

The Anomalous Decay $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$!

G. Knochlein,^y S. Scherer, D. Drechsel

Institut für Kernphysik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität

D-55099 Mainz, Germany

With the commissioning of new powerful facilities with high production rates the experimental investigation of eta decays will become a precision tool for testing low-energy QCD in the SU(3) flavour sector. The anticipated numbers of $10^8 - 10^9$ observed etas per year at DA NE, ILEP, CELSIUS and GRAAL will allow for a test of chiral perturbation theory (ChPT, see Weinberg (1979) and Gasser and Leutwyler (1984, 1985)) predictions up to next-to-leading order or even beyond. Experiments will include high-accuracy measurements of the main decay modes $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$, as well as the detection of rare decay modes predicted by ChPT. The mode $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ involves the anomalous Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW, Wess and Zumino (1971), Witten (1983)) action and has been thoroughly analysed in combination with the analogous η' and η'' decays in theory as well as in experiment. However, the WZW action also generates more complicated interactions, which are of particular interest for the following reason: at $O(p^4)$ the WZW lagrangian completely covers the odd intrinsic parity sector of ChPT and is the only piece in the $O(p^4)$ ChPT

This work has been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 201)

^yPresent address: Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Massachusetts, Amherst,

MA 01003

lagrangian which has actually predictive power by itself, because its low-energy coefficient is completely determined by the structure of the WZW functional and, in contrast to the Gasser-Leutwyler lagrangian for the even intrinsic parity sector, need not be determined by means of phenomenological input. Hence, the validity of the predictions derived from the WZW action is of fundamental interest for the validity of ChPT and QCD itself. The process $\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ has the remarkable feature that it involves three different types of WZW interactions in a consistent $O(p^4)$ treatment according to Weinberg's power counting (Weinberg (1979)). Such a calculation is a tree-level calculation, because an odd number of pseudoscalar mesons participates in the process and, thus, one vertex in the Feynman diagrams contributing to the process must have odd intrinsic parity. The invariant amplitude for the processes $\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ and $\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ is constructed from the standard $L^{(2)}$ and the anomalous $L_{WZW}^{(4)}$ lagrangian,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L^{(2)} + L_{WZW}^{(4)} \\ &= \frac{F^2}{4} \text{tr}(\partial_\mu U)^\dagger \partial^\mu U + \frac{F^2 B_0}{2} \text{tr}(M(U + U^\dagger)) \\ &\quad + \frac{eN_c}{48} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \text{tr}(Q \partial_\mu U \partial_\nu U^\dagger \partial_\alpha U \partial_\beta U^\dagger - Q \partial_\mu U^\dagger \partial_\nu U \partial_\alpha U^\dagger \partial_\beta U) \\ &\quad - \frac{ie^2 N_c}{24} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \text{tr}(Q^2 (U \partial_\mu U^\dagger + \partial_\mu U^\dagger U)) - \frac{1}{2} Q U^\dagger Q \partial_\mu U + \frac{1}{2} Q U Q \partial_\mu U^\dagger; \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where only those terms of $L_{WZW}^{(4)}$ have been retained which give a contribution to the two processes under investigation. The covariant derivative is defined as $D_\mu U = \partial_\mu U + ieA_\mu [Q, U]$, where $Q = \text{diag}(2/3, 1/3, 1/3)$ is the diagonal quark charge matrix. The current quark masses are contained in $M = \text{diag}(m_u, m_d, m_s)$, and the constant B_0 is related to the quark condensate. Since we want to include $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ mixing at a phenomenological level, we assume nonet symmetry. Hence, the matrix U contains the pseudoscalar octet fields as well as the eta singlet field. We use the exponential representation of the pseudoscalar meson fields, $U = \exp(i\pi/F)$, where F is the pseudoscalar meson decay constant and the field matrix is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \text{Diagram 1} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} q \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \\
&\quad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \text{Diagram 5} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} q \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} \\
&\quad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \text{Diagram 7} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \text{Diagram 8} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} q \\ \text{Diagram 9} \end{array} : \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

The three types of Feynman diagrams for the charged decay mode are a diagram with a four-meson interaction from $L^{(2)}$, a π^0 or η propagator and a one-meson-two-photon vertex from $L_{WZW}^{(4)}$ (class 1 diagram), a diagram with a three-meson-one-photon interaction from $L_{WZW}^{(4)}$, where another photon is emitted from a charged pion line (class 2 diagram) and a contact diagram with a three-meson-two-photon interaction from $L_{WZW}^{(4)}$. The class 1 diagrams are gauge invariant by themselves, whereas for class 2 and 3 only the sum of the diagrams is gauge invariant. It is interesting to note that the matrix element for $\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ is closely related to that of $\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ (see Bos (1994) for a treatment in ChPT). Thus, a measurement of the decay could allow for a consistency check of the process with two photons in the initial state. The invariant amplitude for the neutral decay mode receives its only contributions from class 1 diagrams.

Calculating the photon spin sum for the invariant matrix element squared and integrating over four of the five independent variables of the four-particle phase space results in the diphoton spectrum $d\Gamma/dz$ ($z = s/m^2$), where s is the invariant c.m. energy squared of the diphoton system. More details concerning the calculation can be found in Bijens et al. (1992) and Knochlein et al. (1995). The diphoton spectrum of the charged decay mode (Fig.1 (left)) clearly reflects a bremsstrahlung singularity for $s \rightarrow 0$. Another singularity of the invariant amplitude due to the diagram with the propagating π^0 influences the spectrum in a very narrow energy region around $s = m_{\pi^0}^2$ and could be removed by introducing the natural width of the π^0 in the propagator. In the rest of the spectrum the influence of the diagrams with the propagating neutrals is almost invisible. The partial width ($\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$) is calculated as a function of an energy cut $m_{\text{brem } s}$ applied around $s = 0$. Our result for $m_{\text{brem } s} = 195 \text{ MeV}$ is smaller than the experimental upper limits (Price et al. (1967)) by about three orders of magnitude. However, for $m_{\text{brem } s} = 30 \text{ MeV}$ our calculation yields

a branching fraction of approximately 10^{-4} which should be within the reach of the new facilities. The diphoton spectrum of the neutral decay mode (Fig. 1 (right)) is clearly dominated by the ρ^0 pole diagram which is present due to isospin and G parity violation at the four-meson $L^{(2)}$ vertex. The eta pole diagram, which is the only diagram present in the limit of conserved isospin, plays a minor role in the dynamics of the decay. The partial width for the neutral mode, which is obtained by integration of the diphoton spectrum and application of a reasonable energy cut around $P_{\overline{S}} = m_{\rho}$, is by more than two orders of magnitude smaller than for the charged mode. Hence, it will probably be extremely difficult to detect this mode.

REFERENCES

- Björns, J., G. Ecker and J. Gasser (1992), The DA NE Physics Handbook, Vol. I (eds. L. Maiani, G. Pancheri and N. Paver), Servizio Documentazione dei Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati
- Bos, J.W., Y.C. Lin and H.H. Shih (1994), Phys. Lett. B 337, 152
- Gasser, J. and H. Leutwyler (1984), Ann. Phys, 158, 142
- Gasser, J. and H. Leutwyler (1985), Nucl. Phys. B 250, 465
- Knochlein, G., S. Scherer and D. Drechsel (1995), Mainz university preprint M K P H -T -95-19
- Price, L.R. and F.S. Crawford (1967), Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 1207
- Weinberg, S. (1979), Physica 96A, 327
- Wess, J. and B. Zumino (1971), Phys. Lett. B 37, 95
- Witten, E. (1983), Nucl. Phys. B 223, 422

FIGURES




FIG. 1. Left figure: Diphoton energy spectrum $d\Gamma/dz$ ($z = s/m^2$) for the decay $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$. The dotted line is the full calculation, the dashed line is calculated without class 1 diagrams and the solid line is proportional to the phase space integral. Right figure: Diphoton spectrum for the decay $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma\gamma$. The dash-dotted line is the prediction of chiral perturbation theory for $m_u = 5$ MeV and $m_d = 9$ MeV. The dotted line is the ChPT prediction for $m_u = m_d = 7$ MeV. The dashed line is a calculation, where we determined the strength of the $\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ interaction from the decay $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ and of the $\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ interaction from the decay $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$, including also an additional $\pi_8\pi_8\pi^0\pi^0$ interaction. The solid line is proportional to the phase space integral.