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#### Abstract

Som e considerations about the im portance of coherence e ects for brem sstrahlung processes in non \{equilibrium dense $m$ atter (Landau - Pom eranchuk - M igdal - effect) are presented. T hey are of particular relevance for the application to photon and di-lepton production from high energy nuclear collisions, to gluon radiation in QCD transport, or parton kinetics and to neutrino and axion radiation from supernova explosion and from hot neutron stars. T he soft behavior of the brem sstrah lung from a source described by classical transport $m$ odels is discussed and pocket correction form ulas for the in m atter radiation cross sections are suggested in term $s$ of standard transport coe cients. The radiation rates are also discussed within a non \{equilibrium quantum eld theory (Schw inger - K adano - B aym - K eldysh) form ulation. A classi cation of diagram $s$ and corresponding resum $m$ ation in physically $m$ ean ingful term $s$ is proposed, which considers the nite dam ping width of all source particles in $m$ atter. $T$ his $w$ ay each diagram in this expansion is already free from the infra\{red divergences. B oth, the correct quasi\{particle and quasi\{classical lim its are recovered from this subset of graphs. Explicit results are given for dense $m$ atter in them alequilibrium . $T$ he diagram $m$ atic description $m$ ay suggest a form $u-$ lation of a transport theory that includes the propagation of o \{shell particles in non \{equilibrium dense $m$ atter.


[^0]The im portance of coherence tim e e ects on the production and absorption of eld quanta from the $m$ otion of source particles in non-equilibrium dense $m$ atter has rst been discussed by Landau, Pom eranchuk, M igdal (LPM) [1,2] (and many others later) in the context of brem sstrahlung from ultra\{relativistic electrons undergoing multiple rescatterings on C oulom b centers. T he rst successfiulm easurem ents of the corresponding suppression ofbrem sstrahlung have been carried out at the Stanford Linear A ccelerator C enter very recently [3].W th this paper ${ }^{2}$ 2' i we like to supplem ent som e quite intuitive and also form al considerations, which ilhustrate the nature of production and absorption processes in a dense $m$ atter environm ent. T he sub ject is of quite generalnature and applies to $m$ any physical problem $s$, where either a source couples weakly to a w ave eld or for the proper determ ination of local gain and loss term $s$ in quantum transport. E xam ples are the application to photon, or di-lepton production from a piece ofdense nuclearm atter or hadron gas form ed in high energy nuclear collisions, forghon orparton radiation and absorption in Q CD transport and its practical im plem entation in parton kinetic $m$ odels (such problem $s$ are discussed, e.g. in $[5,6]$ ), to neutrino and axion radiation from supemovas and neutron-star $m$ atter (see $[7,8,13,10]$ ), for the soft phenom ena in quantum cosm ological gravity (see [11]) and also for $m$ any condensed $m$ atter phenom ena, as particle transport in $m$ etals and sem iconductors, radiation in plasm a etc. (see $[12,13]$ ). To be speci c, how ever, we take the exam ple of electrodynam ics, considering photon production from a piece of nuclear $m$ atter, but when appropriate com $m$ ent on other cases. Since throughout the paper we discuss the corresponding proper self energy of the produced particle, allconsiderations given also apply to gain and loss term s of other particles in non-equilibrium dynam ics.

In the context of high-energy nucleus\{nucleus collisions [6] for exam ple, it becam e quite apparent over the last years, that a justi cation ofl CD transport (e.g. in term s of a parton kinetic picture) calls for a proper understanding of all soft processes. W ell known is the R utherford singularity in scattering cross-sections of interactions $m$ ediated by the exchange of zero $m$ ass quanta (photons/ ghons). In dense $m$ atter the exchanged quantum acquires a nite realm ass due to $D$ ebey-screening. Singularities are also encountered in absopption or radiation processes (brem sstrahlung). Induced by free scattering the rates diverge at vanishing fourfm om entum $q$ of the radiated quantum, due to the in nite tim e scales used in the quasi-free approxim ation. In dense $m$ atter, how ever, due to the nite free propagation time coll betw een successive collisions these rates becom e regular. In particle physics context, m ost of the papers on the LPM e ect discuss the brem sstrahling of some fast charged particle, such as hadron, quark or ghuon, which traverses a dense hadron gas or quark \{gluon plasm a, e.g. see refs. [14,5], where the role of the $m$ atter is reduced to in nitely m assive scatterers. In reality all the particles in dense $m$ atter which couple to the radiated eld should be treated on equal footing. E ects of the nite $m$ ean free propagation tim e on photon and ghon radiation have been considered e.g. in

[^1]refs. [14,16\{18,5].

W hile the problem can be quite sim ply and intuitively form ulated and solved in the classical lim it, where a classical source couples to a wave eld, e.g. classical charge particles couple to a M axwell eld, considerable conceptual di culties arise for the very sam e problem, if the source is described as a quantum $m$ any-body system. In fact com $m$ on standard techniques, like perturbation theory or the quasi-particle approxim ation (QPA) have serious lim tations to describe the production and/or absonption rates over the $w$ hole range of energies and $m$ om enta, as they com pletely fail in the soff lim it.

Starting from a quantum $m$ any-body form ulation in term $s$ ofG reen's functionsm ost derivations of transport descriptions em ploy two essential approxim ation steps: i) a gradient expansion and ii) the QPA. For sim plicity we concentrate on the defects of the QPA in this paper. In the QPA, which is a consistent approxim ation schem e for low tem perature Ferm i liquids (Landau - M igdal, see [19,20]), all particles in the $m$ edium are treated on-shellw ith a well determ ined energy-m om entum relation (dispersion relation) which follows from the real part of the retarded self energy of the particle. To be speci c in this notion, we use the term "on-shell", when the particle follow s a sharp energy mom entum relation. T hus the quasi-particle poles of the retarded $G$ reen's function lie just in nitesim ally below the causality cut along the real axis in energy. The corresponding approxim ation schem e in term s of these on-shell states, which have in nite life tim e , is called quasi-particle approxim ation ( $Q P A$ ). $D$ ue to interactions in dense $m$ atter the dam ping of the quasi-particles $m$ ay becom e im portant, the corresponding "quasi-particle" poles of the retarded propagators $m$ ove into the unphysical sheet below the real axis. As a consequence the $m$ ass spectrum of the particles is no longer a sharp delta function but rather acquires a width , and one talks about "o -shell" propagation. In that case one has to leave the standard description in term s of stable single particle states and em ploy quantum propagators (G reen's functions) w th continuous $m$ ass distributions. Landsm ann [21] has coined the notion of "non-shell particles" in this connection. O ne thus com es to a picture which uni es resonances which have already a width in vacuum due to decay $m$ odes $w$ ith the "states" of particles in dense $m$ atter, which obtain a width due to collisions (oollisionalbroadening).

The theoretical concepts for a properm any body description in term s of a realtim e non equilibrium eld theory have already been devised by Schw inger, K adano , Baym and Keldysh [22\{24] in the early 60ies. First investigations of the quantum e ects on the Boltzm ann collision term were given by D anielew icz [25], the principle conceptual problem s on the level of quantum eld theory were investigated by Landsm ann [21], while applications which seriously include the nite width of the particles in transport descriptions were carried out only in recent tim es, e.g. [12,25\{ 35]. For resonances, e.g. the delta resonance in nuclear matter, it was natural to consider broad $m$ ass distributions and ad hoc recipes have been invented to include this in transport sim ulation $m$ odels. H ow ever, $m$ any of these recipes are not correct as they violate som e basic principle like detailed balance [32], and the description of
resonances in dense $m$ atter has to be im proved. The present study also gives som e hints on how to generalize the transport picture tow ards the inclusion of o -shell propagations in dense $m$ atter $[27,30,31]$.

In this paperwe ilhustrate the practicalim plications ofsuch non-equilibrium conœpts at the exam ple of particle production from the dense $m$ atter dynam ics. Thus all source particles never reach an asym ptotic state and naturally have a continuous m ass spectrum . In sect. 2 we derive the basic form ulas for the rate ofbrem sstrahlung. The classical and general quantum mechanical expressions, the latter in term $s$ of non \{equilibrium G reen's fiunctions and selfenergies, are derived for the case ofnon \{ equilibrium dynam ics. In sect. 3 we conœentrate on the description of radiation from classicalsources.W e start w ith the brem sstrahlung from a classicaldi usion process, and subsequently derive the photon spectrum for classical random walk (Langevin) process in term sofa com pletely regularm ultiple collision expansion. The low energy behavior is discussed and pocket correction form ulas for the in $\{\mathrm{m}$ atter radiation cross sections are suggested in tem s of standard transport coe cients. A lso nite size corrections are obtained. Then in sect. 4 we use the non \{equilibrium $G$ reen's function form alism, see $[22\{25,36,29]$, and form ulate diagram $m$ atic resum $m$ ations where all quantities are expressed through physically meaningful term s . W e show how infra-red convergent results can be obtained through the account of the nite dam ping width and discuss the QPA (sect. 4.5) and quasi\{classical (QC) (sect. 5) lim its from the corresponding in nite series of diagram s . In sect. 6 the low est order loop diagram sfor the production rate from a piece of equilibrium dense $m$ atter are analyzed in the quantum case, both at high and low tem peratures. C onclusions and perspectives are given in sect. 7. Som e form aldetails are deferred to the A ppendix.

W euse rationalunits $\sim=C=1 . W$ henever thebehavior ofsom equantity is discussed in the classical lim it $(\sim!0), \sim$ will be given explicitly.

2 B asic Form ulas for the $R$ ate of $B$ rem sstrah lung

If the source system couples only perturbatively (to low est order in $e^{2}$ ) to the electrom agnetic eld, the production or absonption rate of photons can be form ulated using standard text book concepts in term s ofFerm i's golden rule. The corresponding transition am plitude is given by the electrom agnetic current operator betw een the initial and nal states of the source. For dense $m$ atter problem $s$ it is $m$ ore advantage to use a m ore general concept, where the local production and absonption rates are expressed through the current-current correlation function ${ }_{1}^{13}$ '.
${ }^{3}$ For the description of coordinates and $m$ om enta we use the follow ing conventions: num bers 1, 2, etc. abbreviate space-time points $x_{1}=\left(t_{1} ; x_{1}\right)$, etc.; for two-point functions coordinate $m$ eans are $x=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=2=(t ; x)$, relative coordinates: $=x_{1} \quad x_{2}=(; \sim)$; the corresponding four vector $W$ igner coordinates are $(x ; q)=(t ; x ;!; q)$ for the photon and $(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{k})=(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{x} ; ; \widetilde{k})$ for the particles of the source. W henever advantage or necessary we shallsw ap from one to another or even to som em ixed representation, just changing the cor-
$j^{\mathrm{y}}(2) j(1)^{\mathrm{E}} \quad$ forproduction, and $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{j}^{(2) j^{y}(1)^{\mathrm{E}} \quad \text { for absorption: }}$

A though $j(x)=j(x ; t)$ is a herm itian operator we distinguish between $j$ and $j^{y}$ in order to designate the photon creation and annihilation vertex, respectively. The bracket h:: :i denotes a quantum ensem ble average over the souroe; quantum states and operators are taken in the $H$ eisenberg picture.

W ith reference to the description of non \{equilibrium system $s$, where it is advantage to use real-time non \{equilibrium eld theory concepts, such as the Schw inger K adano - Baym - K eldysh technique [22\{25] we introduce the follow ing notions

$$
\begin{equation*}
4^{\mathrm{D}} j^{\mathrm{y}}(2) j(1)^{\mathrm{E}}=\mathrm{i}^{+}(1 ; 2) ; 4^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{j}^{(2) j^{y}(1)^{\mathrm{E}}=} i^{+}(2 ; 1) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which relate the correlation functions to the proper self energies ${ }^{+}$and ${ }^{+}$of the photon, which are responsible for gain and loss (c.f. sect. 4.3). T hroughout this paper we use the $\mathrm{f} ;+\mathrm{g}$ notation, de ned in detail in sect. 4 in the convention of ref. [36], chapt. 10.

In this formulation the production term for the phase space occupation $n(x ; q ; t)$ (W igner density) of on-shell photons per space\{tim e volum e $d^{4} x=d^{3} x d t$, and per energy \{ m om entum ! $q$ volum $e, d^{4} q=d!d^{3} q$, w ith polarization $=f \quad g$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{8} n(x ; q ; t)=i \quad \quad+(x ; q)(1+n(x ; q ; t))\left(!^{2} \quad!_{q}^{2}\right) d^{4} x d^{4} q ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ! ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}$ is the photon on-shell energy, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \quad+(x ; q)=4^{Z} d^{4} e^{i q} j^{D}(x \quad=2) j(x+=2)^{E} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

denotes the space-tim e $W$ igner transform ation of the auto correlation function (2). This local gain term is the on-shell version of a general quantum transport concept (K adano Baym equation [23], c.f. (46), sect. 4). It likew ise applies for virtual photons (e.g. dilepton production), replacing the on-shell -fiunction in (3) by the corresponding o -shell photon spectral function.

The above expressions are the space and tim e-dependent version of them ore fam iliar golden rule for quantum transitions betw een exact stationary eigenstates. $N$ ote that by de nition, c.f. (4), i is a real quantity; if integrated over phase-space
responding argum ents of the functions; for space -, or space-tim e independent system swe drop the argum ent x or x , respectively;e.g.: $12=(1 ; 2) \$ \quad(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{q})!\quad(!$; $)$ ) $\quad(; \mathrm{q})$; the latter two in space-tim e hom ogeneous system s. For sim plicity the polarization indices and or $i$ and $k$ for the spatial part of the tensor structure of $w i l l$ not alw ays be given in later equations.
volum es x q large com pared to ~ it becom es positive and serves as a production rate.

Such a form alism has been applied in m any cases em ploying the Q PA for the equilibrium G reen's functions, c.f. refs. [39,10]. H ow ever, the general form alism allows to go beyond this lim it and to account for the nite dam ping width of the souroe particles due to their nite $m$ ean free path, whidn is the $m$ ain topic of this paper.

T herefore the current-current correlation function is the central quantity of interest. In graphical form it is determ ined by the proper self energy diagram of the photon

$$
\begin{equation*}
i+=+\quad \text { i }- \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which sum s allone-photon irreducible selfenergy diagram s.'. '. T he dashed lines relate to the photon, while the interior area ( i ) sym bolically denotes the exact inclusion of all strong interactions am ong the source particles.

### 2.1 A nalytical properties and constraints

T he self energies for gain and loss obey som e analytical relations that follow right from the de nitions $(2,4)$, like

$$
\text { i }+(1 ; 2)=i+(2 ; 1)
$$

which implies that i
(x;q) is real. P roduction and absorption parts obey

$$
+(x ; q)=\quad+(x ; q):
$$

Integration over ! projects onto equal tim e properties. O f particular help for the discussion of soft processes $[16,17]$ are the follow ing energy w eighted dipole ( $q=0$ ) sum trules (e.g. [37])

$$
i^{Z^{1}} \frac{d!}{2}!^{n} 2+(!; q=0 ; t ; x) d^{3} x=S_{n}=\begin{array}{cl}
4 J^{i}(t) J^{k}(t)^{E} & \text { for } n=2  \tag{6}\\
\left.2 D^{E} D^{i}(t) ; J^{k}(t)\right] & \text { for } n=1 \\
\sum_{D} D^{i}(t) D^{k}(t) & \text { for } n=0
\end{array}
$$

which are valid also in the general non-equilibrium case. H ere i;k $2 \mathrm{fl} ; 2 ; 3 \mathrm{~g}$ denote the spatial com ponents. T he r.h. expressions are given by the space integrated

[^2]currents and dipole $m$ om ents
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{i}(t)={ }^{Z} d^{3} x j^{i}(t ; x) ; \quad D^{i}(t)=^{Z} d^{3} x x^{i} j^{0}(t ; x): \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

W hile the $n=2$ sum rule directly follows from de nition (4) and applies to any current even non conserving, the other two use current conservation and partial integrations, known as Siegert's theorem (c.f. [38]), and therefore also require that the system has a nite space extension. (If there are no long range correlations, the $\mathrm{n}=2$ and $\mathrm{n}=1$ relations can also be used for in nite $m$ atter, if properly taken per volum e). For non-relativistic currents the com mutator in the $n=1$ (Thom as $-R$ eiche $-K$ uhn) sum rule just becom es the sum of square charges in the system $\left.i \mathbb{D}^{i}(t) ; J^{k}(t)\right]=^{P} e^{2}$,where labels the constituents.

For system $s$ in them al equilibrium production and absonption follow the detailed balance relation (K ubo -M artin - Schw inger [15])

$$
\begin{equation*}
+(q ; x)=+(q ; x) e^{!=T} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the tem perature. $T$ hey allow to $w$ rite the lh s. of the sum rules as halfsided integrals, e.g. integrating only the production rate

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{z}} \frac{d!}{2}!^{\mathrm{n}} 2+(!; q=0 ; t ; x) 1+(1)^{\mathrm{n}} e^{!=T} d^{3} x=S_{n}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

These nules have been used to estim ate the validity of the quasi-free scattering prescription in kinetic $m$ odels [16,17]. In the classical lim it, where ~! ! 0 (c.f. sect. 3. below ) the lh.s. of the $n=1$ and $n=2$ sum rules coincide in equilibrium, apart from a factor $T=2$, and the ensuing identity $2 S_{2}=T S_{1}$ is a disguised form of the classical equal partition theorem.

A further consistency check for diagram $m$ atic elem ents which determ ine the self energy can be given in term s of W ard identities in the case of conserved currents. Since the space-integral of $j^{0}$ gives the conserved total charge $Z$ of the system, one $m$ ay also use that the space integrated density-density correlator is constant in tim e, ie.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{3} x_{1} d^{3} x_{2} 00+(1 ; 2)=d^{z} x^{0}+(; q=0 ; t ; x)=4 z^{2}=\text { const:; } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which applies even in non-equilibrium cases. For isolated system $s$ the $m$ otion of the center of $m$ ass leads to no radiation. Therefore one norm ally introduces e ective charges for the di erent kind of particles of the source in the standard $m$ anner such that the totale ective charge vanishes $Z_{\text {eff }}=0$.

In this section we discuss tw o exam ples which treat the source as a classical system coupled to a M axwell eld. This lim it just am ounts to evaluate the current-current correlator on the classical leve $1^{5}$ I. W e discuss the radiation caused by a single charged particle (the source), which stochastically $m$ oves in neutraldensem atter. T hem otion of the source is described (a) by m esoscopic transport (di usion process) and (b) by a m icroscopic Langevin process. Since these exam ples represent the Q C lim its of the corresponding quantum eld theory cases, we carry on the discussion in term s of the photon selfenergy ${ }^{+}$.

### 3.1 D i usion Process

The motion of a non-relativistic source particle is assum ed to be described by a tim e dependent phase-space distribution $f(x ; * ; t)$ in space and velocity $w$ ith convective current density $\tilde{\jmath}(x ; t)=e^{R} d^{3} v v f(x ; * ; t)$. For standard dissipative $m$ edia in equilibrium the velocity autocorrelation function (integrated over space) decays exponentially in tim e

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{D}\left(v^{i}(0)^{E}=\frac{1}{3}{ }^{D} v^{E}{ }^{E k} e^{i j} ;\right. \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is the relaxation rate which is supposed to be approxim ately constant on the relaxation tim e scale $1=\mathrm{x}$. It relates to the spatial di usion coe cient D via $E$ instein's relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{1}{3}_{0}^{2} d \operatorname{hw}(1) w(0) i=\frac{1}{3 x} v^{D} V^{E}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C$ om pared to the infra\{red divergent quasi\{ free result $/ 1=!^{2}$ (c.f. eq. (28) below ) this form of the correlation renders the photon self energy
regular at four $m$ om entum $q=0$. It is determ ined by $m$ esoscopic transport properties, nam ely by the di usion coe cient D and relaxation rate $x ; 0$ is the spatial density of the charged particles.

[^3]Both $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{t})$ and the autocorrelation function can be obtained in closed form, if the time evolution of $f$, and the propagation of uctuations $f$ are govemed by a standard (non-relativistic) di usion process (Fokker\{P lanck equation)

In the equilibrium lim it (t ! 1 ) the distribution attains a $M$ axw ell-B oltrm ann form

$$
f_{e q}(x ; v)={ }_{0} f_{e q}(v)=0\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 D & )^{3=2} \exp \quad \frac{v^{2}}{2 D}=\frac{m^{3}}{(2)^{3}} e^{((v) \quad)=T} ; ~ ; ~ \tag{15}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T=m h N^{2} i=3=m D \quad \mathrm{x}$ and are the equilibrium tem perature and chem ical potential and ( v ) is the energy of the particle.

At $=0$ we consider an initial uctuation $f(x ; v ;=0)={ }^{3}(x){ }^{3}(y$ w $)$. Its propagation in the equilibrated $m$ atter is also govemed by the Fokker $P$ lanck equation ( 14$)_{\mathrm{k}}$. By a G aussian ansatz for the Fourier transform of this uctuation
$f^{\tilde{f}}(\underset{q}{ } ; \mathbf{y} ;)=d^{3} x d^{3} v f(x ; w ;) \exp [$ iqx+iyw] the tim e-dependence can be obtained in closed form as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\sim}(q ; y ;)=\exp ^{h} A+\mathbb{B} Y \quad C Y^{i} ; \text { where } \\
& C=\frac{D \mathrm{x}}{2} 1 \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{x}} ; \quad ; \quad \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{iqD} 1 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}^{2}} \text {; }  \tag{16}\\
& A=\text { iq }_{0}^{Z} d^{0} \tilde{B}\left({ }^{0}\right)=\frac{D q^{2 h}}{2 x^{h}} 2^{x} \quad e^{2 x}+4 e^{x} \quad 3^{i} \frac{\text { iqq } N_{0}}{x} e^{x} \quad 1 \text { : } \\
& 1 \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

This uctuation $f$ is the conditional probability which determ ines the tim e-dependence of the current autocorrelation function. $W$ ith four vectors $f v g=f 1$; wg and $f B \quad g=f 1 ; \mathrm{Bg}$ one can express the full correlation tensor in the $m$ ixed ;q representation as

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{c l}{ }^{+} \underset{z}{(; q)}=4^{Z} d^{3} x e^{\text {iqx }}{ }^{D} j(x ;) j(0 ; 0)^{E} \\
& =4 e^{2} 0 d^{Z} v f_{e q}(\mathcal{V}) v B e^{A}=4 e^{2} 0^{D} v B e^{A^{E}} \text { eq } \\
& =4 e^{2} \exp ^{\operatorname{D} q^{2}} \quad x j j+e^{x j j} \quad 1 \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ll}
\text { iq } D e^{x^{j j}} 1 \operatorname{sign}() & \text { for }=0 ; 2 f 1 ; 2 ; 3 g \\
1 & \text { for }=0
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ ith $A$ and $B$ as a function of,$q$ and $N_{0}=*$ from (16). H ere the ensem ble average $h$ : : :ieq over the equilibrium distribution $f_{\text {eq }}$ keeps only even $m$ om ents of $v w$ ith $h N^{2} i=3 D \quad x . T$ he result com plies exactly $w$ ith current conservation, i.e. one veri es $@_{0}{ }^{0}+i q_{k}{ }^{k} 0$.

For transverse photons term sproportional to q q drop. T he corresponding spatial part of the tensor is shown in g.1, right part. This correlation function decays exponentially as $e^{\times}$at $q=0$, and its $w$ idth further decreases $w$ ith increasing m om entum $\mathrm{q}=$ jaj due to the increase in spatial resolution. T he left part show s the corresponding density-density correlation ( = = 0), which decays only for non-zero $m$ om entum, due to charge conservation.


Fig. 1: Density-density and current-current correlation functions, $i_{c l}^{00}{ }^{+}(; q)$ and
i ${ }_{c l}^{11}{ }^{+}(; q)$, norm alized to the values at $=0$ as a function of time (in units of


The rem aining tim e Fourier transform ation gives the ! ;q-dependence of the photon selfenergy. It can be expressed in tem $s$ of the incom plete gam $m$ a function. Straightforward expansion in powers $\left(D \mathbb{q}^{2}={ }_{x}\right) e^{x^{j} j^{n}}$ leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{c l}{ }^{+}(!; q)=4 e^{2} \quad 0^{D} V^{i} v^{k}{ }_{e q}^{E} \exp ^{h} D q^{2}={ }_{x}^{i} \\
& x_{n=0}^{x^{2}} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{D Q^{2}!_{n}}{x} \frac{2(n+1) x+2 D q^{2}}{\left((n+1) x+D q^{2}\right)^{2}+!^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

for transverse photons. Since the correlation functions are properly determ ined from the tim e structure of the source, they com ply with the $n=2$ (and $n=1$ ) sum rule constraints.

There are two lim iting cases where sim pler analytical form s can be obtained: i) at sm all m om entum transfers where eq. (18) can be expanded in powers of $q$ and rew ritten as to provide a propagator type form and ii) for large $m$ om entum transfers where from the expf:: g part in (17) a short tim e G aussian behavior em erges. Thus, for sm all $m$ om entum transfers one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 e^{2} 0^{D} V^{i} v^{k}{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \frac{2 x}{e_{x}^{2}+!^{2}+h v^{2} i q^{2}=6} \text { for ! } \quad x \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

which generalizes the relaxation result $(11,13)$ to nite q. On the other hand for large m om enta one realizes that
where obviously the essential contributions com e from velocities which satisfy the C herenkov condition $\bar{j} j \quad!=\dot{m j} j$. $T$ his lim it is independent of the relaxation rate $x$ and coincides w ith the quantum one-loop diagram result in the corresponding large Mij lim it, as we shall see in sect. 6.

A though the above expressions give the exact solution of the $m$ athem aticalproblem posed in this section, its physical interpretation has to be done w ith som e care for the follow ing reason. T he equilibrium source distribution contains velocity com ponents that exceed the speed of light. T herefore for the physical result $m$ istakes of the order of $\exp \left[3=\left(2 h \gamma^{2} i\right)\right]=\exp [m=(2 T)]$ are expected. $T$ his restricts the application to


For system $s$ w th given xed $m$ ean-square velocity $h^{2} i=c o n s t$ : the exact classical on-shell rate (3) at ' $\dot{q} j=$ ! evidently scales as a function of $!={ }_{x}$. It properly vanishes at ! = 0 and at in nity. It is im portant to note that the rate has an upper bound of $\frac{2}{3} e^{2} o h v^{2} i$, and indeed attains its $m$ axim um value around! $x$, which is collision-rate independent. For sim plicity we quote the closed form obtained in the non-relativistic lim it (19), which coincides w ith the dipole lim it. $T$ here

O ne realizes that the ultra-violet part of the spectrum ! x behaves as intuitively expected, g.2: the rate grow s proportional to the relaxation rate, until it saturates around! x . For the soft part! x , how ever, the rate becom es inversely proportional to the collision rate! $T$ he higher the collision rate the $m$ ore suppressed the spectrum. In order to illustrate the non-perturbative character of this soft behavior supposes $\times / g^{2}$, where $g$ is the strong coupling constant of the source
system. O ne sees that indeed the low $-!$ part with $/ e^{2}!={ }_{x} / e^{2}!=g^{2}$ represents a genuine non-perturbative result in $g$, while the large ! -part, where $/ e^{2} g^{2}=!$, is well described perturbatively.


Fig. 2: R ate of real photons $d N=(d!d t)$ in units of $4 e^{2} v^{2}=3$ for a non-relativistic source for $x=50,100,150 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$; for com parison the IQ P results (dashed lines) are also shown.


Fig. 3: C urrent correlation function for the rst term $\mathrm{sn}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3$ of the Langevin result, eq. (22) and the total sum ( ) for the case that

$$
x==\left(\begin{array}{ll}
v_{m} & v_{m}+1
\end{array}\right)^{2}=\left(2 v^{2}\right)=1=3 .
$$

### 3.2 M icroscopic Langevin Process

For a later com parison with quantum diagram $s$ in sect. 4 we should look into the corresponding $m$ icroscopic picture of classical propagation. There one can consider a classical random process (Langevin process), where hard scatterings occur at random $w$ ith a constant $m$ ean collision rate. . These scatterings consecutively change the velocity of a point charge from $v_{m}$ to $v_{m}+1$ to $v_{m+2}$ : : : (in the follow ing subscriptsm, $n$, and l refer to the collision sequence, while superscripts i;k 2 f1;2;3g specify the spatialcom ponents ofvectors and the selfenergy tensor). In between scatterings the point charge $m$ oves freely. For such a $m$ ultiple collision process som e explicit results can be given. They all refer to the case of vanishing photon m om entum $\mathrm{q}=0$ (dipole approxim ation) and therefore apply to non-relativistic sources where $h v^{2} i q^{2} \quad \underset{x}{2}$ or to dilepton production, for exam ple, since only the tim e structure is well known in this case, while the space structure would require an integration of the random classical paths.

For such a Langevin process the $m$ odulus of the autocorrelation function takes a sim ple Poissonian form (g.3)

$$
\begin{align*}
i_{c l}^{+}(; q=0) & =4 e^{2} 0^{D} v^{i}() v^{k}(0)^{E} \\
& =4 e^{2} o^{j} \underbrace{X^{H}}_{j=0} \frac{j}{n!} j^{n} v_{m}^{i} v_{m+n}^{k}{ }_{m}^{E}: \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{h}:$ : : $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{m}}$ denotes the average over the discrete collision sequence $\mathrm{fm} \mathrm{g} . \mathrm{T}$ his form , which one writes down intuitively, directly includes what one calls dam ping and therefore corresponds to a resum $m$ ation description in the quantum case. The corresponding perturbation theory result is obtained through an expansion in powers of

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{c l}^{+}(; q=0)=4 e^{2} 0_{n=0}^{X^{l}} \frac{j j^{n} X^{n}}{n!}(1)^{k} n_{l=0}^{!} \sum_{V_{m}^{i} v_{m+1}^{k}}^{E} ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which for dim ensional reasons is also a power series in $j j$ in this case. If the $v_{m}^{i} V_{m+n}^{k}$ expectation values are replaced by unity in (22) or (23), one obtains the 00 -com ponent of which becom es constant in tim e in line with (10).

The tim eW igner transform of (22) determ ines the !-spectrum at vanishing $q$

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{c l}^{+}(!; q=0)=4 e^{2} 0_{n=0}^{X} V_{m}^{i} v_{m+n}^{k}{ }_{m}^{E} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\left(2^{n} f(+i!)^{n+1} g\right.}{\left(!^{2}+2^{2}\right)^{n+1}}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a genuine multiple collision description for the photon production rate in com pletely regular term $s$ due to the $\left(!^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)^{n}$ form of alldenom inators. Each term is regular, since right from the beginning one accounts for the dam ping of the source particle because of its nite $m$ ean time $1=$ between collisions. The result (24) still accounts for the coherence of the photon eld, now expressed through the correlations $h \mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{n} i_{\mathrm{m}}$ arising from the sequence of collisions. N ote in particular, that, although the total expression is positive, the $\mathrm{n}>0$ term s can be negative since they describe the interference of the radiation arising from di erent propagation segm ents of the source particle. Thus, the term sin (24) de ne partial rates, which later (sect. 52) w ill be associated w ith speci c self energy diagram s.

A s already $m$ entioned, the q-dependence of the selfenergy cannot be given in closed form in general apart from the $\mathrm{n}=0$ term (c.f. w th $\mathrm{n}=0$ term from eq. (24))

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{\mathrm{cl}}^{+}(!; q) \quad 4 \mathrm{e}^{2} 0{\frac{2}{*} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{k}}}_{\left(!\mathrm{q}^{2}+{ }^{2}\right.}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{+}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

It show s the typical C herenkov enhancem ent at ! = q⿴. At this level one $m$ ay be tem pted to associate this $(\mathrm{n}=0)$ term w th the relaxation ansatz result (13). This how ever is only true if $\left\langle v_{\mathrm{m}} v_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{n}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{m}}=0$ for n \& , an approxim ation recently used in refs. [14]. In the general case velocity correlations betw een successive scatterings exist, and there w illbe a di erence betw een them icrosoopicm ean collision rate and the $m$ esoscopic relaxation rate x . Still, for system S , where the velocity is degraded by a constant fraction per collision, such that $h N_{m} \quad{ }_{m} V_{+} i_{m}={ }^{n} h N_{m} \quad m^{*} i_{m}$, one can resum the whole series in (24) and thus recover the relaxation result (13) at $q=0 . T$ he $m$ acroscopic rate $x$ is then determ ined by the $m$ icroscopic scattering
properties through $x=\left(1 \quad\right.$ ), or $2 h\left(\forall_{m}\right)^{2} i_{m} \quad x=h\left(\forall_{m} \quad W_{h}+1\right)^{2} i_{m} \quad$. This clar$i$ es that the di usion result (18) represents a resum $m$ ation of the random multiple collision result.

The follow ing relations show di erent reform ulations and lim its of the Langevin result (24).For instance the invariance of (24) is not directly visible, since absolute velocities enter. Still the perturbation expression (23) can be rew ritten, such that except for the zero order term, which drops out in the Fourier transform, only velocity di erences appear

Term s of low est odd order in $j$ j determ ine the asym ptotic large ! (ultra violet) behavior of the spectrum

A part from the $m$ ean collision time $1=$ this is an expansion in powers of $(=!)^{2}$ and therefore represents the perturbation expansion result for the classical source ( representing the interaction, while $1=$ ! relates to the interm ediate propagator). This perturbation expansion (27) is interesting since it already displays the $m$ ain problem : W hile for ! the series converges, if higher order correlations cease su ciently fast, there is no hope to ever recover the correct result (24) for ! $T$ is is so, since i) this series is necessarily divergent (it has to recover $1=\left(!^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)$ by a power series in , , but also it m isses the know ledge on the even powers in in eq. (26) which essentially determ ine the soft behavior.

The rst term in (27) represents the incoherent quasi\{ free production rate which for nite q at given polarization reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { i } \quad \mathrm{IQF}^{+}(!; q)=4 \mathrm{e}^{2} 0{ }^{*} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{qk}_{\mathrm{m}}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}+1}}{\mathrm{qk}_{\mathrm{m}+1}}{ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{2^{+}}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It carries the known divergence at the soft point $q=0$, c.f. dashed lines in $g$. 2 and 4. In conclusion: the comm only used IQ F prescription fails for soft particle production.

### 3.3 F inite Size C orrections

For system s of nite spatial extension and conserved currents one can consider the $\mathrm{n}=0$ sum nule. It dem ands that $\quad{ }^{+}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{x})$ has to vanish at least quadratically $w$ ith $q!0$. This property survives in the classical lim it, where form ally $\sim!~ 0$ $\mathrm{R}_{1}^{\text {and }}$ the spectrum becom es continuous. Thus, the term of zero order in ! given by $\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{~h}(*())(\%(0))$ id has to drop and the ensuing low energy part of the spectrum (24) starts quadratically in !

The sim ple relaxation ansatz (11) does not ful ll this nite size condition, since it ignores long term anti-correlations on the scale of som e recurrence tim e 1= rec. That is the $\operatorname{tim} e$, where on the $m$ ean the center of charges retums to the sam e point ${ }^{[5}{ }^{1}$. $T$ his defect of the relaxation ansatz $m$ ay be cured by a m ore general form whioh includes such an anti-correlation, e.g.

The extra param eter rec can be determ ined such that the spectrum ful lls then $=0$ dipole sum trule (6). For larger system sone infers that rec $2 h w^{2} i=\left(h x^{2} i x\right)$, where $h x^{2} i$ is the $m$ ean-square extension.

For sm all system $s$ both tim e scales becom e com parable and the self energy tensor attains the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{c l}{ }^{+}(!; q=0)=4 e^{2} 0^{D} v^{i} v^{k}{ }^{E} \frac{4 x!^{2}}{\left(!^{2}+{ }_{x}^{2}\right)^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad x=r e c: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form has been found in the one-dim ensional m odel of ref. [17], where due to the dom inance ofback scattering both tim e scales $m$ erge.

[^4]Fig. 4: Current correlation function $i^{11}+(!; q=0)$ for a random collision sequence lim ited to a nite size in space such that $x^{2}, 10 v^{2}=2$ and $x=0: 8$ : full line from $M$ onte $C$ arlo calculation of the phase integral (32); dasheddotted line from the analytic Langevin result (24); dotted line includes nite size corrections (30); dashed line from quasifree scattering prescription.


### 3.4 C om parison with M onte C arlo Evaluation of Am plitudes

For illustration we like to present a sim ple m odel result and com pare it to a M onte C arlo $m$ ethod, where am plitudes are calculated by considering the phase of the photon eld along the classical onbits. T hus, one evaluates

$$
{ }^{z} \quad d t w(t) \exp [i!t \quad i q x(t)]
$$

along the random straight sections of the classical paths in the cascade model. This $m$ ethod also perm its to illustrate the nite size corrections discussed above. The dotted line in g. 4. shows the $M$ onte Carlo phase integral result (32) from an oriented random walk, com pared to the sim ple relaxation result (13), dashed line. The example has the property that the motion is lim ited to a nite space with $h x^{2} i^{\prime} 10 h w^{2} i={ }^{2}$ and $x=0: 8$. The shapp dip at $!=0$ and the little over shoot around ! 0:3 are due to the nite size of the system. T he full line gives the relaxation result inchuding the nite size corrections (30).

Evidently the M onte C arlo m ethod is a highly unreliable due to the strong cancelations of term s that are random ly generated. The precision in g. 4 is obtained w ith 200 cascade runs where each path has about $10^{3}$ collisions (sim ulation codes have by far less statistics!) . The analytical result (24) has signi cant com putational advantages, provided the random process is of this form. For the sam e precision already a single representative path w ith about $10^{3}$ collisions is su cient, while for the relaxation ansatz one only has to determ ine the relaxation rate, i.e. a simple m om ent in time.

### 3.5 Infra-red D ivergences, C urrent C onservation, G auge Invariance and Identities

In the above m ultiple collision description $w$ ith dam ping (22) allterm shave a nite range in tim e. Thus, they all are void of infra-red divergences and so is any lim ited sum ofterm s ofthem. This is the desirable feature that we are aim ing at. In addition the exam ple above ilhustrates that in $m$ any cases only a few rescattering term $s$ are necessary in order to properly recover the correct result for the transverse part of the correlation tensor both at sm all and large !. In fact the num ber $n_{x}$ of required rescattering term $s$ is given by $n_{x} \quad={ }_{x}$.

T he picture is m ore subtile for the longitudinalcom ponents of the tensor, since som e particular integrals are conserved (tim e independent) as they related to the total charge, c.f. eq. (10), sect. 2.1.Thus i ${ }^{00}{ }^{+}(\mathbb{q}=0$; ), c.f. $g .1$, has to be constant. For the Langevin case this identity holds, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n=0}^{x^{2}} \frac{()^{n}}{n!} e \quad 1: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, for any nite number of such term $s$ this identity can never be recovered exactly for all tim es, since the relaxation tim e of total charge is in nite!

Q uite often one is interested in a solution of the problem up to a maxim altim et $t_{m}$ ax , and one likes to request charge conservation on the correlator levelto be m aintained only w thin this time span or within the corresponding ! range lim ited to ! > $!_{m}$ in $=1=t_{m a x} . W$ ith this lim itation a nite num ber of term $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad t_{\mathrm{max}} \quad=!_{\mathrm{m}}$ in is required for a proper description also of the longitudinal parts of the correlation tensor at $q=0$.

T he above features have to be contrasted w ith perturbation theory (c.f. eq. (23)), where the correlation function can be expressed by a power series in in this case and therefore leads to a power series in . H ere the zero order term is nite (and trivially constant in tim e), while the higher order term $s$ cancel out for each given order, c.f. (23). Thus, in perturbation theory current conservation is m aintained order by order also for the classical correlator (as one is used to from the quantum case). T he prige to be payed is that in perturbation theory the infra-red properties are com pletely 171.

In favor of the nite width description one should realize that any nite width calculation up to a certain order $n_{x}$ includes the perturbation theory or $Q P A$ results up to the very sam e order $n_{x}$. In other words, if one would expand all nite width term $s w$ ithin the order $n_{x}$ into powers of one recovers all the QPA or perturbation theory term sup to that order!

O nly in the above sense current conservation, gauge invariance and other identities related to them (e.g.W ard identities) can be understood and expected to hold for a nite set of term $s$. T hese considerations are general. In particular they also apply
to any nite set of selfenergy diagram $s$ in the quantum case, which use full G reen's functions w ith dam ping as discussed in the next section.

This com pletes the form al discussion of the classical radiation rate and the corresponding classical expressions for the self energy of the photon.

4 N on Equilibrium G reen's Function D escription

In this section the production rate or photon self energy (2) is discussed in the context of non-equilibrium quantum eld theory, in term s of $G$ reen's functions and the corresponding non-equilibrium diagram $s$. T hereby one has to go beyond perturbation theory which is not applicable for strongly interacting system s. T he idea to form ulate theory in term s of appropriately de ned physical term $s$ was very fruitful. It resulted in the developm ent of the QPA m ethod, see [19,20], where the changes in the real part of the ferm ion self energy are taken into acoount substituting the free particle energy ${ }_{p}^{0}$ by the corresponding QPA energy. This m ethod proved to be very successfiul for the case of equilibrium $m$ atter at rather $s m$ all tem perature ( $T \quad F_{\text {, where }}^{F}$ is the Ferm i energy), since higher order corrections lead to additional ( $\left.\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{2}$ factors [39].
 negligible in the corresponding $G$ reen's functions it still su ers the sam e infra\{red problem s as the perturbation expansion. A ny nite set of diagram s leads to infra\{ red divergences in the soft lim it ( $q!0$ ). Even certain resum $m$ ation $m$ ethods as the hard them alloop expansion $[40,41]$ in $Q C D$ do not cure the problem. On the other hand the classical considerations of the previous section clarify, that all infra\{red divergences disappear, if one properly accounts for the nite collision rate . Thus, one has to avoid the zerow idth perturbation theory or QPA and seriously account for the nite dam ping width $=2 \mathrm{Im}{ }^{R}$ of the source particles.

Therefore the simplest and physically most meaningful step is to go to the full G reen's functions $G$ (full lines in diagram $s$ ) associated with the in-m edium propagation for the constituents of the source. Thus one has to solve D yson's equation in order to include also the dam ping width of the particles. This is done in sect. 42. The derivation of transport schem es is sum $m$ arized. Further on som e physically $m$ eaningful resum $m$ ations of the diagram $s$ are proposed. Various graphical contributions to the self energy diagram (5) are discussed in the QPA, and in the QC lim it.

### 4.1 Vertices and G reen's functions

For a theory of ferm ions interacting $w$ th bosons the basic diagram $m$ atic elem ents are the corresponding $G$ reen's functions ig (lines) and interaction vertioes iV .

For non equilibrium description it $m$ akes no sense to discuss am plitudes. R ather one evaluates the tim e-dependence of the ensem ble averaged expectation values of observables. Such expectation values involves standard tim e-ordered products ( $\hat{\mathrm{I}}$ ) for the evolution of the "ket" $j$ i and also antiftim e ordered products ( $(\hat{A})$ for the evolution of the "bra" $h j$ in the $m$ atrix elem ents. B oth can be sum $m$ arized to $a$ contour-ordered product [22]. Therefore one distinguishes tw o types of vertioes: the ( ) vertices w ith value iV pertain to the tim e ordered part, and the adjoint (+) vertices $w$ ith value + iV for the anti\{tim e ordered section, in the here used convention [36]. T hereby $V$ is the realinteraction vertex of the Lagrangian. C orrespondingly two point functions, like the $G$ reen's functions, have four com ponents, which can be arranged in matrix form (we reserve bold face notation for the two by two f +g m atrioes)

Here 1 and 2 denote the two space\{time points and h::it the ensemble average. U pper and lower signs refer to ferm ion and boson $G$ reen's functions, respectively. The four $G$ reen's function com ponents are obviously not independent. $R$ ather they relate to the retarded and advanced ones by
where is the step function and [:::;:::] denotes the ferm ion anti- or boson com m utator.

The unperturbed $G$ reen's fiunction $G{ }_{12}^{0}$ is resolvent of the corresponding free single particle Schrodinger - (non rel. ferm ions) or K lein-G ordon equation (rel bosons)

$$
\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{12}^{0}=\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{G}_{12}^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2)_{z} ; & \mathrm{S}=\stackrel{8}{\gtrless_{\mathrm{z}}} \mathrm{iG}_{\mathrm{t}}+\frac{}{2 \mathrm{~m}}  \tag{36}\\
\gtrless \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{G}}+ & \mathrm{m}^{2} & \text { (rel. B) }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the subscript speci es the coordinate to di erentiate. Here $z$ is the third Paulim atrix and (1) is the four-space delta function.

W ith this extension to the tw o types ofvertices and + and the corresponding four G reen's function com ponents all standard diagram $m$ atic Feynm an rules de ned for am plitudes can directly be generalized. These rules are then again de ned with
respect to the real time (as in zero tem perature theory) ${ }_{1}^{7]}$. For conventions and a detailed explanation of the diagram $m$ atic rules used here we refer to the text book of L ifshitz and P itaevskii [36]. For a given $n$-point function all $n$ extemal vertioes have a speci ed sign assignm ent, while one has to sum over allpossible sign com binations at the intemal vertioes.

### 4.2 Resum mations: D yson - and $K$ adano - Baym equations

Since diagram $m$ atic elem ents, e.g. G reen's functions $G$ and self energies are connected at a given vertex, the sam e vertex type or + appears in both functions and $D$ yson's equation can sim ply be written in $m$ atrix form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{G}_{12} & =\mathrm{G}_{12}^{0}+{ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} 3 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{G}_{13}^{0}{ }_{34} \mathrm{G}_{42} \text { or simply as } \\
\mathrm{G} & =\mathrm{G}^{0}+\mathrm{G}^{0} \quad \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}^{0}+\mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{G}^{0} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

involving usualm atrix algebra, which autom atically provides the sum over all intemal vertex sign com binations. H ere the two by two $m$ atrix denotes the proper self energy of the source particles ${ }^{8}$ !. The abbreviates the space-tim e folding. In diagram s D yson's equation becom es

where we explicitly distinguish between ferm ions (label F; full straight lines for $G_{F}$ ) and bosons (label B ; full wavy lines for $G_{B}$ ). The four com ponents of $i$ are de ned as the sum of all standard proper self energy diagram $s$ like in norm al perturbation theory, now how ever with de nite + or assignm ents at the extemal vertioes, and sum $m$ ed over the + signs at all intemal vertioes as explained above.

U sing the resolvent properties (36) of ${ }^{0}$ one can transform D yson's equation into a set of integro-di erential equation which in short $m$ atrix notation reads

7 P lease note that in refs. $[22,23,25]$ the diagram $m$ atic rules are de ned $w$ ith respect to the closed tim e contour, whidh leads to the sam e de nition for $G$ reen's functions, how ever the o -diagonal com ponents of the selfenergies used there $<=\quad{ }^{+}$and ${ }^{>}=\quad+$ have opposite values.
8 W e reserve for the self energy of the source particles, while denotes the self energy of the extemal photon.

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{1} G_{12} & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)_{z}+z^{z} d 3{ }_{13} G_{32} \\
\left(S_{2}\right) G_{12} & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)_{z}+{ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} 3 \mathrm{G}_{13} \quad 32
\end{align*}
$$

The four equations involving the time changes of $G{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{G}^{+}$are known as the $K$ adano Baym equations [23], originally derived by the im aginary time method. Here they are a direct consequence of the $D$ yson equation in $m$ atrix form . Like the four com ponents of $G$ also those of are not independent. Their dependence can be determ ined observing that the $D$ yson equations for retarded or advanced $G$ reen's functions have to involve only retarded or advanced entities, respectively. T hus they com pletely decouple

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{R}=G^{0 R}+G^{0 R} \quad \mathrm{R} \quad G^{R} ; \quad G^{A}=G^{0 A}+G^{0 A} \quad A \quad G^{A} ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{R}$ and ${ }^{A}$ are the corresponding advanced and retarded self energies. From (35), (37) and (40) one therefore follow s that


The full $G$ reen's functions account for the nite dam ping width

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2 \mathrm{Im}^{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{i} \quad+\quad+ \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the particles, which destroys the shanp relation betw een energy and $m$ om entum . $T$ hus the spectral function

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x ; p):=2 \operatorname{Im}^{R}(x ; p)=i G^{+}(x ; p) \quad G^{+}(x ; p) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

is no longer an on-shell -function by rather has a width ( $x ; p$ ). Thiswidth does not only arises from decays (resonances) but also from collisions of the particles in dense $m$ atter. It is im portant to realize, that the resum $m$ ation to full $G$ reen's functions (bold straight or w ave lines in diagram s) reduces the set of all diagram $s$ to a subset of diagram $s$ w th skeleton topology, where all self energy insertions are excluded in the diagram s.

### 4.3 Transport equations

In the presented picture the $W$ igner transform s of the o -diagonalG reen's functions iG ${ }^{+}$and i $^{+}$are $W$ igner densities in four space and four $m$ om entum for the occupied and available 'single particle states', which now have a nite width and therefore can be 0 m ass shell. We w ill see that the corresponding non-diagonal
com ponents of the proper self energy $i$ are the gain and loss coe cients for the transpont description of these $W$ igner densities.

The entry to such transport equations is given by the $K$ adano Baym equations, which are contained in the set (39). Subtracting the two equations for $G{ }^{+}$yields
for non rel. ferm ion or rel. bosons, respectively. The next step is to take the $W$ igner transform ation of this equation. This involves the $W$ igner transform ation ofconvolution integrals $C(1 ; 2)={ }^{R}$ d3A $(1 ; 3) B(3 ; 2)$ which form ally can be obtained through

$$
\begin{align*}
C(x ; k) & =\exp \left[\frac{i}{2}\left(\varrho_{k}^{A} \varrho_{x}^{B} \quad C_{x}^{A} Q_{k}^{B}\right)\right] A(x ; k) B(x ; k) \\
& , A(x ; k) B(x ; k)+\frac{i}{2} f A ; B g ; \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A(x ; k)$, etc. are the $W$ igner transform $s$ of $A, B$ and $C$ and the di erential operators act on $A$ and $B$ separately. The approxim ate expression in term $s$ of 4dim ensional Poisson bracket $f A ; B g=@_{k} A @_{x} B \quad @_{\&} A @_{k} B$ de nes the rst order gradient expansion. To this order separating real and im aginary parts one obtains for the $W$ igner densities ig ${ }^{+}$[27]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n} S(k) \quad(x ; k) ; \text { iG }{ }^{+}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \text { iG }(x ; k) ;{ }^{+}(x ; k)^{\circ} \\
& ={ }^{+}(x ; k) G^{+}(x ; k)+{ }^{+}(x ; k) G^{+}(x ; k)  \tag{46}\\
& \text { with } \quad={ }^{R}+{ }^{A}=2 ; G=G^{R}+G^{A}=2:
\end{align*}
$$

Here $S(k)=\widetilde{K}^{2}=(2 m)$ or $S(k)=k^{2} \quad m^{2}$ is the $W$ igner form of the Schrodiger or $K$ lein-G ordon operator (36). The rst Poisson bracket on the left side gives the usual $V$ lasov part. $0 n$ the right side ${ }^{+} \mathrm{G}^{+}$and ${ }^{+} \mathrm{G}{ }^{+}$de ne gain and loss term s . T his transport equation is quite general and as the original yson equation still accounts for 0 -m ass-shellprocesses, part ofw hich are contained in the peculiar second Poisson bracket according to B oterm ans and $M$ al iet [27]. W ew ill see that the account of the nite dam ping width and the inclusion of higher order diagram $s$ for the self energies (sect. 4.5) are essential to extend the schem e beyond classical transport concepts.

Equation (46) by itself is not yet com plete, since it requires a relation a relation betw een $G{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{G}^{+}$, which norm ally is provided by a certain physical "ansatz". U nder near on-shell conditions, where the $W$ igner densities are well peaked close to a single on-shell energy p, c.f. next subsection, one can use the K adano -

B aym ansatz or apply the QPA (see A ppendix A). Then the integral over reduces $(46)$ to a fam iliar form $[25,29]$

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
@_{\mathrm{t}}+v @_{\mathrm{x}} \quad\left(@_{p}\right) @_{\mathrm{p}} & \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x} ; p ; \mathrm{t}) \\
= & i^{+}(1 \mathrm{n}(x ; p ; t))+i^{+} \mathrm{n}(x ; p ; t) Z_{p}  \tag{47}\\
= & i^{+} \mathrm{n}(x ; p ; t) Z_{p} ;
\end{array}
$$

for the on-shell particle densities of non-rel. ferm ions or rel. bosons

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{F}(x ; p ; t)=\quad{ }_{i}^{z} \frac{d}{2} G_{F}^{+} ; n_{B}(x ; p ; t)=i^{z} \frac{d}{2} 2 G_{B}^{+}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here ${ }_{\mathrm{V}}=\varrho_{\rho}(\rho)$ is the group velocity, and $Z_{\rho}=1=\left(1 \quad @ R e^{R}=@\right)$ fornon-relativistic ferm ions and $Z_{p}=1=\left(2 \quad @ R e^{R}=@\right)$ for relativistic bosons is a norm alization factor. In allexpressions is determ ined by the dispersion relation $=(p)$, c.f. (50) below .

The form of the collisional integralin (47) is convenient in $m$ any cases, e.g. to extract stationary solution (cf. next subsection) or for the relaxation tim e approxim ation, where $1=Z_{p}$ is the corresponding collision tim e, see (A.4). In the di usion approxi$m$ ation of eq.(47) one easily recovers eq.(14). The usualBoltzm ann-like form of the collisional integral is obtained from the low est order self energy diagram which in QPA contributes to $I^{R}$ (usually second order in the two body interaction), c.f. $[25,29]$ and (66) in sect. 4.8. M ore general schem es beyond QPA are suggested in sect. 4.7. The photon production rate, eq. (3), is a sim ple case of (47).

### 4.4 Stationary and Equilibrium Properties

For a hom ogeneous stationary system in $W$ igner ( $x ; p$ ) representation all $G$ reen's functions becom e space-tim e independent and the operation reduces to a sim ple product for the rem aining 4 m om entum part in the D yson equation (37). W ith $\mathrm{p}=$ ( $; \rho$ ) we therefore drop the x -argum ent. Eqs. (40) can then be solved algebraically

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{F}^{R}(p)=\frac{1}{+_{F}{\underset{p}{0}}^{0}{ }^{R}(p)} ; \quad G_{B}^{R}(p)=\frac{1}{\left(+{ }_{B}\right)^{2}\left(_{P}^{0}\right)^{2}{ }^{R}(p)} ; \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $G^{A}(p)=\left(G^{R}(p)\right)$ and ${ }^{A}(p)=\left({ }^{R}(p)\right)$. The dispersion relations are

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
+_{F} & ={ }_{P}^{0}+{ }_{F}^{R}\left(+_{F} ; p\right) ; & { }_{P}^{0}=P^{2}=2 m &  \tag{50}\\
\left(+_{B}\right)^{2} & =(\text { non-rel ferm ions) } \\
\left.{ }_{P}^{0}\right)^{2}+{ }_{B}^{R}\left(+_{B} ; P\right) ; & \left({ }_{P}^{0}\right)^{2}=P^{2}+m^{2} & & \text { (rel. bosons) }
\end{array}
$$

W ith corresponding free on-shell energies ${ }_{p}^{0}$ and chem ical potentials $B$ and $F$. $W$ th $(\mathrm{p})=2 \mathrm{Im}^{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{i}\left({ }^{+}(\mathrm{p}) \quad+(\mathrm{p})\right)$ the spectral function (43) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{F}(p)=\frac{(p)}{+_{F} \quad \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
p
\end{array} \operatorname{Re}^{R}(p)^{2}+((p)=2)^{2}} \quad \text { (non-rel. ferm ions); } \\
& A_{B}(p)=\frac{(p)}{\left(+{ }_{B}\right)^{2} \sum_{\left.P_{p}^{0}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{Re}^{R}(p)^{2}+((p)=2)^{2}} \quad \text { (rel. bosons): } \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

They satisfy the sum nules

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{2 /} A_{B}(p) 2 \frac{d}{2}=1 \text { and }{ }_{1}^{2 /} A_{F}(p) \frac{d}{2}=1 \text { : } \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generalization to relativistic ferm ions with gam ma-m atrioes up to tensor interactions can be found in ref. [42]. For illustration and later use we give the equilibrium results explicitly, which follow from the stationary condition ${ }^{+}(\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{G}^{+} \quad(\mathrm{p})=$
${ }^{+}$(p)G ${ }^{+}$(p), c.f. eq. (46), and the $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{ubo-M} \mathrm{artin-Schw} \mathrm{inger} \mathrm{condition} \mathrm{[15]}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{+}(p)=+(p) e^{=T}: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then all the $G$ reen's functions can be expressed through either retarded or advanced G reen's functions. From (34), (35) and (41) one then nds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G} \quad(\mathrm{p})=(1 \quad \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{p}) \quad \mathrm{nG} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{p}) ; \quad \mathrm{G}^{+}(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{in} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{p}) \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{G}^{+}(\mathrm{p}) \quad=\mathrm{i}(1 \quad \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{p}) ; \quad \mathrm{G}^{++}(\mathrm{p})=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{n}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{p}) \quad \mathrm{nG}{ }^{\mathrm{R}} \text { (p); }
\end{aligned}
$$

and the relations for the four com ponents of the self energies

$$
\begin{array}{rlrrrr} 
& = & \text { in (p); } & { }^{+}= & \text {in (p); } \\
+ & =i(1 & \text { n) }(\mathrm{p}) ; & { }^{++}= & &
\end{array}
$$

where the therm al occupations at tem perature $T$ (Ferm i-D irac or Bose $E$ instein distributions) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{fexp}[=\mathrm{T}] \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}^{1}: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the general non \{equilibrium case there are no such simple relations between G reen's functions and self energies as at equilibrium. In order to proceed one $m$ ay
 A) or using the QPA.

### 4.5 D iagram matic D ecom position into P hysical Sub-P rocesses

T here have been $m$ any attem pts in the literature to elim inate the redundancy in the de nition of the four G reen's function com ponents. W e do not like to follow such schem es and rather prefer to keep all four com ponents as they are, since they display a sym $m$ etry betw een the tim e-ordered and the anti-tim e ordered parts, i.e. betw een the "bra" and "ket" parts of the correlatorit.'.

In this form ulation physical observables like densities, production rates, etc., are alw ays given by diagram $s$, where the extemal vertiaes appear in con jugate pairs, i.e. w ith xed opposite signs, just de ning correlation functions. If Fourier transform ed over space-tim e di erences they have the properties of W igner functions. Special exam ples are the o -diagonalcom ponents of the $G$ reen's functions and selfenergies, which de ne W igner densities and gain or loss rates, respectively. W orking w ith full G reen's functions such correlation diagram s are given by a sum of all topologically distinguished skeleton diagram $s$ w th the xed extemal vertioes of opposite signs. Each diagram of given topology consists of 2 term $s$, where is the number of intemal vertioes, due to the + sum $m$ ations. T hese extra sum $m$ ations $m$ ake this approach rather non-transparent. In this section, how ever, we like to suggest a very sim ple classi cation ofcorrelation diagram sand a reform ulation of the corresponding sum, which am ends a sim ple physical intenpretation.

W e start w ith the observation, that at least in one way any correlation diagram of given topology and given sign assignm ents at all vertices can be decom posed into tw o pieces, such that each of the tw o sub pieces is a connected diagram which carries only one type of sign on all its extemal vertioesiri;


The reason for such a decom position is that then each diagram is given by a "product" of two sub diagram $s$ and ${ }^{+}$w ith the sam e extemal lines, which one may call am plitude \{ and adjoint am plitude diagram s. H ere and denote am plitude diagram $s$ including sign assignm ents. T he adjoint ${ }^{+}$of any am plitude diagram is given by inverting the senses of all propagator lines and inverting the signs of all vertiges; the respective values are conjugate complex to each other ( ) = $\quad$. For am plitude diagram s only the extemal vertioes have de nite signs, while intemal

9 In therm al eld theory the "+ " vertices are often considered as ghosts. W e do not like to support th is view point as these con jugate vertioes are as physical as the " " ones for the fill correlation $m$ atrix elem ent!
${ }^{10}$ For the construction: just deform the diagram such that all+ and vertices are placed left and respectively right from a vertical division line and cut along th is line. P ieces which then becom e disconnected are to be reconnected to the other side until tw o connected subpieces rem ain. In case that disconnected pieces appear on both sides the result $m$ ay depend on the order of reconnection and consequently di erent decom positions are possible.
vertioes have still no sign restrictions. In analytical term s the decom position (57) can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(\mathrm{i}) \mathrm{G}^{+}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)::: \text { i }^{+}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for space-tim e hom ogeneous cases (in general corresponding space-tim e foldings appear). Here ig ${ }^{+}\left(p_{k}\right)$ or i $\mathrm{G}^{+}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ (depending on the line-sense) are the W igner densities of occupied (or available states) for each extemal line, w th 0 -shell 4$m$ om enta $p_{1}$ to $p_{m}$, connecting both pieces. $W$ hen a correlation diagram can be decom posed in $m$ ore than one way according to rule (57), the picture is not unique and one $m$ ay assign partial weights which sum to unity to the di erent decom positions ${ }^{111 .}$. A pplying this decom position schem e to all skeleton diagram s of the proper self energy leads to a decom position in term s of physical processes $w$ ith a varying numberm of extemal "states" $\mathrm{p}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$ besides the extemal photon in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{+}(q)=x_{m=2}^{x^{2}} R^{+}\left(p_{1} ;::: ; p_{m}\right) \text { iG }^{+}\left(p_{1}\right) d^{4} p_{1}::: \text { G }^{+}\left(p_{m}\right) d^{4} p_{m}: \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the R ${ }^{+}\left(p_{1} ;::: ; p_{m}\right)$ de ne the partial rates for each physical sub process $w$ th certain in $\left\{\right.$ and out-states $p_{1}$ to $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$, which allcan beo-shell. In the sense ofcrossing symmetry the notion of in and out $m$ ay be xed by the line sense: incom ing or outgoing lines. Naturally for ferm ions there are as $m$ any in $\{$ as out-states. It is im portant to realize that the partial rates

$$
\mathrm{R}^{+}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{x} 0  \tag{60}\\
;
\end{gather*} \quad\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)
$$

arise from a restricted sum (indicated by the prime at the sum -symbol) over am plitude products times such that each term arises from a given correlation diagram in the original sum . $N$ ote in particular that the sum (60) no longer includes all possible interference term s of any two am plitudes! The unrestricted sum over all pair-products of am plifude tim es ( ) is false and leads to serious inconsistencies, since there are interference term $s$, which correspond to closed diagram $s$ of non-skeleton type, which have to be om itted! The sim plest case to see this is the norm aldiagram for brem sstrahlung (left)

${ }^{11}$ A simple example for such an am biguity is the follow ing diagram which perm its two decom positions indicated by the thin line:

Its absolute square leads to a diagram with self-energy insertion (61;right), which is not a proper skeleton diagram ; rather the corresponding partial rate is already included in the 1-loop diagram w th full G reen's functions!

Therefore, only when each term that enters a physical rate (60) originates from a valid decom position of proper skeleton self energy diagram $s$, then this form ulation is void of double counting. It am ends a straight forw ard interpretation in term $s$ of physical scattering processes betw een certain in \{ and out-states. T hese processes occur with partial rates R , which can be positive or negative. The waming to be form ulated at th is instance is, that ifone w orks w th full reen's functions which also include the nite dam ping w idth ,particular care has to be taken. T he unconsidered account of certain Feynm an am plitudes together with nite w idth spectral functions for the particles, as som etim es done heuristically, $m$ ay lead to serious inconsistencies.

On the other hand the im portant point to realize at this level is that the so dened decom position gives rise to a generalized form ulation of transport theories, where multi-particle processes can be considered in a well de ned schem e even if one perm its for o \{shellpropagation. N ote in particular: for a theory of ferm ions interacting $w$ ith bosons the contribution $w$ ith the few est num ber of extemal particles is just three (rather than four as in the Boltzm ann equation). It results from the decom position a one-loop diagram and allow s for one particle in and two out and vioe versa, . Thus, in dense $m$ atter an o -shell ferm ion can just decay into a ferm ion plus boson or the opposite can happen, e.g. see [29,43]. For these processes it is im portant that all particles have a nite dam ping $w$ idth in dense $m$ atter, so that creation and decay $m$ odes, which are forbidden from energy-m om entum conservation in free space, $m$ ay occur $w$ thout principle restrictions in dense $m$ atter.

P lease note that our decom position nules are di erent from the standard cutting rules which only apply either to the set of perturbation theory diagram s [44] or to the set of the quasi-particle diagram s [39]. There diagram s are cut across all + lines, each cut providing an on-shell delta function from the zero width spectral functions. D iagram $s$ that can be cut into $m$ ore than two pieces contain a product ofm ore than one delta-function on totalenergy conservation and therefore show a singular behavior which is not present in the nal correlation function. Therefore all such "m ulti"-cut diagram s have to cancel out. Such argum ents can no longer be given in the case that all spectral functions have nite widths, where the set of diagram s is reduced to the set of skeleton diagram s. T hus, such diagram s have also to be considered in a description $w$ ith full $G$ reen's functions!

### 4.6 Three and Four P oint Functions

In principle one can stick to the above picture since all infra-red divergences disappear for all diagram s due to the nite propagation tim es of all $G$ reen's functions. H ow ever one $m$ ay have to consider still quite a num erous am ount of diagram $s$ in order to achieve $m$ eaningful results. For instance on the $Q P$ levelwe expect, that the
proper in-m edium current appears at both extemal vertices in a sym m etric fashion. Thus for the convective currents one expects
where $(\rho)={ }_{p}^{0}+\operatorname{Re}^{R}((\beta) ; p)$ de nes the $Q P$ energy $m$ om entum relation, see eq. (A 3) in A ppendix A. In diagram $m$ atic term $s$ this can be achieved by certain partial resum $m$ ation that lead to vertex corrections. T hereby we shall not consider an im $m$ ediate resum $m$ ation to the exact fullvertex, since this would am ount to solve the whole problem. R ather we like to stay to a picture where in certain lim its like the QPA and QC lim it, piece by piece an interpretation in physically m eaningful term s can be given.

The considerations in the preceding subsection assigned a particular role to the full

+ and $+\quad G$ reen's functions as $W$ igner densities. This suggests to apply further resum $m$ ations and to extend the ideas put forw ard in ref. [39] in the context ofquasiparticles now to particles with nite width. N am ely, one likes to gather diagram pieces that are void of the $W$ igner densities $G{ }^{+}$or $\mathrm{G}^{+}$, both for ferm ions and bosons. T hat is, one likes to resum sub-pieces ofskeleton diagram sw ith given num ber and type of extemal vertioes (3 point or 4 point functions, for exam ple) where all intemal and extemal vertices have only one de nite sign value. The f $g$ diagram $s$ then contain only tim e-ordered full G reen's functions G and therefore represent a straight forw ard generalization of the standard zero tem perature Feynm an 3 or 4 point functions now including the full self energies. The $f+g$ diagram s are just the adjoined expressions. This way one can de ne 4 point functions (in m edium interactions)


H ere the thick wavy lines relate to the corresponding $G_{B}$ \{exact boson propagators or two-body potentials in non-relativistic theories with potentials. Since only like sign vertioes are perm itted, no G ${ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{G}^{+}$lines appear in these functions. Such resum $m$ ed expressions have been proven usefiul in the low tem perature QPA to de ne in-m edium interactions and e ective vertioes and they appear also quite $m$ eaningful in the lim it of low densities, as in the classical lim it for exam ple. Various approxim ation levels are possible for the 4-point functions; a detailed discussion would be beyond the scope of this presentation. W em ention just a few possibilities:
a) a ladder sum $m$ ation in the $s$-channel (horizontal in diagram (63)) for the particleparticle ( $p-p$ ) and particle-hole ( $p-h$ ) channels generalizes the B nuckner G m atrix to non \{equilibrium;
b) in $m$ any practical cases (e.g. Landau - M igdal's Ferm i-liquid theory [19,20]) the 4 -point functions are approxim ated by 2 -point approxim ants in the $t$-channel (ver-
ticalin (63)).T hen R PA type resum $m$ ations are possible [39,10], which iterate p-h " $\{$ \{" and "+ + " loops in the t-channel; details are given in A ppendix B; where also the schem e of the bosonization of the interaction is presented, cf. $[10,48]$.
d) the ultim ate could be a crossing and exchange sym $m$ etric form ; in this case one relies on suitable param eterizations.

These like\{sign e ective interactions generalize the twołoody scattering $m$ atrix in $m$ atter to non $\{$ equilibrium . T hereby one does not only account for the change of the ferm ionic occupations (as already considered in the literature) but also includes the dam ping of the ferm ions. In this respect it would be interesting to see, how bound states (e.g. the deuteron [49] or the $J=$ ) change their properties in dense $m$ atter ( $M$ ott transition) also due to the dam ping widths. For consistency these like\{ sign e ective interactions then also enter the de nition of the in m edium vertiges (3 point functions) de ned as

### 4.7 K ey diagram s

For sim plicity we con ne the discussion to the case where the 'extemal' photon just couples to ferm ions. A ny generalization to other types of particles (extemal and source intemal) is straight forw ard. D ue to the above considerations from now on the rem aining diagram $s$ include the follow ing elem ents: filll ferm ion G reen's functions, like-sign 4-point interactions and the corresponding vertioes. P lease notioe that this reduces the set of diagram s even further! In particular not all sign com binations are perm itted any longer since som e of them are already included in the resum $m$ ed 3 or 4 point functions.

A 11 photon selfenergy diagram s can be build up by iterative four point insertions. Thus, the set of diagram sfor ${ }^{+}$reduces to

This set of "key"-diagram $s$ is im portant for all subsequent considerations and therefore deserves further com $m$ ents.
(1) Each diagram in (65) represents already a whole class of perturbative diagram s of any order in the interaction strength and in the num ber of loops. T he m ost
essential term is the one-loop diagrami ${ }_{2}^{12 i}$, which is positive de nite, and corresponds to the rst term of the classical Langevin result for cl in (24) as we shall show later. T he other diagram s represent interference term $s$ due to rescattering.
(2) Com pared to conventional diagram s, vertex corrections can appear on both sides of one loop as they are separated by $f+g$ lines (see exam ple given in A ppendix B).
(3) N ote that the restriction to like sign vertioes for the resumm ations (64) and (63) are de ned with respect to skeleton diagram s in term $s$ of full $G$ reen's functions. "O pened" to perturbative diagram sw th thin $G^{0}$ lines, these can still contain altemative signs, since D yson's equation (37) includes all signs in the interm ediate sum $m$ ations!
(4) In som e sim pli ed representations (being often used) the 4-point functions behave like interm ediate bosons (e.g. phonons), c.f. A ppendix B .
(5) For particle propagation in an extemal eld, e.g. in nitely heavy scattering centers, only the one-loop diagram rem ains, since the one deals with a genuine one-body problem. H ow ever, extra com plications arise, since translation invariance is generally broken and the $G$ reen's functions then also depend on $x$.

### 4.8 D ecom position of C losed D iagram s into Feynm an Am plitudes in the QPA

$T$ he $Q P A$ is quite com $m$ only used concept originally derived for Ferm i liquids at low tem peratures (Landau $-M$ igdal, see [19,20]). There one deals $w$ ith on $-m$ ass-shell ferm ions in $m$ atter (quasi-particles) described by the pole part of the $G$ reen's fiunc-
 help of som e phenom enologically introduced interaction (particle-hole irreducible) one calculates the values $R e^{R}$ and $I m{ }^{R}$ which now depend on quasi-particle properties. Since in QPA the nite width contributions have to appear in higher order through corresponding Im -insertions the whole set of QPA diagram s de ning the full $i^{+}$is by far larger, than set (65).

The QPA has considerable com putational advantages as W igner densities (" $\{$ + " and "+ \{" lines) becom e energy \{functions, and the particle occupations can be considered to depend on m om entum only rather than on the energy variab $\mathrm{le}^{133^{12}}$. Form ally the energy integrals in eq.(5), (65) can be elim inated, in diagram $m$ atic terms just cutting the corresponding " $\{+$ " and "+ \{" lines [39]. This way one establishes a correspondence betw een correlation diagram s (65) and usualFeynm an am plitudes in term s of QPA asym ptotic states and QPA G reen's functions. Thus

[^5]the Q PA allow s a transparent interpretation of correlation diagram s.
For the dynam ics of the ferm ion transport the rst QPA diagram that contributes to the gain (loss) term
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{2} d^{4} p_{2}::: d^{4} p_{4} \underset{\sim}{-}{ }^{2}\left(p_{1}+p_{2} \quad \text { B } \quad p_{1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & n_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & n_{2}
\end{array}\right) n_{3} n_{4} \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

leads to the standard Boltzm ann collision term w ith corresponding occupation and Pauli suppression factors for the in and out states. Here and below the full blocks denote the e ective two\{ferm ion interactions, and thick ferm ion lines denote the $Q P A$ states or $G$ reen's functions.

For the here studied photon rates we discuss in detail the correlations diagram s on the right side of (65) w ith consecutive num bers 1 to 6 . Thereby diagram s 1, 2, 4 and 5 describe the brem sstrahlung related to a single in -m edium scattering of two fem ionic quasi-particles and can be sym bolically expressed as Feynm an am plitude (67a)

(c)


(d)

$T$ he full circle denotes the e ective vertex. O ne should bear in $m$ ind that the photon $m$ ay couple to any of the extemal ferm ion legs and all exchange com binations are possible. The one-loop diagram in (65) is particular, since its Q P approxim ant vanishes for real or tim e-like photons. H ow ever the full one-loop includes QPA graphs of the type (67b), which survive to the sam e order in $=$ as the other diagram s [39]. In fact it is positive de nite and corresponds to the absolute square of the am plitude (67a)), c.f. (61). The other diagram s 2, 4 and 5 of (65) describe the interference of am plitude (67a) either with those where the photon couples to another leg or with one of the exchange diagram s. Thereby for neutral interactions diagram (65:2) is $m$ ore im portant than diagram 4 , while this behavior reverses for charge exchange interactions (the latter is also im portant for ghon radiation from quarks in QCD transport due to color exchange interactions). D iagram s like 3 describe the interference term $s$ due to further rescatterings of the source ferm ion $w$ ith others. A ccording to our rules the diagram ( $65: 3$ ) corresponds to a tw o-body collision process and describes the interference of am plitude (67c) w th that one where the photon couples to the initial leg.D iagram (65:6) describes the photon production from intem ediate states and is given by Feynm an graph (67d). In the soft photons lim 证 (! $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) this diagram (67d) gives a sm aller contribution to the photon production rate than the diagram (67a) in QPA, where the norm albrem sstrahlung contribution diverges like $1=!_{q}$ com pared to the $1={ }_{F}$ \{value typical for the coupling to interm ediate ferm ion
lines [45]. H ow ever in som e speci c cases the process (67d) m ight be very im portant even in the soft lim it. This is indeed the case for so called m odi ed URCA process nn ! npe which is of prim e im portance in the problem of neutrino radiation from the dense neutron star interior, see [43].

Som e of the diagram s, (c.f. the graph show n in footnote 7) which are not presented explicitly in eq.(65) give $m$ ore than two pieces, if being cut, so they do not reduce to the Feynm an am plitudes.

For the validity of the QPA one norm ally assum es that $\quad$, where is an average particle kinetic energy ( $T$ for equilibrium $m$ atter). W ith ${ }^{2} T^{2}={ }_{F}$ for Ferm $i$ liquids, c.f. (A.5), the Q PA constitutes a consistent schem e for alltherm alexcitations T F.H ow ever w th the application of transport $m$ odels to higher energies this concept has been taken over to a regim e where its validity can no longer easily be justi ed. M oreover, our considerations show that the condition is not at all su cient. Rather one has to dem and that also ! in the QPA, since nally energy di erences of order ! appeari-14]. In particular, the rem aining series of Q PA -diagram $s$ is no longer convergent unless ! > , since arbitrary powers in
$=!$ appear, and there is no hope to ever recover a reliable result by a nite num ber of QPA-diagram s for the production of soft quanta! W th fill G reen's functions, how ever, one obtains a description that uniform ly covers both the soft (! ) and the hard (! ) regim e.

5 The Q uasi-classical Lim it (Q C )

In this section we like to discuss, which class of diagram $s$ rem ains in the quasiclassical lim it and how this is to be intenpreted.

The Q C lim it requires that
i) all occupations of the source particles are small ( $\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{i} \quad$ 1) implying a Boltzm ann gaswith $T$ and that
ii) all inverse length or tim e-scales tim es ~ are sm all com pared to the typical m om entum and energy scales of the source system s .

is a typical particle kinetic energy ( T for equilibrium m atter). To be precise: ! and q of the produced particle are sensitive to the space-tim e structure of the source, while they are negligible as far as energy and $m$ om entum balances are concemed. T he latter fact perm its to prove the $K$ adano (B aym ansatz in this case (se A ppendix A and discussion of eq. (84) below) which considers the occupations of the source particles to dependent only on $m$ om entum $n_{P}=n_{p} \quad$ but no longer on energy .

[^6]A lso we assume that will not depend on time in betw een subsequent collisions (j j $1=$ ).

W e note in particular that for bosons with chem ical potential $\boldsymbol{B}=0$, like the produced photon, the equilibrium occupations will be large, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{T}=$ ! $\quad 1$ ! Th is fact is of no further relevance, if one excludes intemal photon lines in the proper correlation functions (2), ${ }^{+}$and ${ }^{+}$aswedo.

### 5.1 T im e Structure of $G$ reen's Functions and Loops in Q C Lim it

For ferm ion G reen's functions one has the follow ing sim pli cations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}(\mathrm{p})^{\prime} \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{p}) ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}(\mathrm{p})^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{i}(1 \quad \mathrm{p}) \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{p}) ; \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

while at large tem peratures T the particle occupations are given by

$$
\left.\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{\prime} \exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
(\mathrm{P} & \mathrm{F} \tag{69}
\end{array}\right)=\mathrm{T}\right] \quad 1:
$$

The correspondence between the diagram $m$ atic expansion (65) and classical lim it of sect. 3 becom es $m$ ore transparent if one uses the $m$ ixed $p$ representation for the Green's functions, where is the time di erence between the two space-tim e points. Then from the de nition of $G{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{G}^{+}$Green's functions (see eq. (34)) one im m ediately nds for ferm ions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{+}(; \boldsymbol{p})^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{p}} \exp \left[\begin{array}{l}
j \\
j \\
j \\
i_{p}
\end{array}\right] ;  \tag{70}\\
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}(;)^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{i}(1 \quad \mathrm{p}) \exp \left[\begin{array}{lll}
j & j & i_{p}
\end{array}\right] ; \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

while $G_{F}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \eta_{p}\end{array}\right) G_{F}^{R} \quad \eta_{p} G_{F}^{A}$ and $G_{F}^{++}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & q\end{array}\right) G_{F}^{A}+n_{P} G_{F}^{R}$ are essentially retarded and advanced, respectively.

A further simpli cation com es from the tim e behaviour of ferm ion-loops, c.f (B.7), which $m$ ediate classical energy and $m$ om entum transfers. The corresponding tim e scales $1=$ or $1=(\mathrm{yp})$ are very short on the dam ping scale $1=$, so that such loop insertions becom e instantaneous. This is the reason, why one recovers a M arkovian description for the $m$ otion of the source in the classical lim it. $W$ ith these sim pli cations we now calculate the diagram $s$ (65).

### 5.2 Self Energy D iagram s in the Q C A pproxim ation

In the $m$ ixed q representation the one loop diagram is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{0}{ }^{+}(; q)=\frac{Z}{Z} \frac{d^{3} p_{1} d^{3} p_{2}}{(2)^{6}} V V G^{+}\left(; p_{1}\right) G^{+}\left(; p_{2}\right)(2)^{3}\left(\rho_{2} \quad \text { q } \quad p\right) \\
& , \quad \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} V \operatorname{Vn}(\rho+q=2)(1 \quad n(\rho \quad q=2)) e^{j} \dot{e}^{i(g v)} ; \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

if jog $\quad$ T. Here V $\quad \mathrm{P} \overline{4} j$ ( Q ) de nes the in m edium photon - ferm ion vertex in the classical lim it follow ing eq. (62), while $p \quad\left(1+p_{2}\right)=2$. A part from the $q-$ dependent oscillations the tim e structure of this diagram is given by an exponential decay: $e^{j}{ }^{j}$ which leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{0}{ }^{+}(!; q)=4 e^{2} \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)_{*}^{3}} n(\rho+q=2)(1 \quad n(\rho \quad q=2)) \frac{2 v^{i} v^{k}}{(!\quad q v))^{2}+2^{2}} \\
& 4 e^{2} \circ \frac{2 v^{i} v^{k}}{(!\quad q())^{2}+2} \text { : } \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

for the spatial com ponents of $\quad{ }^{+}$. This expression is identical to the $n=0$ term of the classical Langevin result (25).

The classicallangevin exam ple (sect. 3) considers the propagation ofa single charge (say a proton) in neutralm atter (e.g neutrons). Therefore for this case only diagram s occur, where both photon vertioes attach to the sam e proton line. A lso, of course, no direct proton-proton interactions occur. In the follow ing we like to show that diagram s of the type
w th $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{f}+\mathrm{g}$ scattering interactions (interm ediate particle-hole $\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{g}$ neutron loops) correspond to the $n$-th term in the Langevin result (24). To dem onstrate this we look into the tim e structure of such a diagram, and assign tim es 0 and to the extemal
and + vertioes, while the and + interactions are taken at to to $t_{n}$ and $t_{1}^{+}$to $t_{n}^{+}$, respectively. In the classical lim it G is retarded, while $\mathrm{G}^{++}$is advanced (see eqs. (35), (70) and (71)), such that both tim e sequences have the sam e tim e ordering: $0<t_{1}<:::<t_{n}<$ and $0<t_{1}^{+}<:::<t_{n}^{+}<$(all inequalities reverse, if all line senses are reversed). Thus the -dependence of the $m$ odulus of these diagram $s$ gives e ${ }^{j}{ }^{j}$ A second simpli cation em erges from the fact that the + loop interaction insertions $m$ ediate classical $m$ om entum transfers $\dot{p}_{n} \quad i_{i}+1 j w h i c h$ are large com pared to ~ . Therefore the tim e structure of these loops becom es very short on the scale $1=$ and therefore $m$ erge -functions: ( $\left.t_{n} \quad f_{r}^{+}\right) . W$ th $t_{n}=t_{n}=t_{n}^{+}$ diagram (74) then no longer depends on the interm ediate tim es $t_{n}$ apart from the ordering condition, and therefore results in a factor $j j^{n}=n!W$ ith $\sim q=0$ also the corresponding $m$ om enta are pair-w ise identical, and the rem aining $m$ om entum integrations just serve to de ne the correlation betw een $v_{m}$ and $v_{m}+\mathrm{n}$ after n scattering. Thus
where the resulting proportionality to the proton density oresults from + and $+\quad \mathrm{Green}$ 's functions next to the extemal vertioes. H ere of course we have silently assum ed a consistency condition to be ful lled: nam ely that the interaction loops which one takes into account also consistently de ne the dam ping width of the source particles! In particular the extra pow ers in occupations com ing from the + loops are contained in , and therefore do no longer explicitly appear in the nal result. This proves that in the classical lim it these diagram s reproduce the term s of the classical Langevin series (24).

### 5.3 H ierarchy of the Q C expansion

The Langevin diagram s (75) have the follow ing properties:
a) extemal vertices: the extemal photon couples to the sam e ferm ion line;
b) topology: the diagram s are planar, i.e. no crossing of lines occur.
c) + sign topology: if one cuts them at all + lines they decom pose precisely into tw o pieces;
d) value: apart from the velocity correlations they all give the sam e contribution to the soft photon point $(!; q)=0$;

U sing the equal tim e properties of classical +-loop interaction insertions one nds that

at $\mathrm{q}=0$, where n is the proton occupation. The left case iteratively enters the Langevin diagram s and one com piles factors of unity for each tim e folding, since the loop insertions of order are com pensated by the tim e integration over the $G$ reen's function product $G \mathrm{G}^{++}$. This proves d).

W hat rem ains to be show $n$ is that for the classical problem s discussed in sect. 3 all other key diagram s are disfavored by extra occupation factors $n$ or $=$ which both are sm all com pared to unity.

To a): Since in the classical problem s of sect. 3 only a single charged particle (say a proton) in neutral matter is considered, all diagram $s w$ ith $m$ ore than one proton line do not occur in this case. To b): N on-planar diagram s , w here interactions cross, violate classical tim e-ordering. E ther the two interaction tim es are interlocked on a time scale $1=$ and therefore lead to a penalty factor $=$ or restoring the tim eordering one obtains a Z -shape ferm ion line, which gives an extra occupation factor
$n$. To c): D iagram s that can be cut into $m$ ore than two pieces can be obtained on various ways: i) by any +-loop insertion which sw itches signs on the outer proton lines, thus using the right insertion in (76); here one obtains an extra hindrance factor $n$. ii) extra insertions of or + + blocks linking the outer proton lines; since there are no direct proton-proton interactions these e ective interactions are $m$ ediated by the surrounding neutron $m$ atter thus containing neutron loops, which also leads to an additional factor $n$, besides another $n$ factor due to the + proton $G$ reen's function.

6 G eneralQ uantum $C$ onsideration for $H$ ot and $D$ ense $M$ atter

The considerations above show the follow ing. A proper treatm ent of an entirely classical problem, nam ely the coupling of a classical souroe to a wave (electrom agnetic eld), on the level of quantum $m$ any-body theory requires technics, that even now adays are still non-standard, ie. beyond perturbation theory or Q PA .W hile the classical problem can be solved quite conveniently and sim ple w ith no problem s on the infra red side, the corresponding quantum description requires an appropriate account of the nite dam ping width of the source particles. Them ost natural approach in ourm ind is the real-tim e G reen's function technic, which how ever requires partial resum $m$ ation, such that the nite $w$ idth is included already on the one-body G reen's function level.

In this section we analyze the production rate from hot and dense $m$ atter in the quantum case in term $s$ of non \{equilibrium $G$ reen's functions. In order to provide som e analytical results which easily can be discussed in di erent lim ting cases, we em ploy the follow ing approxim ation for the full retarded $G$ reen's function. W e assum $e G^{R}$ to be given by a sim ple pole approxim ation w ith constant residue

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{1}{+_{\mathrm{F}} \quad(\mathrm{Q})+\mathrm{i}=2} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\rho)=p^{2}=\left(2 m_{F}\right), m_{F}$ being an e ective ferm ion $m$ ass. Thewidth is assum ed to be independent of and p.Explicit results w ill be given for the one - and three loop case (the rst two diagram $s$ in (65)).

### 6.1 Q ualitative expectations

C om pared to the classical results we expect the follow ing changes:
a) one looses the classical hierarchy of diagram $s$, such that $m$ any $m$ ore diagram $s$ contribute in the quantum case; for speci c couplings, how ever, som e diagram s are disfavored or drop due to selection or suppression rules, e.g. non-planar diagram s in $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{n})$ coupling;
b) the radiated quantum carries nitem om entum and energy (which vanish in the classical lim it), such that additional recoil corrections and phase-space factors $e^{!=T}$ appear; the latter is im portant, since it cures the classicalultra violet catastrophe, where the intensity spectrum is white, leading to a divergence of the radiated energy in the classical case;
c) the occupations are no longer of Boltzm ann type w ith n 1, so that Pauli suppression and B oseモ instein enhancem ent e ects are signi cant;
d) the duration time of binary collisions $\quad 1=_{F}$ m ediated by interaction loop insertions ( $B .7$ ) in the correlation diagram $s$, are no longer negligible com pare to $1=$ as in the classical case, so that non $m$ arkovian $m$ em ory e ects becom e im portant $[46,47]$.

Points (b) and (c) can be clari ed using the exact relation betw een therm al ferm ion and boson occupations

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{F}(+!=2)\left(1 \quad \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{F}}(\quad!=2)\right)=\left(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{F}}(\quad!=2) \quad \mathrm{F}(+!=2)\right) n^{B}(!) \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

which simpli es in the follow ing lim its to

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{F}(+!=2)\left(1 \quad n^{F}(\quad!=2)\right) \quad & \frac{d}{d} n^{F}() n^{B}(!)!\text { for ! } T  \tag{79}\\
& n^{F}() n^{B}(!)!=T \quad \text { for }! \\
& T ; n^{F} \quad 1:
\end{align*}
$$

At low tem peratures only states close to the Ferm i-surface contribute. The last approxim ate relation suggests that relative to the classical results of sect. 3 an additional phase-space suppression factor $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{B}}(!)!=\mathrm{T}$ appears in the quantum case, which accounts for the nite energy! carried aw ay by the quantum.

### 6.2 C ontribution of O ne\{Loop D iagram with FullFerm ion P ropagators

W e rst consider the one\{loop diagram of (65) w th the fill ferm ion propagators

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{-+, \mathrm{s}}^{+}, \mathrm{S}_{--}=\mathrm{i}_{0}^{+}=\quad \mathrm{IVVA}{ }_{0}{ }^{+} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{A}_{0}{ }^{+}$denotes the bare lop w thout vertices. For sim plicity we w ill neglect vertex corrections. T he later can be trivially included in Landau \{M igdalapproxim ation (e.g. see eq. (72), A ppendix B and refs. $[10,48]$ ).
$W$ th the help of relation (78) and the equilibrium form of the $G$ reen's functions (54) the bare loop reads

This expression can be evaluated in closed form in di erent lim its. $\mathrm{W} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{q}}$ rst analyze the QPA (ie. ! ; $k V_{F} ; T$ in case $T \quad F$ or $!; k v_{T} \quad k T=m_{F}$, for T F), which can be found in the literature. In this lim it one recognizes two energy \{functions in eq. (81) (see approxim ation formula (A 2) of A ppendix A), which together w th m om entum conservation and exact relativistic kinem atic can only be ful lled for space-like (! ; $\mathbb{k}$ ). Follow ing ref. [10] one obtains for the QPA loop in various lim its

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { where }=\left(m_{F} v^{2}=2 \quad{ }_{F}\right)=T \text {; with } v=\left(!\quad k^{2}=\left(2 m_{F}\right)\right)=\tilde{k} j \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here non-relativistic kinem aticg ${ }^{-15}$ i' has been used, where $v$ is the recoil corrected ferm ion velocity that essentially contributes to the loop and the condition! $\tilde{K} j$ assures juj 1.The simpli ed expression for $\quad 1$, realized for $T \quad F \quad F$ and ! < $k V_{F}$, is quite frequently used for space-like interaction loops in low tem perature Ferm i systems as in Landau's Ferm i liquid theory. H ow ever, it shows a singular behavior / $1=\left(\mathrm{k}\right.$ ! ) in the sm all ! $k=\mathcal{k}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{T} \lim$ it, which is a generic defect of the QPA. The Boltzm ann lim it $F \quad T$ leads to the 1 case, which apart from recoil correction and the extra quantum phase-space factor e ${ }^{\sim!=T}$ coincides w th that for classical di usion result (20) in sect. 3. In sum $m$ ary, for the one loop term the QPA leads to $m$ eaningfulresults only for large space-like! ; 9 (hard therm al loops).

In the general case only the integral in eq. (81) over the angle $\hat{p} \hat{k}$ can be perform ed

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arctan \frac{+_{F}+!\quad p \quad k+p k=m_{F}}{(=2)}  \tag{83}\\
& \arctan \frac{+_{\mathrm{F}}+!\quad \mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{pk}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{F}}}{\text { \# }}
\end{align*}
$$

in closed form . To proceed further we consider the case of sm all spatial m om enta $k=\tilde{k} j$ such that $p k \quad m_{F}$. For the rem aining two-dim ensional integral

[^7]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\overline{\left(+_{\mathrm{F}} \quad \mathrm{p}\right.} \mathrm{k}+!\right)^{2}+(=2)^{2} \text { for } \mathrm{pk} \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{F}} \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

one realizes that the $p$-integration over the product of Lorentz functions gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{+{ }_{F}}}{\left(!\mathrm{k}^{2}=\left(2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad{ }_{F} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

while it is essentially zero for $+_{\mathrm{F}} \quad$. For correspondingly sm all values of one therefore obtains
where we have replaced the rem aining variable by $p$.T he very sam e form em erges, if one form ally replaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \quad \mathrm{n}_{+}^{\mathrm{F}}!!\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{F}} \quad \mathrm{~F} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}+}^{\mathrm{F}} \text { ! } \quad{ }_{\mathrm{F}} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (84) and rst integrates over . This approxim ation (87) corresponds to the K adano (B aym ansatz (see A ppendix A).

Equation (86) valid for $\mathrm{pk} \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{F}}$ can be evaluated in two lim its
where essential contributions arise from $m$ om enta $P$ for $T \quad F$ or around $p_{T} \quad \overline{m_{F} T}$ for $T>{ }_{F}$. Here ${ }_{F}$ is the density of the charged ferm ions. Com pared to the QPA which is zero for tim e-like momenta, this result is nite and of order 1= in the soft lim it. It agrees w ith the classical result (25) and the corresponding QC lim it (73) besides recoil and the quantum phase-space corrections.

Starting from the QPA for the ferm ion G reen's functions one usually attem pts to restore a dependence on the non-zero ferm ion width for the boson selffenergy ${ }_{B}^{R}$ by $m$ eans of the analytical continuation!!!+i,where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}^{R}=\frac{z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3} p}{p} n_{p+k}+!+i \quad n_{p+K}: \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

In refs. $50,10,51]$ such a procedure has been used in order to account for the nite \{isobarw idth in the pion selfenergy.W e see that the value Im A ${ }_{0}^{R}$ given by eq. (89)
and that gíven by (86) coincide only if $n_{p+\tilde{k}}$ ' $n_{p+!}$, ie. applying $K$ adano \{ Baym ansatz.

The thu s discussed one\{ loop diagram can also be used for the interm ediate t-channel interaction loops G ${ }^{+}$occuring in higher order diagram $s$. T here the typical values of param eters are

$$
\begin{equation*}
!<\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} \quad \text { P: } \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore at least for $\quad \mathrm{F}$ one has large space-like m om enta w ith $\mathrm{pk} \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{F}}$. $T$ hen from eq. (83) one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{iA}_{0}^{+}={ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{2}}{4{ }^{2} \mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \quad \mathrm{n}_{+}^{\mathrm{F}}\right) \mathrm{d} E_{2}+\arctan \left(+_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}\right)\right] ; \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ erges the Q PA expressions in (82) for large space-like $m$ om enta in the lim it ${ }_{F}$ both at low tem peratures $T \quad F \quad F(1)$ and in the Boltzm ann lim 辻 (1) 。

Thus, for the interm ediate t-channel interaction loops G ${ }^{+}$one can safely use the QPA (! 0), which even accounts for higher order correction to this loop, as one sees from the corresponding lim it of the di usion result (20). O nly for soft loops (e.g. as in the s-channel) the QPA is ill de ned.

Com paring the one-loop result at non-zero (88) w th the rst non-zero diagram in the QPA ( $=0$ in the ferm ion $G$ reen's functions)
at m all m om entum $\mathbb{K}$ one determ ines a correction factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}(!)=\frac{!^{2}}{!^{2}+2^{2}} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

which cures the defect of the QPA for soft!. This factor com plies w th the replacem ent! ! ! + i.A sim ilar factor has been observed in the di usion result, where how ever the $m$ acroscopic relaxation rate $x$ enters, due to the resum $m$ ation of all rescattering processes. O ther factors between eq. (88) and in the corresponding QPA Feynm an diagram (c.f. ref. [45]) becom e identical, if one explicitly calculates the width to that order, see also [50].

### 6.3 H igher O rder D iagram s w ith Full Ferm ion P ropagators

A long sim ilar routes (details are given in A ppendix C ) the correction factors for the higher order diagram s can be derived. H ere we just quote the results for the next low est order diagram s
with $C_{0}$ (!) from (93) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(!)=!^{2} \frac{!^{2}{ }^{2}}{\left(!^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)^{2}}: \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he total radiation rate is obtained from all diagram s in (65).

T he set of PA diagram $s$ in (92), (94) and (95) are just those that determ ine the IQ F scattering rate (28) including the exchange diagram for a source of ferm ions (95). $T$ he latter drops in the classical lim it, where the tw o other ones yield the $\mathrm{n}=0$ and $\mathrm{n}=1$ term s of the classical Langevin result (24). Thereby the dam ping correction factors $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ in the quantum case are the same as classically derived. For
! they tend to unity and the production rate coincides w th the QPA results as obtained in ref. [45], while there is a substantial suppression at sm all frequencies !

In the corresponding Q C lim it allthe diagram softype (74) w ith an arbitrary num ber of $\quad+\mathrm{N} \mathrm{N}$ \{interaction insertions can be sum $m$ ed up leading to the di usion result (18) in sect. 3.1. For sm allm om enta of this leads to a suppression factor of the form $C=!^{2}=\left(!^{2}+{ }_{x}^{2}\right)$.

There is hope that even in the quantum case som e higher order diagram s can also be resum $m$ ed and that qualitatively a sim ilar suppression factor em erges like for the di usion result.

## 7 D iscussion and $P$ erspectives

W e investigated the production ofparticles from the collision dynam ics ofdensem atter at the exam ple of photon production. T hereby the source of charged particles w as described in two ways, a) as a classical system govemed by classical transport
equations and b) as a quantum system in term sof a realtim e non-equilibrium eld theory form ulation. The central quantity is the current-current correlation function which relates to the im aginary part of the proper self energy of the produced particle. U nder quite general assum ptions this correlation function govems the local production and absonption rates in the $m$ atter. Since the here discussed features are of kinem atical origin, relating space-tim e scales to the corresponding $m$ om entum and energy scales, all conclusions draw $n$ in this study are general and therefore also apply to the in m edium production and absorption rates of any kind of particle.

The problem could be quite naturally form ulated and solved in the classical descriptions by $m$ eans of a $m$ acroscopic transport and a $m$ icroscopic Langevin process. These studies showed that spectrum of produced particles is essentially govemed by one $m$ acrosoopic scale, the relaxation rate $x$ of the source. For frequencies! of the produced quantum which are large com pared to $x$ the spectrum can be described by the incoherent quasi-free scattering approxim ation (IQ F) used in most of the transport $m$ odels. H igher order corrections help to im prove the result. O nce, how ever, ! < $x$ this quantum is "soft". It can no longer resolve the individualcollisions in tim e and therefore the $I Q \mathrm{~F}$ picture fails and produces a false infra-red divergence in the rate. $R$ ather, the correct rate is regular and di ers from the IQ $F$ result by a suppression factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}(!)=\frac{!^{2}}{!^{2}+{ }_{x}^{2}}: \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

This soff part of the spectrum is genuine non-perturbative. The essential features are sum $m$ arized in gs. 1 and 2 of sect. 3. For relativistic sources a second scale com es in, once the wave num ber $\bar{q}$ jij of the produced particle exceeds the value of
$x=\overline{h v^{2} i}$ due to the increased spatial resolution as show $n$ by the closed form results of the di usion $m$ odel.

On the quantum level new scales come in since now ! and q also correspond to the energy and $m$ om entum of the photon which have to be com pared $w$ ith the characteristic energy and $m$ om entum scales of the source as given by tem perature $T$ and chem ical potential or the Ferm i energy f.A lso the occupations can becom e degenerate and P auli suppression or B ose enhancem ent e ects are im portant. For the general form ulation one has to leave theoretical schem es that are based on the conœept of asym ptotic states like perturbation theory or quasi-particle approxim ation. The proper fram e is the real-tim e non-equilibrium eld theory, where the proper selfenergy can be form ulated in term s of closed correlation diagram $s$ w ith general propagators. T hereby the resum $m$ ation ofD yson's equation to fullpropagators which also include the im aginary parts of the selfenergy and therefore account for the dam ping of the source particles is the essential step to cure the infra-red problem. Only this way one com es to a convergent scheme. A fter this resum mation the corresponding set of diagram $s$ is then reduced to diagram $s$ w ith skeleton topology. U sing the K eldysh + notations we have addressed a particular role to all + and + lines as 8-dim ensional $W$ igner densities of occupied and available
"states". This m otivated further resum $m$ ations which de ne in -m edium interactions and vertex corrections. T he resulting set ofdiagram s can then be discussed in detail in these physical term s . A decom position of these correlation diagram s in term s of the interference of tw o "am plitude" diagram s is suggested, where $W$ igner densities enter as in- and out-states. This perm its a transparent physical interpretation of the correlations diagram s , which m ay be used to form ulate m ulti-particle collision processes in $m$ atter. They can serve as input for a generalized transport description, which ultim ately includes the o -shellpropagation of particles and therefore uni es resonances which have a width already in vacuum with all other particles in the dense $m$ atter, which acquire a dam ping width due to collisions.

O nce one has the full propagators and the in m edium interactions it is in principle straight forw ard calculate the diagram s. H ow ever both, the com putationale ort to calculate a single diagram and the num ber of diagram $s$, are increasing dram atically w ith the loop order, such that in practioe only lowest order loop diagram s can be considered in the full quantum case. In certain lim its som e diagram s drop out. In particular we could show, that in the classical lim it of the quantum description only a special set of diagram s survive, which could be associated w th the m ultiple collision term s of the classical random Langevin process. C om paring the low est order loop diagram $s$ in various lim its to the corresponding Q PA diagram s one realizes that also here correction factors sim ilar to (97) appear. N ow the characteristic scale is the dam ping width of the source particles. A ccounting for higher order diagram s one concludes that also in the quantum case the relaxation rate x is the relevant scale which decides between soft and hard photons. Thus for applications one has to com pare the typicalenergies of the produced particles w ith the typical relaxation rates of the source system.

O ur considerations are of particular im portance for the theoretical description of nucleus-nucleus collisions at interm ediate to relativistic energies. W ith tem peratures T in the range of 30 to 100 M eV for dense nuclearm atter, up to 200 M eV for hadronic $m$ atter and beyond 150 M eV for the quark ghon plasm a or parton phase $m$ ost of the kinetic $m$ odels that are used infer collision rates for the constituents, which during the high density phase can reach the system's tem perature, < T. Such estim ates $m$ ake the use of on-shell concepts already rather questionable. The particles uncertainty in energy is com parable w th the $m$ ean kinetic energy! In particular the bulk production and absorption rates ofallparticles $w$ ith $m$ asses less than $T$, if calculated in standard IQ F approxim ation, are seriously sub jected to the here discussed e ect. Therefore the corresponding quenching factors (97) should sensitively a ect the production rates of quark pairs and ghons during the plasm a phase, of low energy pions during during hadronization and real and virtual photons w ith correspondingly low energies. Since our discussion was restricted to the production in dense $m$ atter, for the particular case of photon production in nuclear collisions one has to consider in addition the radiation caused by the incom ing charged ions and outgoing charged fragm ents. D ue to Low 's theorem [55] the latter give rise to an infra-red divergent $1=$ ! com ponent which interferes with the one discussed here.

In astrophysics neutrinos produced from neutron stars or during super nova collapse have an absorption $m$ ean free path whidh is long com pared to the size ofthe radiating system (for review see $[, 8,10]$ ). Thus the production rates cannot be estim ated by black-body radiation. $R$ ather the $m$ icroscopic rates are relevant. T he $m$ ean kinetic energies per neutrino or -pair are about 3 T or 6 T , respectively 52,53$]. \mathrm{W}$ ith $m$ ean collision rates of the order of ${ }^{2} T^{2}={ }_{F} \quad T \quad$ 54], c.f. eq. (A. 5), the production rates can safely be estim ated in IQ F approxim ation for relatively cold neutron stars $\mathrm{T} \quad 1: 5$ to 2 MeV . A lready around $\mathrm{T} \quad 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ the quenching factor (97) is signi cant ( $0: 3$ ) and it $m$ ay becom e even sm aller during super nova collapse. $T$ hen the tem peratures can raise to $T \quad 10 \quad 30 \mathrm{MeV}$ such that $T$ and the here discussed suppression e ects are relevant for the corresponding neutrino em issivity.

A ppendix

A K adano \{B aym Ansatz and Q PA

In the general non \{equilibrium case one has no simple relations between $G$ reen's functions as in equilibrium. In order to proceed nevertheless one often uses the so called $K$ adano \{B aym ansatz [23]. For Ferm ions it reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}=2 \mathrm{i}\left(1 \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{F}} \quad \mathrm{~F}\right) \mathrm{Im} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{R}} ; \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}=2 \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{F}} \quad \mathrm{Im} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{R}} ; \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{p}^{F} \quad$ are the ferm ion occupations which now depend on $p$ through the onshell dispersion relation (50) rather than on . O ne should note that the K adano \{ Baym ansatz does not directly follow from the properties of the $\mathrm{G}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{G}^{+}$ functions, rather it has been introduced in order to recover the Boltzm ann lim it. The correctness of this ansatz has only been proven in the Q PA, see [12]. Eqs. (A. 1) com plies w th the de nition of the particle densities (48), as can be seen by the sum rules (52).

D ealing w ith dressed particles we consider only diagram swith thick ferm ion lines determ ined from the corresponding $D$ yson equations. A pproxim ation (A.1) is how ever based on the assum ption that $\operatorname{Im}{\underset{F}{R}}_{R}$ is much sm aller than all other energies scales entering the problem .
 the $Q P A$, where the im aginary part of retarded $G$ reen's function becom es a delta function, e.g. for non $\{$ relativistic ferm ions

$$
\operatorname{Im} G_{F}^{R}, \quad \overline{Z_{P}}\left[+\underset{F}{p} \quad \operatorname{Re}_{F}^{R}(+\underset{F}{ } ; Q)\right] ; \quad Z_{P}=1=\left(1 \quad @ R e^{R}=@\right)(A 2)
$$

where the dispersion relation between and $p$ is im plicitly given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime} \quad{ }_{P}^{0}+\operatorname{Re}{ }_{F}^{R}\left({ }_{P} ; P\right): \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the $Z_{p}$ factor corrects for retardation e ects, c.f. ref. [29], such that the sum rule (52) rem ains ful lled. For sim plicity we em ploy a quadratic p\{dependence for $R e^{R}$ in term $s$ of an e ective ferm ion $m$ ass $p^{\prime} p^{2}=2 m_{F}$ in the applications.

From the dispersion equations one easily nds the corresponding relaxation tim es. E.g., for ferm ions supposing that $I m{ }^{R}$ is sm all and introducing $\infty \infty=1=2$, where we use that $F \exp \left(i_{6} i\right.$ ) $)$, one nds

 E.g. for nucleons, applying the QPA for the interm ediate nucleon lines, it can be estim ated as follows 54]
with typically $\not M_{F}{ }^{\rho} \quad F$ at norm al nuclear density [50]. This estim ate show $S$ that $j \mathrm{j} m{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{R}}$ joom es into the order of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}$ already at su ciently sm alltem peratures $\mathrm{T}^{\prime} \quad \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~F}$ and still increases for higher $T$. This defers the application of the $Q P A$ for a wide range of tem peratures.

B Renorm alization of the T wo\{Ferm ion Interaction

A s an exam ple we consider a theory where non-relativistic ferm ions interact via tw obody potentials. A priori this theory has no bosons and the two-body interactions always connect two vertioes of same sign, de ning iV and iV ${ }^{++}=(\text {iV })^{y}$, while $\mathrm{V}^{+}=\mathrm{V}^{+}=0$. Even if resum ed to an e ective four point interaction $\mathrm{G}_{0}$ according to eq. (63) m uch like B ruckner G \{m atrix, one has an e ective interaction that connects only like sign vertices.

For the follow ing we approxim ate $G_{0}$ by a two\{point function (as for instance in Ferm i-liquid theory, where the residual interaction is supposed to be local and extracted from com parison w th experim ental data $[20,10]$ ), while $\mathrm{G}_{0}{ }^{+}=\mathrm{G}_{0}^{+}=0$. $W$ e also suppose that $\mathrm{G}_{0}^{++}$and $\mathrm{G}_{0}$ interactions are particle hole irreducible in the t-channel (vertical in (63), c.f. $[20,10]$ ) .

Starting from $\mathrm{G}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{0}^{++}$one can com pletely bosonize the interaction in the standard way by resum $m$ ing all interm ediate particle-hole loop insertions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{12}^{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{G}_{12}^{\mathrm{ik}} \mathrm{G}_{21}^{\mathrm{kj}} ; \quad \mathrm{i} ; j 2 \mathrm{f}+\mathrm{g} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

through the $D$ yson equation in tw o by tw o $m$ atrix form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}_{0}+\mathrm{G}_{0} \quad \mathrm{~A} \quad \mathrm{G}: \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In space-tim e hom ogeneous cases eq. (B 2) can be solved algebraically. First one de nes a residual interactions through repeated $A$ and $A^{++}$insertions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\text {res }}=\frac{\mathrm{G}_{0}}{1 \mathrm{G} A} ; \mathrm{G}_{\text {res }}^{++}=\frac{\mathrm{G}_{0}^{++}}{1 \mathrm{G}^{++} \mathrm{A}^{++}}=\frac{\mathrm{G}_{0}}{1+\mathrm{G}_{0} \mathrm{~A}^{++}} \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing $\mathrm{G}_{0}{ }^{+}=\mathrm{G}_{0}^{+}=0$ straight forw ard algebra yields

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{G}^{+}=\mathrm{ZG}_{\mathrm{res}}^{++} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{res}} ; & \mathrm{G}^{+} & =\mathrm{ZG}_{\mathrm{res}} \mathrm{~A} \\
\mathrm{G}^{++} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{res}}^{++} ; \tag{B.4}
\end{array}
$$

for the com ponents of the full interaction $G$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=1 \quad \mathrm{G}_{\text {es }}^{++} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{G}_{\text {res }} \mathrm{A}^{+} \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a renorm alization factor.
The explicit dependence of $\mathrm{G}^{+}$and $\mathrm{G}^{+}$on Z can be $m$ oved to a renorm alization of the loop

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}^{+}=\mathrm{G}_{\text {res }} \mathrm{A}_{\text {ren }}^{+} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{res}}^{++} \text {; where } \mathrm{A}_{\text {ren }}^{+}=\mathrm{ZA}{ }^{+} \text {: } \tag{B..6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fiullinteraction $G$ has bosonic features, just describing e ective bosons, such as phonons, plasm ons, sigm a m esons, etc. A lso the inclusion of realbosons, like pions in nuclear $m$ atter, is possible, giving rise to a picture, where pions couple to pionic particle-hole excitations, see [48,10]. These e ective bosons can be taken on the sam e footing as allother e ective quanta, the ferm ions or other bosons, see [43,39]. T hus, e ective bosons also acquire a spectral function w ith width and the non-diagonal com ponents of ${ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{G}^{+}$are also W igner fiunctions. C onsequently one com es to a theory ofe ective in-m edium ferm ions interacting $w$ ith e ective in-m edium bosons.

O bviously the fill (anti-)tim e-ordered G ( $\mathrm{G}^{++}$) depend on the in -m atter densities $\mathrm{G}^{+}$and $\mathrm{G}^{+}$also via Z. However in an approxim ation where the value $\mathrm{G}_{0}^{++} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{G}_{0} \mathrm{~A}^{+}$is sm all, one has $Z^{\prime} 1$ for renorm alization factor (B.5). So, one can sim plify further and com es to a schem e like leading logarithm ic approxim ation in quantum eld theory, nam ely, a perturbation series over $G{ }^{+}$(or G ${ }^{+}$) neglecting corrections $1+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{G}^{+} \mathrm{A}{ }^{+}\right)$in each leading term. Such corrections are proportional to ${ }^{2}$ (or ${ }^{2}$ ). Thus, one approxim ately has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}^{+}=\underset{-}{\underline{+}}, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{res}} \mathrm{~A}^{+} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{res}}^{++}, \underset{\underline{-}}{\underline{+}} . \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith like\{sign e ective interactions (63) (whereas in the general case one com es to (B .7) w th renom alized $A_{\text {ren }}^{+}$loops).

C C ontribution of $M$ ore $C$ om plicated $D$ iagram $s$

N eglecting vertex corrections diagram (95) is given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{iA}_{1}^{+}(\mathrm{q})=\quad \mathrm{Z} \frac{\mathrm{dd}^{3} \mathrm{p}}{(2)^{4}} \frac{d!d^{3} k}{(2)^{4}} \text { iG } \quad(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{iG}^{++}(\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{q})  \tag{C.1}\\
& \text { ig }{ }^{+}(p) \text { ig }{ }^{+} \quad(p+k \quad q) \text { i } \text { ' }^{+}(k) \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

w ith four-vectors $q=\left(!{ }_{q} ; q\right), p=(; \mathbb{K})$ and $k=(!; \mathbb{K})$. Here $G+$ is the $"\{+"$ interaction loop (B.7). For q $k \quad P$ the integration in (C.1) over the pK \{angle gives.ini

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{0}()={ }^{Z^{1}} d x G \quad(p+k) G^{+} \quad(p+k \quad q) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & n_{+}!
\end{array}!_{q}\right) \frac{2 m_{F}}{p k} \frac{!_{q}+i \operatorname{th}((+!)=(2 T))}{!_{q}^{2}+{ }^{2}}: \tag{C2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $j x j<1$ one has the follow ing restrictions on $p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{F}}>0=\left(!\quad \mathrm{k}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad!_{q}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{F}}=2 \mathrm{k}^{2}: \tag{C.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further integrations can only be done in œertain lim its. For $;!_{q} \quad T$ one can use K adano \{B aym ansatz (87), c.f. A ppendix A, (A.1). In that case the integration can be perform ed. O ne needs only the realpart of expression (C.1) since the im aginary part is cancelled by the corresponding diagram with opposite tim e ordering (opposite line sense). Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \\
& J_{1}=\operatorname{Re} \quad \mathrm{d} \mathrm{G}^{++}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p} & \mathrm{q}) \mathrm{G}^{+}(\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{J}_{0}()
\end{array}\right. \tag{C.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$\overline{{ }^{16} \mathrm{using}}$ also $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{k}$ and p for $\dot{9} \dot{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{j}$ etc.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{u}=\operatorname{th} \frac{+!}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \text { th } \frac{!_{q}}{2 \mathrm{~T}}=1 \quad 2 \mathrm{n} \quad!_{q}\left(1 \quad \mathrm{n}_{+}!\right) \quad 2 \mathrm{n}_{+}!\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \mathrm{n} & !_{q}
\end{array}\right) \tag{C.5}
\end{align*}
$$

For low ferm ion occupationsu is about unity and we obtain w th the help of relation (78)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{iA}_{1}+=\mathrm{C}_{1}\left(!{ }_{\mathrm{q}}\right)^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{2}}{16^{4}!{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}} n_{!}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{n}_{!_{\mathrm{q}}}^{\mathrm{B}} \text { ! } \operatorname{Im} \underset{0 ; \mathrm{B}}{\mathrm{R}}(!; \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{J}_{2}(!; k) \mathrm{kdkd}!; \quad \mathrm{w} \text { th }  \tag{C.6}\\
& J_{2}(!; k)={ }_{0}^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad \mathrm{~F}+!!_{\mathrm{q}} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \quad \mathrm{C}_{1}\left(!_{\mathrm{q}}\right)=!_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} \frac{!_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}{ }^{2}}{\left(!{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)^{2}} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

The integral in eq. (C.6) can be expressed through $A_{0}{ }^{+}$in QPA (eq. (82)), since pk m and one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{iA}_{1}{ }^{+}\left(!{ }_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{q}\right)=\mathrm{C}_{1}\left(!_{\mathrm{q}}\right)^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{G}^{++}(!; \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{G}(!; \mathrm{k})}{4^{3}} \mathrm{n}_{!}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{n}_{!_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{B}}}! \tag{C.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression di ers from the contribution of the corresponding QPA Feynm an diagram calculated in ref. [45] only by the pre\{factor $\mathrm{C}_{1}\left(!{ }_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ which is non \{unit in our case of nite width. In the Q C lim it this expression (C.7) coincides with the $\mathrm{n}=1$ term in classical Langevin result.

D iagram

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\stackrel{+}{\square}{ }_{-}^{+}\right|^{5--}=\mathrm{iA}_{\mathrm{ex}}^{+} \tag{C.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be evaluated along sim ilar lines considering the integrals for the left and right sub-loops

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
J_{3}={ }^{z}(1) i G \quad(p+k) i G \quad{ }^{+}(p) i G^{+}(p+k
\end{array} \quad q\right) \frac{d^{4} p}{i}(2)^{4}\right)
$$

Integration of (C.9), (C.10) is done quite analogously to the previous cases. O nem ay integrate over $p K$ \{angle, then over and $p$ in eq. (C.9) and over $p_{1} K\{a n g l e, 1$ and p1 in eq. (C.10), respectively. O ne recovers the corresponding $Q$ PA form derived in ref. [45], how ever $m$ ultiplied by the pre\{factor $\mathrm{C}_{0}\left(!{ }_{q}\right)$, c.f. (95).
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[^1]:    2 a brief report of these results is given in [4]

[^2]:    4 To order $e^{2}$ naturally all diagram s are one-photon irreducible; how ever for the application to the production of particles $w$ ith a larger coupling constant, e.g. for ghons w ith coupling constant $g$, also diagram $s$ to higher order in $g$ are relevant and one then has to discard diagram swhich are one gluon line reducible.

[^3]:    5 O ne has to realize that a classical photon carries no energy in the quantum sense, i.e. ~! ! 0 and the energy is given by the electrom agnetic elds.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ not to be confused w ith the $P$ oincare recurrence tim $e$, which is of no relevance here.

[^5]:    ${ }^{12}$ In perturbation theory or QPA the corresponding one-loop diagram usually vanishes for on-shell photons due to conservation law s. H ere how ever, with full $G$ reen's and vertex functions it represents a series of perturbative diagram $s$ as the reader can easily im agine. ${ }^{13}$ The later approxim ation is also often used beyond the scope of the QPA and is then known as $K$ adano \{B aym ansatz, see [23] and A ppendix A, c.f. [12]

[^6]:    ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~T}$ his statem ent is particular, since one com pares the photon energy ! w ith the dam p ing width of the source particles, while the dam ping rate of the photon itself $j \quad{ }^{+} j=\left(2!n_{!}^{B}\right)<4 e^{2} 0=(\mathrm{m}) \quad 20 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}^{2}=$ for nuclear $m$ atter can be quite sm all!

[^7]:    ${ }^{15}$ A s a defect of the non-relativistic approxim ation the result does not exactly vanish for ! $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{k} j b u t ~ r a t h e r ~ l e a d s ~ t o ~ t e r m ~}^{s}$ of the order $\exp \left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{T}\right)$ or less.

