}(k, \epsilon_1) \bar{B}^0(p_2) | \Upsilon(p, \eta) > = 4i\lambda_1 \frac{M_B}{\sqrt{M_\Upsilon}} \epsilon_{\mu\sigma\rho\lambda} p_2^\mu \epsilon_1^{*\sigma} p^\rho \eta^\lambda \quad (3)$$

(η and ϵ_1 are the Υ and B^* polarization vectors, respectively), where the factor $\frac{4M_B}{\sqrt{M_\Upsilon}}$ has been extracted for later convenience.

Together with (2,3) we consider the following matrix elements:

$$< B^0(k) \bar{B}^0(p_2) | \Upsilon(p, \eta) > = 2\lambda_2 M_B \sqrt{M_\Upsilon} \eta^\mu (k - p_2)_\mu \quad (4)$$

and

$$< B^{*0}(k, \eta_1) \bar{B}^{*0}(p_2, \eta_2) | \Upsilon(p, \eta) > = 2\lambda_3 M_{B^*} \sqrt{M_\Upsilon} \eta^\rho \eta_1^{*\sigma} \eta_2^{*\lambda} (k - p_2)^\mu (g_{\mu\sigma} g_{\rho\lambda} - g_{\mu\rho} g_{\sigma\lambda} + g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\rho\sigma}) \quad (5)$$

that we shall use below.

The coupling constant $g_{B^*B\gamma}$ can be written as a sum of two terms, describing the couplings of the electromagnetic current to the heavy (b) and light (q) quark, respectively:

$$g_{B^*B\gamma} = \frac{e_b}{\Lambda_b} + \frac{e_q}{\Lambda_q} \quad (6)$$

($q = d$ in our case). The mass constants Λ_b and Λ_q behave in the $M_b \rightarrow \infty$ limit as follows: $\Lambda_b \sim M_b$ and $\Lambda_q \sim \text{const.}$ They have been estimated by a number of authors using the heavy quark symmetries and data on $D^* \rightarrow D\gamma$ decays [9–11], various quark models [12,13] and QCD Sum Rules [14]. Here we take the result of Ref. [11,12]:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_b &= 5.3 \text{ GeV} \\ \Lambda_q &= 0.51 \text{ GeV} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

that represent intermediate values among the various estimates. According to the results in [11,12], the theoretical uncertainty on Λ_q (which gives rise to the dominant contribution) should not exceed 30%, therefore our conclusions should be reliable at least as order of magnitude estimates.

Let us now consider the coupling constant λ_1 in eq.(3). Although direct experimental information on this quantity cannot be obtained for $\Upsilon(4S)$, we can estimate it from the

knowledge of the coupling λ_2 in eq.(4) in the infinite M_b limit. As a matter of fact, in this limit, because of the spin symmetry of the Heavy Quark Effective Theory arising from the decoupling of the quark spin, the constants λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3 are related:

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda , \quad (8)$$

and their common value λ can be estimated from the decay width of $\Upsilon(4S)$ into $B^0 \bar{B}^0$:

$$\lambda = 0.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ GeV}^{-3/2} \quad (9)$$

where the error mainly arises from the uncertainty on the B and $\Upsilon(4S)$ masses.

The property (8) can be proved considering the $M_b \rightarrow \infty$ limit in the non relativistic quark model formulae giving the decay widths of $\Upsilon \rightarrow BB, BB^*, B^*B^*$ (due to phase space limitations, one has to consider $\Upsilon(5S)$ instead of $\Upsilon(4S)$); for equal couplings ($\lambda_j = \lambda$), the partial widths obtained using eqs. (3-5) are in the ratios $(BB) : (BB^*) : (B^*B^*) = 1 : 4 : 7$, as predicted by the non relativistic quark model in the same limit [15,16]. A different way to prove this result is as follows. One considers an effective Lagrangian approach for the heavy mesons consisting of one and two heavy quarks; such an approach has been developed in [17], where, together with the effective field operators H describing the (B, B^*) multiplet (notations as in ref. [18]: v^μ = heavy meson velocity, P_μ and P_5 annihilation operators)

$$H^{(b)} = \frac{1+\not{v}}{2}(P_\mu^* \gamma^\mu - P_5 \gamma_5) \quad , \quad H^{(\bar{b})} = (P_\mu^* \gamma^\mu - P_5 \gamma_5) \frac{1-\not{v}}{2} \quad , \quad (10)$$

also the effective field for the $Q\bar{Q}$ $(0^-, 1^-)$ multiplet has been introduced

$$J = \frac{1+\not{v}}{2}(J_\mu \gamma^\mu - J_5 \gamma_5) \frac{1-\not{v}}{2} \quad . \quad (11)$$

By these effective fields, the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda \text{Tr} \left[\gamma^\mu \left(\partial_\mu H^{(\bar{b})} J H^{(b)} - H^{(\bar{b})} J \partial_\mu H^{(b)} \right) \right] \quad (12)$$

can be constructed, which displays the heavy quark spin symmetry and is completely equivalent to equations (3-5) and (8).

Using the results (6) and (9) for the coupling constants appearing in the matrix elements (2-5) we can now compute the decay width of the process (1) :

$$\Gamma = \frac{g_{B^*B\gamma}^2 \lambda^2}{9\pi^3} M_B^2 M_Y^3 \int_{M_B}^{\frac{M_Y}{2}} dE \frac{(E^2 - M_B^2)^{3/2} (M_Y - 2E)^3}{(M_Y^2 + M_B^2 - 2M_Y E)[(M_Y^2 + M_B^2 - 2M_Y E - m_{B^*}^2)^2 + M_{B^*}^2 \Gamma_{B^*}^2]} \quad (13)$$

which gives

$$B(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0 \gamma) \simeq 3 \times 10^{-9} \quad (14)$$

using $\Gamma_{B^*} \simeq 0.1 \text{ KeV}$ as estimated in [11]. From eq.(14) we evaluate that the contamination from C even $B\bar{B}$ pairs arising from a final state containing an undetected photon is negligible and would not destroy the predictions for CP violating effects in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0$.

As a byproduct of our analysis we wish to analyze the strong decays of the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance, and compute the coupling constant λ for the decays $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow BB, BB^*, B^*B^*$ defined as in the $\Upsilon(4S)$ case.

In principle, to obtain λ from the experimental data we should also include the decay process $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^{(*)}B^{(*)} + n\pi$ (with $n = 1, 2$). We can estimate the contribution of the decay channel with one pion in the final state by considering a diagram analogous to Fig.1, with the photon line substituted by a pion line. The strong coupling constant $g_{B^*B\pi}$ appearing in this diagram has been theoretically studied by a number of authors [13,19,20]. Varying $g_{B^*B\pi}$ in the range spanned by all these analyses we get:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}\pi)}{\Gamma(\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B\bar{B})} = 10^{-4} - 10^{-3} \quad (15)$$

which shows that decay processes with one pion in the final state give a tiny contribution to the full width (states with two pions are even more suppressed because of the phase space). From this we obtain

$$\lambda(\Upsilon(5S)) = 0.07 \pm 0.01 \text{ GeV}^{-3/2} . \quad (16)$$

We observe that $\lambda(\Upsilon(5S))$ is smaller than $\lambda(\Upsilon(4S))$ (eq.(9)), as we would expect from a constituent quark model approach (since the radial wave function of the bound state $\Upsilon(5S)$ has an extra node). We also observe that, in computing the ratios $\Gamma(\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^{(*)}B^{(*)})$, one should take into account the mass difference between B and B^* , which modifies the naive expectation $\Gamma(BB) : \Gamma(BB^*) : \Gamma(B^*B^*) = 1 : 4 : 7$; as a matter of fact we find that the widths are in the ratio $\simeq 1 : 3 : 4$, which is in better agreement with the experimental data obtained by CUSB Collaboration: $\Gamma(BB) : \Gamma(BB^*) : \Gamma(B^*B^*) \simeq 1 : 2 : 4$ [21].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank N.Paver for discussions on the C -even background at a ϕ -factory. We also acknowledge useful discussions on the quarkonium effective Lagrangian with R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, F. Feruglio and R. Gatto.

REFERENCES

- [1] BaBar Collaboration, "Letter of Intent for the Study of CP Violation and Heavy Flavour Physics at PEP-II", SLAC-443 (June 1994).
- [2] Y. Funakoshi et al., "Asymmetric B Factory Project at KEK", KEK Preprint 90-30 (May 1990).
- [3] I. Dunietz, J. Hauser and J.L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 2166 (1987) and references therein.
- [4] S. Nussinov and T.N. Truong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2003 (1989).
- [5] N. Paver and Riazuddin, Phys. Lett. B **246**, 240 (1990).
- [6] F.E. Close, in "Workshop on Physics and Detectors for DAΦNE", edited by G. Pancheri, (Frascati, 1990) pag.309.
- [7] Review of Particle properties, Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994).
- [8] S. Godfried and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 189 (1995).
- [9] J.F. Amundson et al., Phys. Lett. B **296**, 415 (1992).
- [10] P. Cho and H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. B **296**, 408 (1992); B **300**, 410 (1993) (E).
- [11] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. B **316**, 555 (1993).
- [12] G.A. Miller and P. Singer, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 2564 (1988); Phys. Rev. D **39**, 825 (1989).
- [13] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. B **334**, 175 (1994).
- [14] V.L. Eletskii and Ya.I. Kogan, Z.Phys. C **28**, 155 (1985); T.M. Aliev, E. Iltan and N.K. Pak, Phys. Lett. B **334**, 169 (1994); H.G. Dosch and S. Narison, preprint PM 95/41.
- [15] A. de Rujula, H. Georgi, and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 398 (1976).
- [16] E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K.D. Lane and T.M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D **17**,

3090 (1978).

- [17] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, F. Feruglio, R. Gatto and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. B **302**, 95 (1993); B **309**, 163 (1993).
- [18] M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 2188 (1992).
- [19] P. Colangelo, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, F. Feruglio, R. Gatto and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. B **339**, 151 (1994).
- [20] V.M. Belyaev, V.M. Brown, A. Khodjamirian, R. Rückl, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 6177 (1995).
- [21] D.M.J. Lovelock et al. (CUSB Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 377 (1985); J.L. Franzini et al. (CUSB Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 2947 (1990).





Fig.1: Diagrams for the decay $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0 \gamma$.