Instabilities, Point Attractors and Lim it Cycles in a In ationary Universe

Luca Salasnich¹

D ipartim ento di Fisica "G.Galilei" dell'Universita di Padova,

Via Marzolo 8, I 35131 Padova, Italy

and

Departam ento de Fisica Atomica, Molecular y Nuclear Facultad de Ciencias Fisicas, Universidad "Complutense" de Madrid, Ciudad Universitaria, E 28040 Madrid, Spain

A bstract

We study the stability of a scalar in aton eld and analyze its point attractors in the phase space. We show that the value of the in aton eld in the vacuum is a bifurcation parameter and prove the possible existence of a lim it cycle by using analytical and numerical arguments.

To be published in Modern Physics Letters A

¹E lectronic address: salasnich@padova.infn.it

The phenom enon of chaos¹, which has become very popular, rejuvenated interest in nonlinear dynamics, and the chaotic behaviour of classical eld theories is currently subject of intensive research^{2;3;4;5}. In this respect it is of great interest to investigate the existence of some precursor phenomena of chaotic motion. In particular nonlinear elects should lead to bifurcations which qualitatively change the system properties^{6;7;8}.

In this paper we study the stability of a scalar in aton $eld^{9;10;11}$ and analyze its bifurcation properties in the fram ework of the dynam ical system theory^{6;7;8}. The model we study is very schematic, so it can be seen as a toy model for classical nonlinear dynamics, with the attractive feature that it emerges form particle physics and cosmology.

It is generally believed that the universe, at a very early stage after the big bang, exhibited a short period of exponential expansion, the so {called in ationary phase $^{9;10;11}$. In fact the assumption of an in ationary universe solves three major cosmological problems: the atness problem, the homogeneity problem, and the formation of structure problem.

The Friedmann $\{R \text{ obertson } \{W \text{ alkerm etric}^{12} \text{ of a hom ogeneous and isotropic expanding universe is given by:}$

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} \quad a^{2} (t) \left[\frac{dr^{2}}{1 + r^{2}} + r^{2} (d^{2} + \sin^{2} d'^{2}) \right]; \tag{1}$$

where k = 1; 1, or 0 for a closed, open, or at universe, and a (t) is the scale factor of the universe.

The evolution of the scale factor a (t) is given by the Einstein equations:

$$a = \frac{4}{3}G (+3p)a;$$

$$\left(\frac{a}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8}{3}G$$
 ; (2)

where is the energy density of matter in the universe, and p its pressure. The gravitational constant $G = M_p^2$ (with h = c = 1), where $M_p = 1.2 10^9$ GeV is the Plank mass, and $H = \underline{a} = a$ is the Hubble "constant", which in general is a function of time.

All in ationary models postulate the existence of a scalar eld , the $so\{called\ in\ ation\ eld\ ,\ w\ ith\ Lagrangian^{13}:$

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \theta \quad \theta \qquad V ()$$
 (3)

where the potential V () depends on the type of in ation m odel considered. Here we choose a real eld but also complex scalar can be used 11. The scalar eld, if m in in ally coupled to gravity, satis es the equation 9;10;11:

$$2 = + 3(\frac{a}{a}) - \frac{1}{a^2}r^2 = \frac{QV}{Q};$$
 (4)

where 2 is the covariant d'Alembertian operator. We suppose that in the universe there is only the in aton eld, so the Hubble "constant" H is related to the energy density of the eld by:

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = (\frac{a}{a})^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8 G}{3} [\frac{2}{2} + \frac{(r)^2}{2} + V()]$$
: (5)

Im m ediately after the onset of in ation, the cosmological scale factor grows exponentially $^{9;10;11}$. Thus the term $r^2 = a^2$ is generally believed to be negligible and, if the in aton eld is su ciently uniform (i.e. $-\frac{2}{2}$, $(r^2)^2 < \sqrt{2}$), we end up with a classical nonlinear scalar eld theory in one dimension:

$$+ 3H () - + \frac{@V}{@} = 0;$$
 (6)

where the Hubble "constant" H is an explicit function of:

$$H^{2} = \frac{8 \text{ G}}{3} V \text{ ():}$$
 (7)

M ost in ation scenarios are just formulated in this classical setting. In fact the quantization of the in ation scenario can be regarded to be still an open problem 14 .

The classical in aton dynam ics can be studied in the fram ework of the dynam ical system theory $^{6;7;8}$. The second order equation of motion can be written as a system of two rst order dierential equations:

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{8}$$

where x = (;) is a point in the two dimensional phase space and $g = (g_1; g_2)$ is given by:

$$g_1(;) = ; g_2(;) = 3H() \frac{\text{@V()}}{\text{@}}:$$
 (9)

The system is non {conservative because the function

$$div(g) = \frac{\theta g_1}{\theta} + \frac{\theta g_2}{\theta} = 3H ()$$
 (10)

is not identically zero. The xed points of the system are those for which $g_1(;) = 0$ and $g_2(;) = 0$, i.e.

$$= 0; \quad \frac{\text{@V ()}}{\text{@}} = 0: \tag{11}$$

The deviation $\mathbf{x}(t) = \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ $\mathbf{x}(t)$ from the two initially neighboring trajectories \mathbf{x} and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ in the phase space satisfies the linearized equations of motion:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \times (t) = (t) \times (12)$$

where (t) is the stability matrix:

(t) =
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 \\ & & & 0 & & 1 \\ & & & & & A \\ & & & \frac{e^2 V}{e^2} & 3 & \frac{e_H}{e} & 3H & () \end{pmatrix}$$
 (13)

At least if an eigenvalue of (t) is real the separation of the trajectories grows exponentially and the motion is unstable. Imaginary eigenvalues correspond to stable motion. In the limit of time that goes to in nity the eigenvalues of the stability matrix are the Lyapunov exponent¹. It is well known that for two (dimensional dynamical system the Lyapunov exponent can not be positive and so the system is not chaotic, i.e. there is not global instability.

However, we can be assured that the universe is crowded with many interacting elds of which the in aton is but one¹¹. The nonlinear nature of these interactions can result in a complex chaotic evolution of the universe and the local instability of the in aton eld is a precursor phenomenon of chaotic motion. Following the Toda criterion¹⁵, we assume that the time dependence can be eliminated, i.e. (t); (t) = (t).

The eigenvalues of the stability matrix are given by:

$$_{1;2} = \frac{3}{2}H () \frac{1}{2}9H^{2}() 4\frac{e^{2}V}{e^{2}} 12\frac{eH}{e}$$
 (14)

The pair of eigenvalues become real and there is exponential separation of neighboring trajectories, i.e. unstable motion, if:

$$\frac{e^2V}{e^2} + 3 \frac{e^2H}{e^2} < 0: (15)$$

Particularly when = 0, e.g. the xed points, we obtain local instability when:

$$\frac{e^2V}{e^2} < 0; \tag{16}$$

i.e. for negative curvature of the potential energy. The $\,$ xed points are stable if they are point of local m in im um $\,$ of V () and unstable if are points of local m axim um .

As stressed at the beginning the potential V () depends on the type of in ation model considered, and it is usually some kind of double {well potential. We choose a symmetric double {well potential:

$$V() = \frac{1}{4}(^2 v^2)^2;$$
 (17)

where v are the values of the in aton eld in the vacuum, i.e. the points of m in im alenergy of the system.

We observe that the in aton eld value in the vacuum v is a bifurcation parameter. B ifurcation is used to indicate a qualitative change in the features of the system under the variation of one or more parameters on which the system depends $^{6;7;8}$. First of all we consider the case v=0, i.e. $V()=(-4)^{-4}$. In this situation there is only one xed point (=0;=0) which is a stable one being:

$$\frac{e^2V}{e^2} = 3^2 0: (18)$$

The xed point (= 0; = 0) is a point attractor, as shown in Fig. 1 where we use a fourth order Runge {K utta m ethod 16 to compute the classical trajectories in the phase space.

Instead for v € 0 there are three xed points:

$$(=0;=0); (=v;=0); (=v;=0); (19)$$

and the condition for the instability becomes:

$$\frac{V}{P\frac{\pi}{3}} < < \frac{V}{P\frac{\pi}{3}}$$
 (20)

Obviously (=0; =0) is an unstable xed point, and in particular a saddle point because the stability matrix has real and opposite eigenvalues. On the other hand (=v; =0) are stable xed points.

Form eq. (7) we nd four possible functions for the Hubble "constant":

$$H () = j^2 v^2 j$$
 (21)

but also:

$$H () = (^2 v^2);$$
 (22)

where = $\frac{q}{2}$ = 3 is the dissipation parameter. The choice of the Hubble function is crucial for the dynamical evolution of the system.

In certain non{conservative systems we could not closed trajectories or limit cycles toward which the neighboring trajectories spiral on both sides. It is sometime possible to know that no limit cycle exist and the Bendixson criterion¹⁷, which establishes a condition for the non{existence of closed trajectories, is useful in some cases. Bendixson criterion is as follows: if div(g) is not zero and does not change its sign within a domain D of the phase space, no closed trajectories can exist in that domain.

In our case we have $\operatorname{div}(g) = 3H$ (), and so the presence of periodic orbit is related to the sign of H (). If H () = j^2 v^2j we do not not periodic orbits and the in aton eld goes to one of its two stable xed points, which are points attractors (see Fig. 2). The vacuum is degenerate but if we choose an initial condition around the saddle point there is a dynam ical sym metry breaking 13 towards the positive v or negative v value of the in aton eld in the vacuum. This sym metry breaking is unstable because neighbour initial conditions can go in diesent point attractors.

Instead, if we choose H () = $(^2 v^2)$ the numerical calculations of Fig. 3 show that exists a limit cycle, the two stable xed points are not point attractors, and the in aton eld oscillates forever. Obviously more large is v more large is the limit cycle.

In conclusion, we have studied the stability of a scalar in aton eld. With a symmetric double {well self energy the value of the in aton eld in the vacuum is a bifurcation parameter which changes dramatically the phase space structure. The main point is that for some functional solutions of the Hubble "constant" the system goes to a limit cycle, i.e. to a periodic orbit.

The in aton eld is not chaotic but its local instability can give rise to a complex chaotic evolution of the universe due to its nonlinear interactions with other elds. In the future it will be very interesting to study these elds which can perhaps lead to some observable implications like a fractal pattern in the spectrum of density uctuations.

The author is greatly indebted to Prof. S.Gra, Prof. V.R.M anfredi and Dr. A.R iotto form any enlightening discussions. The author acknowledges Prof. J.M.G.Gom ez for his hospitality at the Department of Atomic, Molecular and Nuclear Physics of "Complutense" University, and the "Ing. Aldo Gini" Foundation for a partial support.

R eferences

- 1. A.J.Lichtenberg and M.A.Lieberm an, Regular and Stochastic Motion (Springer{Verlag, Berlin, 1983); M.C.Gutzwiller, Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics (Springer{Verlag, Berlin, 1991).
- 2. G.K. Savvidy, Phys. Lett. B 130, 303 (1983); G.K. Savvidy, Nucl. Phys. B 246, 302 (1984).
- 3. T.Kawabe and S.Ohta, Phys. Rev. D 44, 1274 (1991); T.Kawabe and S.Ohta, Phys. Lett. B 334, 127 (1994); T.Kawabe, Phys. Lett. B 343, 254 (1995).
- 4. M. S. Sriram, C. Mukku, S. Lakshmibala and B. A. Bambah, Phys. Rev. D 49, 4246 (1994).
- 5. S.Gra, V.R.Manfredi and L.Salasnich, Mod. Phys. Lett. B 7, 747 (1995); L.Salasnich, Phys. Rev. D 52, 6189 (1995).
- 6. C. Hayashi, Nonlinear Oscillations in Physical Systems (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1985).
- 7. J.Aw rejecew icz, Bifurcations and Chaos in Coupled Oscillators (World Scientic, Singapore, 1991).
- 8. A. H. Nayfeh and B. Balachandran, Applied Nonlinear Dynamics (J. Wiley, New York, 1995).
 - 9. A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D 23 B, 347 (1981).
- 10. A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B 108, 389 (1982); A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B 129, 177 (1983).
- 11. A.D. Linde, Particle Physics and In ationary Cosmology (Harwood Academ ic Publishers, London, 1988).

- 12. S. Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology (Wiley, New York, 1972).
- 13. C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, Quantum Field Theory (McGraw {Hill, New York, 1985).
- 14. R. H. Brandenberger, in SUSSP Proceedings Physics of the Early Universe, Eds. J.A. Peacock, A. F. Heavens and A. T. Daves (Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol, 1990).
- 15. M. Toda, Phys. Lett. A 48, 335 (1974); G. Benettin, R. Brambilla and L. Galgani, Physica A 87, 381 (1977).
- 16. Subroutine D 02BAF, The NAG Fortran Library, M ark 14, 0 xford: NAG Ltd. and USA: NAG Inc. (1990).
 - 17. I. Bendixson, Acta Math. 24, 1 (1901).

Figure Captions

```
Figure 1: In aton eld vs time (up), and its phase space trajectory (down), with = 1=2, = 1 and v = 0. Initial conditions: = 0 and = -= 1=2. Figure 2: In aton eld vs time (up), and its phase space trajectory (down), for H () = j^2 v^2jw ith = 1=2, = 1 and v = 1. Initial conditions: = 0 and = -= 1=2. Figure 3: In aton eld vs time (up), and its phase space trajectory (down), for H () = (2 - v^2)w ith = 1=2, = 1 and v = 1. Initial conditions: = 0 and = -= 1=2.
```

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9512207v1

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9512207v1

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9512207v1