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A bstract
W e discuss two dim ensional QCD ( $\mathbb{N}_{c}$ ! 1 ) with ferm ions in the fundam ental as well as adjoint representation. W e nd factorial grow th $\quad\left(9^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{2 \mathrm{k}} \frac{(2 \mathrm{k})!(1)^{\mathrm{k}} 1}{(2)^{2 \mathrm{k}}}$ in the coe cients of the large order perturbative expansion. W e argue that this behavior is related to classical solutions of the theory, instantons, thus it has nonperturbative origin. Phenom enologically such a grow th is related to highly excited states in the spectrum . W e also analyze the heavylight quark system Q q w ithin operator product expansion (which it tums out to be an asym ptotic series). Som e vacuum condensates $h q(x \quad D)^{2 n} q i \quad\left(x^{2}\right)^{n} \quad n!w h i c h$ are responsible for this factorial grow th are also discussed.

W e form ulate som e general puzzles which are not speci c for 2D physics, but are inevitable features of any asym ptotic expansion. W e resolve these apparent puzzles with in QCD 2 and we speculate that analogous puzzles $m$ ight occur in real 4-dim ensionalQ CD as well.

[^0]
## 1 Introduction

The problem of large -order behavior of the perturbative theory attracted a renewed attention recently. O ne of the $m$ otivating factors is a com $m$ on wisdom that the corresponding asym ptotic behavior is related som ehow to a very deep physics. This is the area where perturbative and nonperturbative physics strongly interfere. A $n$ understanding of this interplay $m$ ay shed the light on the nature of the nonperturbative vacuum structure in general and the origin of vacuum condensates in particular.

W ith these general rem arks in $m$ ind we would like to analyze these problem s in solvable two-dim ensional QCD $\mathbb{N}$ ! 1 ), test assum ptions, hypothesis and interpretations, $m$ ade in 4-dim ensional eld theory, w thin toy two-dim ensional Q CD2 $\mathbb{N}$ ! 1 ), where we expect connem ent and $m$ any other properties inherent to realQ CD. A dditionally, we
 theory, the pair creation is not suppressed even in the large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} \lim$ it, and thus, this $m$ odel can $m$ im ic an exponentially grow ing density of states $w$ ith large $m$ ass ( $m$ ) expm. In this case no exact solution is available, but we argue that generalm ethods such as dispersion relations, duality and unitarity can provide all inform ation we need about spectnum for the calculation of large order behavior.

W hy are we so conscious about the large order behavior? W e see at least a few theoretical and phenom enological reasons for that. Let us start from the pure theoretical reasons. O nem ay think that the crucialquestion in this case is whether the perturbative series is B orel sum $m$ able or not.

C ontrary to the com $m$ on belief, we do not think that the issue of B orel sum $m$ ability (or its loss ) is the fundam ental point. In particular, let us $m$ ention an exam ple of the principal chiral eld theory at large $N$ [ī this case, the explicit solution as well as the coe cients of the perturbative expansion can be calculated. These coe cients grow factorially w th the order and the series is non-B orel sum $m$ able, but nevertheless, the physical observables are perfectly exist for any nite coupling constant. The exact result can be recovered by special prescription which uses a non-trivial procedure of analytical continuation (which $m$ ight be a good exam ple for other asym ptotically free theories).

The second im portant theoretical issue can be form ulated as follow s: Because of dim ensionality of the coupling constant in $Q C D_{2}$ the perturbative expansion $\quad\left(g^{2}\right)^{n}{\underset{P}{n}}^{c}$ and the operator product expansion (OPE) for a correlation function $\left(\frac{g^{2}}{Q^{2}}\right)^{n} G_{n}$ are one and the sam e expansion. From this sim ple observation we leam that the OPE is an asym ptotic series. Thus, $m$ any interesting questions arise:
a)W hat kind of vacuum condensates are responsible for such a behavior? b)D o we extract the actual condensates from the OPE or only e ective
ones?
c) W hat kind ofvacuum con gurations are responsible for such $n$ ! grow th?
d)D o these con gurations saturate the vacuum condensates?
$W$ hat is $m$ ore im portant, som etim es these questions (and $m$ any others) can be answered. W e expect, will argue, that the analogous phenom ena $m$ ight occur in real four dim ensional QCD, thus these questions have not only pure academ ic interest.

P henom enologically, there are issues which are even m ore interesting and give much m ore freedom for speculation. First of all, let us recall that the reason for interest in the large order behavior is related to the factorialn!' $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{n}}$ grow th of the perturbative coe cients. This grow th can be considered via the dispersion relation and is com m only interpreted as a re ection of the divergence of the multiparticle cross section w ith large num ber of particles and energy' $n$ (see discussions in [ilin]). The naive intenpretation would be the violation of unitarity $l_{12}^{2_{1}}$. W e w ill show how ever that in $Q C D_{2}$ while we have a factorial grow th of coe cients, this grow th has nothing to do w ith m ultiparticle production since at large N the pair creation is suppressed by factor $1=\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{R}$ ather, this grow th is related to the highly excited tw o-particle $m$ eson states. A nother phenom enological issue looks $m$ ysterious: vacuum condensates extracted from the spectrum $m$ ight be quite di erent from the actualm agnitude of condensates.

## 2 t'H ooft m odel

Let us start from the analysis of two dim ensional Q CD with ferm ions in the findam ental representation the 't H ooft $m$ odel. It is com pletely solvable in the lim it where the number of colors N ! 1 [ī]. T he Bethe-Salpeter equation for $m$ esonic bound states was solved in $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ yielding a spectrum whose states lie asym ptotically on a single "R egge trajectory". W e want to point out that $m$ any generalquestions in thism odelcan be answ ered $w$ thout solving an equation, but using such pow erfulm ethods as dispersion relations, dually and unitarity. In particular, in the weak coupling regim $e$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{2} N \quad \text { const: } N \quad!\quad 1 ; m_{q} \quad g \quad p_{\bar{N}}^{1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the chiralh i and gluon condensates hG ${ }^{2}$ i can be calculated exactly, see below. Additionally, few low-energy theorem s can be tested and obtained result im ply that there are no other states in addition to those found by 't Hooft. In other words, the dispersion and duality relations would indicate m issing states.

[^1]Here, the entire spectrum is discrete and is classi ed by the integer $n$. $T$ he $m$ odelwe shall consider consists of quark in fundam ental representation interacting via an $S U(\mathbb{N})$ color gauge group. W e follow the notation of ref. $\overline{\mathrm{Z}}]$ and present the 't H ooft equation $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ in the follow ing form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}^{2} n(x)=\frac{m_{q}^{2}}{x(1 \quad x)} n(x) \quad m_{0}^{2} P^{z} d y \frac{n(y)}{(x \quad y)^{2}} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where sym bolp notes as the principal value of the integral, and $0<x<1$ is the fraction of the totalm om entum of the bound state carried by quank $q$ w ith m ass $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$. The quantity $\mathrm{m}_{0}^{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{~N}}{}$ is the basic m ass scale in the theory and the index $n$ classi es the ordering num ber of the bound states in ;pi w ith totalm om entum p.

The sam ewave function can be expressed in term sof the follow ing $m$ atrix


$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.n(x)=\underline{N}^{s} d y_{+} e^{i y_{+}(1} 2 x\right) p h 0 \dot{q}(\quad y) q(y) \dot{\eta} ; p i \dot{p}=0: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us note that the $m$ atrix elem ent on the right is $w$ ritten in the light cone gauge $\mathrm{A}=0$; to restore the m anifest gauge invariance one can insert the standard exponential factor $e^{\mathrm{ig}}$ A $d y+$ into the form ula ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ ).
 trum is discrete and classi ed by the integer num ber $n$. T he wave functions $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})$ are orthogonal, com plete and obey the follow ing boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})![\mathrm{x}(1 \quad \mathrm{x})] ; \mathrm{x}!\quad 0 ; \mathrm{x}!1 ; \quad \cot (\quad)=1 \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2}}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large n the spectrum is linear

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}, \quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{n} ;{ }_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}),{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} \sin (\mathrm{nx}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and does not depend on $m$ ass of the quark. M ore im portantly, in the chiral lim it ( $m_{q}$ ! 0) the lowest level (we call it $m$ eson) tends to zero ( $m^{2}$ $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ) and one could expect a nonzero m agnitude for the chiral condensate. Thus, we have come to the very im portant connection between spectrum and vacuum structure.

A s the vacuum of the $m$ odel is a very im portant issue for the follow ing analysis, we would like to recall some results w th an explanation of the generalm ethods which have been used to derive them .

W e de ne the chiral condensate in the current algebra term $s$ as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\lim _{p}{ }_{0} i^{Z} d^{2} x e^{\text {ipx }} @ h 0 j \mathbb{j q} \quad{ }_{5} q(x) ; q{ }_{5} q(0) g j 0 i= \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

A s we have already mentioned, the only states of 't H ooft's solution are the quark-antiquark bound states, thus they must saturate the dispersion relation. U pon inserting this com plete set ofm esons to the ( $(\overline{-})$ one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 j q-j 0 i=m_{q}^{x} \frac{N_{n}}{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2}} \underset{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}}{ } \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{n}$ is de ned in term $s$ of the follow ing $m$ atrix elem ents

In the chiral lim it the only state which can contribute to the form ula $\left(\bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$ is the $m$ eson. Its $m$ atrix elem ent can be calculated exactly and we end up w ith the follow ing expression for the chiral condensate in the $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ! $0 \lim$ it [ī2]i]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 j q q^{-j} i=N p^{m} \frac{0}{12} ; m_{0}^{2}=\frac{g^{2} N}{} ; \quad f_{n=0}=\frac{1}{p^{3}} ; m^{2}=m_{q} \frac{2 m_{0}}{\frac{1}{3}}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is con $m$ ed by num erical $[1]$ calculations $\left.[1]=1{ }_{-1}\right]$. M oreover, the $m$ ethod has been generalized for the nonzero quark $m$ ass and the corresponding explicit form ula for the chiral condensate hqqi w ith arbitrary $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ has been obtained [ī $\left.\overline{1}\right]$.

A s was expected, we nd that h0jqjoi N. Besides that, as we have already notioed in $[1-1]$, if we put $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=0$ from the very beginning, then hodipi $=0$. This corresponds to the di erent regim e when $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad \mathrm{g}$ $1=\bar{N}$, when nonplanar diagram $s$ come into the game as we will discuss later. The last rem ank is the observation that the entire nonzero answer for the condensate com es from the infrared region of the integration in eq. (8-1) : x $0 ; \mathrm{x} \quad 1$ which corresponds to the situation when one of the quarks carries all the m om entum and the second one is at rest.

The sum ( point is that for arbitrary $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ the nonzero contribution com es from the highly excited states ( $n \quad 1$ ) only. The properties of these states are well-know $n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}!\quad 1 ; \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}!{ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2} \quad \mathrm{n} ; \quad \mathrm{n} \quad 1 ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus the sum (

$$
h 0 \operatorname{jqg} j 0 i=\frac{m_{q} N}{2} f \log (\quad) \quad 1 \quad E+\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & \underline{1})[I() & I() & \log 4] g ; ~(11)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{0}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}} ; \quad \mathrm{E}=0: 5772::$ is Euler's constant and

$$
I()=z_{1}^{z_{1}} \frac{d y}{y^{2}} \frac{1 \frac{y}{\sinh y \cosh y}}{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
y \operatorname{coth} y & 1)+1
\end{array}\right.}:
$$

This result is exact for large $N$ and arbitrary quark $m$ ass $w$ ith in the 't H ooft regim e, i.e. $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{g} \quad 1=\overline{\mathrm{N}}(\underline{1})$. In the lim it ! 1 , it reduces to the eq. $\left(\frac{9}{-1}\right)$ as it should.

The last condition ( $m_{q} \quad g$ ) which has to be satis ed for the 't H ooft solution to be valid, requires som e additionalexplanation. R oughly speaking, nonplanar diagram $s m$ ay contain a factor $\quad m_{q}{ }^{1}$ which at $m_{q}=0$ blow $s$ up and the theory changes com pletely. T he concept of the proof that there exists a factor $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{1}$ in nonplanar diagram s is the follow ing.

Let us consider the correlation function forp! 0

$$
i^{z} \quad d^{2} x e^{i p x} h 0 \mathfrak{T} q q(x) ; q q(0) g j 0 i=P\left(p^{2}\right)
$$

T he 't H ooft solution suggests that only planar graphs are taken into account and, consequently, the spectral density contains only contributions from one $m$ eson states for which $P_{\text {planar }} \quad N$. At the sam $e$ tim e in the chiral lim it, we can calculate the two-pion contribution exactly! This contribution is not accounted for in deriving (2, 2 ). Of course, the two-pion contribution is suppressed by a factor $1=\mathrm{N}$. H ow ever, it contains a term $\quad \frac{\mathrm{m}_{0}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}$ which tends to in nity for $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}!$. The presence of the factor $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{1}$ in nonplanar diagram $s$ leads to the aforem entioned constraint on $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$.

N ow let us explicitly dem onstrate the existence of the term $m_{q}{ }^{1}$ for the two-pion contribution. In order to do so, we w rite dow $n$ a dispersion relation for $P$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(0)=\underline{1}_{4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{ }^{2} \frac{\mathrm{ds}}{\mathrm{~s}} \operatorname{Im} P(\mathrm{~s}) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Im} P(s)$ is the physical spectral density. The contribution is xed uniquely by $(\underset{-1}{\overline{9}})$ because of the special role of pions [1] $\overline{1}]$ ]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P \quad(0)={\frac{m_{0}^{2}}{6}}_{4 m^{2}}^{L_{1}} \frac{d s}{s\left(s \quad 4 m^{2}\right)}=\frac{m_{0}^{2}{ }^{2}}{12 m^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{m_{q}} \text { : } \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that the only cause for a singular $1=m_{q}$ behavior is the niteness of the pion $m$ atrix elem ents at zero $m$ om entum. At the same time this contribution does not contain the large factor $N$ which accom panies a one $m$ eson contribution to the sam e correlator. To suppress these nonplanar diagram s we require $N \quad \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}$. Thus, we expect that som e kind of phase transition $m$ ay occur in the region $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad g$, which would couse a com plete restructuring of the theory.

The last subject we would like to discuss in this section is the strict Colem an theorem [ī1] which states that a continuous sym m etry cannot be
broken spontaneously in a two dim ensional theory. A s we discussed earlier [12̄] we expect that as in the $S U \mathbb{N}$ ! 1 ) Thirring $m$ odel (where the chiral sym $m$ etry is \alm ost" spontaneously broken $[1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1]$ ), the B erezinski-K osterlitzThouless (BKT) e ect [ the 't H ooft spectrum : states w ith opposite P parity are not degenerate in m ass and there is an \alm ost" G oldstone boson with m${ }^{2} \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}+1=\mathrm{N}$.

To be more speci c, one can show [12] that in $\operatorname{QCD}_{2}(\mathbb{N}!1$ ) the behavior of the proper tw o-point correlation function is as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 \mathfrak{T} f q_{L} q_{R}(x) ; q_{R} q_{L}(0) g j 0 i \quad x^{\frac{1}{N}}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a behavior together with cluster property as x ! 1 im plies the existence of the condensate at $N=1$ in a full agreem ent with our previous discussion. At the sam e tim e, for any nite but large $N$, the correlator falls o very slow ly dem onstrating the BK T łoehavior w ith no signs of contradiction to the Colem an theorem.
$H$ aving these general rem arks on $\mathrm{QCD}_{2}(\mathbb{N})$ in $m$ ind, we tum into our $m$ ain sub ject.

## 3 Large order behavior in $\mathrm{QCD}_{2} \mathbb{N}=1$ ).

## 3.1 't H ooft m odel.

Let us consider the asym ptotic $\lim$ 止 $Q^{2}=q^{2}!1$ of the two-point correlation function

$$
i^{Z} \quad d x e^{i q x} h 0 \upharpoonright \mathbb{T} \text { fqi }{ }_{5} q(x) \text {;qi }{ }_{5} q(0) g j 0 i=P\left(Q^{2}\right) \text { : }
$$

It is clear, that the large $Q^{2}$ behavior of $P\left(Q^{2}\right)$ is govemed by the free, $m$ assless theory, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(Q^{2}!1\right)=\frac{N_{C}}{2} \ln Q^{2}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the sam e tim e the dispersion relations state that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(Q^{2}\right)={\frac{N}{C} m_{0}^{2}{ }^{2}}_{n=0 ; 2 ; ; ;:::} \frac{f_{n}^{2}}{Q^{2}+m_{n}^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the sum is over states $w$ ith even $n$ because we are considering the pseudoscalar currents. $H$ ere residues $f_{n}$ are de ned as follow $S$

Bearing in $m$ ind that for large $n ; f_{n}^{2}!1$ and $m_{n}^{2}!m_{0}^{2}{ }^{2} n$, we recover the asym ptotic result ( $1 \bar{I}_{-1}$ ). W e can reverse argum ents by saying that in order
to reproduce $\ln Q^{2}$ dependence in the dispersion relation ( $\left.\overline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$, the residues $f_{n}^{2} m$ ust approach the constant $\left(m_{n+1}^{2} \quad m_{n}^{2}\right)$ for large $n$.
$N$ ow consider a Q ${ }^{2 k}$ expansion for the comelator ( $\overline{1} \bar{q}$ ) in order to $n d$ the coe cients $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ of this series at large k

A swem entioned earlier, in tw o dim ensions the perturbative expansion $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)^{\mathrm{k}}$ and the $1=\left(Q^{2}\right)^{k}$-expansion coincide.
$N$ ow, ifwe knew $f_{n}$ and $m_{n}$ for arbitrary $n$ we could calculate the sum ( $\overline{1} \bar{Q}$ ) precisely, and thus, wew ould nd the coe cients $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$ from ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{0} \overline{-}\right)$. U nfortunately, we do not know them. How ever, the key observation is as follow s: in spite of the fact that we do not know an analytical expression for $f_{n}$ and $m_{n}$ for arbitrary $n$ we still can calculate the leading behavior of $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$. The reason for that is related to the fact that the only asym ptotics of residues $f_{n}=1 ; n$ ! 1 and $m$ asses $m_{n}^{2}=m_{0}^{2}{ }^{2} n ; n!1$ are essential; $T$ he corrections to $f_{n}=$ $1+0(1=n) ; m_{n}^{2}=m_{0}^{2}{ }^{2} n+0(1) ; n!1$ m ight change the preasym ptotic behavior of $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{k}$ ! 1 , but can not change the factorialbehavior $(k)$ !, found below. U sing the asym ptotic expressions for $f_{n}$ and $m_{n}$ we nd that $P\left(Q^{2}\right)$ is expressed in term s of transcendental function $(z)=\frac{{ }^{0}(z)}{(z)}$ where $z=\frac{Q^{2}}{m_{0}^{2}}$. H ow ever we can trust only in the leading term s of the corresponding form ula:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(Q^{2}\right) \quad P(0)=N_{C} X^{X} \quad\left(\frac{1}{n+z} \quad \frac{1}{n}\right)=\frac{N_{C}}{2} \ln z+_{E}+\frac{1}{2 z} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{2}} \frac{B_{2 k}}{2 k} \frac{1}{z^{2 k}} ; \\
& \mathrm{z}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2}{ }^{2}} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{2 \mathrm{k}}=\frac{(2 \mathrm{k}) 2(\mathrm{I})^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{1}}{(2)^{2 k}} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $B_{2 k}$ is asym ptotic expression for the $B$ emoulli num bers.
A few com $m$ ents are in order. First of all, we have explicitly dem onstrated that the coe cients $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{k}}$ in the operator expansion ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{Q}^{2 \mathrm{k}}$ are factorial divergent in high orders, $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \quad$ (2k) !, so the expansion is asym ptotic in full agreem ent w th the general argum ents of ref. [2̄1i].

A dditionally we note that the only even pow ers $\mathrm{g}^{2}=\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ are essential in the expansion (generally speaking, arbitrary powers of $g^{2}=Q^{2}$ could contribute). $N$ onleading term $s$ in $f_{n}^{2}$ and $m_{n}^{2} m$ ight contribute to the odd pow ers $g^{2}=Q^{2}$.

From the physicalpoint ofview this factorialbehavior is related to highly excited states $w$ ith excitation num ber $2 n_{0} \quad Q^{2}=m_{0}^{2}$, and not $w$ th $m u l t i p l e$ production as onem ight naively expect. Indeed let us consider $Q^{2}=m_{0}^{2} \quad z$ $2 \mathrm{n}_{0}$ in the expansion $\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{z}} \quad\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{z}}\right)^{\mathrm{k}}$. It is clear that them ain contribution com es from $k^{\prime} 2 n_{0}$ and $\left(2 n_{0}\right)^{2 n_{0}} \quad\left(2 n_{0}\right)$ ! exactly corresponds $w$ th the behavior found above. It is in agreem ent w ith phenom enological analysis [1] in ], where it was assum ed that the production of a highly excited resonance m ight be responsible for the large order behavior.

From the theoretical point of view we would expect that this behavior is related, som ehow, to purely im aginary instantons (in order to provide the correct ( 1 ) behavior). An additional argum ents in favor of this point will be discussed latter.

W e would also like to point out that the num erical coe cient, which enters to the form ula ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{1} 1)^{\prime}\right)$ is follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.c_{2 k} 9^{2 k} \quad \frac{9^{2} N_{c}}{Q^{2}}\right)^{2 k}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the sam e tim e, the perturbative 2 k -loop graph gives a di erent contribution $\left.\frac{\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}}{Q^{2}}\right)^{2 \mathrm{k}}$ w ith an extra factor $1=$ per each coupling constant. This extra factor $m$ ust be taken very seriously as it is a large param eter. W e de nitely know (from exact solution), that the real scale of the problem is $\mathrm{m}_{0}^{2}{ }^{2}$, and not $\mathrm{m}_{0}^{2}=\mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ as one would naively expect from the perturbative theory. This $m$ eans that vacuum condensates which are determ ined by non-perturbative physics com e into the gam e. Even m ore, their contribution is much more im portant than pure pertunbative diagram s. Let us note, that the low est vacuum condensates, found exactly in additional factor. T hus, the factorial grow th is related, som ehow, to the nonperturbative physics.

To further investigate the nonperturbative nature of the asym ptotic series (in order to support the previous argum ents) let us, instead of correlation function ( $\overline{1} \bar{G}$ ) , consider the follow ing di erence of correlators:

$$
i^{z} \quad d x e^{i q x} f h 0 \mathfrak{T} \text { fqi }{ }_{5} q(x) ; q i{ }_{5} q(0) g j 0 i \quad \text { h0 } \mathfrak{j q q}(x) ; q q(0) g j 0 i g=P\left(Q^{2}\right):
$$

O ne can argue that in the chiral lim it $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ! 0 the perturbative contribution to $(\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{3})$ iz zero. At the sam e tim e dispersion relations lead to the sam e result: the coe cients of the OPE are factorially divergent. This grow th is related not to som e perturbative diagram $s$, but to nonperturbative physics. W e will present $m$ ore argum ents for this point of view in the next section.

Finally we have explicitly dem onstrated that the OPE is an asym ptotic series. H ow ever we can not answ er the im portant question of what kind of operators are responsible for such behavior. The reason for that is sim ple\{ too $m$ any operators contribute to the correlation function ( $\overline{1} \overline{\underline{G}})$ and the corresponding classi cation problem is quite involved. In the follow ing we will consider a special heavy-light quark system, where such an identi cation can bemade. We nd that som e vacuum condensates exhibit a factorial grow th. E xactly this fact is the souroe of such an asym ptotic behavior.

### 3.2 Q CD coupled to adjoint ferm ions. Instantons.

N ow we repeat the preceding analysis for the $\mathrm{m} u$ ch m ore interesting m odel


$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a d j}={ }^{Z} d^{2} x \operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{1}{4 g^{2}} F \quad F \quad+i \quad D \quad+m \quad\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $s$ is know $n$, the $m$ ost im portant di erence $w$ ith $t^{\prime} H$ ooft $m$ odel is that the bound states $m$ ay contain, in general, any num ber ofquanta. In other words, pair creation is not suppressed even in the large N lim it. The problem becom es m ore com plicated, but $\mathrm{m} u \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{m}$ ore interesting, because the pair creation ím tates som e physical gluon e ects.

W e consider the follow ing correlator analogous to (1](̄)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{Z} \quad d x e^{\operatorname{iqx}} h 0 j \operatorname{li} \frac{1}{N_{c}} \operatorname{Tr} \quad(x) ; \frac{1}{N_{c}} \operatorname{Tr} \quad(0) g j D i=P_{2}\left(Q^{2}\right) ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $={ }^{T} 0$ and the labelP ${ }_{2}$ show $s$ the num ber ofpartons in the extemal source ( $x$ ); the factor $1=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{c}$ is included in the de nition of the extemal current in order to m ake the right hand side of the equation independent on N . In the large $Q^{2}$ lim it the leading contribution to correlation functions is given as before by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}\left(Q^{2}!1\right)=\frac{2}{2} \ln Q^{2}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The additional factor 2 com es from two options in calculation of Tr and


N ow the problem arises. In $\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ ooft m odel we de nitely know that only 2 -particle bound states contribute to the corresponding correlation function. H ow ever, this is not true for the $m$ odel under consideration and any states $m$ ay contribute to $P_{2}$. The key observation is as follow s: any pair creation (quantum loops which describe the virtual e ects) is suppressed by a factor $\mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}}=Q^{2}$ because of dim ensionality of the coupling constant in tw odim ensions (in a big contrast with real 4-dim ensional QCD ) ${ }^{3}$. Besides that, the quark $m$ ass term produces the analogous $s m$ all factor $m{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}=Q^{2}$ and can be neglected as well. Thus, inform ation about highly excited states (which provides the $\log Q^{2}$ dependence) can be obtained exclusively from the analysis of the correlation function at large $Q^{2}$. In this case the analysis is very sim ilar to $t$ H ooft case, considered in the previous section:

$$
\text { gineqnarrayh0 } \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}} \text { in }{ }_{1} i=\frac{\mathrm{s} \frac{\left(\mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{}}{f_{n_{1}}} ; \quad n_{1} \quad 1 ; \quad n_{1} 2 \mathrm{Z}
$$

[^2]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}}^{2}=\mathrm{m}_{0}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{n}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}}^{2}=1 ; \quad \mathrm{m}_{0}^{2}=\underline{2 \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The only di erence is the doubling ofthe strength ofthe interaction $g^{2}!2 g^{2}$, [ब्ֶ̄] and the additional degeneracy $Z_{2}, m$ entioned above. $N$ ow the form ula for $\mathrm{P}_{2}(\overline{2} \bar{\sigma})$ can be easily recovered:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}\left(Q^{2}!1\right) \quad P_{2}(0)=\frac{2}{-} \underset{n_{1}=0 ; 2 ; 4:::}{ } \frac{\left(m_{0}^{2}{ }^{2}\right) f_{n_{1}}^{2}}{m_{n_{1}}^{2}+Q^{2}}!\quad \frac{2}{2} \ln \left(Q^{2}\right) ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s before, any correction to the asym ptotic expression $\left(\bar{\eta}_{-1}\right)$, such as $f_{n_{1}}^{2}=$ $1+0\left(1=n_{1}\right) ; m_{n_{1}}^{2}=m_{0}^{2}{ }^{2} n_{1}+0\left(m_{q}\right)$ will produce power corrections $\quad 1=Q^{2}$ and they are not interesting at the $m$ om ent.
 correspond to the 't Hooft model. H ow ever, there is a big di erence in interpretation of these tw o cases: In the 't H ooft $m$ odel we have exclusively
 the dispersion relations.

In the case ( $2 \overline{1} \overline{6}+2 \overline{1} \overline{-1})$ we have $m$ uch $m$ ore states $w$ ith arbitrary num ber of partons. A s we explained in $[\underline{2} 2 \overline{1}]$ the $m$ ixing betw een the di erent num bers of partons is not suppresed because ofh i-condensation. E ectively, how ever, all these com plex states contribute to the correlation function ( $\overline{2} \overline{-1})$ ) in the sam e way as in the 't H ooft m odel. In this case the integer num ber $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ from (2-7.) should be interpreted as an excitation number of 2 -bits in those states. The $m$ atrix elem ent $f_{n_{1}}^{2}$ ' 1 can be interpreted as a total probabilty to nd 2-bits am ong the com plete set of the $m$ ixed states. T he total num ber of states is increasing the $m$ ass increases. Thus, the probability to nd 2 bits in the given state is decreasing correspondingly. H ow ever, the dispersion relations $(\underline{2} \overline{-1})$ tell us that the total probability $f_{n_{1}}^{2} w$ th the given excitation num ber $n_{1}$ rem ains the sam $e$.

W e can repeat the previous analysis, which led us to the form ula (2̄1̄1) w ith m all changes. Instead of factor $\mathrm{B}_{2 k}$ in the expression (2 $\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}$ ) we will nd

$$
\left.B_{2 k}\right) 2^{2 k} B_{2 k}
$$

for the theory $w$ ith adjoint $m$ atter. This di erence was mentioned above and is related to the doubling of the strength of the interaction $g^{2}!2 g^{2}$.

H ow could one interpret this result? $F$ irst of all, let us recall, that factorialbehavior $m$ ay occur for three di erent reasons: ultraviolet renorm alons, infrared renorm alons and instantons. C learly, the rst two reasons can not cause for such behavior in a eld theory with the dim ensional coupling constant $g$. T hus we expect that som e kind of classical solution should be responsible for such behavior. Ifwe accept the instanton hypothesis, then from the very generalargum ents, one would expect that the instanton contribution w ith action S to the large k -order coe cients $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is given by $[\underline{2} 3 \overline{3}]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k}\left(g^{2}\right)^{k} \quad(k)!S^{k}\left(g^{2}\right)^{k} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the factor 2 , m entioned above has the follow ing interpretation: when we go from the QCD with fundam ental matter to the theory with adjoint $m$ atter the instanton action is decreased by a factor of 2 . In this case the factors ${ }^{k}$ from the form ula $(\underline{2} \overline{9})$ is exactly equal2 ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$. It can be intenpreted as the decreasing of instanton action by factor $2 . \mathrm{W}$ hy the instanton w th the action one-half is allowed in the theory $w$ ith adjoint $m$ atter and forbidden in the theory with fundam ental ferm ions ? This question has yet to be answ ered.

Let us conclude this section by noting that from argum ents given above we expect that som e classical, pure im aginary solution (we call it instanton), is responsible for the factorialbehavior found above.

## 4 H eavy-light quark system in Q CD 2 .

### 4.1 G eneral rem arks.

A swe m entioned in previous sections we are not able to identify the n ! behavior in the OPE (found from the spectrum) w ith som e speci c vacuum condensates. Such an identi cation can be done if one considers the heavy-light quark system. In this case the problem is reduced to the analysis of vacuum expectation value of the $W$ ilson line $h W i=h q(x) P \exp \left(i g{ }_{0}^{R_{x}} A d x\right) q(0) i$.
 describing this system, we end up (in the $\lim$ it $M_{Q}$ ! 1 ) w ith the ob ject which is com pletely factorized (in accordance with HQET, see e.g. review "- $\overline{-1} \overline{-}])$ from the heavy quark:

$$
h T f q Q(x) ; Q q(0) g i \quad h q(x) P \exp \left(i g{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~A} d x\right) q(0) i+\text { perturb: part: (30) }
$$

By de nition, hW i in this form ula is understood as the Taylor expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h W \quad i=h 0 j q(x) P e^{i g g_{x}}{ }_{0}^{R_{A}} d x \quad q(0) j 0 i=\sum_{n=0}^{n X^{1}} \frac{1}{(2 n)!} h q(x D \quad)^{2 n} q i \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

A llnontrivial, large distance physics of the system is hidden there. Together w ith perturbative contributions one should expect the follow ing behavior for this correlator [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hT fqQ (x);Q q(0)gi e } \quad \text {. } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The perturbative term S , proportional to $\quad\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$, contribute to ( $\overline{3} \overline{2}$ ) as nonperturbative ones due to the dim ensional coupling constant $g$ in 2d, thus, they interfere w ith expansion ( $\overline{3} 1 \mathbf{1} 1)$. A s w as suggested in the sam e context by Shifm an [2]in, , one can get rid of the perturbative term s by considering a special com bination of scalar and pseudoscalar correlation functions (analogous
to ( $(\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{-})$ w ith the replacem ent of a light quark for a heavy quark). The perturbative contribution vanishes in the chiral lim it for the such com bination and we can study the pure nonperturbative physics.

Let us note that the general connection (based on dispersion relations) betw een spectrum and vacuum condensates w as considered earliertīin]. It w as proven that the OPE is asym ptotic series. Besides that, for dem onstration purposes, it was suggested a speci c m odel for the spectral density (linear trajectory) and it was found that the vacuum condensates ( $\overline{3} \overline{1} 1 \bar{i})$ get the form : $h q(x \quad D \quad)^{2 n} q i \quad(2 n)!$.

In this section we essentially follow the steps from the paper $[\overline{2} \overline{1} 1]$ w th the only di erence being that we start from theory de ned as Q CD 2 and derive the $Q q$ spectrum from this Lagrangian. $W$ e nd that we have not a linear spectrum but rather $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{n}}$ in $Q C D_{2}$ for a heavy-light quark system. It gives a di erent behavior for the vacuum condensates hq $(x \quad D \quad)^{2 n} q i \quad n!$. H ow ever, the $m$ ain statem ent that the OPE is an asym ptotic series rem ains the sam e.

Before going on, we would like to m ake the follow ing rem ark. In Q C D 2 one can calculate the appropriate vacuum condensates in the chirallim it from rst principles 1 section) leads to puzzling results. Roughly speaking, the results of direct com putation do not agree w ith indirect calculations based on the dispersion relations and spectrum. W e form ulate this puzzle as well as its resolution in the next section. A nticipating the event, we would like to note here that the origin of the puzzle is the factorial divergent coe cients in the asym ptotic series. If these expansions were convergent series, we would expect an exact cincidence of the results, based on tw o these m ethods. H ow ever, the analysis in eld theory dem onstrates that this is not the case.

### 4.2 Spectrum Q q system in $\mathrm{QCD}_{2}(\mathbb{N}=1)$.

A s we have discussed in previous sections, if we knew the spectrum of highly excited states we would calculate (via dispersion relations) the large order behavior for the corresponding correlation function. A s w em entioned above, the heavy-light quark system is very specialin this sense, because it allow s us to identify the corresponding factorialbehaviorw ith speci c vacuum condensates. $T$ his is the $m$ ain $m$ otivation for the present section: nd the spectrum for highly excited states. Let us note that the heavy-light quark system
 context.

A s we discussed in section 2, the spectrum of highly excited states in $Q C D 2$ is linear $(\underline{\sim}-\underset{\sim}{4})$. This is certainly true, but only for the nite param eter $m_{Q}$; w ith $\mathrm{n}!1$. We are now interested in a di erent lim it, when $\mathrm{m}_{Q}$ ! 1 rst, and $n \quad 1$ afterw ards. These lim its do not com $m$ ute and we have to start our analysis from exact originalequation (2).

In order to perform the $\lim$ it $m_{Q}$ ! 1 in $t$ Hooft equation (르), let us $m$ ake the follow ing change of variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=1 \quad \frac{m_{0}}{m_{Q}}: 0 \quad \frac{m_{Q}}{m_{0}}=1: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

A dditionally we would like to rescale the wave function and rede ne the energy scale (from now on, the counting of the energy starts from $m_{Q}$ ) in the follow ing way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}^{2}=\left(m_{Q}+E_{n}\right)^{2}, m_{Q}^{2}+2 m_{Q} E_{n} ; n_{n}(x)!\quad \frac{s}{m_{Q}}{\underset{n}{n}}_{g}^{m_{0}}(): \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

O nce all these changes have been $m$ ade we arrive to the follow ing equation, which replaces the th ooft equation, for the heavy-light quark system in the $\lim \operatorname{it}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ! 1 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} \underset{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~g}}()=\mathrm{m}_{0}\left[+\frac{1 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2}}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}^{g}() \quad m_{0}^{2} \mathrm{P} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}()}{()^{2}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The new set of wave functions in term $s$ of new variables is orthogonal and com plete:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X } \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

For the future analysis we need not only the w ave functions, but also som e physicalm atrix elem ents in term sof these wave functions. It is convenient to separate the com $m$ on factor, related to $m_{Q}$ and de ne the $m$ atrix elem ents in the follow ing way ${ }_{1}^{\mathrm{I}_{1}}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{I}}$ :

U sing the parity relation $[\underset{-2}{[2}]$, which in our notations takes the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{z_{1}} d \quad \underset{n}{g}()={\frac{m_{q}}{m_{0}}}^{z_{1}} d \xrightarrow{g} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can show that the scalar $m$ atrix elem ents have the sam e expression in term s of wave functions as the pseudoscalar ones ( $\left.\overline{3}^{7} \overline{1}\right)$.

H aving these results in m ind, and using the standard technioques[ī]- 嵪], one can calculate $[\overline{3} 2 \overline{2}]$ the $m$ atrix elem ents $f_{n}$ and energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ in the quasiclassical approxim ation for $n$ 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}=2 m_{0}^{p} \bar{n}\left(1+0\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)\right) ; f_{n}^{2}=\frac{r-}{n}\left(1+0\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)\right): \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]$T$ his is the $m$ ain result of this section. It will be used in what follow $s$ for the calculation of large order behavior and high dim ensional condensates.

Let us conclude this section w ith few rem arks. First of all, as was expected, in the $m_{\rho}!1$ lim it the equations ( $\left(\overline{3} 5 \bar{S}_{-1}-1 \overline{3} \overline{9}\right)$, do not depend on $m_{\rho}$ (after an appropriate rescaling) in accordance with HQET (see e.g. review ["-

O ur second rem ark is the observation that the chiral lim it $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=0$ is very peculiar. In particular, one can not take the $\lim$ it $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=0$ in the identity ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{z}} \overline{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ ), because it clearly leads to a nonsensical result. The reason for that is very sim ple. The edge region $\quad m_{q}$ plays a very im portant role in $m$ aking the theory (and this identity in particular) selfoonsistent. A s a consequence, one can not derive the boundary conditions on wave function from the truncated equation ( 3 (jַַ), where the lim it $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ ! 1 already has been taken. In order to do so we need to come back to the original thooft eq.(2̄). W e shall retum to this point in the Conclusion. W e believe that the situation in four dim ensional QCD is quite sim ilar in that the inform ation about edge behavior in the theory can not be found from the truncated Lagrangian w ith the $\lim$ it $m_{Q}$ ! 1 already taken.

### 4.3 H igh order condensates from duality and dispersion relations.

The starting point, as usual, is the correlation function

$$
P\left(Q^{2}\right)=i^{Z} \quad e^{i q x} d x h 0 j \mathbb{j} q Q(x) ; Q q(0) g j 0 i
$$

$$
{ }_{i}^{z} e^{i q x} d x h 0 j q(x) P e^{i_{i g}^{R_{x}}}{ }_{0}^{A} d x q(0) j 0 i+\text { perturb: part: }
$$

$W$ e follow [2] very close to threshold. For positive values of E the correlation function can be written in the follow ing way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(E)=\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} e^{E t} d t h 0 \dot{\mathcal{M}}(\mathrm{t}) P e^{\mathrm{ig}_{\mathrm{ig}}^{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}}}{ }_{\mathrm{A}_{0} d t} q(0) j \mathrm{j} i+\text { perturb: part: } \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us note that we could consider the di erence of tw o correlation functions (like ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ ). In that case the perturbative contribution to (


$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{E})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{E}} \text { hnqi } \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{E}^{2}} \mathrm{hqP}_{0}^{2} q i+\frac{1}{\mathrm{E}^{4}} \mathrm{hqP}_{0}^{4} q i \quad:::\right]+ \text { perturb:part; } \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{0}=i D D_{0}$ is the tim e com ponent of the $m$ om entum operator.
O ur goal now is to substitute the asym ptotic expression ( $\overline{3} \overline{9}$ ) for the $m$ atrix elem ents $f_{n}$ and energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ into the dispersion relations (analogous
to ( $\overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{-})$ ). These w ill determ ine the higher order corrections to the correlation function as well as the large $n$ behavior of the vacuum condensates hqD ${ }^{2 n}$ qi.
$T$ he appropriate dispersion relation states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(E)=\frac{N}{2} m_{0}^{p-x} \frac{f_{n}^{2}}{E+E_{n}} \quad L^{x} P_{\bar{n}(\bar{n}+1}^{p} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is extemalenergy $m$ easured in $m_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}-$ units. In this form ula we have taken into account the follow ing key observation which we have used earlier in the deriviation of eq. ( $(\underline{1} \overline{1} 1)$ ) : the corrections $1=n$ to the asym ptotic behavior of the residues $f_{n}$ and energies $E_{n}(\underline{3} \overline{\underline{T}}) \mathrm{m}$ ight change the preasym ptotic factor for the large order behavior. H ow ever, these corrections can not change the $m$ ain result $\{$ the factorial grow th of the coe cients found below. This is the reason why we can not calculate the corresponding coe cients exactly, but only the leading factorial factor. For the sam e reason we do not consider the special di erence of tw o correlation functions (like ( contribution is exactly canceled. In this case if we knew $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ exactly, we w ould calculate the nonperturbative condensates exactly! U nfortunately, this is not the case. Thus, we ignore all com plications related to the separation of pure nonperturbative contribution from the perturbative one.

Let us note that the sum in eq. (4) gence is related to the necessity of a subtraction in the dispersion integral: P ( $E$ ) ! P (E) P (0). Besides that, at large energy $E \quad m_{0}$ one can estim ate the behavior P ( E ! 1 ) $\log E$, which corresponds to the pure perturbative one loop diagram. These same features were present in our analogous previous form ula (2̄1̄).
$W$ ith these rem arks in $m$ ind, our problem is reduced to the calculation of the coe cients $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$ at large k in the follow ing expansion:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { P ( ) subtractions } & \int_{n}^{x} \frac{1}{\bar{n}+}  \tag{44}\\
\text { log } & +{ }_{k}^{x} q_{k} \frac{1}{k}:
\end{align*}
$$

W e note that the only di erence w ith the previous form ula (2 $\left.\overline{2} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is the dependence of the sum on ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}$ rather than n itself.

The way to evaluate the coe cients $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is as follow s . W e are going to use the standard idea (see, e.g. $[\underline{\beta} \overline{\underline{q}}]$ ) to present the sum in term s of the integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n=1}^{n=1} F(n)=\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{f(x)}{e^{x}} 1 d x ; \quad F(x)=\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} f(x) e^{x t} d t \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, in our case we have ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}$ rather than n itself. T he corresponding generalization is known as well:

$$
{ }_{n=1}^{n X^{1}} F[g(n)]=\sum_{0}^{z_{1}} z_{1}^{z_{1}} \frac{h(x ; y) f(y)}{e^{x}} 1 \quad d x d y ; \quad e^{y g(0)}=\int_{0}^{z_{1}} h(x ; y) e^{x p} d x(46)
$$

For our particular case this form ula gives the follow ing representation for the sum (44) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P()^{x} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n+c}+\frac{1}{2^{p}} \int_{0}^{z_{1}} d x \sum_{0}^{z_{1}} d y \frac{y e \frac{y^{2}}{4 x} c x \quad y}{x^{3=2}\left(e^{x}\right.} 1\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced a constant c for the future convenience as an auxiliary param eter. Such a param eter is actually present in originalform ulae ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{~g}})$ ), how ever we believe that the m ain factorial dependence does not depend on it. Thus, after di erentiating with respect to c we put $\mathrm{c}=0$ at the very end of calculation. O ne m ore rem ark regarding form ula ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{4}_{1}\right)$. Only even $n$ should be taken into account in this form ula. H ow ever, by rede nition of param eters c and energy, the problem can be reduced to the sam e integral. The result is an extra power dependence, which is beyound our scope of interest. Additionally, a subtraction which should be $m$ ade in this form ula to get a convergent result, has no in uence on $c_{k}$ at large $k$ ( (4̄-̄).

The integration over x in the formula ( $\overline{4} \overline{\bar{T}}, \mathbf{1})$ can be executed using the follow ing expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x}{e^{x} \quad 1}={ }_{k=0}^{x_{k}} B_{k} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{k}$ are Bemoulli num bers. Bearing in $m$ ind that the appropriate integral from the (
and replacing $x^{k}$ from the form ula ( $\left.\overline{4} \bar{Q} \bar{Q}\right)$ by $(1)^{k}\left(\frac{d}{d c}\right)^{k}$, we arrive to the follow ing expression for the sum ( $\overline{4}_{-1} \bar{T}_{1}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { P ( ) } \quad \frac{1}{2}^{Z} \int_{1} d y e^{y} y_{k=2}^{X^{\mathcal{L}}} \frac{B_{k}}{k!}(1)^{k}\left(\frac{d}{d c}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{\overline{1}}{C} e^{y^{p} \bar{c}}\right): \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this formula we ignore few rst term $s$ (proportional to $\mathrm{B}_{0} ; \mathrm{B}_{1}$ ) because they: a)do not contribute to large order coe cients $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{k} \quad 1$, b) they are divergent and thus, require som e subtractions discussed previously.

The key observation is as follow s: The nonperturbative part (which is our $m$ ain interest) in the expansion ( $4 \overline{2} \bar{i}$ ) is determ ined by odd powers of $1=()^{2 m+1}$. Such term $s$ can be easily extracted from the formula ( $\left.\overline{5} \overline{\mathrm{~g}}\right)$ by expanding the exponent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{{ }_{c}^{1}}{c} e^{y^{p} \bar{c}}\right)={ }_{1}^{x} \frac{(1)^{1} y^{1} c^{\frac{11}{2}}}{1!} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and executing the integration over y:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}^{z_{1}} \text { dye } y_{y} \frac{Y^{1}}{1!}=\frac{1+1}{1+2}: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is now clear, that only odd $\mathrm{l}=2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1$ term s in the form ula '(151) contribute to the coe cients related to nonperturbative part ( $42 \overline{2} \overline{1}$, For sm all param eter c the coe cients $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$ can be easily calculated ${ }_{1}^{3}$, by noting that for $\mathrm{l}=2 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1$ the appropriate term $s$ from $\left(\underset{-1}{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ take the form $\left.\frac{c^{\frac{11}{2}}}{1!} \quad \frac{c^{m} 1}{(2 \mathrm{~m}} 1\right)$ ! . The nonzero result in this case ( after di erentiating $\left(\frac{d}{d c}\right)^{k}$ and taking the lim it c $=0$ ) com es exchusively from the term $k=m \quad 1$ in eq.'(5̄) . F inally we arrive at the follow ing asym ptotic expression for the odd coe cients $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$ from the series (4-4

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2 k} 1 \frac{1}{2 k 1} \quad \frac{(1)^{k} B_{k}}{k^{2 k 1} 1} ; k \quad 1: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om parison w th the original series ( operator grow $S$, their vacuum expectation values grow factorially,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hgP}_{0}^{2 n} \text { qi } \quad \text { hqqi }\left(m_{0}\right)^{2 n} n! \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this formula one could naively think that half of the VEV's in ( $\overline{5} \overline{3} \overline{3}$ ) vanish because the odd Bemoulli num bers are zero. H ow ever, we think that this additional \selection rule" is accidental in its nature and thus, it should not be considered seriously. W e believe that this accidental vanishing of the coe cients $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ in the OPE is related to our approxim ation ( $\left.{ }_{3} \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{-1}\right)$ for the $m$ atrix elem ents $f_{n}$ and energies $E_{n}$. Thus, we expect, that halfof the VEV's which form ally vanish in the leading approxim ation, are actually not zero, but suppressed by a factor $1=n$, where $n$ is dim ension of an opeartor.
$H$ aving dem onstrated the $m$ ain result of this section, a few com $m$ ents are in order. First of all, the OPE for P ( ) is an asym ptotic series as it must be in agreem ent w ith general argum ents [1] sam e behavior for analogous vacuum condensates in four dim ensionale C D 4
 in the next section that di erent calculations of the sam e condensates give som ew hat di erent results. W e explain this puzzle later, but note for now that $n$ ! behavior in ( $\overline{5} \overline{4}$ ) plays a crucial role in the explanation.
$F$ inally, as we shallsee, the $n$ ! behavior has very general, essentially kine$m$ atical origin in the large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} \lim$ it. This property is related to so-called master eld.

[^4]
## 5 High dim ensionalcondensates from the theory. Puzzle.

O ne can show [2]i-1], that the vacuum condensates which enter into the form ula (4 $\overline{4}-\overline{2})$ at $\mathrm{N}=1$ in the chiral lim it $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ! 0 in two dim ensions can be reduced to a form which contains the eld strength tensor ig $G$ only. Indeed, the covariant derivatives hoD ${ }^{n}$ DD qi placed at the very right and at the very left (near the quark elds) can be transform ed into the operator ig G using the equation ofm otion $D \quad q=0$. To do the sam e thing $w$ ith operators D which is placed som ew here in the $m$ iddle of the expression, we need to act, for exam ple, on the right until the quark eld is reached. By doing so, step by step, we create $m$ any additional term $s$ which are either: com $m$ utator like $\mathbb{D}$; D$]=$ igG which is the eld strength operator or com $m$ utators like $\mathbb{D} ; \mathrm{G}$ ]. Fortunately, in two dim ensions these term $s$ are related to creation of the quark - antiquark elds and we discard them in the chiral
 discuss the exact correspondence in the A ppendix, but for now we em phasize the existence of factor $n$ ! in the corresponding form ula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hq}(\mathrm{x} \mathrm{D})^{2 \mathrm{n}} \text { qi } \quad\left(x^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n} \operatorname{hq}(\mathrm{gE})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{qi} ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1 ; \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \text { : } \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we end up with the vacuum condensates hq (gE ) ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ qi which are expressed exclusively in term s of the eld strength tensor. Such vacuum con-
 incredible simpli cation is the observation that in QCD 2 a gluon is not a physical degree of freedom, but rather is a constrained auxiliary eld which can and should be expressed in term s of the quark elds. At the sam e tim e, in the large $N \lim$ it, the expectation value of a product of any invariant operators reduces to their factorized values[3]ij]. Exactly this feature of the large N lim it (based on analysis of the so-called M aster F ield) $m$ akes it possible to calculate the vacuum condensates exactly. O ur nal expression takes the form [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{hq}(\mathrm{ig} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad 5)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{qi}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2} \text { hqqi }}{2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \text { hqqi } \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e interpret this expression as follow s: E ach insertion of an additional factor proportional to eld strength tensor $G E$, gives one and the sam e num erical factor $(\overline{5} \overline{\mathrm{a}})$. This situation can be interpreted as having a classicalm aster eld [3ె1,1] which we insert in place of $g E$ in the vacuum condensates. Because of its classical nature, it gives one and the sam e num erical factor.

Secondary, it is im portant to note that the vacuum condensate of an arbitrary local operator can be reduced through the equation ofm otion and

${ }^{6} \mathrm{O}$ f course this is not the case in four dim ensions where $\mathbb{D}^{2}$; $\left.G \quad\right]$ is an independent operator which can not be reduced to quark elds.

Finally, we m ust com $m$ ent on the e ective energy scale which enters into the expression ( $\overline{5}$ ब. : : This is not $\mathrm{m}_{0}^{2}$ as one could naively think, but rather $\mathrm{m}_{\text {eff }}^{2}=\mathrm{m}_{0}^{3}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad \mathrm{m}_{0}^{2}$. The obvious technical reason for that is related to the fact in the light cone gauge (where the theory has been quantized) $A=p_{\overline{2}}^{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}A_{0} & \left.A_{1}\right)=0 \\ \text { we have few constraints: the usual constraint in the }\end{array}\right.$ gauge sector ( G auss law $)_{1-1}^{7_{1}^{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
@ E^{a b} \quad g\left(q^{\mathrm{b}} q_{+}^{\mathrm{a}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}}{ }^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{q}_{+}^{\mathrm{yc}} q_{+}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) ; \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th right $m$ oving ferm ions $q_{+}$considered as dynam ical degrees of freedom. $T$ he left-m oving ferm ions $q$ are non-dynam ical degrees of freedom in this gauge. The latter can be elim inated by the follow ing constraint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{@} q_{+} \quad \frac{1}{m_{q}} q \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

(form ore details see e.g.


Before form ulating the puzzle, we would like to pause here in order to explain the de nition of the high dim ensional vacuum condensates. A $s$ is know $n$, they are perturbatively strongly ultra violet (UV) divergent ob jects.

A s usual, all vacuum condensates should be understood in a sense that perturbative part is subtracted. T he subtraction is organized by introducing of the so-called nom alization param eter. . In general, vacuum condensates do depend on this param eter. The gluon condensates of dim ensions four, six,eight,... in four dim ensional Q C D are perfect exam ples where perturbative parts are divergent, put nonperturbative parts (the rem nants, which are left after subtraction) are perfectly de ned. O ne could naively think that the instantons $m$ ight spoil this picture, because they give ultra -violet divergent contribution to high order condensates. How ever, we do not think this is the case and one can argue that the de nition we have form ulated rem ains untouched even with sm all size instanton e ects taken into account.

The argum ent is as follow s: W e should treat the sm all size instantons and the sm all size perturbative contributions on the sam e basis. So, we should subtract both these divergences at the sam e tim e in order to get the so-called I non-perturbative" condensates which de ne the large distance physics. Of course sm all-distances physics does not disappear when we do such a subtraction. A ccording to W ilson OPE the corresponding sm alldistance contribution (perturbative part and sm allinstanton contributions) should be taken into account separately.

In two dim ensions this problem of course is m uch sim pler. H ow ever, the perturbative contributions to the condensates are divergent as well. Nevertheless, the high dim ensional condensates perfectly exist. Indeed, we have a

[^5]form ula ( $(\overline{5} \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ ), w ith m ixed condensates expressed in term s of chiral condensate haqi where the latter are de ned as the rem nant after subtraction of the perturbative contribution (for the technical details, se [1-1]]). Thus, our formula ( $5 \overline{5} \overline{-1})$ is understood as a nonperturbative one, when we treat hqqi as the nonperturbative chiral condensate. Let us note, that the gluon condensate in this m odel is nite and can be calculated exactly [12], (see also the recent paper $[1 \overline{1} \overline{-1}]$ on this sub ject). In QCD 2 it can be expressed in term $s$ of the chiral condensate.

W th this rem ark in m ind, we are now ready to form ulate the puzzle. The scale which enters into the OPE ( coe cients $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$ from ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-} \overline{4})$. The leading contribution to these coe cients can be expressed in term s of the vacuum condensates ( $\overline{5} \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ )

$$
c_{2 k+1} \quad\left(\frac{g^{2} h q q i}{2 m_{q}}\right)^{\mathrm{k}} \text { hqqi } \quad\left(m_{0}^{3}=m_{q}\right)^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{k}!; \quad \mathrm{k} \quad 1:
$$

Thus, the characteristic scale of the problem is $\frac{\mathrm{m}_{0}^{3}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}}$. W e see an extra factor $1=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ in this scale. It has very clear origin ( 5 chiral lim it. At the same time, the characteristic scale which can be found from the spectrum ( $\left.2 \overline{1} \overline{1}, \overline{4} \overline{2}_{2}^{2}\right)$, is $m$ uch $m$ aller and proportional to $m{ }_{0}^{2}$. This is the puzzle.

The explanation of this apparent paradox is as follow S . If our series (21 $\overline{1} 1, \overline{4} \overline{4}$ ) were convergent ones, we would be in trouble, but fortunately, our series are asym ptotic ones. Thus, in order to $m$ ake sense to these series we have to de ne them and here we will use the standard Borell sum $m$ ing prescription $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \overline{2}_{1}^{2}\right] .1$. O nce this prescription has been accepted, we can w rite down an expression which reproduces the asym ptotic coe cients for large num ber $k$ and at the sam e tim e is well de ned everyw here:
X $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{k}$
z $\frac{d^{0}}{{ }^{0}\left(+{ }^{0}\right)} e^{\frac{1}{0}} ; a_{0}$ (

1) $^{\text {Z }} \frac{d^{0}}{(0)^{k+2}} e^{\frac{1}{0}}$ :

W e did not specify the param eter in this equation on purpose. Suppose, we have a large scale in the problem determ ined by the vacuum condensates ( 5 (1ā). In this case dim ensionless param eter has a large factor $1=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(g^{2} N_{c}\right)^{3}}{m_{q} E^{2}}: \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The prescription $(\overline{5} \overline{\underline{9}})$ in this case states that the sum of leading term s $\left(1=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)^{\mathrm{k}}$ gives a zero contribution (in the chiral lim it)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{0}}{{ }^{0}\left(+^{0}\right)} e^{\frac{1}{0}}!0\left(\text { at } \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}!0\right) ; \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^6]to the physical correlation function! W e would like to note that the e ect ( $6 \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$ does not crucially depend on the factorization properties for the condensates $(5 \overline{5} \overline{\bar{\sigma}})$ as nether on our assum ption of exact factorial dependence of the
 to $k$ !) to som em ild $k$-dependence which can be easily im plem ented into the form ula ( $\left(\underline{6} \overline{1} 1{ }^{1}\right)$ by introducing som e sm ooth function $f()$ whose $m$ om ents
$$
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}={\frac{\left(k^{2}\right.}{k!}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}()^{k 2} \exp (\stackrel{1}{-}) \mathrm{d} \quad 1_{1}
$$
exactly reproduce a k -dependence of the coe cients as well as of the condensates. If this function ism ild enough, it will not destroy the relation ( $(\overline{6} \overline{1} 1)$, but $m$ ight change som e num erical coe cients.

If we could calculate the vacuum condensates ( $\overline{5} \bar{\sigma}$ ) exactly (and not only the leading term $s$ at $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}!$ 0), we would nd that the term sof order one give contribution oforder one to the correlation function. Thus, subleading term $s$ play much m ore im portant role in the nal form ula than the leading ones $1=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$. The origin of thism ystery of course is the factorial grow th coe cients in the series. This observation actually resolves the puzzle announced in the beginning of the section.

W e would like to m ake a few m ore rem anks regarding this sub ject in C onclusion which follow s.

## 6 C onclusion.

We conclude this m anuscript w th the follow ing lessons.
a). The OPE is asym ptotic series.
b) W e were lucky in a sense that in $Q C D_{2}$ the scales for high dim ensional condensates $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{1}$ and for the spectrum 1 were param etrically di erent. This was the reason why we notioed the di erence very easily. The lesson we can leam from this exam ple is that num erically leading term $s$ in the asym ptotic expansion $m$ ay give som ewhat negligible contribution into the nalexpression. W ebelieve that th is is not a speci c result for 2 d physics, but rather is a general property of a eld theory associated with an asym ptotic series.
c) .0 foourse, we do not expect that in the realQ C D ${ }_{4}$ the vacuum condensates $m$ ight exhibit som e param eters sim ilar to $m_{q}{ }^{1}$. H ow ever, it $m$ ight happen that som e subseries of condensates possess a large num erical factor, let us call it L 1. In this case we would expect that the corresponding contribution into the nalform ula w illbe suppressed, $\mathrm{L}{ }^{1}$. A t the sam e tim e, sum $m$ ing of a subseries of term $s$ which are order of one, would lead to the result which is order of one. This observation raises the follow ing question.
d). Suppose we have a condensate $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i}$ of dim ension k which has both parts: the enhanced part, proportional to $L^{k}$ and the "regular" one of order
$1^{k}$. A s we have leamed, the subseries which has big factor like $L^{k}$ do not contribute much to the nal expression. At the sam e tim e they are the $m$ ain contributions into the condensate w th the given dim ension k .

The moral is: when we use truncated expansions or approxim ate approaches (like QCD sum rules), we inevitably study not the actual condensates, but rather, som ee ective conden sates ${ }_{1}^{1.1}$. O ne sim ple consequence of this is that the lattioe calculation of vacuum condensate m ight be di erent from QCD sum rules analysis.
e).$O$ ne $m$ ay wonder what is the role of the scale $1=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ in this m odel? The answer is very peculiar. Indeed this scale can not be seen in spectrum how ever, from exact identities like ( $\mathbf{3}_{3} \bar{i}$ ) one can see that the edge region of order $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ plays a very im portant role in m aintaining selffonsistency of the theory. T he calculation of the condensate [1] gives w hole answ er is another exam ple of the sam e kind. E xactly this infrared region determ ines the scale for the condensates ( $(\overline{5} \overline{6})$ ), but not the scale for integral characteristics like the spectrum itself. See also rem ark after ( $\overline{3} \overline{9}$ ) on the sam e sub ject.
f). Since O PE is asym ptotic series, it is good idea to keep only a few rst term $s$ in the expansion (like people do in the standard QCD sum rules approach, [1]3j]) and to stop at som e point A ny hopes to im prove the standard
 subset of the power corrections, and ignoring all the rest, $m$ ight lead to the results which are much worse than the ones which follow from pre-im proved version of the approach. At least in QCD 2 such a procedure, as we leamed, gives a param etrically incorrect result.

W e would like to $m$ ake two $m$ ore rem arks which were not our $m$ ain subjects, but look like interesting byproducts w orthy ofm ention.
g) V e argued that the n ! behavior found in $\mathrm{QCD} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ is related to instantons. Even $m$ ore, the action of the instanton in the theory with adjoint $m$ atter is a factor of two less than in theory $w$ ith fundam ental quarks. The explicit realization of such a solution is still lacking.
h) W e analyzed the Q q system in QCD 2 . W e found that the edge behavior of the system is very peculiar and can not be found from the truncated theory, where $\lim$ it $m_{Q}=1$ is already taken. W e believe that the sam e behavior is an inevitable feature of the realQ CD ${ }_{4}$.

[^7]
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## 8 A ppendix.

Them ain goalofthis A ppendix is a dem onstration of the factorn! in form ula ( $\overline{(162)}$ ) in the chiral lim it $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hq}(\mathrm{x} D \quad)^{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{qi} \quad\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n} \operatorname{hq}(\mathrm{gE})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{qi} ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1 ; \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{G}: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e sketch the idea only, ignoring for sim plicity all norm alization factors.
$w$ e use the standard representation for $m$ atrioes satisfying

D irac equations take the follow ing form in the chiral lim it

$$
\begin{equation*}
(+D+D+) q=0: \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

By multiplying these equations by , we arrive to the follow ing relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1 \quad 5) D \quad q=0 ; ~ q D \quad(1 \quad 5)=0: \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us present our original condensate in the follow ing form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h q(x \quad D)^{2 n} q i \quad h q \frac{1+5}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(x_{+} D+x D+\right)^{2 n} q i: \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lorentz invariance it is clear that a nonzero result $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x}_{+}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ com es from term $s w$ th equalnum bers ofD and $D+w$ th allpossible perm utations. O ur problem is how to count them. First, we pidk up the pro jector ( $1+{ }_{5}$ ) from the expression ( $\overline{6} \overline{6})$. A coording to ( $\left.\overline{6} \overline{5} \bar{J}_{1}\right)$ we should $m$ ove D + to the right and $D$ to the left (to reach the quark eld) using the follow ing com $m$ utation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}_{+} ; \mathrm{D}^{]} \quad G \quad E: \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the eld strength E can be considered as constant (not operator), because acting the operators D on E leads to the pair creation. W e neglect everyw here such term $s$ in the $\lim$ it $N!1 ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}!0$. The contribution with projection (1 5) gives exactly the sam e result after relabelling $D \quad, \quad D_{+}$ and repeating the procedure described above.

N ow one can see that these calculations are very sim ilar (in the algebraic sense) to the oscillator problem w ith ladder operators satisfying the standard relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a ; a^{+}\right]=1 ; \quad a j 0 i=0 ; \quad h 0 \dot{a}^{+}=0: \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

O urproblem is the calculation ofm ean value of the operator $x^{2 n} \quad\left(a+a^{+}\right)^{2 n}$ for the ground state:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { h0 } \dot{x}^{2 n} j 0 i \quad h 0 j\left(a+a^{+}\right)^{2 n} j 0 i \quad\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad n!; n \quad 1: \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

This concludes our explanation of the factor $n$ ! (555) which we heavily used in our previous discussions.

Let us repeat again that all factors from ( $(\overline{5} \overline{5}, 1,15 \overline{1}, 1)$ have clear m eaning and can be explained w thout detailed calculations. Three steps are involved: $1 . T$ he transition from the operator hq ( $\mathrm{x} D)^{2 n}$ qi to the operator hq ( $g E)^{n}$ qi w ith a factor $n!w a s$ explained in this appendix. 2.The idea of $M$ aster $F$ ield predicts that each insertion of $E$ into the expression for the operator hq ( $g E$ ) ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ qi gives one and the sam e constant $M \underset{e f f}{n}$. 3.T he constraints ( $\overline{5} \overline{7}, 15 \bar{Z}$ ) $)$ explicitly dem onstrate that $M \underset{\text { eff }}{2} \quad m_{q}{ }^{1}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ e-m ail address:arz@ physics.ubc.ca

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the physical theory, the unitarity is preserved, of course. The physicalquestion is: what can stop this grow th?

[^2]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}$ aively, one could interpret such a result that the m ixing betw een di erent num ber of partons, is highly suppressed. Such a conclusion would be in contradiction w ith num erical results [2d]. H ow ever, as we argued in recent papers [2]. the puzzle can be resolved by introducing a nonzero value for vacuum condensate $h$ i. Such a condensation does not break any continuous sym $m$ etries. Thus, no Goldstone Boson appears as a consequence of the condensation.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4} W$ e keep the sam e notation $f_{n}$ for the corresponding $m$ atrix elem ents. For light quark system they are de ned in the di erent way ( $\overline{\underline{p}}$ ). We hope it will not confuse the reader.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ A s we m entioned before the factorial behavior does not depend on the particular m agnitude c . H ow ever, for $\mathrm{c}=0$ the calculations are $\mathrm{m} u \mathrm{ch}^{2}$ easier to present. N onzero values of the param eter c m ight change the preassym ptotic behavior, which is beyound this $m$ ethod.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7} \mathrm{~T}$ h is form ula explicitly dem onstrates why quark condensate appears in $\left(\overline{5} \bar{\sigma}_{-}^{6}\right)$ each tim e when we insert an extra ghoon eld $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{ab}}$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~W}$ e already $m$ entioned in Introduction that the Borelsum $m$ ability or its loss is not the crucial issue [id]. H ow ever, for the sake of de niteness (and for sim pli cation) we assum e in general discussion which follow s , that series is B orel sum m able

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ I thank $M$ ichael Peskin for the discussion of this subject. A ctually, he raised the question of the ective nature for the condensates before th is w ork was presented at the SLAC theory sem inar.
    ${ }^{10}$ In asym ptotic series w ith coupling constant one should stop w ith the number of term s of order ${ }^{1}$. In particular, in case of QCD sum rules, where $1=3 \quad 1=5$ to be determ ined by the scale where power corections are $2030 \%$, one can estim ate the $m$ axim um num ber of term $s$ in the expansion is about 3.

