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#### Abstract

The connection between renom alons and power corrections is investigated for the typicalinfrared renom alon integral assum ing thee ective coupling constant has an infrared xed point of an entirely perturbative origin. It is show $n$ that even then the full answer di ers from the Borel sum by a power correction A com paraison w ith the analogue results when the xed point is generated by the explicit addition of non perturbative pow er suppressed term s is given.


[^0]It is generally believed that the large order behavior associated to infrared ( $\mathbb{R}$ ) renorm alons,w hich $m$ akes Q CD perturbation theory \non B orel sum $m$ able", re ects an inconsistency related to the Landau ghost. In this note, I exam ine how the renorm alon problem is resolved when the coupling constant is free of Landau singularity and approaches a non trivial $\mathbb{R}$ xed point at $s m$ all $m$ om enta $M$ ost of the paper is devoted to the case where the xed point arises entirely within a perturbative fram ew ork, through higher order perturbative corrections. I will show that in this case, $\mathbb{R}$ renorm alons are also present, but the exact result di ens from the B orel sum by a (com plex) pow er correction, which rem oves allinconsistenciesAt the end, som e short com $m$ ents $w$ illbe $m$ ade on the altemative case $w$ here the xed point is generated by the explicit introduction of non perturbative term $s$.

Let us rst review the standard argum ent $\mathbb{R}$ renorm alon integral :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R()=Q_{0}^{Z} Q^{2} \frac{{d k^{2}}_{k^{2}}^{Q^{2}}}{Q^{2}} \quad \text { eff }(k=Q \text {; }) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the coupling at scale $Q$ in som e arbitrary renorm alization schem e, and eff $(k)$ a renom alization group ( $R G$ ) invariant e ective coupling (I assum en $>0$, so that the integral in Eq. (1) is $\mathbb{R}$ convergent order by order in perturbation theory). Let us com pute the perturbative B oreltransform $R(z)$. IfR ( ) has the form alpow er series expansion :

$$
R_{P T}()=x_{p=0}^{X^{1}} r_{p+1}^{p}
$$

$R(z)$ is de ned by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z)=x_{p=0}^{x^{1}} \frac{r_{p}}{p!} z^{p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series Eq. (2) are believed to have a nite convergence radius (at the di erence of those for $R(1))$, and therefore allow for an allorder de nition of $R(z)$. O ne can then de ne an all order \pertunbative" resum $m$ ed $R_{P T}()$ by the B orel representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{P T}()={ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d z \exp \quad \underline{z} R(z) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to express $R(z)$ in term of the Boreltransform of eff :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { eff }(k=Q ;)=\underbrace{Z_{1}}_{0} d z \exp \quad \underline{Z} \quad \text { eff }(k=Q ; z) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting Eq. (4) into Eq. (1), and interchanging the order of integrations, one indeed recovers Eq. (3) w ith $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{)}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}}(\mathrm{)}\right.$ and :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z)={ }_{0}^{Z} Q^{2} n{\frac{d k^{2}}{k^{2}}}_{\frac{k}{}_{2}^{Q^{2}}}^{n} \quad \text { eff }(k=Q ; z) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbb{R}$ renorm alons arise as an $\mathbb{R}$ divergence in of the integral in Eq. (5), resulting from the $\mathbb{R}$ behavior of eff ( $k=Q ; z$ ) (which follow ssolely from the $R G$ invariance of eff):

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{eff}(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{z}) & \quad \text { eff }(\mathrm{z}) \exp \quad \mathrm{z} \quad 0 \ln \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{0} \ln \ln \frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{\mathrm{k}^{2}}  \tag{6}\\
\mathrm{k}^{2} & \mathrm{Q}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where 0 and $1_{1}$ are ( $m$ inus) the one and two loop beta function coe cients. U sing Eq. (6) into Eq. (5) one indeed nds for $z$ ! $z_{n} n=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z)^{\prime} \quad \operatorname{eff}\left(z^{\prime} \quad z_{n}\right) \frac{(1+\quad)}{n} \frac{1}{\left(1 \frac{z}{z_{n}}\right)^{1+}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $=\frac{1}{0} z_{n}$, i.e. $R(z)$ displays a cut singularity ${ }^{\frac{1}{1}} \mathrm{c}$ at the renorm alon position $z=z_{n}$, which generates according to Eq. (3), since $z_{n}>0$, an $O$ (exp ( $z_{1}=$ )) im aginary part in $R_{P T}$ ( ). In the standard case where eff has a Landau ghost at som e scale ${ }^{2}<Q^{2}$, this fact causes no surprise, since the de ning integral Eq. (1) itself involves an am biguous integration over the Landau singularity. But a paradox arises if eff has an $\mathbb{R}$ xed point : then $R()$ should be perfectly well de ned, w ith no im aginary part according to Eq. (1), whereas Eq. (3), together w ith Eq. (7), still yield an am biguous, im aginary am plitude for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}}$ ( ) ! But Eq. (7) is correct in both cases : as mentionned above, it follow s solely from RG invariance and the representation Eq. (5) (which is presum ably alw ays valid since it is correct order by order in perturbation expansion in $z$, and the corresponding series are convergent). Thus IR renorm alons are also present in the $\mathbb{R}$ xed point case. The only possible conclusion is that Eq. (3) is not in fact a valid representation of Eq. (1), i.e. that $R()$ in Eq. (1) does not coincide with $R_{P T}()$ in Eq. (3) in the latter case. This is despite the fact I assum ed Eq. (4) does instead correctly represents (at least for large enough k) eff (k) (which is also assum ed to have no renom alons), which can thus be determ ined in principle from \all order" perturbation theory, and quali es the present fram ew ork as being \perturbative" (the altemative possibility that eff (k) acquires its xed point through additionnal \higher-tw ist"type pow er like corrections not included in the Borel representation will be com $m$ ented upon below ).

Indeed, there is a loophole in the previous derivation that $R()=R_{P T}()$, which is actually already present when there is a Landau singularity. Assume e.g. eff is the one loop coupling :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{eff}(k=Q ; ~)= \\
& \frac{1+0 \ln \frac{k^{2}}{Q^{2}}}{0 \ln \frac{k^{2}}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\tilde{n}^{\prime \prime}$ : eff $(k=Q ; z)=\exp \left[z_{0} \ln \left(k^{2}=Q^{2}\right)\right]$ and Eq. (5) yields :

$$
R(z)=\sum_{0}^{z} n \frac{\mathrm{Qk}^{2}}{k^{2}} \frac{k^{2}{ }^{!}{ }^{2}}{Q^{2}} \exp \quad z_{0} \quad \ln {\frac{k^{2}}{Q^{2}}}^{!}=\frac{1}{1 \frac{z}{z_{n}}}
$$

The problem w ith the previous argum ent is that Eq. (4) itself is a valid representation of eff $(\mathrm{k})$ only if $k$ is not too small. Indeed in the present exam ple the integral in Eq. (4) converges at $\mathrm{z}=1$ only if $1+0 \ln \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{Q^{2}}>0$, i.e. for $\mathrm{k}^{2}>{ }^{2}$. $\mathrm{Fork}^{2}<{ }^{2}$, eff $(k)$ has to be represented instead by a B orel integral over the negative $z$ axis :

$$
\operatorname{eff}(k=Q ;)=\quad \begin{align*}
& Z_{0}  \tag{8}\\
& 1
\end{align*} d z \exp \quad \underline{Z} \quad \text { eff }(k=Q ; z)
$$

Sim ilar rem arks apply in the general case, where Eq. (6) im plies, provided eff (z) decreases no faster then $\exp (\mathrm{cz})$ at large z , eff $(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{w}$ ill have a Landau singularity at some scale $k^{2}=2$ (see however the xed point case), below which the B orel representation Eq. (4) willbreak down, and Eq. (8) should be used instead.

T hese observations suggest that the correct procedure in the Landau ghost case is to rst take $<0$ (and slightly complex if $1 \in 0$ ), which implies $Q^{2}<{ }^{2}$, so that in the whole integration range in Eq. (1) one has $\mathrm{k}^{2}<\mathrm{Q}^{2}<{ }^{2}$, and the representation Eq. (8) is valid throughout the range (this $m$ eans choosing in the dom ain of attraction of the trivial IR xed point). M anipulations analoguous to those perform ed above are now justi ed, and yield :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R()=\quad z_{0} d z \exp \quad \underline{z} R(z) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ inally, $R()$ for $>0$ (where $Q^{2}>{ }^{2}$ ) is de ned as the analytic continuation of Eq. (9), which yields the standard B orel representation Eq. (3) with $R=R_{\text {P }}$.

In the case where eff $(k)$ has an $\mathbb{R}$ xed point, a sim ilar problem arises if it happens again that the representation Eq. (4) is not valid below som e scale $k=k_{m}$ in , even if $k_{m}$ in does not correspond to a Landau singularity this time. This is possible if, as in the Landau ghost case, eff ( $z$ ) does not decrease too fast at large $z$ (the latter assum ption seem s necessary, because a too fast decrease of eff (z) (e.g. .if eff $\left.(z)=\exp \left(c^{2}\right)\right)$, although insuring the validity of Eq. (4) for all $k^{\prime} s$, usually
yields an eff (k) which blows up too fast as $k!0, m a k i n g$ the original integral Eq. (1) $\mathbb{R}$ divergent). Furtherm ore, and this is the crucialdi erence $w$ ith the Landau ghost case, for $k<k_{m}$ in , eff $(k)$, which rem ains positive, $w i l l$ not adm it a Borel representation over the $z<0$ axis either. (Note that fork! 0 , eff $(k)$ is always in the strong coupling region, since it approaches a non-trivial xed point, at the di erence ofthe Landau ghost case, where fork! 0 one enters an ( $\mathbb{R}$ ) asym ptotically free region below the Landau singularity, since no other xed point is available). The above derivation that $R=R_{P T}$ can thus not be extended to the $\mathbb{R}$ xed point case, as expected. Let us now give a general argum ent that these two functions actually di er by a power correction. Splitting the integration range in Eq. (1) at $k=k_{m}$ in , one has:

Eq. (4) cannot be used inside the low $m$ om entum integral $R$, which can how ever be param etrized as a pow er correction since :

$$
\begin{aligned}
R= & \frac{k_{m \text { in }}^{2}}{Q^{2}}!_{n Z}{ }_{k_{m}^{2} \text { in }} n \frac{d k^{2}}{k^{2}} \frac{k^{2}}{k_{m \text { in }}^{2}}{ }^{n} \text { eff } \frac{k}{k_{m \text { in }}} ; m \text { in } \\
& \frac{k_{m \text { in }}^{2}}{Q^{2}} R(m \text { in })
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m$ in $=\left(Q=k_{m}\right.$ in $)$, and $R G$ invariance has been used in the rst step. On the other hand, using Eq. (4) in $R_{+}$, one gets:

$$
R_{+}=Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} d z \exp \quad \underline{z}_{k_{m \text { in }}^{2}}^{Z_{Q^{2}}} n \frac{d k^{2}}{k^{2}} \frac{k^{2}!_{n}^{2}}{Q^{2}} \text { eff } \frac{k}{Q} ; z^{!}
$$

To go further, it is necessary to know the $k$-dependence of eff ( $k=Q ; z$ ). This can be done easily in the special case where ${ }_{1}=0$, if one chooses $(Q)$ to be the one loop coupling. Then one can show'i that : eff $(k=Q ; z)=\operatorname{eff}(z) \exp \left[z_{0} \ln \left(k^{2}=Q^{2}\right)\right]$, and one gets :

Hence :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{+}=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} d z \exp \quad \frac{z}{\operatorname{eff}(z) \frac{1}{1} \frac{z_{n}}{z_{n}}} \frac{k_{m \text { in }}^{2}}{Q^{2}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d z \exp \quad \frac{z}{m \text { in }} \quad \text { eff }(z) \frac{1}{1} \frac{z}{z_{n}} \\
& R_{P T}() \quad{\frac{k_{m}^{2} \text { in }}{Q^{2}}}_{Q^{n}}^{R_{P T}(m \text { in })}
\end{aligned}
$$

O ne therefore ends up w ith the result :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R()=R_{P T}()+{\frac{k_{m \text { in }}^{2}}{Q^{2}}}_{n^{n}}^{\left.\mathbb{R}(m \text { in }) \quad R_{P_{T}}(m \text { in })\right]} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coe cient of the pow er correction is given by the discrepancy betw een the exact am plitude and its B orel representation. Eq. (10) is equivalent to the statem ent that $R()=R_{\text {PT }}()+$ const $=\left(Q^{2}\right)^{n}$, and is also correct when $1 \& 0$ (see below). $N$ ote it is not possible the pow er correction vanishes (as it does in the Landau ghost case) since $R(m$ in $)$ is real, whereas $R_{P T}(m$ in $)$ is complex due to the e ect of the renorm alon (the pow er correction $m$ ust be com plex to cancell the im aginary part of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{t}$ () ).

I now illustrate the previous discussion w ith the exam ple of the 2 loop coupling :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \text { eff }}{d \ln k^{2}}=0\left(e_{\text {eff }}\right)^{2} \quad 1(\text { eff })^{3} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

I shall consider both the standard case ${ }_{1}=0>0$ where there is a Landau singularity, and the case ${ }_{1}=0<0$ where eff $(k)$ has an $\mathbb{R}$ xed point at $\operatorname{lR} \quad 0={ }_{1}$ (which actually occurs in QCD for a large enough num ber of avors). Rem arkably, R (z) can be com puted exactly w th a straightforw ard change of variable, adapted from a sim ilar one suggested in ref. 3 . D e ning the B orel variable by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{z_{n}}=\frac{1 \overline{\overline{e f f}(k)}}{1+\frac{1}{0}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th eff $(k=Q)$, and using the solution of Eq. (11) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2}=\frac{1}{0 \text { eff }} \quad \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{\mathrm{eff}}+\frac{1}{0}+\frac{1}{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(together w th the sim ilar relation at $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{Q}$ ) one obtains:

$$
n \frac{\mathrm{dk}^{2}}{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}}^{!} \quad \text { eff }(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{dz}^{\exp \left(\frac{\mathrm{z}}{\frac{1}{z_{n}}} \mathrm{z}^{1+}\right)}
$$

which suggests the looked for B orel transform is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z)=\frac{\exp \frac{1}{0} z}{1{\frac{z}{z_{n}}}^{1+}}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To com plete the proof, it rem ains to determ ine the new integration bounds.

1) Assume rst ${ }_{1}=0>0$ : then eff has a Landau singularity at the scale ${ }^{2}={ }^{2} \exp \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{0}+\frac{1}{2}$. I assume $Q^{2}>{ }^{2}$, so that $>0$. For $\mathrm{k}^{2}=\mathrm{Q}^{2}$, eff $=$, and $z=0$; at $k^{2}=2$, eff $=1$, and $z=z_{L} \quad \frac{z_{n}}{1+\frac{1}{0}}<z_{n}$; decreasing $k^{2}$ below ${ }^{2}$, z becom es complex; nally, fork ${ }^{2}!0$, eff! 0 , and $z!+1 . R()$ in Eq. (1) then takes the form of a B orel integralalong a path in the com plex $z$-plane, which divides into two com plex conjuguate branches at $z=z_{\mathrm{L}}$, and can be deform ed to above or below the positive real axis to yield the standard Borel representation Eq. (3) w ith R (z) as in Eq. (14).
2) On the other hand, if ${ }_{1}=0<0$, the situation is actually simpler : as $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ decreases from $Q^{2}$ to 0 , eff increases from to the $\mathbb{R}$ xed point $I R$, and $z$ increases from 0 to $z_{n}$ through real values so that Eq. (1) becom es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R()=\int_{0}^{z_{n}} d z \exp \quad \underline{z} \frac{\exp \frac{1}{0} z}{1{\frac{z}{z_{n}}}^{1+}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the renorm alon singularity is integrable at $z=z_{n}$, since $<0$ now). We therefore check that $R()$ is not given by the $B$ orel sum $R_{P T}()$ in the $\mathbb{R}$ xed point case. Rather, it is given by $R_{\text {P }}() \mathrm{m}$ inus an exponentially smallo ( $\exp \left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}=\right)$ ) correction :

$$
\begin{align*}
R()= & z_{1} d z \exp \quad \frac{z}{0} \frac{\exp \frac{1}{0} z^{z_{1}}}{1}{\frac{z}{z_{n}}}^{1+} \\
& { }_{z_{\mathrm{n}}}+R_{N P} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The latter is just a (com plex) power correction, in accordance with the general expectation. Indeed, Eq. (15)-(16) sim plify by perform ing the change of coupling : $1=\mathrm{a}=1=+1=0$, and one gets in particular :

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{N P}=z_{1}^{z_{n}} d z \exp \quad \frac{z}{a} \frac{1}{1 \frac{z^{z_{n}}}{}{ }^{1+}} & =C \exp \left(\quad z_{1}=a\right)(1=a) \\
& =C \sim(1)\left({ }^{2}=Q^{2}\right)^{n} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

with $C=\frac{0}{1}(1)\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { ( }\end{array}\right)$, and Eq. (13) at $k=Q$ was used in the last step (in this example, the subtracted term $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{P}$ corresponds exactly to the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ inim al" prescription of ref. 4 to \regularize" $\mathbb{R}$ renom alons). The sam e $m$ ethod can deal w ith the case $<0$, where one is in the dom ain of attraction of the trivial $\mathbb{R}$ xed point (provided the condition $1+\frac{1}{0}>0$ is also satis ed if ${ }_{1}=0<0$ ). Then eff $(k)$ $m$ onotonously increases from to 0 as $k^{2}$ decreases from $Q^{2}$ to 0 , hence $z<0$ and decreases from 0 to 1 . Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R()=\quad z_{0} d z \exp \quad \frac{z}{\exp \frac{1}{0} z} \frac{{\frac{z}{z_{n}}}^{1+}}{1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. a B orel integral over the negative $z$ axis, in agreem ent with Eq. (9) (note that Eq. (15) is not the analytic continuation of Eq. (18)).

For com pletness I give also the result if eff $(\mathrm{k})$ satis es the RG equation :

$$
\frac{d_{\text {eff }}}{d \ln k^{2}}=\frac{0{ }_{\text {eff }}^{2}}{1 \quad \frac{1}{0} \quad \text { eff }}
$$

where the inverse beta function has only two term $s$. The appropriate change of variable in this case tums out to be precisely the one suggested in ref. 3 :

$$
\frac{\mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}}=1 \quad \overline{\text { eff }(k)}
$$

(w ith eff $(k=Q)$ ), which yields for ${ }_{1}=0>0$ (using also the convolution theorem ) :

$$
R()=\frac{1}{1+}+\frac{1}{1+}_{0}^{z_{1}} d z \exp \quad \underline{z} \frac{1}{1{\frac{z}{z_{n}}}^{1+}}
$$

whereas for ${ }_{1}=0<0$, where eff has an in nite $\mathbb{R}$ xed point, one gets:

$$
R()=\frac{1}{1+}_{1+}^{1} 0_{z_{n}}^{z_{n}} d z \exp \quad \underline{z} \frac{1}{1{\frac{z}{z_{n}}}^{1+}}
$$

and show sthat in this case too (w ith a di erent C ) : $R()=R_{P_{T}}() C(1)\left({ }^{2}=Q^{2}\right)^{n}$.
For an arbitrary eff function $w$ ith an $\mathbb{R}$ xed point one expects however the answer to be of the $m$ ore general form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R()=R_{P T}()+{\frac{2}{Q^{2}}}^{!_{n}}(C(1)+C) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ and $C$ are real, and independent of $Q$, and $C$ is proportionnal to the renor$m$ alon residue. It $m$ ay be worth $m$ entionning that taking the $Q^{2}$ derivative of both sides ofE q. (19), and going to B orel space, one easily derives a relation betw een $R(z)$ and the Boreltransform of eff ()$=d=d \ln Q^{2}$ (with eff $(k=Q)$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z) \quad \frac{0}{n} z R(z) \quad \frac{1}{n}^{z}{ }_{0}^{z} d y b(z \quad y) y R(y)=1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{z})$ is the Borel transform ofb() $\frac{\text { eff ( ) }}{2}$ o. Eq. (20) can be used to rederive the previous tw o results, and allow $s$ to dealw ith $m$ ore com plicated exam ples aswell. $N$ ote also that $C$ and $C$, being independent ofQ , have dropped from Eq. (20), and in fact any $Q$-dependence in these coe cients would be inconsistent $w$ ith the assum ption of the perturbative nature of the coupling (equivalent here to assum ing that eff ( ) coincides with its Borel sum ).

N on perturbative $\mathbb{R}$ xed point: in the Landau ghost case,one has to add
explicitly power suppressed non perturbative term $S_{N P}$ to rem ove the Landau ghost and generate an $\mathbb{R}$ xed pointPutting eff $P_{T}+_{N P}$,where $P T$ is assum ed to have a Landau singularity and to satisfy the Borel representation Eq. (4) we thus have $R(Q) R_{P T}(Q)+R_{N P}(Q)$ where $R_{P T}(Q)$ is given by Eq. (3), and:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{N P}(Q)={\underset{0}{Q^{2}}{ }_{2}^{n}!_{n}^{k^{2}}}_{{d k^{2}}_{Q^{2}}^{k^{2}}{ }_{n}^{n}{ }_{N P}(k)} \\
& =\overline{Q^{2}} \quad[C(1)+C(Q)] \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where C ( Q ) is realand represents the part of the pow er corrections unrelated to renorm alons.To m ake contact w ith ref. 5 ,I now assum $e$ the integral in Eq. (21) converges at in nity, in which case C (Q)! C at large $Q$, with :
and,neglecting higher order pow er corrections :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(Q)^{\prime} R_{P T}(Q)+{\frac{2}{Q^{2}}}^{!}(C(1)+C) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ssum e now the high energy approxim ation Eq. (23) is valid for $Q>I$. This is equivalent to assum $e$ that the high energy tail of the integral in Eq. (22) can be
neglected for $k>$ i. Then from Eq. (23) and its counterpart at $Q=$ i one gets im $m$ ediately :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.R(Q)^{\prime} R_{P T}(Q)+{\frac{I_{I}^{2}}{Q^{2}}}^{!_{n}} \mathbb{R}(I) \quad R_{P T}(I)\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the param etrisation of the power corrections in term of low energy integrals over the full ( $\mathbb{R}$ regular) and the perturbative e ective couplings suggested in ref.5. In this approach, the renom alon problem is com pletely bypassed,since Eq. (24) can be equivalently w rilten as:
and the integral over PT, being cuto in the infrared, has no renom alons.
Perturbation theory, if used to estim ate the integral in Eq. (25), is then expected to be convergent at arbitrary high orderEq. (25) am ounts to neglect ${ }_{\mathrm{N} P}(\mathrm{k}$ ) for $k>$ I , which is the assum ption of ref.5.W e have seen in the exam ple of the integral ofEq. (1) this assum ption can be justi ed if $n \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{k})$ decreases su ciently fast at large k. Furthem ore we have shown that Eq. (23) and (24) are actually exact (Eq. (10) and (19)) in the case where ${ }_{N P}=0$ and the xed point is ofpertunbative origin (then Eq. (25) is trivial), provided one interprets $R_{P T}(Q)$ as the B orel sum of perturbation theory.
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