G enerating the texture of sym m etric ferm ion m ass m atrices and anom alous hierarchy patterns for the neutrinos from an extra abelian sym m etry.

E lena Papageorgiu, LPTHE, Universite de Paris X I, Bât. 211, F-91405 O rsay.

Abstract

A ssum ing that a horizontal abelian (gauge) sym metry is at the origin of texture zeros in the ferm ion mass matrices we show how realistic mass patterns can be generated stepwise with a small number of effective operators from GUT's and string theory. It is interesting to note that, in the minimal scenario, if the up quark and the down quark mass matrices are generated simultaneously their texture is formaquivalent and contains only two zeros. Textures with more zeros (and thus more predictability) emerge if the up and down sectors are generated stepwise. This last possibility leads to rather anom alous neutrino patterns with mass degenerate neutrinos and large

or $_{\rm e}$ mixing even in the absence of hierarchy in M $_{\rm R}$, the mass matrix of the righthanded neutrinos, and as required by the LSND results if they were to be con med by future experiments. In contrast if the quark mass matrices have two zero entries (or less) the neutrino mass-mixing spectrum is independent of the texture structure of M $_{\rm R}$ -if the latter does not contain any hierarchy of scales- and obeys the quadratic seesaw .

LPTHE Orsay Preprint 95-02

1 Introduction.

In the hope to nd the underlying symmetry principle for the long list of m ass and m ixing parameters which characterize the ferm ion spectrum and enter in the Standard M odel (SM) as free parameters there have been m any attempts since the late sixties to describe it with a minimum of observable quantities which can be related to a more fundamental theory [1]. It is for example known that the observed m ass and mixing hierarchies of the ferm ion spectrum can be successfully described in term softhe W olfenstein parameter ' 0.22 which, to a good approximation, gives the C abbibo mixing y_{us} j [2]. Taking into account the present experimental uncertainties [3] one nds the

follow ing m ass ratios for the up and down quarks:

$$m_{u}:m_{c}:m_{t_{M_{u}}} = {}_{1}(M_{X})^{8}: {}_{2}(M_{X})^{4}:1 \qquad m_{t}(M_{X}) \qquad (1)$$

$$m_{d}:m_{s}:m_{b_{m_{x}}} = {}^{0}_{1}(M_{X})^{4}: {}^{0}_{2}(M_{X})^{2}:1 m_{b}(M_{X});$$
 (2)

whith $_{1;2}$; $_{1;2}^{0}$ 0 (). The scale dependent constants $_{1}$; $_{2}$ and $_{1}^{0}$; $_{2}^{0}$ contain the radiative corrections from the running of the Yukawa couplings (since the gauge couplings are \fam ily blind") from the electroweak scale M_Z to a scale M_X which can be as high as the grand unit cation scale M_G = 10^{16} GeV or even the Planck scale M_P = 10^{19} GeV. For the SM as for the two-H iggs doublet m odels these corrections are still within the margin of the experime ental uncertainties and are to leading order equal for the two ratios m_u=m_t and m_c=m_t (and for m_d=m_b and m_s=m_b) due to the large mass of the top quark, or more generally speaking due to the predom inance of the third-generation Yukawa couplings [4]. The same applies also to the mixing elements of the CKM matrix, written in the W olfenstein parametrisation as:

$$V_{CKM_{=M_{X}}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & ^{2}=2 & A(M_{X})^{3}(+i) \\ B & 1 & ^{2}=2 & A(M_{X})^{2} & C \\ A(M_{X})^{3}(1 + i) & A(M_{X})^{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

where A is of order one. The running of the C abbibo angle is negligible, while the running of the sm all m ixing elements is incoorporated in A (M $_X$) and is practically the same for jy_{13} j and jy_{23} j. In the SM A (M $_X$) is increasing with the energy whereas in the m inim al supersymmetric standard m odel (M SSM) and in the general two-H iggs doublet case it is decreasing [4] while remaining within the uncertainties of the present day experimental data. Because of this it is not necessary to work within a particular model to understand how the dierent powers of lambda arise, nor to x the scale at which the ferm ion mass and mixing patterns are generated. We shall therefore set up a framework which could be implemented in dierent models, though, it may appear more natural from within the MSSM with unication of gauge couplings and partial unication of Yukawa couplings at M_G. In the latter the regularity of the spectrum in terms of is improved at the ultraviolet, where one also notices that ratios of up quark masses and down quark masses are related through a 2 \$ transform ation. Compared to the alm ost equal spacing between neighbouring quark mass levels the hierarchy in the charged lepton sector is rather anom alous:

$$m_{e}:m:m_{M_{X}} = {}_{1}(M_{X})^{5}: {}_{2}(M_{X}):1 m(M_{X});$$
 (4)

where again the constants $_1$; $_2$ contain the radiative corrections. Therefore, in models with unication of the Yukawa couplings of the third generation there is no such unication for the rst two generations. Instead the following approximate relations:

hold at the uni cation scale for various supersym m etric grand uni ed theories (SUSY GUT's) [5].

Given the fact that at low energies one has only 13 observables (six quark and three lepton m asses, the three m ixing angles and the CP violating phase of the CKM m atrix) one cannot x the entries of the quark and lepton m ass m atrices M_u, M_d and M_e at M_X, even by assuming that the latter are herm itian. This has led to di erent A nsatze [1,6] in which some of the entries are zero while the others are given in powers of .¹ In the quark sector the maximum number of zeros that one can have is ve (counting together those in M_u and M_d, but w ithout counting symmetric entries twice) and there are ve di erent pairs of M_u M_d textures ² at M_G which lead to m asses and m ixings which are compatible with the present-day experimental values [6].

¹Zeros are in fact sm all entries which can be neglected to leading order.

 $^{^{2}}$ A texture in this context exhibits the power behaviour of ferm ion m ass m atrices in terms of some scale, and, is norm alized with respect to the largest entry.

In fact, the zeros in the mass matrices can be thought o as \relics" of a new symmetry which is not \fam ily-blind", while the small non-zero entries could well be correction terms generated after symmetry breaking. This \old" idea [7] has been recently reinvestigated [8-10] in the light of a new way of obtaining also the successful $\sin^2 w = 3=8$ result of the canonical gauge coupling uni cation which consists in extending the gauge group of the standard model by a horizontal U (1)_x factor whose anom alies can be cancelled by the G reen-Schwarz mechanism [11].

P revious investigations have shown that if the e ective theory contains higher dimension operators with a few extra scalar elds one can generate symmetric textures with two zeros [9,10] or asymmetric textures [12] which can successfully reproduce the O (n) behaviour of realistic quark mass matrices. Here we shall show that within this minimal scheme one can also generate symmetric textures with three zeros which lead to more predictive Ansatz for the quark mass matrices and discuss the consequences for the neutrino spectrum in the two cases.

2 G enerating the textures of the quark m ass m atrices.

2.1 Generating sym metric textures with two zeros.

Let us assume the existence of a fam ily-dependent U $(1)_x$ symmetry at M_P, with respect to which the quarks and leptons carry charges $_{i}$ and a_{i} respectively, where i = 1;2;3 is the generation index. We ist consider the up quark m ass m atrix. G iven the predom inant role played by the top quark we start with a rank-one matrix and make a choice for the charges such that only the (3,3) renormalizable coupling t^cth₁ is allowed. This xes the charge of the light Higgs h_1 to 2 ($_{3}$). We expect the other entries to be generated by higher-dimension operators which may occur at the string compactication level and contain combinations of scalar elds some of which acquire vacuum expectation values (vev's) leading to spontaneous symmetry breaking and largem asses for the non-observable part of the spectrum. In the most minimal scenario one will have just one singlet eld or a pairofsuch elds developping equal (vev's) along a \D - at" direction and carrying opposite charges 1. They can give rise to higher-order couplings $q_{i}^{c}h_{1} \left(\frac{c}{M}\right)^{j^{2}}$ i $j^{j}q_{j}$. Notice that when the exponent is positive (negative) only the eld + () can contribute. The new scale $E = \frac{c}{M}$ which enters in the quark mass matrix is the ratio of the symmetry breaking scale to the scale which governs these higher-dimension operators, and could be the string unication scale M_S ' 10^{18} GeV or M_P or in fact any intermediate scale. The power with which this scale appears in the dimension operators and could be reactive scale such as to compensate the charge of $q_{i}^{c}h_{1}q_{j}$. If E is a small number one nds two universal hierarchy patterns in the texture which is generated:

$$M_{x} \stackrel{0}{\overset{B}{_{e}}} E^{jx_{1}j} E^{jx_{1}+x_{2}j} E^{jx_{1}j} \stackrel{1}{_{e}} M_{x} \stackrel{B}{_{e}} E^{jx_{1}+x_{2}j} E^{2jx_{2}j} E^{jx_{2}j} \stackrel{C}{_{A}} jx_{1,2}j = j \qquad _{1,2}j; \qquad (6)$$

namely m_{11} m_{13}^2 and m_{22} m_{23}^2 , where by m_{ij} we denote the value of the entry ij. The choices $jx_2 j = 1$ and $jx_1 j = 4$ or $jx_2 j = 1$ and $jx_1 j = 2$ lead to:

If E is of order ² the two textures above correspond to the phenom enologically acceptable Ansatze a la Fritzsch³ or a la G iudice for the up quark m ass m atrix. Notice that for generating $M_{u}^{(2)}$ only one singlet is needed while for generating $M_{u}^{(1)}$ a pair of singlets with opposite charges are needed. A pproximating the (1;1) entry in the textures of eq.(7) by a zero leads to the well known relation: V_{us} ' $m_{d}=m_{s}$ [1]. Setting the (1;3) entry in $M_{x}^{(1)}$ or the (1;2) entry in $M_{x}^{(2)}$ to zero leads to further m ass m ixing relations [1,6]. The generation of other phenom enologically acceptable textures having a zero also in the (2,2) or the (2,3) entry (but not in both entries sim ultaneously) necessitates a more complicated mechanism involving extra singlets and m ixing with heavy H iggses, a case which will be discussed in the next section.

Given the up-quark textures of eq.(7) we shall try to construct realistic textures for the down quark sector. The assumption of symmetric mass matrices and the SU $(2)_L$ symmetry require the equality between the charges of the up and down quarks. A ssuming again that only the (3,3) renormalizable coupling is allowed leads to the other light H iggs h_2 carrying the same charge

 $^{^{3}}$ The originalAnsatz had a zero in the (2,2) entry.

as h_1 . This means that this U $(1)_X$ is anom alous and needs a cancellation mechanism. Notice that the choice of a particular texture for M_u has already xed the texture of M_d in terms of some new scale E⁰ which has to be of order to give the correct mass spectrum of eq.(2). The origin of this di erence in scale E⁰ $E^{1=2}$ is yet unknown. Since the Ansatz M_u⁽²⁾; M_d⁽²⁾ is phenom enologically not acceptable, the only successfull Ansatz which can be generated within this minimal scenario for the up and down quark mass matrices is the one which resembles the Fritzsch Ansatz and contains four zeros in total:

where we have approxim ated by zeros the suppressed entries.

2.2 Generating sym metric textures with three zeros.

In order to generate three-zero textures additional scalar elds are needed. In SUSY GUT's as well as in many string compactication schemes these are commonplace. There are Higgs multiplets H_i which are responsible for the breaking of the GUT symmetry as well as heavy singlets \sim_i [13] and thus higher dimensional couplings $q_i^{c}H(\frac{<\sim}{M})^{j^2} = \frac{j^2}{j}q_j$, where by 2 we denote the charge of H, which give rise to the following texture:

$$M_{z} \stackrel{0}{\overset{B}{\overset{B}{\overset{}}}} E^{2\dot{z}_{1}j} E^{\dot{z}_{1}+z_{2}j} E^{\dot{z}_{1}+z_{j}} \stackrel{1}{\overset{B}{\overset{B}{\overset{}}}} M_{z} \stackrel{0}{\overset{B}{\overset{}}} E^{\dot{z}_{1}+z_{j}} E^{2\dot{z}_{2}j} E^{2\dot{z}_{2}j} E^{\dot{z}_{2}+z_{j}} \stackrel{1}{\overset{C}{\overset{}}} H ;$$
(9)
$$E^{\dot{z}_{1}+z_{j}} E^{\dot{z}_{2}+z_{j}} 1 + E^{2\dot{z}_{j}j}$$

which $jz_1 j = j$ $j_1 and jz_2 j = j$ j_2 . When the difference between the light-and heavy-H iggs charges is larger than between the charges of the heavy H iggs and the quarks, i.e. $z = z_{1,2}$, this autom atically gives suppressed (1,3) and (2,3) m assentries. For the particular choice $z_2 = 1$ and $z_1 = 2$ (or $z_2 = 1$ and $z_1 = 4$)⁴ one obtains to leading order the follow ing texture:

$$M_{z}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & E^{3} & 0 \\ B & E^{3} & E^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{1}$$
(10)

 $^{^{4}}$ T his choice requires a pair of singlets with opposite charges.

Another interesting texture structure arises when $jz_2 + zj = 1$ and $jz_1 + z_2 j = 3$, while $jz_j jz_{1,2} j = 0$:

$$M_{z}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & E^{3} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & E^{3} & 0 & E^{C} & 2 \\ 0 & E^{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

The texture of eq.(11) is the original Ansatz of Fritzsch having an extra zero in the (2,2) entry, while the texture of eq.(10) has an extra zero in the (2,3) entry, as compared to the two-zero texture $M_x^{(1)}$ of eq.(7). As there are no solutions with six zeros (counting the zeros of the up quark and down quark sector together) the most predictive Ansatz require a mixed scenario which will rst generate $M_z^{(1)}$ or $M_z^{(2)}$ and subsequently generate the missing entries needed for obtaining $M_x^{(1)}$ or $M_x^{(2)}$. This means that either the up quark and down quark sectors are generated independently of each other or progressively one from another. There are two possible scenarios where this can be accomplished.

2.3 The mixed cases

In the rst scenario the up-quark mass texture is generated rst through mixing of a set of singlet elds with heavy Higgs elds: $u_i^{c}H \left(\frac{\langle n \rangle}{M}\right)^{j^2} = \frac{i}{j^2} u_j^{j^2} u_j^{j^2}$ with $E = \frac{\langle n \rangle}{M}$. The down-quark mass texture is generated when another set of singlet elds mixes with the light Higgses: $d_i^c h_1 \left(\frac{\langle n \rangle}{M}\right)^{j^2} = \frac{i}{j^2} d_j^{j^2}$ with $E = \frac{\langle n \rangle}{M}$. In this way one obtains the following two set of phenomenologically acceptable solutions:

 $M_{u}^{I} \stackrel{0}{\in} 0 \stackrel{6}{\circ} 0 \stackrel{1}{\circ} 0 \stackrel{0}{\circ} 0 \stackrel{3}{\circ} 0 \stackrel{1}{\circ} M_{d}^{I} \stackrel{B}{\in} 3 \stackrel{2}{\circ} \stackrel{C}{\times} M_{d}^{I} \stackrel{B}{\circ} 3 \stackrel{2}{\circ} \stackrel{C}{\times} M_{d}^{I} \stackrel{1}{\circ} \stackrel{B}{\circ} 3 \stackrel{2}{\circ} \stackrel{C}{\times} M_{d}^{I} \stackrel{1}{\circ} \stackrel{$

and

$$M_{u}^{II} \stackrel{B}{=} {}^{B}_{0} {}^{6}_{0} {}^{1}_{A} = M_{d}^{II} \stackrel{B}{=} {}^{B}_{3} {}^{2}_{A} \stackrel{C}{A} : (13)$$

(12)

In the other scenario the up- and down-quark sectors are generated in-dependently of each other from the couplings: $u_i^c h_1 \left(\frac{< \ >}{M}\right)^{j_2}$ i $j_u^j u_j$ and

 $d_i^{c}H \left(\frac{\langle n \rangle}{M}\right)^{j^2}$ i jⁱ d_j respectively, giving rise to the following two solutions:

$$M_{u}^{IV} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & C & M_{d}^{IV} & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(14)

and

$$M_{u}^{V} \stackrel{B}{=} 0 \quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 3 \quad 0^{1}$$

$$M_{u}^{V} \stackrel{B}{=} 0 \quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad M_{d}^{V} \quad B \quad 3 \quad 2 \quad 0 \quad A \quad (15)$$

$$\quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1$$

O ne should notice that the set of textures (I;II;IV;V) which have emerged from a particular choice of the charges 1; 2; and correspond to the approximate 5-zero texture solutions of ref.[6]. Notice however that the parametrization of the entries of the down quark mass matrix in terms of powers of lambda is somewhat ambiguious because in the MSSM the factors in front are of O () or of O ($^{1=2}$). In ref.[...] it was shown that alternative textures which are aprioripossible due to this ambiguity cannot be generated within this minimal scenario.

3 Generating the texture of the lepton m ass m atrices

3.1 The charged lepton m ass texture

A ssum ing simply the gauge symmetries of the SM the U $(1)_X$ charges a_i of the leptons are not related to those of the quarks. A llowing however the coupling c h_2 leads to $a_3 = .$ Another constraint comes from the second m ass relation of eq.(5) which implies that $a_1 + a_2 = ... + ... + ... + ... + ... + ... = ... + ... + ... = ... = ... + ... = ... + ... =$

can be no explanation of the factor m inus three in this approach

$M_e = M_d$	M (i;I;II)	0 ප ල	0 ³ 3 2	0 1 C A	M ^{(IV} , ^V)	0 සල	0 3	3 2	0 0
									(16)

The alternative is a texture:

$$M_{e}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ B & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(17)

which can be generated from the texture M_z in eq.(9) replacing $j_{2,j}j! j = a_{i,j}j$ and setting $j_{2,j}j=1=2$ and $j_{2,j}j=5=2$ or 7=2 when $j_{2,j}j$. This choice is compatible with the 5-zero solutions of eqs.(12-15) and obviously with the 4-zero Ansatz of eq.(8) but at the expense of introducing an extra scale in addition to the two scales needed for generating the quark textures.

3.2 The D irac neutrino m ass texture

We turn now to the neutrino sector. A gain as a consequence of the SU $(2)_{L}$ symmetry and our symmetric Ansatz the lefthanded and righthanded neutrinos, $_{i}$ and N_i, become charged under the U $(1)_{X}$ with the same charges a_i as the charged leptons. Obviously the presence of the N_i's implies a larger symmetry than the minimal extension of the SM by an extra U (1) factor, but also the assumption of symmetric mass matrices can indits justication only in the context of a left-right symmetric theory. Let us inst discuss the generation mechanism for D irac neutrino mass terms: M^D N^c_i j. Since these are of the same type as the mass terms in the quark and charged lepton sector it is natural to adopt the same approach. Then, because the charges a_i have been is xed through the charged lepton Ansatz,

$$M^{D} = M_{e} :$$
 (18)

Furtherm one for the choice $a_1 = 1$ and $a_2 = 2$, which led to eq.(16), one obtains the well known GUT relations:

$$M^{D} = M_{u}$$
 or $M^{D} = M_{d}$: (19)

The left equation in plies four distinct textures for M D :

while the equation on the right leads to two possible textures:

$$M_{d}^{D(i;I;II)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 & & & 0 & & & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & & 3 & 0 & & & & & 0 & & & \\ B & 3 & 2 & C & & & M_{u}^{D(IV;V)} & B & 3 & 2 & C \\ 0 & 1 & & & 0 & 1 & & \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
(22)

F inally the more general case of eq.(18) in plies two textures which are identical to the previous ones, $M_e^{D(i;I;II)} = M_d^{D(i;I;II)}$ and $M_e^{D(IV;V)} = M_d^{D(IV;V)}$ plus an extra case:

$$M_{e}^{D} \stackrel{(?)}{=} M_{e}^{D} \stackrel{(?)}{=} M_{e$$

3.3 The M ajorana neutrino m ass texture

On the other hand, M a jorana m ass term s M $_{\rm R}$ N $_{\rm i}$ ^CN $_{\rm j}$ need not be generated the same way the other m ass term s have been generated so far. In com – pacti ed string m odels, due to the absence of large H iggs representations, righthanded neutrinos donot get tree-level m asses, so all entries in M $_{\rm R}$ are due to nonrenorm alizable operators, and nothing is a priori known concerning the particular texture of M $_{\rm R}$ or the existence of a possible hierarchy in this sector. The only constraints come from the requirement that the seesaw-suppressed m asses of the ordinary neutrinos should be below the experimental upper limits. For this, M $_{\rm R}$ has to be a nonsingular matrix and its scale should be well above the electroweak scale, or else one has to consider the case of unstable neutrinos and the related problems from astrophysical and cosm ological bounds [...]. Therefore in addition to the operators that generated the textures of the up and down quarks and the charged leptons one will need at least an extra piece to set the M a jorana mass scale. C om – m on examples are operators containing the heavy H iggses which have been used for generating the texture in eq.(9), namely N $_{1}^{c}$ H H N $_{j}$, whose scale is of 0 (M $_{G}^{2}$ =M $_{S}$) ' 10¹⁴ G eV multiplied for some orbifold suppression factor C ' 1 10³:

$$R = C \frac{\langle H \rangle \langle H \rangle}{M_{S}} / 10^{11} \quad 10^{14} G \text{ eV} :$$
 (24)

In this case $M_R^{ij} \in 0$ implies i + j = 4.

Starting with the 4-zero Ansatz of eq.(8) for which only the charges $_1$ and $_2$ have been specified one can is the charges of the light and heavy Higgs bosons relative to each other such that there is one entry which is different from zero. In order to generate more non-zero entries and thus a nonsingular M $_{\rm R}$ there are two alternatives paths. Either some of the Majorana entries must be generated perturbatively in a similar way as the entries in the other mass matrices (this implies a hierarchy of righthanded neutrino scales) [....], or, one should need more higher-dimension operators: N $_{\rm i}^{\rm c}$ H $_{\rm k}$ H $_{\rm i}$ N $_{\rm j}$, (k; l = 1; 2), containing two heavy Higgs multiplets H $_{\rm 1}$ and H $_{\rm 2}$. Follow ing this second approach one can generate three alternative M ajorana mass textures containing the maximum number of (four) zero entries [...] ⁵:

For the same reason, starting from the 5-zero texture solutions of eqs.(12-15) two extra heavy H iggs elds -in addition to the one which led to eq.(9)- are needed in order to generate the M a jorana textures of above. There m ay be factors which multiply the non-zero entries due to the possibility that the two heavy H iggses do not acquire the same vacuum expectation value, or due to another cause.

 $^{^5}N$ otice that with respect to ref.[...] we have assumed that the entries in M $_R\,$ are all equalor of the same order of magnitude R .

4 The neutrino m ass spectrum

G iven the texture structure of the quark and lepton m ass m atrices one can calculate the neutrino m asses and the lepton m ixing and trace back the di erent m ass-m ixing patterns to the positioning of texture zeros in the m ass m atrices and thus to the sym m etries of the latter.

W hen M $_{\rm R}$ is a nonsingular matrix, the seesaw mechanism leads to three light neutrinos, which are obtained upon diagonalisation of the reduced 3 3 m ass matrix:

$$M^{eff} M^{D} M_{B}^{M} M^{D} :$$
 (26)

Furtherm ore if one makes the Ansatz:

$$M_{R}' 1 R \text{ or } M_{R}' M^{D};$$
 (27)

as this has been common place in the literature, this obviously leads to the quadratic seesaw relation:

$$m_{1}:m_{2}:m_{3} = (z^{8}:z^{4}:1)m_{0};$$
 (28)

where the neutrino m asses scale either as the up quark m asses squared

$$M_{\rm D}$$
 ' $M_{\rm u}$ z = ² $m_{\rm 0} = \frac{m_{\rm t}^2}{R}$; (29)

or they scale as the down quark masses squared

$$M_{\rm D}$$
 ' $M_{\rm d}$ z = $m_{\rm 0} = \frac{m_{\rm b}^2}{R}$: (30)

In refs.[...] it was pointed out that the quadratic seesaw spectrum of eq.(28) is obtained if the M a jorana sector has no sym metries on its own, which means that it has the same sym metries as the quark sector or it has no sym metries at all.

In order to study this in m ore detail let us write the up and down quark textures of eqs.(8,12-15) in a common form, by m eans of a set of parameters , , , and ~, ~, ~, which for simplicity can be one or zero:

Setting for example = 0 or = 0 one obtains the Ansatz a la Fritzsch or a la Giudice. Then the elective light-neutrino mass matrix assumes a universal form in terms of the scale z which characterizes the D irac neutrino texture M^D, and as function of the minors of the matrix M_R $r_{i=1;...6}$ which are obtained by omitting the row and column containing the corresponding R_i entry, e.g., $r_3 = R_1R_2$ R_4^2 :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & (+)z^{3} (+)z^{2} \\ +r_{6}z^{B} (+)z^{3} & 2z^{2} (^{2}+)z^{C} \\ (+)z^{2} (^{2}+)z & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(33)

$$+ r_{4}z^{3} \overset{B}{@} {}^{2}z^{3} 2 z^{2} (+) z \overset{C}{A} (36)$$

$$(+) z 2$$

$$0 1$$

+
$$r_1 z^4 \frac{B}{2} z^2 \frac{C}{A}$$
 (37)

If $r_3 \notin 0$ and the entries of the M a jorana m ass m atrix are all of the same order of m agnitude the texture of M^{eff} is, as in the quark sector, either of the Fritzsch type with nearest neighbourm ixing or of the G indice type leading to the spectrum of eq.(12). In contrast when $r_3 = 0$ as a result of a symmetry between N₁ and N₂ the neutrino spectrum can be drastically distorted. For example for the quark textures of solution a texture zero appears in the (3,3) entry of M^{eff} leading to Notice that in general anom abus' neutrino m ass-m ixing patterns can be obtained only for the ve-zero texture solutions of Table 1. The four-zero solutions require very particular conditions of strong hierarchy in M_R to give m ass degenerate neutrinos.