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#### Abstract

A sim ple partialw ave am plitude analysis of $\bar{p} p!\quad+$ has been perform ed for data in the range $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{lab}}=360\{1000 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$. Rem ankably few partialw aves are required to $t$ the data, while the num ber of required $J$ values barely changes over this energy range. H ow ever, the resulting set of partial wave am plitudes is not unique. W e discuss possible $m$ easurem ents $w$ ith polarized beam and target which will severely restrict and help resolve the present analysis ambiguities. New data from the reaction $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}!00$ alone, are insu cient for that purpose. 25.43.+t, 24.70.+ s, $21.30 . \mathrm{x}, 13.75 . \mathrm{C}$ s, $13.75 . \mathrm{Gx}, 13.88 .+\mathrm{e}$


## I. IN TRODUCTION

A though the reactions $\overline{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}$ ! $\quad+$ and $\overline{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}$ ! K $\mathrm{K}^{+}$only account for less than one percent of the $\bar{N} N$ total annihilation cross section, they are two of the more basic annihilation and subsequent hadronization reactions. Therefore a careful study of these reactions can reveal details of the underlying $m$ echanism $s$ and $m$ ay clarify the nature of the degrees of freedom necessary to describe these short range hadronic processes. The new low energy data for $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}$ ! ${ }^{+}$(and $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}$ ! $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}^{+}$) from LEAR [ī1] com plim ent and extend the earlier data $[\overline{[ }[\{\overline{4}]$ and show a rather rich energy and spin dependence. The data below $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{lab}}=1.3 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ show considerable angular structure at each energy for both the di erential cross section and the analyzing power. In addition, there is considerable change in the angular dependence of the observables w ith increasing energy at these low er energies. This is in contrast to the region above $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{lab}}=1.3 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$ where there is considerably less energy dependence in the angular structure of these tw o observables. Several analyses of the data of this reaction have been perform ed $\left.{ }_{[1-1}^{[-1,-1]}\right]$ w th the pre-LEAR data. In this paper we will concentrate on the reaction $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p} \quad{ }^{+}$.

The recently published LEAR data were included in the new est $D$ urham analysis $\left.\left.{ }_{[1]}^{1}\right]_{1}\right]$ which, like the older analysis, w rites the tw o am plitudes (non-spin ip and spin ip) as one analytic function ofw $=e^{i}$ where is the scattering angle. $T$ his function is then param etrized by a nite num ber of B arrelet zeros in the com plex w plane. The B arrelet zeros close to the physical region show up as localm inim a in the angular dependence of the spin dependent cross sections. The invariant m ass region of their analysis covers $1910\{2273 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, and they require 8 \{ 10 com plex zeros to $t$ the data at a given energy. The energy dependence of the resulting param etrization show s a rich resonance structure in several partial waves.

Them ost recent analysis of this reaction by H asan and Bugg that each partial wave am plitude of given $L$ and $J$ is a sum of up to four resonances (of the sam e $J$ ) of di erent $m$ ass and width. The maxim um $J$ value is $J=5$, so there are roughly one hundred param eters in their $t$. The $m$ any resonance param eters are then
tted sim ultaneously to all available data in the energy region of 1930 \{ 2530 M eV . D ue to the above ansatz, the resulting partialw ave am plitudes exhibit counter-clockw ise $m$ otion in the A rgand plots. H ow ever, they nd only clear peaks for the $J=4$ and 5 am plitudes. In Ref. $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{1} \bar{i} 1\right]$ it is stated that the observables are approxim ately sym $m$ etric about cos $=0$. A s we see $\mathbb{1}$, this statem ent does not re ect the data. For exam ple, the $d=d$ is forward peaked at low energy ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{lab}}=360 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$ ) and backw ard peaked at energies above $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{lab}}=800$ $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$ and no sym m etry about cos $=0$ is apparent.

## II. A N A LY S IS

In this paper we report on a di erent but very sim ple am plitude analysis of the sam e data but we restrict our analysis to the $m$ om entum range $\mathrm{p}_{\text {lab }}=360\{988 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$, the low est $m$ easured $m$ om enta. This $p_{\text {lab }}$ range corresponds to an invariant $m$ ass region of 1910 \{ 2078 MeV . W e reduce the theoretical input of this analysis to a minim $u m$. O ne main working hypothesis will be that very few partial waves contribute to this particular annihilation reaction. T his hypothesis is based on the experience gained by reproducing the observables of th is reaction w th a sm ple black sphere m odelat higher energies ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{lab}}>1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{C}$ ) term sof the tw o independent helicity amplitudes $f_{+}$and $f_{4}$ for this annihilation reaction, the two $m$ easured observables are the di erential cross section

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{d}=\left(\mathfrak{F}_{++} \mathfrak{J}+\dot{f}_{+} \tilde{J}\right)=2 ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the analyzing power $A_{\text {on }}$, de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{on}} \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{d}=\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{+} \mathrm{f}_{+}\right): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e use here the convention that A is the spin direction norm al to the scattering plane. The unit-vector $\hat{A}$ is along $p \times q$, where $p$ is the antiproton center-ofm ass (CM) mom entum and $q$ is the CM mom entum of . There are additional spin observables. $W$ e de ne the longitudinalspin direction Ilalong $p$, and the transverse spin direction $\hat{s}$ is de ned to be along

A $\quad$ I. The analyzing powers $A_{o l}$ and $A_{o s}$ both vanish due to parity conservation. Som e spin-correlation observables $A_{i j}$, for this reaction are how ever non-zero. O f these observables $A_{n n}=1$, but $A_{11}, A_{s s}, A_{s l}$ and $A_{\text {ls }}$ are nontrivial, w ith the identities $A_{s l}=A_{\text {ls }}$ and $A_{s s}=\left\{A_{11}\right.$. The observables $A_{s s}$ and $A_{\text {ls }}$ can be expressed in the helicity am plitudes by respectively

$$
A_{s s} d=d=\left(\dot{f}_{+}+\mathcal{J} \text { 近 } \begin{array}{l}
\text { j } \tag{3}
\end{array}\right)=2 ;
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {ls }} d=d=\operatorname{Re}\left(f_{++} f_{+}\right): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unfortunately no data on spin correlations are as yet available. A s we shall discuss later, it is necessary to $m$ easure at least a third observable of the reaction $\overline{\mathrm{p} p}$ ! + (and $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}$ ! $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}^{+}$) to restrict further the am plitude analysis. The two helicity am plitudes are expanded in $J \in L$ spin-triplet partialw ave am plitudes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f_{+}=\frac{1}{p} X_{J}^{s} \overline{J+\frac{1}{2}}{\stackrel{p}{J} f_{J}^{J}}^{p} \overline{J+1} f_{J+1}^{J}\right) P_{J}(c o s) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{+}=\frac{1}{p}_{X_{J}}^{s} \overline{J+\frac{1}{2}}\left(p_{J}^{1} f_{J}^{J}+p \frac{1}{J+1} f_{J+1}^{J}\right) P_{J}^{0}(c o s) \sin ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $P_{J}^{0}$ denotes the rst derivative of the Legendre polynom ialP $J_{J}$.
In our analysis of the existing data of $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}$ ! $\quad{ }^{+}$, we param etrize the partial wave am plinudes at each energy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{J}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{LJ}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{LJ}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{L J}$ and LJ are our free param eters. At each energy we choose the $m$ axim um $J$ to be included in our ${ }^{2}$ search and we let the com puter search for the $m$ inim al ${ }^{2}$ sum for a $t$ to both $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{d}$ and A on. In our ts we choose $10=0$ for the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ partial wave whereas $R_{10}$ is a free param eter. For all other $L J$ values both phase and am plitude in Eq.(7) are allowed to vary, to obtain the best $t$. W hen the com plex am plitudes for the partial waves
${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1},{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{1}$, etc. are determ ined by the m in m al ${ }^{2}$ search, we calculate as a test the total reaction cross section $w$ th these am plitudes and com pare $w$ th the $m$ agnitude of the angular integrated experim ental cross section

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{d} d \cos \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

D ue in part to the incom pleteness of the set of $m$ easured observables, we do not nd a unique solution, ie., a unique set of partial w ave am plitudes in our ${ }^{2}$ search. The di erent solutions depend on the input-start values of the am plitude param eters $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{LJ}}$ and LJ and on the way the ${ }^{2}$ search is perform ed. H ow ever, the $m$ inim al value of ${ }^{2}$ found in the various possible ts are the same. If we had available data on the reaction $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{l}$ ! 00 or on other spin observables it w ould be possible to restrict the choice am ong the various am plitude-sets w th equally good ${ }^{2}$.

The data for all m easured energies starting from $\mathrm{p}_{\text {lab }}=360 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$ up to $1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ can be tted with partial wave am plitudes w ith total angularm om entum J 3.0 nce we have determ ined the am plitude values in Eq.(7) at one energy, we use these as start values in our ${ }^{2}$ search at the neighboring energies. W e have also tted the data w ith a m axim al $\mathrm{J}=4$ using the sam e procedure. It appears that for $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{m}$ om enta, $\mathrm{p}_{\text {lab }}$, above $988 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$ the total
${ }^{2}$ does becom e som ew hat better when we include the $J=4$ partial wave am plitudes, but below $988 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$ the im provem ent is m arginal. A s exam ples we show two ts in $F$ igs. 1 and 2 for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{lab}}=360$ and $988 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$. By including the $J=4$ am plitude, we introduce four $m$ ore param eters, but the ${ }^{2}$ per degree of freedom hardly im proves. It is surprising that so few partialwaves with $J_{m a x}=3$ are su cient in order to get a satisfactory ${ }^{2} t$ to the data.

At the same time we note that both $J=2$ and $J=3$ partial wave amplitudes are essential even at the low est $m$ easured energies due to the shape of the asym m etry $A$ on. The data for $A_{\text {on }}$ versus cos show two $m$ inim a even at the low est energy, $p_{\text {ab }}=360 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$, and a localm axim um close to cos $=0$. P reviously $J=2$ contribution is needed to reproduce the shape of $A_{\text {on }}$.

In Table I we show the ${ }^{2}$ per degree of freedom for one set of partial wave am plitude param eters $w$ th $J_{\text {max }}=3$ as well as the case where $J_{m a x}=2$ or 4 . Listed are the ten m om enta betw een $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{lab}}=360$ and $988 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$, where there are available LEAR data. In Tables II and III we give an exam ple of a set of values for the partial w ave am plitudes $R_{\text {L }}$ and their phases LJ found by our ${ }^{2}$ t to the data. The norm alization of $R_{\mathrm{LJ}}$ is such that, if the $m$ om entum $p$ in Eqs. (5-6) is expressed in $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$, the cross section de ned in Eq. (1) is in $\mathrm{b} /$ srad. The corresponding ${ }^{2}$ values are those of $T$ able $I$ for $J_{m a x}=3$.

In Figs. 3 and 4 we show the energy behaviour of our partialw ave am plitude param eters, $R_{L J}$ and $L J$ forall $L J$ with $J_{m ~ a x}=3$. The energy dependence indicates a grow ing in portance of $J=2$ and 3 w th increasing energy for all solutions. $W$ th respect to the $J=0$ and 1 am plitudes, we note that the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ rem ains im portant through the entire energy range while the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ am plitudes dim inish in im portance $w$ ith increasing energy for one set of solutions. An interesting observation is that for a given $J$ the $L=J+1$ contribution is at least as im portant as the $L=J\{1$ contribution. As discussed above the $J=2$ and to a lesser extend $J=3 \mathrm{am}$ plitudes already play a signi cant role at the low est $m$ om entum 360 $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$. The energy dependence of the phases is rather sm ooth. D ue to the am biguities present in this analysis, we em phasize that the set of param eters discussed here, and show $n$ in Tables II and III, is one ofm any possible solutions that give a good $t$ to the data.

To indicate the level of the am biguities in our analysis, we give in Tables IV and V a di erent set of am plitude param eters. Thisparam eter set is a whole new fam ily of am plitudes and these am plinudes have their ow $n$ energy behaviour. It is rem arkable that at allenergies the corresponding values for the ${ }^{2}$ are practically identical to the ${ }^{2}$ tabulated in Table I. This exam ple bears out the am biguity of the present analysis, and ilhustrates our point that all local ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ inim a of our di erent searches lead to equally good ts to the data. Only new data at the sam e energies of other spin observables like, for exam ple, $\mathrm{A}_{\text {ss }}$ or $\mathrm{A}_{\text {ls }}$, can constrain these am biguities for $\overline{\mathrm{p} p!\quad+}$. O ther analyses have used data of the reaction $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}!\quad 0 \quad 0$, which unfortunately will only put constraints on the even $J(I=0)$ amplitudes. In $F$ ig. 5 we show the total cross section for this reaction as a function of $p_{\text {lab }}$ when we
use the am plitudes found in the ${ }^{2}$ search. For both the param eter set of Tables II and III, and the altemative set of Tables IV and V, the total cross section for $\overline{\mathrm{p} p}!\quad{ }^{+}$as a function of $p_{\text {lab }}$ agrees w the total cross section of H asan et al w thin a few peroent. The $m$ om entum or energy dependence of each individual J-value contribution to the total cross section is shown in Fig. 5 for the solution corresponding to Tables II and III. The altemative param eter set gives, as expected, a di erent distribution of individual J-value contributions but leads to the sam e total cross section. For both param eter sets there is a considerable contribution from $J=2$ at the low est $m$ om entum, $\mathrm{p}_{\text {lab }}=360 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$. T he $\mathrm{J}=$ 3 contribution to the total cross section, is sm all at $360 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$, but has becom e substantial at $500 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$.

Finally, in Fig. 6 we show exam ples ofA rgand plots for the am plitudes ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} ;^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2} ;{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{3} ;^{3} \mathrm{G}_{3}$. The amplinudes in this gure are taken from Tables II and III. O ne should bear in $m$ ind that the largest $J$ value equals 3 in the $t$ producing these am plitudes. In addition, we are considering a very lim ted energy range. Therefore, we cannot draw any m conclusions about evidence for resonances in any of these sets of am plitudes.

## III. D ISC U SSIO N OF RESULTS

It is clear from all our ts that at allm om enta below $1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ very few partialwaves su œ to the data. Partialw ave am plitudes with $J=0,1,2$, and 3 are adequate in allcases. A dding the $J=4$ partial $w$ ave am plitude does not im prove the ${ }^{2}$ per degree of freedom in our analysis, except for $m$ om enta close to $1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$. This statem ent can be m ade, while no theoreticalbias as to the energy- and analytical-behaviour of the am plitudes has been im posed on our ts. T hese results are not inconsistent with the sim ple m odelanalysis at the higher energies [ $[1 \overline{2} \bar{i}]$. This earlier work $[1 \overline{1} \overline{2}]$ used a di ractive scattering $m$ odel from a sim $p l e$ black or grey sphere which could explain $m$ ost of the features of the higher energy data ( $\mathrm{p}_{\text {lab }}$ above $1.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$, and above $1.0 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ for $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}!\mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}{ }^{+}$). In that m odel description of the data, the spin dependent foroes were assum ed to act in the surface region only. The idea
was that since the central region was \bladk" no detailed inform ation would escape from the central interaction region. O nly the m ore transparent surface region would provide the spin-forces giving the asym $m$ etries of this annihilation reaction. $N o$ apparent resonances were needed in that sim ple $m$ odel description.

In our analysis the data for $A_{\text {on }} w$ th an apparent localm axim um close to cos $=0$, and the presence of tw o m inim a even at the three low est energies, requires the presence of the $J=2$ and $J=3$ amplitudes. Them inim al ${ }^{2}$ value $w$ th $J_{m a x}=2$ is $m u c h$ larger than for any of the $J_{m a x}=3$ searches. Therefore, we conclude that both the $J=2$ and $J=3$ am plitudes are necessary. A sm entioned before, adding $J=4$ does not im prove the ${ }^{2}$ per degree of freedom .

For $A_{\text {on }}$ the experim entalerrors are very large at backw ard angles for the low est energies and this prevents us from distinguishing between the solutions that include the $J=4$ am plitudes from those that only include the $J \quad 3 \mathrm{amplitudes}$. N ote that at the lowest energies the $J=4$ am plitudes tend to reduce the backw ard localm axim um of $A_{\text {on }}$ com pared to the $J_{\mathrm{max}}=3$ analysis as indicated in Figs. 1 and 2. M ore accurate data are needed to settle the issue of the necessity of $J=4 \mathrm{am}$ plitudes at these energies. From a pure ${ }^{2}$ point of view the $J=4$ am plitudes are not really needed for energies below $900 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$.

The observed forward peaking in $d=d$ at $360 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$, occurs because of a strong cancellation betw een the even and odd $J$ am plitudes for backw ard angles ( $180^{\circ}$ ). At our highest energies a backw ard peak develops, due in part to a constructive interference for backw ard scattering angles. O ne notes that at the two highest energies in this analysis ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{lab}}=886$ and $988 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$ ), the forw ard peak in $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{d}$ is m uch m aller in m agnitude than the backw ard peak.

From the am plitude set of Table II (and Table III) it can be seen that the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ am plitude becom es less im portant w ith increasing energy whereas for the altemative am plitude set of Tables IV and $V$ the ${ }^{3} P_{0}$ am plitude stays im portant. In addition, observe that at the highest energies of this analysis the amplitudes $R_{J+1 ; J}$ are often larger than the corresponding $R_{J 1 ; J} \cdot W$ e looked for indications that the initial $\bar{N} N$ tensor coupled partialw aves would be
tensor-eigenstates as proposed by D over and R ichard [ī]. This can be checked by looking at the phase correlation of $f_{J}^{J}{ }_{1}$ and $f_{J+1}^{J}, E q$.(7). From the Tables II through $V$ we only nd a phase di erence $j_{J}^{J}{ }_{1} \quad{ }_{J+1}^{J}$ jof 0 or 180 at very few energies. Therefore, in general the intial $\bar{N} N$ states are not pure tensor eigenstates and the $f_{J}^{J}$ and $f_{J+1}^{J}$ are not correlated in phase. $W$ e should $m$ ention that adding the two $J=4$ am plitudes of course changes the phases and amplitudes of the lower $J$ amplitudes found by imposing $J_{m a x}=3$ in our ${ }^{2}$ search. The reason is that the $J=4$ amplitudes can $m$ im ic part of the behaviour of the low er partial w ave am plinudes.

At $\mathrm{p}_{\text {lab }}=783 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ we tested several aspects of our analysis and also exam ined the hypothesis ofB ow cock and H odgson $[\underline{9}]$ that only a few partialw aves on the periphery of the interaction region are active, and that the central partial wave am plitudes are very sm all. For this purpose we have made a ${ }^{2}$ search excluding the $J=0$ amplitude and including the $J=4$ am plitudes. In essence we use the partialw ave am plitudes $J=1,2,3,4$ in our $t$. $T$ his part of the analysis, inspired by the B ow cock and H odgson con jecture, is sum marized in $F$ ig. 7 where ts for the three cases $J=0,1,2,3 ; J=0,1,2,3,4$; and $J=1,2,3,4$ at $p_{\text {lab }}=783 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$ are shown on the sam e graph. A ll three cases of $J$-value com binations have com parable total ${ }^{2}$ per degree of freedom, which are respectively 1.49, 1.47, and 1.50 . O f course, the standard case of $J_{m a x}=3$ has the sm allest num ber of param eters because the $J=0$ amplitude involves only two param eters instead of the usual four for the $J$ 0 am plitudes. This phenom enon does not extend to other combinations of $J$ values (e.g. excluding both $J=0$ and $J=1$ partial waves), and we nd no clear evidence for the hypothesis that only the m ost peripheral partial waves are active. In fact with only three partial wave amplitudes non-zero like $J=1,2,3$ or $J=2,3,4$, the total ${ }^{2}$ per degree of freedom is farw orse than what is show $n$ in $T$ able $I$ for $J_{m ~ a x}=3$. This peculiar phenom enon holds also at other energies.

```
IV . C O N C LU SIO N
```

The fact that very few partial waves are needed in the analysis, indicates that this annihilation reaction is a very central process. In contrast, to describe the elastic $\overline{\mathrm{p}} p!\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}$ scattering at the sam e energies requires the contributions from partial waves of $J=9$ (and higher) due to the long range pion exchange potential.

A s opposed to $O$ akden and Pennington [ī0 10 , we nd no com pelling evidence for resonance behaviour of our partial w ave am plitudes when plotted in an A rgand diagram in the energy range considered, see F igs. 3 and 6. The approach of $O$ akden and Pennington has som e sim ilarities w ith ours in the sense that both $m$ ethods do not assum e a speci c energy dependence of the am plitudes and both $m$ ake a shanp truncation of the partialw ave series. A di erent approach is taken by H asan and Bugg 1 term s of a tow er of resonances assum e a speci c energy dependence. A further, unbiased, m ore re ned analysis of these very good data, w ith the additional constraints of a third $m$ easured observable, is needed to settle the question of possible resonance behaviour of the am plitudes.

A possible theoreticalconstraint on the am plitudes behaviour could com e from the analytic continuation of the N ! N scattering am plitudes as is done by H ohler and P ietarinen [1] [1]. So far this type of analytic continuation from $N$ ! $N$ elastic scattering am $p l i t u d e s ~ t o ~ \bar{p} p!\quad+$ amplitudes is very involved and has only been perform ed for $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}$
 use of the crossed channel $N$ elastic scattering data. $W$ e believe that further experim ental investigation of the reaction $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p} \quad+\quad$ is a m ore straight forw ard altemative.

In sum $m$ ary, the present experim ental data and several recent analyses of these data for both $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}$ ! + and $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}$ ! $\mathrm{K} \quad \mathrm{K}^{+}$reactions prom ises a better understanding of these sim ple, but fundam entalannihilation reactions. T he analysis described in this paper suggests the follow ing future experim ents neaessary in order to clarify the understanding of the $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}$ !

+ annihilation reaction:
(i) F irst, m easurem ents of this annihilation reaction should be m ade at antiproton mo $m$ enta closer to threshold. At very low energies even fewer partial wave am plitudes would contribute and the am biguities of an analysis w ould be reduced. H ow ever, am biguities w ould rem ain at the energies we considered.
(ii) Second, data in the exact sam em om entum range for the reaction $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}!0^{0}$, which is described by the isoscalar am plitudes ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0} ;{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} ;{ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2} ; ;_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{4} ;{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, etc., would certainly be extrem ely useful. They would im pose further constraints on the analysis of $\overline{\mathrm{p} p}!{ }^{+}$. H ow ever, from the point of view of am plitude analysis, each helicity am plitude would have then an I=0 and I=1 part, and only the I=0 (J even) am plitudes are restricted by this reaction.
(iii) T hird, a di erent and m ore direct constraint on the analysis of this reaction would come from data on the other spin observables for $\overline{\mathrm{p} p}$ ! + with longitudinal and/or transverse polarized beam and target, for exam ple data on $A_{s s}$ or $A_{l s}$. To illustrate the sensitivity of these two observables we have given in $F$ ig. 8 the predictions for $\mathrm{A}_{\text {is }}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{\text {ss }}$ for the tw o param eter sets of $\mathrm{Tables} \operatorname{II}, ~ I I I$ and $T a b l e s ~ I V, V . A s$ is obvious from the gures the tw o predictions di er signi cantly in the forw ard hem isphere where we believe experim ents can be perform ed m ore accurately. Som e spin correlation observables have already been $m$ easured for $\bar{p} p$ elastic and charge-exchange scattering at LEAR, and we propose here the use of polarized antiprotons to obtain data on the observables $\mathrm{A}_{\text {ls }}$ or $\mathrm{A}_{\text {ss }}$ for $\overline{\mathrm{p} p}!\quad{ }^{+}$. Such an experim ent would settle the debate about the possible resonances inferred from analysis of this reaction.
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## FIGURES

F IG.1. $d=d$ and $A_{o n}$ at $p_{l a b}=360 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / c$. The solid curves give the $t$ for $\Psi_{m a x}=3$ and the dashed curves are the $t$ for $\Psi_{\text {ax }}=4$.

F IG.2. $d=d$ and $A_{o n}$ at $p_{l a b}=988 \mathrm{MeV} / c$. The solid curves give the $t$ for $\Psi_{n a x}=3$ and the dashed curves are the $t$ for $\Psi_{n a x}=4$.

FIG.3. The amplitudes $R_{L J}$ of our $t\left(\omega_{n} a x=3\right)$ versus $p_{l a b}$. The curves are only $m$ eant to guide the eye. The upper solid curve is $J=0$, dashed curves are $J=1$, dotted curves are $J=2$, low er solid curves are $J=3$. For each $J$ the curve $w$ ith stars is the $L=J+1$, the curve $w$ thout stars is $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{J}-1$.

FIG.4. The phases $L J$ of the amplitudes of our $t\left(\omega_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax}=3\right)$ versus $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{lab}}$. The curves are labeled as in Figure 3.

F IG . 5. T he total cross section (upper solid curve) for $\overline{\mathrm{p} p}$ ! $\quad+$ as a function of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{lab}}$ for the solution from Table II and III. D ata points are from $R$ ef. $[\underline{1} 1]$. C ontributions from $J=0,1,2,3$ are show $n$ as respectively dashed, dotdashed, dotted, and solid curves. A gain the curves are only $m$ eant to guide the eye.

F IG . 6. A rgand diagram s for the partial w ave am plitudes 3P 2 (solid with stars), 3F 2 (solid), 3D 3 (solid w th stars), 3G 3 (solid). These amplitudes are taken from a ${ }^{2} t$ with $\Psi_{n a x}=3$, described in Table II and III. T he open circles indicate the low est energy in all the plots.

FIG.7. Fits of $d=d$ and $A_{o n}$ at $783 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ for various sets of $J$ values as described in the text. Solid curves are for $J=0\{3$, dashed curves are for $J=0\{4$, and dotted curves are for $J=$ 1 \{ 4. The three curves are alm ost indistinguishable except for $A_{\text {on }}$ at the peak and in the extrem e backw ard direction .

F IG . 8. P redictions for $A_{l s}$ and $A_{s s}$ at $783 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$. Solid line is for regular solution ( T able II + III). D ashed curve is for altemative solution ( T able $\mathbb{I V}+\mathrm{V}$ ).

TABLES

TABLE I. Exam ples of ${ }^{2}$ per degree of freedom at each energy.

| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {lab }}(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{C})$ | ${ }^{2}(\mathrm{Jmax}=2)$ | ${ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{max}}=3\right)$ | ${ }^{2}\left(J_{\mathrm{max}}=4\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 360 | 1.96 | 1.77 | 1.74 |
| 404 | 1.38 | 1.12 | 1.12 |
| 467 | 1.98 | 1.31 | 1.18 |
| 497 | 3.04 | 1.50 | 1.45 |
| 523 | 2.63 | 1.45 | 1.43 |
| 585 | 1.96 | 1.51 | 1.57 |
| 679 | 2.17 | 1.50 | 1.53 |
| 783 | 2.50 | 1.49 | 1.47 |
| 886 | 3.21 | 1.23 | 1.13 |
| 988 | 4.39 | 1.85 | 1.55 |

TABLE II. E nergy dependence of param eters of $R_{L J}$ and ${ }_{L J}$ for $J_{m a x}=3$.

| $\mathrm{p}_{\text {lab }}(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$ ) | $\mathrm{R}_{10}$ | 10 | R 01 | 01 | $\mathrm{R}_{21}$ | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 360 | 1.60 | 0 | 0.50 | 120 | 0.80 | 0 |
| 404 | 1.44 | 0 | 0.78 | 140 | 0.62 | 20 |
| 467 | 1.68 | 0 | 0.88 | 115 | 0.64 | 30 |
| 497 | 1.60 | 0 | 0.74 | 115 | 0.70 | 15 |
| 523 | 1.96 | 0 | 0.90 | 105 | 0.78 | 35 |
| 585 | 1.62 | 0 | 1.08 | 125 | 0.68 | 75 |
| 679 | 1.42 | 0 | 1.22 | 105 | 0.88 | 70 |
| 783 | 1.22 | 0 | 1.10 | 105 | 1.08 | 95 |
| 886 | 0.60 | 0 | 0.80 | 95 | 1.32 | 100 |
| 988 | 0.14 | 0 | 0.48 | 175 | 1.50 | 185 |

TA B LE III. E nergy dependence of param eters $R_{L J}$ and $L J$ for $J_{m a x}=3$.

| $\mathrm{p}_{\text {lab }}$ | ( $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{EV} / \mathrm{c}$ ) | $\mathrm{R}_{12}$ | 12 | R 32 | 32 | R 23 | 23 | R 43 | 43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 360 | 0.28 | 35 | 0.34 | -20 | 0.10 | -125 | 0.06 | 135 |
|  | 404 | 0.16 | 70 | 0.48 | 5 | 0.22 | -55 | 0.08 | 125 |
|  | 467 | 0.28 | 95 | 0.64 | 0 | 0.28 | -50 | 0.20 | 85 |
|  | 497 | 0.46 | 85 | 0.48 | -10 | 0.32 | -110 | 0.36 | 100 |
|  | 523 | 0.34 | 100 | 0.38 | -25 | 0.26 | -160 | 0.40 | 110 |
|  | 585 | 0.34 | 135 | 0.74 | 0 | 0.28 | -115 | 0.34 | 120 |
|  | 679 | 0.44 | 100 | 0.92 | -20 | 0.32 | -155 | 0.42 | 115 |
|  | 783 | 0.58 | 130 | 1.02 | -25 | 0.52 | -165 | 0.48 | 125 |
|  | 886 | 0.56 | 140 | 1.14 | -15 | 0.72 | -175 | 0.60 | 135 |
|  | 988 | 0.58 | 225 | 1.06 | 60 | 0.66 | -105 | 0.60 | 215 |

TABLE IV . E nergy dependence of altemative param eter set of $R_{\text {LJ }}$ and LJ .

| $\mathrm{p}_{\text {lab }}(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c})$ | $\mathrm{R}_{10}$ | 10 | $\mathrm{R}_{01}$ | 01 | $\mathrm{R}_{21}$ | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 360 | 1.38 | 0 | 0.92 | 150 | 0.44 | -30 |
| 404 | 1.12 | 0 | 0.94 | 140 | 0.46 | -10 |
| 467 | 1.16 | 0 | 1.06 | 115 | 0.34 | -35 |
| 497 | 0.82 | 0 | 0.96 | 115 | 0.52 | -40 |
| 523 | 0.82 | 0 | 1.24 | 115 | 0.64 | -50 |
| 585 | 0.84 | 0 | 1.26 | 150 | 0.60 | -70 |
| 679 | 0.74 | 0 | 1.30 | 160 | 0.72 | -65 |
| 783 | 0.66 | 0 | 1.22 | 220 | 1.34 | -5 |
| 886 | 1.42 | 0 | 0.82 | 235 | 1.18 | 35 |
| 988 | 1.24 | 0 | 0.66 | 230 | 1.04 | 40 |

TABLE V. Energy dependence of altemative param eter set $R_{\text {LJ }}$ and LJ .

| $\mathrm{p}_{\text {lab }}(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c})$ | $\mathrm{R}_{12}$ | 12 | $\mathrm{R}_{32}$ | 32 | $\mathrm{R}_{23}$ | 23 | $\mathrm{R}_{43}$ | 43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 360 | 0.42 | 90 | 0.14 | -105 | 0.14 | 100 | 0.14 | -210 |
| 404 | 0.52 | 105 | 0.28 | -40 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.24 | -180 |
| 467 | 0.76 | 95 | 0.42 | -25 | 0.20 | 25 | 0.28 | -195 |
| 497 | 0.74 | 100 | 0.44 | -10 | 0.36 | 0 | 0.28 | -160 |
| 523 | 0.54 | 80 | 0.52 | 5 | 0.42 | -10 | 0.26 | -115 |
| 585 | 0.64 | 115 | 0.68 | 45 | 0.32 | 30 | 0.30 | -90 |
| 679 | 0.70 | 120 | 0.92 | 65 | 0.40 | 25 | 0.36 | -60 |
| 783 | 0.50 | 180 | 0.88 | 145 | 0.46 | 80 | 0.56 | 20 |
| 886 | 0.54 | 225 | 0.92 | 215 | 0.50 | 120 | 0.94 | 75 |
| 988 | 0.38 | 225 | 0.92 | 240 | 0.46 | 130 | 1.06 | 95 |
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