## Duality of a Supersymmetric Model with the Pati-Salam group

Nobuhiro Maekawa and Tomohiko Takahashi<sup>y</sup>

Department of Physics, K yoto University K yoto 606-01, Japan

## A bstract

Recently one of the authors proposed a dual theory of a Supersym m etric Standard M odel (SSM ), in which it is naturally understood that at least one quark (the top quark) should be heavy, i.e., almost the same order as the weak scale, and the supersym m etric H iggs m ass parameter—can naturally be expected to be small. However, the model cannot have Yukawa couplings of the lepton sector. In this paper, we exam ine a dual theory of a Supersym m etric Model with the Pati-Salam gauge group SU (4)<sub>PS</sub> SU (2)<sub>L</sub> SU (2)<sub>R</sub> with respect to the gauge group SU (4)<sub>PS</sub>. In this scenario, Yukawa couplings of the lepton sector can be induced. In this model the Pati-Salam breaking scale should be around the SUSY breaking scale.

E-m ailaddress: maekawa@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>JSPS Research Fellow. E-mail address: tomo@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Recently, it has become clear that certain aspects of four dimensional supersymmetric eld theories can be analyzed exactly [1, 2, 3, 4]. By using the innovation, it has been tried to build models in order to solve some phenomenological problems [4, 5, 6, 7]. One of the most interesting aspects is \duality" [1, 3]. By using \duality", we can infer the low energy elective theory of a strong coupling gauge theory. One of the authors suggested that nature may use this \duality". He discussed a duality of a Supersymmetric Standard Model (SSM). But unfortunately, his model does not have Yukawa couplings of the lepton sector. In this paper, we would like to discuss a duality of a supersymmetric (SUSY) model with Pati-Salam gauge group [8] in order to obtain all Yukawa couplings.

First we would like to review Seiberg's duality. Following his discussion [1], we exam ine SU (N  $_{\rm C}$ ) SUSY QCD with N  $_{\rm F}$  avors of chiral super elds,

	SU(N <sub>C</sub> )	SU (N <sub>F</sub> ) <sub>L</sub>	SU (N <sub>F</sub> ) <sub>R</sub>	U (1) <sub>B</sub>	U (1) <sub>R</sub>	
Qi	N <sub>C</sub>	N <sub>F</sub>	1	1	(N <sub>F</sub> N <sub>C</sub> )=N <sub>F</sub>	
Qј	N <sub>C</sub>	1	N $_{ m F}$	1	(N <sub>F</sub> N <sub>C</sub> )=N <sub>F</sub>	

which has the global sym m etry SU (N  $_{\rm F}$ ) $_{\rm L}$  SU (N  $_{\rm F}$ ) $_{\rm R}$  U (1) $_{\rm B}$  U (1) $_{\rm R}$ . In the following, we would like to take N  $_{\rm F}$  N  $_{\rm C}$  + 2, though in the case N  $_{\rm F}$  N  $_{\rm C}$  + 1 there are a lot of interesting features [3, 9, 10, 11]. Seiberg suggests [1] that in the case N  $_{\rm F}$  N  $_{\rm C}$  + 2 at the low energy scale the above theory is equivalent to the following SU (N  $_{\rm C}$ ) SUSY QCD theory (N  $_{\rm C}$  = N  $_{\rm F}$  N  $_{\rm C}$ ) with N  $_{\rm F}$  avors of chiral super elds  $q_{\rm i}$  and  $q^{\rm j}$  and m eson elds  $T_{\rm i}^{\rm i}$ ,

	SU (N <sub>C</sub> )	SU (N <sub>F</sub> ) <sub>L</sub>	SU (N <sub>F</sub> ) <sub>R</sub>	U (1) <sub>B</sub>		U (1) <sub>R</sub>	
$q_{\underline{i}}$	$N_{\mathbb{C}}$	N <sub>F</sub>	1	$N_{C} = N_{E}$ $N$	1 <sub>C</sub> )	N o	$=N_F$
$\mathbf{q}_{j}$	$ m N^{ m C}$	1	N <sub>F</sub>	$N_{\rm C} = (N_{\rm F})$	N <sub>C</sub> )	$N_C = N_F$	
Τį	1	N <sub>F</sub>	N <sub>F</sub>	0		2 <b>(N</b> <sub>F</sub>	$N_C$ )= $N_F$

and with a superpotential

$$W = q_i T_i^i q^j : (1)$$

The above two theories satisfy the 't Hooft anomaly matching conditions [12]. Moreover Seiberg showed that they are consistent with the decoupling theorem [13]. Namely, if we introduce a mass term only for superelds  $Q^{N_F}$  and  $Q_{N_F}$ 

$$W = m Q^{N_F} Q_{N_F}$$
 (2)

in the original theory, the dual theory has vacuum expectation value (VEVs) hqi =  $har{q}i = p \frac{1}{m}$  and SU (N  $_f$  N  $_c$ ) is broken to SU (N  $_f$  N  $_c$  1), which is consistent with the decoupling of the heavy quark in the original theory.

Second, we would like to review a duality of a SUSY Standard M odel(SSM) [5]. We introduce ordinary matter super elds

$$Q_{L}^{i} = (U_{L}^{i}; D_{L}^{i}) : (3;2)_{\frac{1}{6}}; \quad U_{Ri}^{c} : (3;1)_{\frac{2}{3}}; \quad D_{iR}^{c} : (3;1)_{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$L^{i} = (N_{L}^{i}; E_{L}^{i}) : (1;2)_{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad E_{Ri}^{c} : (1;1)_{1}; \qquad i = 1;2;3; \qquad (3)$$

which transform under the gauge group SU (3)<sub>C</sub> SU (2)<sub>L</sub> U (1)<sub>Y</sub>. There are no Higgs super elds. We would like to exam ine the dual theory of this theory with respect to the gauge group SU (3)<sub>C</sub>. In the following, we neglect the lepton sector for simplicity. Since  $N_F = 6$ , the dual gauge group is also SU (3)<sub>C</sub> ( $N_C = N_F N_C$ ), which we would like to assign to the QCD gauge group. A subgroup, SU (2)<sub>L</sub> U (1)<sub>Y</sub>, of the global symmetry group SU (6)<sub>L</sub> SU (6)<sub>R</sub> U (1)<sub>B</sub> U (1)<sub>R</sub> is gauged. When we assign Q =  $(U_L^1; D_L^1; U_L^2; D_L^2; U_L^3; D_L^3)$  and  $Q = (U_R^{c1}; D_R^{c1}; U_R^{c2}; D_R^{c2}; U_R^{c3}; D_R^{c3})$ , the SU (2)<sub>L</sub> generators are given by

$$I_L^a = I_{L1}^a + I_{L2}^a + I_{L3}^a; \quad a = 1;2;3;$$
 (4)

where  $I_{L\,i}^a$  are generators of SU (2)<sub>L\,i</sub> sym m etries which rotate ( $U_L^i; D_L^i$ ), and the generator of hypercharge Y is given by

$$Y = \frac{1}{6}B \qquad (I_{R1}^3 + I_{R2}^3 + I_{R3}^3); \tag{5}$$

where  $I_{R\,i}^a$  are generators of SU  $(2)_{R\,i}$  sym m etries which rotate  $(U_{R\,i}^{\,c}; D_{R\,i}^{\,c})$ . In this theory, the global sym m etry group is SU  $(3)_{Q\,L}$  SU  $(3)_{U\,R}$  SU  $(3)_{D\,R}$  U  $(1)_{B}$  U  $(1)_{R}$ . Then we can write down the quantum numbers of dual elds;

$$q_{Li} = (d_{Li}; u_{Li}) : (3;2)_{\frac{1}{6}}; u_{R}^{ci} : (3;1)_{\frac{2}{3}}; d_{R}^{ci} : (3;1)_{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$M_{j}^{i} : (1;2)_{\frac{1}{2}}; N_{j}^{i} : (1;2)_{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(6)

under the standard gauge group SU (3)<sub>C</sub> SU (2)<sub>L</sub> U (1)<sub>Y</sub>. Here M  $_{\rm j}^{\rm i}$  Q  $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$ U  $_{\rm R\, j}^{\rm c}$  and N  $_{\rm j}^{\rm i}$  Q  $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$ D  $_{\rm R\, j}^{\rm c}$  are the m eson elds and we assign q = (d $_{\rm L}^{\rm 1}$ ;  $u_{\rm L}^{\rm 1}$ ;  $d_{\rm L}^{\rm 2}$ ;  $u_{\rm L}^{\rm 2}$ ;  $d_{\rm L}^{\rm 3}$ ;  $u_{\rm L}^{\rm 3}$ ) and q = (d $_{\rm R}^{\rm c1}$ ;  $u_{\rm R}^{\rm c1}$ ;  $d_{\rm R}^{\rm c2}$ ;  $u_{\rm R}^{\rm c2}$ ;  $d_{\rm R}^{\rm c3}$ ;  $u_{\rm R}^{\rm c3}$ ). It is interesting that the matter contents of both theories

are alm ost the same. The dierence is the existence of nine pairs of H iggs super elds M  $_{\rm j}^{\rm i}$  and N  $_{\rm i}^{\rm i}$  and their Yukawa terms coupling to ordinary m atter super elds,

$$W = q_{L}^{i} N_{i}^{j} u_{R_{i}}^{c} + q_{L}^{i} M_{i}^{j} d_{R_{i}}^{c};$$
 (7)

It is interesting that the Yukawa couplings can be expected to be of order 1 because of the strong dynam ics. This means that at least one quark has a heavy mass, which is almost the order of the weak scale v. It is also interesting that the SUSY mass terms of Higgs particles are forbidden by the global symmetry SU  $(3)_Q$  SU  $(3)_{UR}$  SU  $(3)_{DR}$ .

Unfortunately, the model has a lot of phenomenological problems. There is no Yukawa coupling of leptons, there appear Nambu-Goldstone bosons when the Higgs particles have vacuum expectation values, and the SUSY mass terms of Higgs particles vanish. Moreover we may give up the success of the unication of the three gauge couplings, because we cannot trace the running of the SU(3) $_{\mathbb{C}}$  coupling.

In the following, we try to avoid the problem of Yukawa couplings of leptons and of the Nambu-Goldstone bosons. Why does not the above model have Yukawa couplings of leptons? This is because the leptons have no color charge. Therefore, we can expect that if we adopt the Pati-Salam gauge group SU  $(4)_{PS}$  as the dual gauge group, the model has the Yukawa couplings of leptons.

We consider the dual gauge group of SU  $(4)_{PS}$ . Them odel which is extended m in imally from MSSM has six avors because a color triplet and a singlet belong to a quartet of SU  $(4)_{PS}$ . In this case, the dual group is SU (2), and it is necessary to treat the model dierently, since the model satis es N<sub>F</sub> = 3N<sub>C</sub> and is no longer in a non-abelian coulom b phase. The gauge coupling may not become strong. Therefore, we investigate another possibility of realizing SU  $(4)_{PS}$ . If we introduce fourth generation, we should in pose some unnatural mass relations in order to suppress the Peskin-Takeuchi's S and T parameters [14]. Therefore, we would like to add one vector-like generation. Since N<sub>F</sub> becomes ten, the dual gauge group of the SU  $(4)_{PS}$  becomes SU (6). Here we introduce the following super elds:

$$_{L}^{i}:(6;2;1);$$
  $_{L}:(6;1;2);$   $_{Ri}^{c}:(6;1;2);$   $_{R}^{c}:(6;2;1);$   $i=1;2;3;4;$  (8)

under the gauge group SU (6) $_{\rm H~C}$  SU (2) $_{\rm L}$  SU (2) $_{\rm R}$ . Since N  $_{\rm F}$  = 10 under the gauge group SU (6) $_{\rm H~C}$ , the dual gauge group becom es SU (4) $_{\rm P~S}$  SU (2) $_{\rm L}$  SU (2) $_{\rm R}$ . Then the

dual elds becom e

which transform under the gauge group SU  $(4)_{PS}$  SU  $(2)_{L}$  SU  $(2)_{R}$ , and have the superpotential

$$W = {}_{L}^{i} T_{i}^{j} {}_{Rj}^{c} + {}_{L}^{i} (M_{i}^{(1)} + M_{i}^{(3)}) {}_{R}^{c} + {}_{L} (N_{i}^{(1)i} + N_{i}^{(3)i}) {}_{Ri}^{c} + {}_{L} S {}_{R}^{c} :$$
(10)

Namely this model has three generations and one vector-like generation with the Pati-Salam gauge group. You should notice that the Yukawa couplings of leptons appear. If we introduce soft SUSY breaking terms

$$L_{SB}^{e} = \begin{array}{c} X^{4} \\ m^{2}_{Li}j^{i}_{L}j^{i} + m^{2}_{Ri}j^{c}_{Ri}j^{i} + m^{2}_{L}j^{i}_{L}j^{i} + m^{2}_{R}j^{c}_{R}j^{i} \\ & i = 1 \\ 0 \\ + {}^{0}A_{i}^{i}_{L}^{i}_{R} + B^{i}_{L}^{c}_{Ri} + \frac{1}{2} \\ & a_{a} + h_{x} ; \end{array}$$
(11)

in the original theory, all the global sym m etries SU  $(4)_L$  SU  $(4)_R$   $[U(1)]^4$  except  $U(1)_{B+L}$  can be broken explicitly. Therefore, we can avoid the massless N ambu-Goldstone bosons.

Here we only assume that the above duality can be realized even with the SUSY breaking terms. We can obtain the SUSY breaking terms of the dual theory,

$$L_{SB}^{e} = \begin{array}{c} X^{4} \\ m^{2}_{Li}j_{Li}j_{+} + m^{2}_{Ri}j_{R}^{ci}j_{+} + m^{2}_{M1i}M_{i}^{(1)}j_{+} + m^{2}_{M3i}M_{i}^{(3)}j_{i}^{2} \\ + m^{2}_{N1i}N_{i}^{(1)i}j_{+} + m^{2}_{N3i}N_{i}^{(3)i}j_{-}^{2} \\ + \begin{array}{c} X^{4} \\ m^{2}_{Tij}J_{j}^{i}j_{+} + m^{2}_{L}j_{L}j_{+} + m^{2}_{R}j_{R}^{c}j_{+} + m^{2}_{S}j_{S}^{2} \\ \vdots \\ i^{j=1} \end{array}$$

$$+ e^{X^{4}} A_{i} N^{(1)i} + B^{i} M_{i}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} X_{a=4;2l;2r}$$

$$(12)$$

where we treat SUSY breaking parameters perturbatively [15] and denotes a typical scale of the dual dynamics. The scale should be larger than the SUSY breaking scale for the perturbation to be good approximation. From the above SUSY breaking terms,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Phenom enologically Higgsino masses, which are induced by the higher order of the perturbation, should be larger than the weak scale. In order to realize this situation, the scale cannot be much larger than the Pati-Salam scale.

we can not that the scalar elds N  $_{i}^{(1)}$  and M  $_{i}^{(1)}$  have vacuum expectation values (V E V s) of order . Therefore, under the scale we will get the three family model with the Pati-Salam gauge group.

In this scenario, however, the Pati-Salam scale should be around the SUSY breaking scale because of the following two reasons. The rst reason is that there is no vacuum which can break the gauge symmetry SU  $(4)_{PS}$  SU  $(2)_{L}$  SU  $(2)_{R}$  to the standard gauge group SU  $(3)_{C}$  SU  $(2)_{L}$  U  $(1)_{Y}$  in the at direction. Namely, the Pati-Salam scale should be the order of the SUSY breaking scale for the potential problem. The second reason is that the Yukawa couplings of leptons become too small if the Pati-Salam scale is much larger than the weak scale. Namely since under the Pati-Salam scale, \leptons" in  $\frac{i}{L}$  become massive with  $T_{i}^{4}$  and ordinary leptons become three linear combinations of  $T_{j}^{i}$ , the Yukawa couplings of the lepton sector become very small.

It seems to be impossible for such a lower Pati-Salam scale to be consistent with the experimental bounds. From the bound [18] (K  $_{\rm L}^{0}$ ! e)=  $_{\rm K_{\rm L}^{0}}$  < 3:3 10  $^{11}$ , the Pati-Salam scale is usually estimated to be larger than 1400 TeV [19]. However in the scenario where the lepton is associated with the down quark, the lower bound of the Pati-Salam scale becomes 13 TeV [19], which is not so far from the weak scale as 1400 TeV. Therefore, if the SUSY breaking scale is of order 10 TeV, we may satisfy the above experimental constraint. Though such a large SUSY breaking scale is unnatural, it can suppress avor changing neutral currents. In future the signal of B  $_{\rm S}^{0}$ ! e may be found [19].

Though the structure of quark and lepton mass matrices are too complicated for us to analyze them, we should comment about the masses of neutrinos. In this model, two right-handed neutrinos have Majorana masses, which are order of the Pati-Salam scale, as a result of their mixing with gauginos (you should notice that the SUSY breaking scale is also of order the Pati-Salam scale). Therefore, two left-handed neutrinos become light by seesaw mechanism. However, since one left-handed neutrino cannot use the seesaw mechanism, the Dirac masses of the left-handed neutrino should be less than of order 30 MeV, which is the experimental upper bound of the tau neutrino mass. In this case, other two neutrinos will be lighter than  $(10 \text{MeV})^2 = (10 \text{TeV})$  10 eV.

In sum mary, we exam ine duality of a SUSY model with the Pati-Salam gauge group. In this model, the Yukawa couplings of the lepton sector as well as of the quark sector can be induced. Since the Pati-Salam scale should be around SUSY breaking scale in this model, we should take the SUSY breaking scale larger than 10 TeV. The signal  $B_S^0$ ! e

m ay be found in future experim ents.

## A cknow ledgm ents

We are grateful to the organizers of the 1995 Ontake Summer Institute and to M. Peskin for a stimulating set of lectures at the institute. We would like to thank our colleagues for discussions on \duality". N. M. also thanks M. Strassler and T. Yanagida for useful discussions.

## R eferences

- [1] N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B 435 (1995) 129; RU-95037, \The Power of Duality: Exact Results in 4-D SUSY Field Theory" (hep-th/9506077); D. Kutasov, Phys. Lett. B 351 (1995) 230; D. Kutasov and A. Shw immer, Phys. Lett. B 354 (1995) 315; R.G. Leigh and M. J. Strassler, Phys. Lett. B 356 (1995) 492; K. Intriligator, R.G. Leigh, and M. J. Strassler, RU-95-38 (hep-th/9506148); P. Pouliot, RU-95-46 (hep-th/9507018).
- [2] N. Seiberg, RU-94-64, The Power of Holomorphy: Exact Results in 4-D SUSY Field Theory" (hep-th/9408013).
- [3] K. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B 444 (1995) 125; RU-95-48, hep-th/9509066; K. Intriligator and P. Pouliot, Phys. Lett. B 353 (1995) 471.
- [4] M. Dine, A.E. Nelson, Y. Nir, and Y. Shirman, SCIPP-95-32 (hep-ph/9507378);
   M. Dine, A.E. Nelson, and Y. Shirman, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 1362; M. Dine and A.E. Nelson, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 1277.
- [5] N.Maekawa, KUNS-1361 (hep-ph/9509407).
- [6] T. Hotta, K. J. Izawa, and T. Yanagida, UT-717 (hep-ph/9509201).
- [7] M.J. Strassler, RU-95-69 (hep-ph/9510342).
- [8] J. Patiand A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 275.
- [9] I.A eck, M. Dine, and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B 241 (1984) 493; B 256 (1985) 557.

- [10] D. Amati, K. Konishi, Y. Meurice, G. C. Rossi, and G. Veneziano, Phys. Rep. 162 (1988) 169.
- [11] V A . Novikov, M A . Shifm an, A J. Vainshtein, and V J. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 223 (1983) 445; B 260 (1985) 157; B 229 (1983) 381.
- [12] G. 't Hooft, \ Recent D evelopm ents in G auge Theories", eds., G. 't Hooft (P lenum Press, New York, 1980).
- [13] T. Appelquist and J. Carazzone, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 2856; Y. Kazama and Y.P. Yao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43 (1979) 1562; Phys. Rev. D 21 (1980) 1116; 21 (1980) 1138; 25 (1982) 1605.
- [14] M. Peskin and T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 964; Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 381.
- [15] O. Aharony, J. Sonnenschein, M. Peskin, and S. Yankielowicz, SLAC-PUB-95-6938 (hep-th/9507013).
- [16] N. Evans, S.D. H. H. Su., M. Schwetz, and S.B. Selipsky, YCTP-P11-95 (hep-th/9508002); Phys. Lett. B 355 (1995) 475.
- [17] I.I. Kogan, M. Shifman, and A. Vainshtein, TPI-MINN-95/18-T (hep-th/9507170).
- [18] Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994).
- [19] G. Valencia and S.W illenbrock, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 6843.