HYBRID STATES

Yu.S.K. alashnikova Institute of Theoretical and Experim ental Physics 117259, Moscow

A bstract

Theoretical arguments are given in favour of existence of gluonic degrees of freedom at the constituent level. Models for hybrid mesons are discussed, and the predictions are compared with the data on meson spectroscopy in the light quark sector. It is demonstrated that the qqq content might be responsible for the properties of some recently found mesonic states.

1 Introduction

Gluons are present in the QCD Lagrangian on the same footing as quarks. Nevertheless, "real" theoreticians consider the idea of constituent glue as a toy for phenom enologists, adm itting, on the other hand, that when the region of large distances in QCD is under discussion, the constituent quarks may form rather reasonable and helpful basis. QCD is highly nonlinear theory, and there is no doubts that gluons are not only con ning, but also are con ned, so that glueballs and hybrids should exist as well as pure qqm esons. From this point of view constituent gluons are nothing but the part of physically well(motivated constituent basis. At present the direct QCD studies in the strong coupling regime are not able to dene the appropriate basis in unambiguous way, leaving room for various QCD (motivated models.

2 Wilson loop, area law and surface vibrations

Con nement is most usually discussed in terms of Wilson loop,

$$W (C) = S_P P \exp ig A^a adz;$$
 (1)

where f $_{\rm a}$ g is the octet of colour matrices, and P stands to order these matrices along the closed contour C. This celebrated quantity participates in the loop equations [1], enters in the natural way the Feynm an {Schwinger representation for hadronic G reen functions [2], is measurable on the lattice [3], and so on. In this language con nement means the area law for the asymptotically large W ilson loop averaged over all gluonic elds:

$$\langle W (C) \rangle ! N_c \exp(S)$$
: (2)

Here $S=S_{m\ in}$ is the minimal area inside the contour C, and is the string tension. The area law is observed in the lattice simulations of gluodynamics. More precisely, the energy density distribution is measured between two in nitely heavy colour sources, with the result corresponding to the area law (2) at large distances β 1.

If one takes the rectangular contour R $\,\,$ T with the size T in the time direction much larger than R , the area law gives rise to the linear interquark potential

$$V = R : (3)$$

On the other hand, the area law corresponds to the elective action of the string.

QCD is surely not the string theory: at small distances it is the theory of quarks and gluons interacting perturbatively. The existence of area law, nevertheless, means that at large distances QCD can be reduced to some elective string theory, with string tension being the new universal constant which introduces the new scale and governs the behaviour of this elective theory.

Indeed, let us consider the quenched approximation, with no dynamical quarks, and, consequently, no possibility for additional quark pair creation. Then at large interquark distances, R $1=^{p}$, nothing interesting might

happen with the string. The only degrees of freedom in the system are the quark ones, the interaction energy grows linearly, and we not ourselves in the valent quark sector.

A nnouncing the string to exist one ought to pay the corresponding price: at the interquark distances R $1=\frac{P}{R}$ the string degrees of freedom start to reveal them selves. It means that even in the quenched approximation the spectrum of the system should be much more rich than the spectrum of the valent qq pair. It is quite natural to identify these extra excitations with excitations of constituent glue. In the other words, the gluonic degrees of freedom are responsible for the string vibrations.

3 Models, or how to make the QCD string vibrate

In spite of tantalising e orts the relation between e ective string theory and underlying dynamics of QCD remains rather misty. Still a lot of heuristic arguments can be given, based on lattice QCD, strong coupling expansion or stochastic picture of con nement, in favour of various models, not saying a word about very naive (but still rather useful) approaches like bag or potential ones.

The ux tube model is motivated by the strong coupling expansion. In this model the conning region between the quark and antiquark is populated with links of ux that can be extended only in the direction transverse with respect to quark {antiquark one. The original version of the model assumed the small string oscillations [4]; later it was shown that small oscillation approximation is inadequate [5]. Technically, the string is replaced by the set of "beads" coupled together by the conning interbead linear force. The most elaborated calculations [5] are performed in the "one {bead" approximation.

There is no distinguishable gluons in the ux{tube picture, and phonon{ type collective modes play the role of gluonic excitations. The conventional qqm eson is the qq pair connected by the string in its ground state, while the hybrids are the qq pair connected by the excited string.

A nother approach, the constituent string model, is motivated by the Vacuum Background Correlators method. It is assumed that certain background eld con gurations are responsible for the con nement [6]. The constituent gluon is introduced as the perturbation over con ning background [6]. This perturbative gluon has nothing to do with the gluon of standard perturbation theory. It transforms hom ogeneously under the gauge transformations, and is conned.

The G reen function for a gluon propagating in the given background eld can be written using the Feynm an {Schw inger representation, and the G reen function for a qqg hybrid is constructed averaging the W ilson loop operator over the background eld con ning con gurations [7]. The qq m eson looks like a qq pair connected by the "m inim al" straight{line string, while the qqg hybrid is a constituent gluon with two straight{line strings, each with quark (or antiquark) at the end.

For the lowest states the e ective H am iltonian looks like the H am iltonian of the potential m odel, but the m asses of constituents, including the e ective gluon m ass, are not introduced by hand, but are calculated.

There is a lot of common in the constituent string Hamiltonian for a hybrid with one gluon and in the "one{bead" ux{tube Hamiltonian, as well as a lot of numerical dierences, which appear to compensate each other (for the detailed comparison see [8]), so that both models end up with similar results for the mass of the ground state hybrid with light quarks:

$$M (qqq) = 1:7 1:8G eV$$
 (4)

(up to spin (dependent e ects).

The main di erence between the models is in quantum numbers. The constituent gluon is quite distinguishable, and carries the quantum numbers (spin and C {parity}) of its own. As the result, the possible quantum numbers for the ground state are

$$J^{PC} = 0^{+}; 1^{+}; 2^{+}; 1^{-}; (5)$$

in contrast to the quantum numbers of ux{tube ground state hybrid,

$$J^{PC} = 0$$
 ; 1 ; 2 ; 1 : (6)

So, to tell one model from another one should study the P {even hybrids. Unfortunately, all the existing hybrid candidates, as it will be demonstrated below, are P {odd!

A lot m ay be calculated with the described approaches and a lot is already calculated, but from the theoretical point of view both models are still

in their infancy. It may appear that these approaches are not so antagonistic, as it might seem at the rst sight. Indeed, at present the ux tube knows nothing about vector gluons. Nevertheless, attempting to derive the ux tube dynamics from QCD one might be forced to introduce internal bosonic spin variables populating the string. As to constituent gluons, they interact with quarks and with each other, leading to the qq qqg mixing. The physical states should be diagonalized with respect to such mixing, and the resulting string con gurations might lose their kink (type form and become more smooth, resembling the "many (bead" ux tube.

Having this in m ind I will concentrate on P {odd hybrids for which the expectations from discussed models are similar.

4 Hybrids, searched and found (?)

For many years as the best signature for hybrids the open exotics was considered, namely the exotic quantum numbers. The famous $J^{PC}=1^+$ assignment cannot be reached in the qq system, while there is no apparent veto for such quantum numbers in the hadronic channels, e.g. in relative P { wave. During last years it was realized that nonexotic quantum numbers are also very promising in hybrid searches. The signature for the hybrid decays were established and studied, and it was shown that the properties of excited mesons in the mass range 1.5-2.0 GeV can be explained with the admixture of the constituent glue in the mesonic wave function.

One of these signatures follows from the symmetry of the wave functions of the states involved into the decay. It appears that the ground state ux tube hybrid cannot decay into two mesons with the same space wave function [9]. The similar signature exists for a constituent hybrid containing the electric gluon (P {odd ground states (5) [10]. It means that, in spite of phase space considerations, the decay of hybrid into two S {wave mesons in forbidden, or, at least, suppressed, when the decay products do not have a priori the same wave functions, like . So the hybrids should be looked for in the S {wave + P {wave nal states, and should be relatively narrow .

A nother, more sophisticated selection rule is the consequence of the decay mechanism. The constituent hybrid decays via conversion of a gluon into the qq pair, and the total spin of constituents is conserved in the decay, not only the total angular momentum. The decay of the ux tube hybrid proceeds via

string breaking, or creation of additional qq pair with 3P_0 quantum numbers, that electively leads to the same spin content of the decay products as for the constituent hybrid. If the same 3P_0 mechanism is responsible for the decays of conventional qq mesons, then we have rather powerful tool to distinguish between qq's and qqq's.

In what follows I discuss the resonant activity in the P {odd channels in the m ass range $1.5-2.0~{\rm G\,eV}$, paying attention to the peculiarities which do not top classication, but may be explained by hybrid dynamics.

 $\frac{1}{}$. The resonant phase motion was reported by BNL in the f_1 nal state in the reaction p! f_1p [11] around the mass of 2.0 GeV. The signal was characterized as broad, and more statistic is needed. The VES collaboration [12] does not see this signal in the same reaction (that partly may be explained by the dierence in the incident beam momentum). In any case, the f_1 is not the main hybrid decay mode, and it is very instructive to study the b_1 nal state. In the constituent model the ratio of partial widths is

$$b_1 : f_1 = 4 :1 :$$

1 . The vector m eson sector is believed to be well-understood: the $^0(1460)$ and $!\,^0(1440)$ are considered as 2 3S_1qq states, while the upper $^{^{\circ}}=!\,^{^{\circ}}$ are thought of as $^3D_1qq's$. The detailed analysis of multi-pion modes does not support, however, this assignment [13,14]. There is the additional suppression for the decay of 2^3S_1qq into S-wave + P-wave nal state because of the node in the radial excitation wave function, while the main decay mode of $^0(1460)$ appears to be a_1 , in accordance with hybrid dynamics. The admixture of hybrid is able to explain also the decay properties of ! $^0(1440)$, as well as $^{^{\circ}}$ and ! $^{^{\circ}}$ [14].

 0^+ . Very clear hybrid signal in the pseudoscalar sector was reported by VES [12] from the reaction p! Hp, with the mass about 1800 MeV and width about 200 MeV. This pion decays strongly into f₀ (1300), f₀ (980), KK₀ nal states, with no visible signal in and KK channels. A nother argument in favour of hybrid assignment is the value of width: the state is narrow in contrast to the expectations from the second radial excitation (the (1300) which is believed to be the rst radially excited pion is very broad, 500 MeV). The (1800) decays also into f₀ (1500), and f₀ (1500) is treated as the best glueball candidate [15].

2 + . The isovector 2 + state around 1.7 GeV, the 2 (1670) is considered

as $^1\text{D}_2$ qq state, with the decay properties more or less in agreement with qq content. There is another state (1770), however, seen in the charge exchange photoproduction [16], for which $J^{PC}=2^+$ is not excluded. This state has the width about 100-200 M eV, and decays into f_2 , so that this extra state m ay be a hybrid.

The last but not least is the isoscalar tensor $_2$ (1870) [17] with the width about 200 M eV and decay modes a_2 and f_0 (980).

To conclude, the hybrid candidates start to appear not in single, but in multiplets, and the emphasis should be moved from pioneering estimations to dedicated qualitative analysis, both theoretical and experimental.

I acknow ledge the support from International Science Foundation and Russian G overmment Grant No. J77100, and Russian Fundam entalResearch Foundation, Grant No. 93-02-14937.

R eferences

- [1] Yu M M akeenko and A A . M igdal, Nucl. Phys. B 183, 269 (1981).
- [2] Yu A Sim onov, Yad Fiz. 54 192 (1991).
- [3] G S Bali, K Schilling, C Schlichter, Phys. Rev. D 51, 5165 (1995)
- [4] N Jsgur and J Paton, Phys Rev. D 31, 2910 (1985).
- [5] T Barnes, F E C lose, E S Swanson, ORNL-CTP-95-02, RAL-94-106, hep-ph/9501405.
- [6] Yu A Sim onov, in these Proceedings.
- [7] Yu S K alashnikova, Yu B Yufiyakov, IT EP-35-95, hep-ph/9506269, Phys Lett, in press. Yu B Yufiyakov, in these Proceedings, hep-ph/9510285
- [8] Yu S K alashnikova, Yu B Yufiyakov, IT EP {53{95, hep-ph/9510357
- [9] N Jsgur, R Kokoski and J Paton, Phys Rev Lett. 54, 869 (1985). F E C lose, P R Page, Nucl. Phys. B 443, 233 (1995).

- [10] A Le Yaouanc, L O liver, O Pene, J.-C Raynal, Z Phys. C 28, 309 (1985)
 Yu S K alashnikova, Z Phys. C 62, 323 (1994)
- [11] J.H Lee et al., Phys.Lett. B 323, 227 (1994).
- [12] VES Collaboration, A Zaitsev, in these Proceedings.
- [13] A B C legg and A Donnachie, Z Phys. C 62, 455 (1994).
- [14] A Donnachie and Yu S K alashnikova, Z Phys. C 59, 621 (1993).
- [15] C Am sler and F E C lose, PhysLett. B 335, 425 (1995).
- [16] G.T. Condo et al., Phys. Rev. D 43, 2787 (1991).
- [17] M Feindt, in Proc. 25th Intern $\mathcal L$ on ference on High Energy Physics, p.537,
 - K K arch et al., Z Phys. C 54, 33 (1992)