CONSTITUENT STRING MODEL FOR HYBRID MESONIC EXCITATIONS

Yu S K alashnikova¹, Yu B Yu fryakov²

Institute of Theoretical and Experim ental Physics 117259, Russia, Moscow, B.Cheremushkinskaya 25.

A bstract

The model for hybrid excitations of the QCD string with quarks is presented starting from the perturbation theory in the nonperturbative background. The propagation of a system containing qq{pair and gluon is considered. The simplied version of the Hamiltonian, including both long{range nonperturbative interaction and Coulomb force, is derived. The masses of the lowest qqq hybrids are evaluated, and numerical results for the spectra are listed.

1 Introduction

One of the most important features of the QCD Lagrangian is the presence of gluonic degrees of freedom which should exhibit them selves at the constituent

¹E-m ail: yulia@ vxitep.itep.ru

²E-mail: yufryakov@vxitep.itep.ru

level, namely in the form of glueballs and hybrids, i.e. non{qq exotics. Unfortunately, the current experimental situation is too complicated to give us unambigious proof that such states exist; some candidates for non {qq exotics appear and disappear from time to time, changing their masses and quantum numbers (for the up{to{date review see [1]). Nevertheless, there is no doubts that standard qq nonets are overpopulated, but the question about the nature of the "extra" states is still open. On the other hand, there is no substantial progress in the description of the strong coupling nonperturbative regime in QCD. There are some QCD { inspired theoretical approaches, but none of them are able to provide reliable enough predictions for masses and decay rates of hybrid mesons.

The QCD sum rules estimations for exotic hybrids are rather unstable: rst results [2] predicted for the 1 $^+$ light hybrid the mass 1.2-1.7 GeV, while more recent calculations give 2.1 GeV [3] and 2.5 GeV [4].

In the bag model the gluons are autom atically transverse, and the lowest electric gluon (with $J^P=1^+$) in the spherical cavity is much lighter than the lowest magnetic one (with $J^P=1$). The lowest hybrids with light quarks have the mass about 1.5 GeV [5,6]. The masses of hybrids with heavy quarks were estimated in [7] taking into account the bag deformation, with the results 3.9 GeV for cohybrid and 10.5 GeV for bb one.

Constituent gluon model was introduced in [8,9]. In this model the linear potential is introduced ad hoc, in analogy with the charmonium system. It was proposed also that the gluon orbital momentum is diagonal. As a consequence, in such model the lowest states with the S {wave gluon have non{exotic quantum numbers. The mass predictions of constituent model are 1.3-1.8 G eV for the lowest non{exotic hybrids.

Flux (tube model [10] predicts degenerate (up to spin corrections) lightest hybrid states at 1.8-1.9 GeV. In this model phonon (type excitations of the string connecting quark and antiquark are interpreted as hybrids. First calculations [10] assumed small oscillation approximation, and recent results [11] demonstrate that this approximation might be inadequate. Improved version [11] is given in the framework of "one (bead" ux (tube model.

Here we present the studies of the qog system in the fram ework of Vacuum Background Correlator method [12]. The main assumption is that nonperturbative background elds fB g exist in the QCD vacuum, which ensure

the area law asymptotic for the W ilson loop along the closed contour C,

$$<$$
 W (C) $>$ B! N cexp(S);

with $S=S_{m\ in}$ being the minimal surface inside the contour C. It was conmed by cluster expansion method [12] that if one assumes the existence of nite correlation length for the background, the asymptotical behaviour of the W ilson loop average is compatible with the area law. The deviation from the area law at large distances with $S=S_{m\ in}$ are caused by the perturbations over the background. The "minimal" area law gives the string type interaction in the qq system, while the perturbative elds are responsible for the string vibrations [13].

2 Green function for the qqg system in the Vacuum Background Correlator method

The constituent gluon in the Vacuum Background Correlator method is introduced as a gluon propagating in the nonperturbative background eld [14,15]. Following [15], we split the gluonic eld A into the background eld B and the perturbation a over the background.

$$A = B + a \tag{1}$$

W e ascribe the inhom ogeneous part of gauge transform ation to the $% \left(B\right) =\left(A\right)$ eld $\left(A\right) =\left(A\right)$

B !
$$U^{+}(B + \frac{i}{q}Q)U$$
; a ! $U^{+}aU$; (2)

so that the states involving the eld a may be formed in the gauge invariant manner. One (gluon hybrid is represented as

$$(x_q; x_q; x_q) = (x_q) (x_q; x_q) a (x_q) (x_q; x_q) (x_q);$$
 (3)

where ::: are the colour indices in the fundamental representation, $a = a_a(a)$, and parallel transporters contain only background eld:

$$(x;y) = (P \exp _{v}^{Z} B dz)$$
 (4)

The G reen function for the qqg system is obtained by averaging the product $_{in}$ $_{out}^{+}$ over the background eld con gurations:

$$G (x_{\alpha}x_{\alpha}x_{\alpha};y_{\alpha}y_{\alpha}y_{\alpha}) = \langle (y_{\alpha};y_{\alpha};y_{\alpha}) \rangle_{\text{out}} (x_{\alpha}x_{\alpha}x_{\alpha}) \rangle_{\text{B}} : (5)$$

The dynam ics of the eld a is de ned, in accordance with the decomposition (1), by expanding the QCD Lagrangian up to the second order in the elds a (in the Euclidean space(time)

$$L(a) = \frac{1}{4} (F (B))^{2} + a D (B)F (B) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} a (D D D gF (B)) a;$$

$$D^{ca} = (e^{-ca} + cf^{cba}B^{b};$$
(6)

with the background gauge xing term

$$G^{a} = Q a^{a} + qf^{abc}B^{b}a^{c}$$
 (7)

In what follows we skip the issue of ghosts. The linear in the elds a part of the Lagrangian (6) disappears if the eld B satis es the classical equation of motion D F = 0. To be on the safe side one is to assume that the background is the classical one, or at least, that the transition vertex generated by this term is small.

The G reen function for the eld a propagating in the given background B m ay be identied in the background gauge as

$$G^{-1} = D^{-2}$$
 D D $gF + \frac{1}{-}D$ D = M $+ \frac{1}{-}D$ D : (8)

If the classical equations of motion are satis ed, then one has M = 0, and the G reen function (8) may be rewritten as

G =
$$(+ (1)D \frac{1}{D^2}D) (D^2 2gF)^{-1}$$
: (9)

The choice = 0 corresponds to the Landau gauge, in which the Green function (9) contains explicitly the projector P onto transverse states:

$$P = D \left(\frac{1}{D^2}\right)D :$$
 (10)

To de ne the e ective action for the qqg system we use the Feynm an { Schwinger representation [16]. To do it in proper way one should take into account spin degrees of freedom of quarks and gluon. Here we om it the spin dependence, reducing the problem to the scalar one. This simplied version of the model corresponds to the neglecting of colourm agnetic interaction (the term proportional to the gF in (9)), and om itting the projector. Similarly, spin dependence in the quark G reen function is also om itted, and we assume

$$G_q = (D^2 m_q^2)^{-1}$$
: (11)

As the result, the Feynm an $\{Schw \text{ inger representation for the hybrid } G \text{ reen function takes the form} \}$

$$G (x_{q}x_{q}x_{g};y_{q}y_{q}y_{g}) = (12)$$

$$Z_{1} Z_{1} Z_{1} Z_{1} Z$$

$$ds ds dS DzDzDzexp(K_{q} K_{q} K_{g}) < W >_{B};$$

w here

$$K_{q} = m_{q}^{2}s + \frac{1}{4}_{0}^{Z_{s}} \underline{z^{2}}()d; K_{q} = m_{q}^{2}s + \frac{1}{4}_{0}^{Z_{s}} \underline{z^{2}}()d;$$
 $K_{g} = \frac{1}{4}_{0}^{Z_{s}} \underline{z^{2}}()d;$

with boundary conditions

$$z(0) = y_q; z(0) = y_q; Z(0) = y_g;$$

$$z(s) = x_q; z(s) = x_q; Z(s) = x_g;$$

and W is the W ilson loop operator

$$W = (a) (g(y_{\alpha}; x_{\alpha})) (b) (g(x_{\alpha}; y_{\alpha})) (g(y_{\alpha}; x_{\alpha})_{ab}; (13)$$

which corresponds to the propagation of quark along the path $_{\rm q}$, of antiquark along the path $_{\rm q}$ and of gluon along the path $_{\rm g}$ (see Fig.); here a; b are the colour indices in the adjoint representation. As in the case of qq system [17], all the dependence on the background eld is contained in the W ilson loop operator (13).

The W ilson loop con guration (13) may be rewritten using the relation between ordered exponents along the gluon path $_{\rm g}$ in the adjoint and fundam ental representations:

$$\frac{1}{2}(_{g}(x;y))_{ab} = (_{a})(_{g}(x;y))(_{b})(_{g}(y;x));$$
 (14)

where the path goincides with the path g and is directed oppositely. The result reads

$$W = \frac{1}{2} SpW_1 SpW_2 \frac{1}{2N_S} SpW;$$
 (15)

where W $_1$; W $_2$ and W are the W ilson loops in the fundam ental representation along the closed contours $C_1 = _{q \ g}$; $C_2 = _{q \ g}$ and $C = _{q \ q}$ shown at the Figure.

3 Generalized area law and e ective H am iltonian

To average the W ilson loop con guration (15) over the background we use the cluster expansion m ethod generalized in [18] to consider the average of m ore than one W ilson loop. For the contours C_1 and C_2 w ith the average size m uch larger than the gluonic correlation length T_g we arrive to the generalized area law

$$< W > = \frac{N_c^2}{2} \exp(-(S_1 + S_2));$$
 (16)

where is the string tension in the fundam ental representation, and S_1 and S_2 are the m inim alsurfaces inside the contours C_1 and C_2 . The area law (16) holds for all the con gurations in the qqg system, apart from the special case of the contours C_1 and C_2 embedded into the same plane, where, instead of (16), one has

$$= \frac{N_c^2}{2} \exp((S_1 S_2))$$
 adj S_2 ; $S_1 > S_2$; (17)

 $^{\rm adj}$ is the string tension in the adjoint representation. The regimes (16) and (17) m atch smoothly each other at the distances between the contours C $_{\rm 1}$ and C $_{\rm 2}$ of order of correlation length T $_{\rm g}$.

If the string tension is de ned mainly by the contribution of second order correlators, then $^{\rm adj}==9$ =4 for the SU (3) colour group. On the other hand, the area law for the W ilson loop in the adjoint representation was observed on the lattice [19] with $^{\rm adj}=$ 2, and the same result holds true in the lim it N $_{\rm c}$! 1 . Having all this in m ind, we assume the regime (16) to be valid everywhere in the qqq con guration space.

The four{dimensional dynamics in (12) can be reduced to the three{ dimensional one following the procedure used in [17]. Namely, choosing the physical time parametrization

$$z = (; r_q); z = (; r_q); Z = (; r_q)$$

and introducing new dynamical variables

$$_{1}() = \frac{T}{2s}Z_{0}();$$
 $_{2}() = \frac{T}{2s}Z_{0}();$ $_{3}() = \frac{T}{2s}Z_{0}();$

with 0 T, we arrive to the three $\{dim\ ensional\ representation\ for\ the\ G\ reen\ function:$

$$G = D r_q D r_q D r_g D_1 D_2 D_3 \exp(A);$$
(18)

with the e ective action

$$A = \int_{0}^{Z_{T}} df \frac{m_{q}^{2}}{2_{1}} + \frac{m_{q}^{2}}{2_{2}} + \frac{1 + 2 + 3}{2} + \frac{1\underline{r}_{q}^{2}}{2} + \frac{r\underline{r}_{q}^{2}}{2} + \frac{s\underline{r}_{q}^{2}}{2} + \frac{s\underline{r}_{q}^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s\underline{r}_{q}^{2}}{2} + \frac{s\underline{r}_{q}^{2}$$

where the surfaces S_1 and S_2 are parametrized by the coordinates w_i ; $\underline{w_i} = \frac{ew_i}{e}$; $w_i^0 = \frac{ew_i}{e}$; i = 1;2:A ssum ing the straight{line ansatz for the m in im al surfaces,

$$w_1 = {}_1z + (1 {}_1)Z ; w_2 = {}_2z + (1 {}_2)Z ;$$

we write out the e ective Lagrangian for the gog system as

$$L = \frac{m_q^2}{2} + \frac{m_q^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{$$

To obtain the e ective H am iltonian one should de ne the m omenta and express the velocities in terms of m omenta. It cannot be done explicitly because of presence of square roots in (20), and to deal with this problem the auxiliary eld approach was suggested in [17]. However, it was shown in [17] that for the low values of relative orbital momenta the square roots in (20) can be expanded up to the second order in the angular velocities \mathcal{I}_i , the approximation proved to be accurate enough even for the massless constituents. Within this approximation the problem is reduced to the potential { like one, while the terms l_i^2 can be taken into account perturbatively. The corresponding H am iltonian in the M inkowsky space{time in the centre{of{m ass frame is easily obtained from (20):

$$H_{0} = \frac{m_{q}^{2}}{2_{1}} + \frac{m_{q}^{2}}{2_{2}} + \frac{1 + 2 + 3}{2} + \frac{p^{2}}{2_{p}} + \frac{Q^{2}}{2_{Q}} + 1 + 2; \quad (21)$$

$$\gamma_{1} = \gamma_{1} - \frac{2}{1 + 2} r; \quad \gamma_{2} = \gamma_{2} - \frac{1}{1 + 2} r;$$

where the Jacobi coordinates

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_1 \quad \mathbf{r}_2; \quad \mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{r}_3 \quad \frac{\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 \mathbf{r}_2}{\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2};$$

and conjugated momenta p and Q are introduced, and $_{\rm p}$ and $_{\rm Q}$ are the reduced masses

$$p = \frac{1 \ 2}{1 + 2}; \quad Q = \frac{2(1 + 2)}{1 + 2 + 3}$$
:

The H am iltonian still contains the elds $_{\rm i}$ (), and the integration over f $_{\rm i}$ g is to be performed in the path integral representation (18) (or, equivalently, taking the extremal values of $_{\rm i}$ in the H am iltonian). Only after that the quantization should be carried out.

Technically, it is more convenient to proceed in a way suggested in [20]: rst nd the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (21) assuming $_{i}$ to be c{ numbers, and after that minimize the eigenenergies in $_{i}$. This procedure works with rather good accuracy for the lowest states, and reduces the problem to the nonrelativistic three{body one, with $_{i}$ playing the role of constituent masses. We note that although the Hamiltonian (21) looks like the Hamiltonian of the nonrelativistic potential model, it is essentially relativistic, and the masses $_{i}$ are not introduced by hand, but are calculated and expressed in terms of string tension and quark masses.

A nother advantage of the above {described method is that it allows for the approximate solution to the problem of separating out the physical transverse states. Indeed, let us impose the constraint

$$_{3 \quad 0} \quad _{3} (r_{q} \sim) = 0$$
 (22)

to project out the physical hybrid state $= (0; \tilde{\ });$ where is the gluon spin index. The constraint (22) is compatible with the projector P (10) after averaging over background and introducing the variables $_i$. In the potential (like regime one has $p_g = _3r_g$, and we choose the physical states to be transverse with respect to the three (dimensional gluon momentum:

$$p_3 \sim 0; \quad 0 = 0; \quad (23)$$

We are forced to impose the condition (23), because we have neglected the spin dependence in the gluon G reen function (9), so the condition (23) should be treated as variational ansatz. The rigorous analysis of the transverse and longitudinal gluonic degrees of freedom should be done with the inclusion of spin into the path integral representation for the gluon G reen function.

4 Numerical results and discussion

In the actual calculations the H am iltonian was supplied with the short range C oulomb interaction

$$V_c = \frac{s}{6r} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{s}{1} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{s}{2}$$
: (24)

As a variational ansatz the Gaussian type radial wave functions were chosen. The constraint (23) was satis ed by taking the orbital wave functions

diagonal in the total angular m om entum j in the gluonic subsystem, so that the states contain electric or m agnetic gluon:

$$\stackrel{\text{e}}{\sim} Y_{jjm} (\hat{Q}); \stackrel{\text{m}}{\sim} \frac{s}{j} = \frac{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} Y_{jj-1m} (\hat{Q}) + \frac{s}{2j+1} Y_{jj+1m} (\hat{Q}); \quad (25)$$

W ith this choice the electric and magnetic hybrids are degenerate, and this degeneracy will be removed by string corrections and by spin{dependent force.

The quantum numbers of a one {gluon hybrid are given by

$$P = (1)^{l+j}; C = (1)^{l+s+1}$$
 (26)

for the states with electric gluon, and

$$P = (1)^{l+j+1}; C = (1)^{l+s+1}$$
 (27)

for the states with magnetic gluon, where lands are the angular momentum and total spin in the quark {antiquark subsystem. So the possible quantum numbers for the ground state are

$$J^{PC} = 0 + ;1 + ;2 + ;1 ; (28)$$

where the upper/lower sign stands for the state with electric/m agnetic gluon (26)/(27).

The most complicated problem in the constituent approaches is not the relative arrangement of ground and excited states, but the absolute scale of masses. In the potential model large negative constant is needed to the qq spectrum, and this constant is dierent for the sectors with dierent avour content. In the described approach the perimeter terms for the Wilson loop and/orhadronic shifts might be responsible for the constant term. We use the prescription that for the hybrid state with two strings the additive constant is twice as large as for the qq meson with only one string.

So, the procedure used is: 1) to de ne the constant term for the given values of parameters from the t to the S; P and D wave meson levels, and 2) to calculate the hybrid mass with the constant multiplied by two.

The numerical results for the spectra of hybrids with light quarks are listed in the Table 1 for dierent values of quark mass, string tension and s.

Slightly another procedure was used to calculate the masses of hybrids with heavy quarks: the constant term was taken from the to heavy{light (D and B) meson masses. We think that the "heavy{light" constants are more consistent phenomenologically for the hybrid with two "heavy{light" strings. The results for the ground states are given in Table 2.

The spectra we have obtained are rather similar to the ones of the ux{ tube model [10,11]. There is a lot of common in these two approaches, because both models try to account for string vibrations. Moreover, the Ham iltonian (21) looks quite sim ilar to the Ham iltonian of the "one{bead" ux (tube model [11]. As it was already mentioned, the numerical calculations [11] do not support the small oscillation approximation proposed in the original version [10] of the ux (tube model. Hence, the constraint im posed that the "beads" can oscillate only in the transverse (with respect to quark { antiquark) direction seem s to be a little suspicious. However, the heavy quark hybrid system was analysed [21] in the string (type regime of the Lagrangian (20), which matches smoothly at low j the potential (type regime described here, and it was shown that the e ective values of 1 and ₂ are equal, and it is just the case of the "one{bead" ux{tube model. The dierence comes from the fact that in the ux{tube the masses of constituents (including the bead) are xed, while in our approach the e ective masses are the variables. A nother num erical discrepancy is due to the constant term: in the ux{tube there is one string, so it is reasonable to use the constant tted by the qq spectrum, while we have two distinguishable strings, and the constant dened from the qq spectrum should be multiplied by the factor of two. These discrepancies compensate each other, so the almost exact coincidence of the results seem s to be to som e extent accidental.

The most important dierence between the models is in quantum numbers. In the presented picture the connement is of the stochastic nature and is ensured by the background elds, with well (de ned perturbation theory in the background. As a result, the constituent gluon carries quantum numbers of its own. On the contrary, the ux (tube, being motivated by the strong coupling expansion, knows nothing about the gluons which populate the string. The excitations of the string are described by the collective phonon (type modes, so instead of (28) one has for the ground state

$$J^{PC} = 0$$
 ;1 ;2 ;1 : (29)

The most clear decay signature of hybrids is the suppression of a hybrid

decay into two S {wave ground state mesons. This signature takes place for the ux{tube hybrid [22] as well as for the electric constituent hybrid [23], and follows from the symmetry of the wave functions involved. It means that P {odd hybrids (28) and (29) have the same decay properties, and the discrepancy between the models should reveal itself in the P { even hybrid sector. However, all currently discussed hybrid candidates in the light quark sector are P { odd!!

There is grow ing evidence that hybrids are found at last, the belief based mainly on the above{mentioned signature. Indeed, the 0 $^+$ (1800) state seen by VES [24] decays mainly into $\,f_0$ with mode suppressed; the exotic 1 $^+$ signal is seen in BNL [25] in the $\,f_1$ nal state; the (1775) is seen in charge exchange photoproduction [26] decaying into $\,f_2$, that might be 2 $^+$; the 0 (1460) decays mainly into $\,a_1$ in contrast to 2 3S_1 qq assignement [27]; the rather promising isoscalar 2 $^+$ $_2$ (1870) [28] is observed with $\,a_2$ and $\,f_0$ (980) decay models. Unfortunately, up to now no hybrid{like activity is observed in the P {even sector.}

5 Concluding remarks

We have demonstrated that the perturbation theory in the nonperturbative con ning background supports the existence of qqg bound states. The hybrid mesonic excitation looks like a system of a gluon with two straight{line strings with quarks at the ends. For low values of gluon orbital momentum the problem is reduced to the potential{like one, and the resulting hybrid spectra are compatible with the data on light quark meson spectroscopy.

ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Yu A Sim onov for extremely useful discussions.

We acknowledge the support from Russian Fundamental Research Foundation, Grant No 93-02-14937 and from the International Science Foundation and Russian Government, Grant No J77100.

R eferences

- [1] F.C.lose, RAL-TR-95-045, C.95-07-10.1 hep-ph/9509245, to appear in Proceedings of the Hadron '95 Conference (Manchester, 10-14 July 1995)
- [2] J.G. ovaerts, F.de Viron, D. Gusbin and J.W. eyers, Nucl. Phys. B 248,1 (1984)
- [3] J.I.Lattore, P. Pascual and S.Narison, Z. Phys. C 34, 347 (1987)
- [4] JG ovaerts, LJR einders and JW eyers, Nucl. Phys. B 262,575 (1985); JG ovaerts, LJR einders, PFrancken, XG onze and JW eyers, Nucl. Phys. B 284,674 (1987)
- [5] M Chanow itz ad S.P. Shame, Nucl. Phys. B 222, 211 (1983)
- [6] T Barnes, F E C lose and F de V iron, Nucl. Phys. B 224, 241 (1983)
- [7] P H asenfratz, R R H organ, J K uti and J.-M R ichard, Phys. Lett. B 95, 299 (1980)
- [8] D Hom and JM andula, Phys. Rev. D 17, 898 (1978)
- [9] S. Ishida, H Sawazaki, M Oda and K Yamada, Phys. Rev. D 47, 179 (1992)
- [10] N Jsgur and J Paton, Phys. Rev. D 31, 2910 (1985)
 JM erlin and J Paton, J. Phys. G 11, 439 (1985)
- [11] T Barnes, F E C lose, E S Swanson, ORNL-CTP-95-02, RAL-94-106, hep-ph/95 01405.
- [12] H G D osch and Yu A Sim onov, Phys. Lett. B 205, 339 (1988) Yu A Sim onov, Nucl. Phys. B 307, 512 (1988); B 324,67 (1989) Yu A Sim onov, Yad. Fiz. 54, 192 (1991)
- [13] Yu A Sim onov, in Proceedings of the Hadron' 93 Conference (Com o, 21-25 June 1993)
- [14] L.F. Abbot, Nucl. Phys. 185, 189 (1991)
- [15] Yu A Sim onov, HD-THEP-93-16, hep-ph/9311247

- [16] R P Feynm an, Phys. Rev. 80, 614 (1950)J Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82, 664 (1951)Yu A Sim onov and JA T pn, Ann Phys. 228, 1 (1993)
- [17] A Yu Dubin, A B K aidalov and Yu A Sim onov, Phys. Lett. B 323, 41 (1994)
 E L G ubankova and A Yu Dubin, Phys. Lett. B 334, 180 (1994)
- [18] A.Yu.Dubin, Yu.S.K.alashnikova, ITEP-40-94, hep-ph/9406332, Yad.Fiz. in press.
- [19] IJFord, R H D alitz and J Hoek, Phys. Lett. B 208, 286 (1988)
- [20] Yu A Sim onov, Phys. Lett. B 226, 151 (1989)
- [21] Yu B Yufryakov, in preparation.
- [22] N. Jsgur, R. Kokoski and J. Paton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 869 (1985) F. C. lose, P. R. Page, Nucl. Phys. B 443, 233 (1995)
- [23] A Le Yaouanc, L O liver, O Pene, J.-C Raynal, Z Phys. C 28, 309 (1985) Yu S K alashnikova, Z Phys. C 62, 323 (1994)
- [24] VES Collaboration, A Zaitsev, in Proceedings of 26th Int. Conference on High Energy Physics (Glasgow, 21-27 July 1994)

 VES Collaboration, D Ryabchikov, to appear in Proceedings of the Hadron'95 Conference (Manchester, 10-14 July 1995)
- [25] JH Lee et al., PhysLett. B 323, 227 (1994)
- [26] G.T. Condo et al., Phys. Rev. D 43, 2787 (1991)
- [27] A B C legg and A D onnachie, Z Phys. C 62, 455 (1991)
 A D onnachie and Yu S K alashnikova, Z Phys. C 59, 621 (1993)
- [28] M Feindt, Proc. 25th Intern.Conf. on High Energy Physics, p.537, K K Phua, Y Yam aguchi (eds.). Singapore 1991 K K arch et al., Z Phys. C 54, 33 (1992)

Table 1. Predicted masses of hybrids with light quarks

m q;G eV	s	;G eV ²	M _{qqg} ;G eV		
			j= 1;1= 0	j= 1;1= 1	j= 2;1= 0
0	0.3	0.18	1.73	2.03	2.2
		0.2	1 . 68	2.00	2.18
0.1	0.3	0.18	1.71	2.02	2.19
		0.2	1 . 68	2.00	2.18
0	0.7	0.18	1.60	1.95	2.15
0.1	0.7	0.18	1.58	1.93	2.14

Table 2. Predicted m asses of hybrids with heavy quarks; = 0.18G eV^2 ; $_{\text{s}} = 0.3$

$= 0:18G \text{ eV}^2; s = 0$				
m c ; GeV	M ccg;GeV			
1.2	4.12			
1.5	4.11			
1 . 7	4.10			
m ₀;GeV	M _{bbg} ;GeV			
4.5	10.64			
5.2	10.64			

Figure caption

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{W}}$ ilson loop con guration corresponding to the propagation of the hybrid state.