## Q<sup>2</sup> Dependence of the B jorken Sum Rule<sup>1</sup>

Lech M ankiew iczy, Eckart Steinyy, and Andreas Schaferyy

 $^{y}$ Institut for Theoretical Physics, TU-M unchen, Germ any  $^{2}$   $^{yy}$ Institut for Theoretical Physics, J.W. Goethe Universitat Frankfurt, Germ any

## A bstract

We discuss various estimates of the magnitude of higher-twist corrections to the Bjorken sum rule in polarized deep inelastic scattering.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Talk given by L M . at the W orkshop on the prospects of spin physics at HERA', DESY-Zeuthen, August 28-31, 1995

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ O n leave of absence from N  $\mathcal L$  opermicus A stronom ical C enter, W arsaw , Poland

Considerable attention has been paid to measurements of the polarized structure function  $g_1$  in deep inelastic scattering. The unexpected EMC [1] results for the list moment of  $g_1^p$ , the structure function of the proton, invoked tremendouse ort to gain more knowledge about polarized scattering. As a result of these intense discussions it became clear that polarization phenomena might over much better opportunities to test QCD than unpolarized experiments. Meanwhile SMC [2] and SLAC [3] experiments have provided data on  $g_1^n$  and much improved ones on  $g_1^p$ . Mainly due to this experiments the general interest shifted to related questions most notably to the problem of  $Q^2$  dependence. It turned out that an estimate of the magnitude of higher twist contributions is urgently needed in order to compare the experiments of SLAC, SMC and EMC which cover dierent  $Q^2$  regions [4]. This is especially important for the SLAC data which correspond to  $Q^2$  around  $Q \in W^2$ .

The rst m om ent of  $g_1(x;Q^2)$  at  $x \in Q^2$  is given by [5]

$$\frac{Z}{0} \operatorname{dx} g_{1}(x; Q^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} a^{(0)} + \frac{m_{N}^{2}}{9Q^{2}} a^{(2)} + 4d^{(2)} + 4f^{(2)} + 0 \left(\frac{m_{N}^{4}}{Q^{4}}\right) 
\frac{1}{2} a^{(0)} + \frac{G \operatorname{eV}^{2}}{Q^{2}} + 0 \left(\frac{m_{N}^{4}}{Q^{4}}\right) :$$
(1)

The above formula does not include perturbative corrections (QCD). Higher order corrections were recently calculated in the leading twist approximation up to 0 ( $^3_S$ ) for non-singlet quantities, the B jorken sum rule and { up to 0 ( $^2_S$ ) { for the Ellis-Ja e sum rule [6]. The Ellis-Ja e sum rule has a avour singlet contribution.

The reduced matrix elements for the twist-3 and twist-4 components of Eq. (1) are dened by:

and

$$hpS \dot{q}(0)gG \qquad q(0)\dot{p}S i = 2m_N^2 f^{(2)}S$$
 (3)

respectively, where pSi represents the nucleon state of momentum p and spin S,  $S^2 = m_N^2$ . Note that renormalisation leads to the scale dependence of the matrix elements  $d^{(2)}$  and  $f^{(2)}$ . The appropriate scale is given by the value of  $Q^2$ , or the virtuality of the photon probe.

The reduced matrix elements  $d^{(2)}$  and  $a^{(2)}$  can be expressed through the second moments of the polarized nucleon structure functions  $g_1(x)$  and  $g_2(x)$  [7]:

$$dx x^{2}g_{2}(x) = \frac{1}{3} d^{(2)} a^{(2)}$$

$$dx x^{2}g_{1}(x) = \frac{1}{2} a^{(2)}$$
(4)

While  $a^{(2)}$  m ay be obtained from existing data in a straightforward way, experimental determination of  $d^{(2)}$  requires high-precision measurement of the  $g_2$  (x) structure function and is much more complicated.

On the theoretical side the higher-twist matrix elements can be estimated either with the help of available non-perturbative methods, such as QCD sum rules or ultimately the lattice QCD calculation, or within relativistic quark models, such as the MIT bag model.

In the usual approach nucleon matrix elements of local operators can be extracted from a three-point correlation function

which involves an interpolating current (x) with a certain overlap between the state created from the vacuum by (x) and the nucleon state

$$h0j(x))jSi = u(p;S)exp(ipx):$$
 (6)

The overlap integral can be determined from an additional two-point correlation function

(p) = i 
$$d^4 x e^{ipx} h 0 T f (x)^- (0) q Di$$
: (7)

In practical application it is often advantageous to consider the ratio of three- and two-point correlation functions such that the -dependence cancels out.

For QCD sum rule calculations of nucleon properties the standard choice for (x) has been for a long time the three-quark current introduced by Io e [8]

$$_{I}(x) = u^{a}(x)C u^{b}(x) _{5} d^{c}(x)^{\mathbf{n}^{abc}};$$
(8)

which was used in the rst QCD sum rules calculation of  $f^{(2)}$  and  $d^{(2)}$  by Balitsky, Braun and Kolesnichenko (BBK) [9].

As it can be seen from (2) and (3), the operators de ning  $d^{(2)}$  and  $f^{(2)}$  explicitly contain the gluonic eld operator. This gluonic eld has to be matched by another gluonic eld operator. Because the three-quark current  $_{\rm I}$  (x) does not contain the gluonic operator explicitly the desired contribution must be generated through the perturbative em ission of an additional gluon. The am plitude for this process is proportional to the strong coupling constant g.

A lternatively, one may consider an interpolating eld which in addition to three quark elds contains the gluon eld explicitly. In that case gluon emission from the three-quark con guration has a non-perturbative character.

A possible construction of an interpolating current with such properties was discussed in [10]. It was noticed that all the nice features of the current in (8) are preserved by making it nonlocal, e.g. by shifting the d-quark to the point x + (and adding the required gauge factor to preserve gauge invariance). Expanding two times in and averaging over the directions in Euclidean space !  $\frac{1}{4}$  one arrives at

$$_{G}^{0}(x) = u^{abc}(u^{a}(x)C \quad u^{b}(x))_{5} \qquad _{g}^{h} \qquad _{u}^{i_{c}}$$
 (9)

Finally, projecting out the isospin  $\frac{1}{2}$  component leads to the following proton interpolating current:

$$_{G}(x) = \frac{2}{3} \quad _{G}^{\text{old}}(x) \quad _{G}^{\text{ex}}(x) ;$$
 (10)

w here

$$_{G}^{old}(x) = \mathbf{u}^{abc} \quad \mathbf{u}^{a}(x)C \quad \mathbf{u}^{b}(x) \quad _{5} \qquad \stackrel{h}{G} \quad (x)d(x) \quad ; \tag{11}$$

and

$$_{G}^{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}^{\text{abc}} \quad \mathbf{u}^{\text{a}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \mathbf{d}^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \mathbf{s} \quad \mathbf{G} \quad (\mathbf{x}) \quad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \mathbf{s} \quad \mathbf{s}$$
(12)

	[11, 12]		[9]		[16]	[17]	[1	.3]	[3, 14, 15]	
$d_{p}^{(2)}$	0:006	0:003	0:003	0:006	0:021	0:010	0:0483	0:0048	0:0054	0:0050
$f_{p}^{(2)}$	0:037	0:006	0:050	0:034	0:035	0:028				
a <sub>p</sub> <sup>(2)</sup>				0.059		0:0300	0:0066	0:023	0:014	
$d_n^{(2)}$	0:03	0:01	0:027	0:012	0	0	0:0039	0:0027	0:0024	0:019
$\frac{f_{n}^{(2)}}{a_{n}^{(2)}}$	0:013	0:006	0:018	0:017	0	0				
a <sub>n</sub> <sup>(2)</sup>					0	0	0:0027	0:0039	0:007	0:031

Table 1: Num erical values of higher-twists matrix elements from QCD sum rules [9, 11, 12], the MIT bag model [16, 17], lattice-QCD [13] and experiment [3, 14, 15]. We have extracted value for  $d_n^{(2)}$  from the published data as the dierence between deuteron and proton measurements  $2d_n^{(2)}$   $d_n^{(2)} = d_n^{(2)}$ .

It is appropriate to stress here that the technique of QCD sum rules does not require the use of the best" current from all the possible ones, it is only necessary that the current is not too bad in order that the contribution of interest is not suppressed by some special reason.

The QCD sum rules calculation with the current (10) is reported in Refs. [11, 12]. Despite signi cant technical di erences between both calculations, the numerical results agree satisfactorily. Note that the numerical value of the theoretical uncertainty quoted in [11, 12] refers only to stability of the sum rule. The additional uncertainty, of order of 50%, stems from assumed hypothesis about factorization of higher dimensional condensates. This uncertainty has been already accounted for in the error estimates presented in [9].

The most promising technique to calculate matrix elements of local operators in QCD is provided, of course, by the lattice formulation. First results for matrix element  $d^{(2)}$  have been recently obtained in [13]. The yet unsolved operator mixing problems make at present the lattice calculation of  $f^{(2)}$  impossible.

The rst experim entalm easurem ent of  $d^{(2)}$ , due to E 143 group, has been reported by S.Rock during this conference [14], see also [15]. The data have been taken at average Q<sup>2</sup> 5 G eV<sup>2</sup>.

M II bag model estimates are to be found in [16, 17]. Note that due to SU (6) sym metry of the wave function the bag model predicts identically zero for neutron matrix elements. The latter bag model estimate [17] for  $d^{(2)}$  was obtained from the former one [16] by the perturbative QCD evolution, starting from a very low scale bag 0:4 GeV.

In Table 1 we have sum m arized various theoretical and experim ental estim ates of proton (p) and neutron (n) m atrix elements which contribute to higher-twist corrections to BSR.

Note that the leading higher-twist matrix elements discussed above describe fundamental properties of the nucleon. The twist-4 operator eq. (3) is a measure for the contribution of the collective gluonic eld to the spin of the nucleon. Writing the dual eld strength tensor in its components we get

$$hpSj B_A j_A^0 + (j_A E_A) pSi = 2m_N^2 f^{(2)}S$$
 (13)

where the quark-current is denoted as  $j_A = gq t^A q$  and  $B_A$  and  $E_A$  are the colour magnetic and colour electric elds. In the rest system of the nucleon an analogous relation holds for the twist-3 operator which determ ines  $d^{(2)}$ 

hpS 
$$^{1}_{A}B_{A}j_{A}^{0} + (^{1}_{A} \quad E^{1}_{A})$$
  $^{1}_{B}Si = 8m_{N}^{2}d^{(2)}S$  : (14)

K now ledge of  $d^{(2)}$  and  $f^{(2)}$  then allows to estimate magnetic and electric eld contributions to the spin separately. Using results of [11, 12] we not that both colour electric and colour magnetic

		[11,	12]	[9	]	[16]	[17]	[18]
<b>(</b> p	n)	0:003	800:0	0:015	0:010	0:027	0:015	
(p)		0:015	0:007	0:02	0:013	0:027	0:015	0:12

Table 2: Di erent estimates of the numerical values of the coe cients in front of 0 ( $G ext{ eV}^2 = Q^2$ ) corrections to B jorken ( (p n)) and Ellis-Ja e ( (p)) sum rules, due to QCD sum rules [9, 11, 12], M II bag model [16, 17] and extrapolation [18] based on Gerasim ov-D rell-Hearn sum rule.

elds in the rest system of the nucleon contribute at the same order of magnitude to the spin

Obviously such a result shows that simple phenomenological models motivated as analogy to QED arem is leading. In any such model one would expect the colour-magnetic term to dominate.

In Table 2 we have sum marised various predictions for the coe cient in front of 0 (G eV  $^2$ =Q $^2$ ) correction in Eq.(1) for B jorken ( (p n)) and E llis-Ja e ( (p)) sum rules. The last column corresponds to the extrapolation of [18] based on G erasim ov-D rell-H earn [19, 20] sum rule. It is interesting to observe that contrary to the bag model results, the sum rules predictions point in the direction suggested by the G erasim ov-D rell-H earn sum rule.

It can be seen that the QCD sum rules and the newest MIT bag model predictions, although dierent in sign, are consistent as far as the magnitude is concerned. Hence, one can expect that higher-twist elects contribute about 2-3 % of the measured value of the B jorken sum rule at Q  $^2$  around 5 GeV  $^2$ . On the other hand further experimental elects to determ ine the power-like Q  $^2$  dependence of the B jorken integral  $_0^{R_1} \, {\rm dxg}_1^{p-n} \, (x; Q^2)$  will contribute a lot to our understanding to non-perturbative aspects of QCD .

In the above sum mary we have purposely om itted discussion of very interesting conceptual problem of uniqueness of the de nition of power-suppressed corrections to deep-inelastic sum rules in QCD. The excellent review of this not yet fully understood topic can be found in [21], and references given therein.

A cknow ledgm ents This work was supported in part by BMBF, DFG, and by KBN grant 2 P302 143 06. We are grateful to V ladim in Braun for useful discussions and encouragement.

## R eferences

- [1] J.Ashm an et al, Phys. Lett. B 206 (1988) 364; Nucl. Phys. 328 (1989) 1
- [2] B.Adeva et al. Phys. Lett. B 302 (1993) 533
- [3] K. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 346 (1995); Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 25 (1995).
- [4] J.Ellis and M.Karliner, Phys. Lett. B 341 (1995) 397.

- [5] E.W. Shuryak and A.J. Vainstein, Nucl.Phys. B 199 (1982) 451; Nucl.Phys. B 201 (1982) 141;
  - B.Ehmsperger, L.M ankiewicz, and A.Schafer, Phys.Lett. B 323 (1994) 439.
- [6] S.A. Larin, F.V. Tkachev, and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 862; S.A. Larin and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Phys. Lett. B 259 (1991) 345.
- [7] R L.Ja e, Comments NuclPartPhys. 19 (1990) 239.
- [8] B L. To e, Nucl. Phys. B 188 (1981) 317; (E) ibid. B 191 (1981) 71.
- [9] I.I.Balitsky, V.M. Braun, and A.V. Kolesnichenko, Phys.Lett. B 242 (1990) 245; Phys.Lett. B 318 (1993) 648 (E).
- [10] V.M. Braun, P.Gomicki, L.Mankiewicz, and A. Schafer, PhysLett. 302 (1993) 291.
- [11] E. Stein, P. Gomicki, L. Mankiewicz, A. Schafer and W. Greiner, Phys.Lett. B 343 (1995) 369
- [12] E. Stein, P. Gomicki, L. Mankiewicz, A. Schafer, Phys.Lett. B 353, 107 (1995).
- [13] M. Gockeler, R. Horsley, M. Ilgenfritz, H. Perlt, P. Rakow, G. Schierholz, and A. Schiller, Towards a Lattice Calculation of the Nucleon Structure Functions., DESY 94-227, HLRZ 94-64, FUB-HEP-94-15, HUB-EP-94-30.

  Polarized and unpolarized nucleon structure functions from lattice QCD. DESY 95-128, HLRZ 95-36, HUB-EP-95-9.
- [14] S.Rock, lecture at this conference.
- [15] M easurem ents of the P roton and D euteron Spin Structure Function  $g_2$  and A sym m etry  $A_2$ , E 143 C ollaboration, K . A be et al., SLAC-PUB-95-6982, August 1995.
- [16] X. Jiand P. Unrau, Phys.Lett. B 333 (1994) 228.
- [17] X. Ji, Polarizabilities of gluon elds in a polarized nucleon. MIT-CTP-2468, hep-ph/9509288
- [18] V D . Burkert and B L . To e, PhysLett. B 296, 223 (1992).
- [19] S.B. Gerasim ov, Sov Jour. of Nucl. Phys. 2, 430 (1966).
- [20] SD.Drell, A.C. Heam, PhysRev Lett. 16, 908 (1966).
- [21] V M .Braun, QCD Renorm alons and Higher Twist E ects, in the Proceedings of the XXX th Recontres de Moriond \QCD and High Energy Hadronic Interactions", Les Arcs, France (1995).