Probing the polarized gluon content of the proton through $_2$ hadroproduction¹

Bemard PIRE

Centre de Physique Theorique², Ecole Polytechnique, F91128 Palaiseau, France

A bstract

Determ ining how much spin is carried by gluon in a polarized proton is a fundam ental problem which cannot be resolved by completely inclusive deep inelastic measurements. Hadroproduction of heavy avors is very sensitive to the gluon content of hadrons. We show that $_2$ (3555) production in polarized proton-proton collisions is a good candidate reaction to address this challenging question.

 $^{^{1}}$ to be published in the proceedings of the 2nd M eeting on Possible M easurem ents of Singly Polarized pp and pn Collisions, Zeuthen (1995)

²Unite propre 14 du Centre National de la Recherche Scienti que.

Charmonium spectrum and decays are quite well understood in the framework of perturbative QCD because these states are small nonrelativistic systems of heavy quarks. The production of charmonia in hadron-hadron collisions is expected to be dominated by gluon fusion mechanisms. Whereas J= production seems to be subject to important higher twist contributions [1], namely those where two gluons from a single hadron interact with a gluon from the other hadron, the production [2] of $_j$ states is phenomenologically [1] well under control in the simple leading twist picture where two gluons (one from each hadron) fuse to give at leading order a 1⁺ oc state with zero transverse momentum. Among these states, $_2$ (3555) is remarkably sensitive to incident gluon polarizations [3] and thus deserves careful investigation.

N eglecting O ($_{\rm S}$) corrections and internal transverse m om enta in the proton, the production cross section (at zero transverse m om entum) is given by [4]

$$(x_{\rm F} = 0) = \frac{M^2}{s} \frac{Z}{x_1} \frac{dx_1}{dx_1} G(x_1; M^2) G(\frac{M^2}{x_1s}; M^2) O(gg!_2)$$
 (1)

where M is the $_2$ m ass and

$$_{0} (gg ! _{2}) = 16^{2} {}^{2}_{s} jR_{P}^{0} (0) j^{2} = M^{7};$$
 (2)

reasonable estimates of the parameters being [1], $_{\rm S} = 0.26$ and $jR_{\rm P}^0$ (0) $j=M = 0.006 \,{\rm Gev}^3$.

The helicity amplitudes for producing the $_2$ m eson with $J_z = 0$; 1 vanish (unless non leading internal transverse m on entume ects are kept). Thus, $_2$ is only produced with $J_z = 2$ and the sign of J_z is directly reminiscent of the helicities (and ⁰) of the incident gluons. Indeed the only non-vanishing amplitudes are those for

$$g(=1) + g(^{0} = 1) ! _{2} (J_{z} = +2)$$
 (3)

and

$$g(= 1) + g(^{0} = 1) ! _{2} (J_{z} = 2)$$
 (4)

This makes $_2$ a beautiful probe of the gluon spin content of the proton.

W hen both beam and target are polarized, one measures cross sections d $_{ij}$ for incident hadron helicities i and j, and de nes an asymmetry as

$$A(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{F}}) = \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{F}}}{\mathrm{dx}_{\mathrm{F}}}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{F}}}{\mathrm{dx}_{\mathrm{F}}}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{F}}}{\mathrm{dx}_{\mathrm{F}}}}$$
(5)

which measures how much gluons remember the spin state of their parents through

$$A (x_{\rm F}) = \frac{G (x_{1}; M^{2})}{G (x_{1}; M^{2})} \frac{G (x_{2}; M^{2})}{G (x_{2}; M^{2})}$$
(6)

with x_1 and x_2 determ ined from m easurable quantities through the usual relations: $x_F = x_1 - x_2$ and M²=s = x_1x_2 .

W hen only the target is polarized, one needs to measure a transmitted asymmetry, i.e. to recognize a $J_z = +2_2$ state from a $J_z = -2$ one. This requires analizing $_2$ decay channels. The most interesting channel is the electrom agnetic decay

$$_{2}$$
! J= + (7)

the rate of which is around 13 per cent. In the heavy quark limit, this transition is of the electric dipole type, i.e. it preserves the quark spins. The angular decay distribution is thus known as

$$\frac{d}{d} = \frac{3}{16} (1 + \cos^2)$$
(8)

and the polarization of the photon along the beam direction is simply given by [3]

$$P = \frac{G(x; M^{2})}{G(x_{2}; M^{2})} :$$
 (9)

M easuring this outgoing photon polarization is an experimental challenge but the rewards are high; it is worth considering it in more details.

Acknow ledgem ents.

It is a pleasure to thank the organizers and particularly W olf D ieter N owak for this lively and fruitfulworkshop.

References

- [1] M. Vanttinen, P. Hoyer, S.J. Brodsky and W. K. Tang, Phys. Rev. D 51, 3332 (1995).
- [2] Y. Lem oigne et.al, Phys. Lett. 113B, 509 (1982); L. Antoniazzi et.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 383 (1993).
- [3] J.L. Cortes and B. Pire, Phys. Rev. D 38, 3586 (1988).
- [4] R.Baier and R.Ruckl, Z.Phys. C19, 251 (1983).