

Possible Effects of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ Mixing in Weak B Decays

Zhi-zhong Xing¹

*Sektion Physik, Theoretische Physik, Universität München,
Theresienstrasse 37, D-80333 München, Germany*

Abstract

$D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing at a detectable level requires the presence of new physics and may lead to some observable effects in weak decays of B mesons. We show that CP violation induced by $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing can manifest itself in the decay-rate asymmetry of $B_u^+ \rightarrow D_{L(H)} l^+ \nu_l$ vs $B_u^- \rightarrow D_{L(H)} l^- \bar{\nu}_l$. A rephasing-invariant generalization of the Gronau-Wyler approach is made to determine the weak phase shift in $B_u^\pm \rightarrow D_{L(H)} K^\pm$, which is only sensitive to the underlying new physics in $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing. We also demonstrate the possible effect of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing on CP violation in decay modes of the type $B_d \rightarrow D_{L(H)} + (\pi^0, \rho^0, \text{etc})$. Finally the model of four quark families is taken as an example to illustrate how the new physics affects $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing, $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$ mixing and CP asymmetries in the relevant B decays.

¹Electronic address: Xing@hep.physik.uni-muenchen.de

1 In the standard model the $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing parameter x_D is expected to be of the order $10^{-5} - 10^{-4}$, well below the current experimental bound $x_D < 0.086$ [1]. A discovery of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing at the level of $x_D \sim 10^{-2}$ will definitely imply the existence of new physics [2, 3]. It is known that many extensions of the standard model can accommodate $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing with $x_D \sim 10^{-2}$ and allow significant CP violation in the charm sector. The typical examples of such extensions include the fourth quark family [4], the left-handed $SU(2)$ -singlet up-type quarks [5], and the general two-Higgs doublet model with flavor-changing neutral exchange [6]. In some of them, unitarity of the 3×3 Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix is violated [7].

Non-negligible $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing and the associated CP violation can enter those weak B decays with neutral D mesons in the final states. Indeed some kinds of new physics may simultaneously affect $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing, $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ mixing and the loop-induced (penguin) transitions of D and B mesons. In this case, the standard-model predictions to CP asymmetries in B decays are possible to be significantly contaminated, and our natural contemplation is if the direct measurements can provide us some useful information beyond the limit of the standard model. Of course, in the approaches to relate the basic parameters of models to the relevant observables of experiments, one needs to accommodate the possible new physics and to make the approaches themselves independent of any specific model [8].

In this note, we shall carry out an instructive study of the possible effects of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing in some semileptonic and nonleptonic B -meson transitions. We show that CP violation of the order $10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$ in $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing may lead to an observable signal in the decay-rate asymmetry of $B_u^+ \rightarrow D_{L(H)} l^+ \nu_l$ vs $B_u^- \rightarrow D_{L(H)} l^- \bar{\nu}_l$. A rephasing-invariant generalization of the Gronau-Wyler approach [9] is made to determine the weak phase shift in $B_u^\pm \rightarrow D_{L(H)} K^\pm$ or in $B_d^0 \rightarrow D_{L(H)} K^{*0}$ vs $\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D_{L(H)} \bar{K}^{*0}$, which is only sensitive to the underlying new physics in $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing. We also demonstrate the possible effect of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing on CP violation in decay modes of the type $B_d \rightarrow D_{L(H)} + (\pi^0, \rho^0, \text{etc})$. Taking the model of four quark families for example, we finally illustrate how the new physics affects $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing, $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$ mixing and CP asymmetries in the relevant weak B decays.

2 Due to $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing, the mass eigenstates D_L and D_H are related to the flavor eigenstates D^0 and \bar{D}^0 through:

$$\begin{aligned} |D_L\rangle &= p_D |D^0\rangle + q_D |\bar{D}^0\rangle, \\ |D_H\rangle &= p_D |D^0\rangle - q_D |\bar{D}^0\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $|p_D|^2 + |q_D|^2 = 1$, and CPT invariance has been assumed. Commonly the mixing rate is represented by $x_D \equiv \Delta m_D / \Gamma_D$ and $y_D \equiv \Delta \Gamma_D / (2\Gamma_D)$, where $\Gamma_D \equiv (\Gamma_L + \Gamma_H) / 2$,

$\Delta m_D \equiv m_H - m_L$ and $\Delta \Gamma_D \equiv \Gamma_H - \Gamma_L$ ($m_{L,H}$ and $\Gamma_{L,H}$ denote the mass and width of $D_{L,H}$, respectively). The standard model predicts that both x_D and y_D are too small to be detectable in experiments [10]. The existence of new physics might enhance x_D and make it close to the current experimental bound. Here a reasonable assumption is that any new physics does not affect the direct decays of c quark (via the tree-level W -mediated diagrams) significantly and thus does not affect y_D . This implies that the approximation $y_D \ll x_D$ is very reasonable in all reasonable models of new physics contributing to $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing [3]. Consequently $|q_D/p_D| \approx 1$, i.e., there is not sizeable CP violation in the $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing matrix. In analogy to the notations of the $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ system, one can use $\epsilon_D \equiv (p_D - q_D)/(p_D + q_D)$ to express the CP -violating effect induced by $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing. Then $|q_D/p_D| \approx 1$ corresponds to a small $\text{Re}(\epsilon_D)$. Later on we shall demonstrate that nonvanishing $\text{Re}(\epsilon_D)$ at the level of $10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$ may give rise to an observable decay-rate asymmetry in the semileptonic transitions $B_u^\pm \rightarrow D_{L(H)} K^\pm$.

Let us consider the decay modes $B_u^+ \rightarrow D_L l^+ \nu_l$ and $B_u^- \rightarrow D_L l^- \bar{\nu}_l$, where $l = e$ or μ . To lowest order in the standard model, the transition $B_u^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 l^+ \nu_l$ (or $B_u^- \rightarrow D^0 l^- \bar{\nu}_l$) takes place only through the tree-level spectator diagrams with a single weak phase. Since any new physics cannot significantly affect the direct decays of b quark via the tree-level W -mediated graphs [7], only the new physics of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing is able to enter the processes under consideration. The amplitudes of $B_u^+ \rightarrow D_L l^+ \nu_l$ and $B_u^- \rightarrow D_L l^- \bar{\nu}_l$ are given as

$$\begin{aligned} A(B_u^+ \rightarrow D_L l^+ \nu_l) &= q_D^* \langle \bar{D}^0 l^+ \nu_l | \mathcal{H} | B_u^+ \rangle, \\ A(B_u^- \rightarrow D_L l^- \bar{\nu}_l) &= p_D^* \langle D^0 l^- \bar{\nu}_l | \mathcal{H} | B_u^- \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the $\Delta B = \Delta Q$ rule has been assumed. Between these two decay modes, the CP asymmetry \mathcal{A}_L is commonly defined as the ratio of the difference to the sum of their decay rates. By use of ϵ_D , one can obtain the explicit expression of \mathcal{A}_L :

$$\mathcal{A}_L = \frac{|q_D|^2 - |p_D|^2}{|q_D|^2 + |p_D|^2} = -\frac{2 \text{Re}(\epsilon_D)}{1 + |\epsilon_D|^2}. \quad (3)$$

In a similar way, the CP -violating signal \mathcal{A}_H in the transitions $B_u^+ \rightarrow D_H l^+ \nu_l$ vs $B_u^- \rightarrow D_H l^- \bar{\nu}_l$ can be obtained. The result is nothing but $\mathcal{A}_H = \mathcal{A}_L$. Here it should be noted that the smallness of $\text{Re}(\epsilon_D)$ does not mean the smallness of $\text{Im}(\epsilon_D)$. Indeed $|\text{Im}(\epsilon_D)|$ is likely to be close to unity, thus the $|\epsilon_D|^2$ term in \mathcal{A}_L or \mathcal{A}_H cannot be neglected.

If $0 \leq |\epsilon_D| \leq 1$, we find

$$|\text{Re}(\epsilon_D)| \leq |\mathcal{A}_L| = |\mathcal{A}_H| \leq 2 |\text{Re}(\epsilon_D)|. \quad (4)$$

The current experimental data give $\text{Br}(B_u^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 l^+ \nu_l) = (1.6 \pm 0.7)\%$ [1]. It is expected that the branching ratios of $B_u^+ \rightarrow D_L l^+ \nu_l$ and $B_u^+ \rightarrow D_H l^+ \nu_l$ are both at the level of 1%.

If $|\text{Re}(\epsilon_D)|$ is of the order $10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$, then observation of the CP asymmetry \mathcal{A}_L or \mathcal{A}_H to 3 standard deviations needs about $10^7 - 10^9 B_u^\pm$ events. Such a measurement is available at the forthcoming B -meson factories.

3 Within the standard model, Gronau and Wyler have developed an approach to determine the CKM weak phase $\gamma \equiv \arg(-V_{ub}^* V_{cd}^* V_{cb} V_{ud})$ from $B_u^\pm \rightarrow D_{1(2)}^0 K^\pm$, where $D_{1(2)}^0 = [D^0 + (-)\bar{D}^0]/\sqrt{2}$ denotes a CP even (odd) state [9]. This approach has not only theoretical simplicity and cleanliness but also several experimental advantages over the other proposed ways for extraction of γ [11]. Its application could only be affected by the new physics of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing, as we shall see below.

In general, the rephasing-invariant phase shift in $B_u^\pm \rightarrow D_L K^\pm$ or $D_H K^\pm$ is given by

$$\varphi \equiv \arg\left(\frac{V_{ub}^* V_{cs}}{V_{cb}^* V_{us}} \cdot \frac{q_D}{p_D}\right), \quad (5)$$

whose magnitude depends upon the specific mechanism of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing. For the standard model, we have $|D_L\rangle \approx |D_1\rangle$ and $|D_H\rangle \approx |D_2\rangle$ with $q_D/p_D = (V_{cs}^* V_{us})/(V_{cs} V_{us}^*)$. Then unitarity of the 3×3 CKM matrix allows $\varphi \approx \gamma$ to a high degree of accuracy [8]. For some non-standard models like those listed in ref. [3], however, the new physics of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing may influence φ and make it deviate from γ significantly. In this case, the CP asymmetry induced by $|q_D/p_D| \neq 1$ is expected to be negligibly small in comparison with that arising from φ and the strong phase shift in $B_u^\pm \rightarrow D_{L(H)} K^\pm$.

Since the decay modes $B_u^+ \rightarrow D^0 K^+$, $B_u^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+$ and their CP -conjugate counterparts are dominated by the tree-level W -mediated quark diagrams (see Fig. 1 for illustration), one can parametrize the transition amplitudes of $B_u^\pm \rightarrow D_{L(H)} K^\pm$ as

$$\begin{aligned} A(B_u^+ \rightarrow D_{L(H)} K^+) &= p_D^* (V_{ub}^* V_{cs}) A_a e^{i\delta_a} + (-) q_D^* (V_{cb}^* V_{us}) A_b e^{i\delta_b}, \\ A(B_u^- \rightarrow D_{L(H)} K^-) &= p_D^* (V_{cb} V_{us}^*) A_b e^{i\delta_b} + (-) q_D^* (V_{ub} V_{cs}^*) A_a e^{i\delta_a}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where A_a and A_b are real (positive) hadronic matrix elements, and δ_a and δ_b are the corresponding strong phases. Unlike ref. [9], here one cannot use a simple triangular relation to describe the above decay amplitudes. Some specific measurements are possible to establish the following (dimensionless) decay-rate asymmetry:

$$\Delta_{ij} \equiv \frac{|A(B_u^+ \rightarrow D_i K^+)|^2 - |A(B_u^- \rightarrow D_i K^-)|^2}{|A(B_u^+ \rightarrow D^0 K^+)| \cdot |A(B_u^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+)|} \quad (7)$$

with $i, j = L$ or H . Denoting the strong phase difference $\delta_b - \delta_a \equiv \delta$ and using the reasonable approximation $|q_D/p_D| \approx 1$, we explicitly obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{LL} &= 2 \sin \varphi \sin \delta, & \Delta_{HH} &= -2 \sin \varphi \sin \delta, \\ \Delta_{LH} &= 2 \cos \varphi \cos \delta, & \Delta_{HL} &= -2 \cos \varphi \cos \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In experiments, the relations $\Delta_{LL} = -\Delta_{HH}$ and $\Delta_{LH} = -\Delta_{HL}$ can be well examined. Note that only the asymmetries Δ_{LL} and Δ_{HH} represent CP violation, and they vanish if the weak phase shift φ vanishes.

Obviously eq. (8) can be used to extract φ . If the CP asymmetries Δ_{LL} and Δ_{HH} were substantially suppressed due to the smallness of δ , then $\Delta_{LH} = -\Delta_{HL} \approx 2 \cos \varphi$ would be a good approximation. In general, we have

$$\left(\frac{\Delta_{LL}}{\sin \varphi}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta_{LH}}{\cos \varphi}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\Delta_{LL}}{\sin \delta}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta_{LH}}{\cos \delta}\right)^2 = 4. \quad (9)$$

Note that the angle φ (or δ) extracted from the above equation has a few ambiguities in its size and sign. This kind of ambiguities can be removed by studying a set of exclusive decay modes like $B_u^\pm \rightarrow (D^0, \bar{D}^0, D_L, D_H) + (K^\pm, K^{*\pm}, K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-, \text{etc})$ [9]. All such processes have a common weak phase shift φ , but their strong phase shifts δ should be different from one another.

In a similar way, one can make a rephasing-invariant generalization of Dunietz's work in ref. [12], so as to extract the weak phase shift φ from the two-body decays $B_d^0 \rightarrow D_{L(H)} K^{*0}$ and $\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D_{L(H)} \bar{K}^{*0}$.

4 Now we illustrate the possible effect of significant $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing on CP violation in some neutral B -meson decays. For simplicity, we only consider the transitions $B_d^0 \rightarrow (D_L, D_H) + (\pi^0, \rho^0, a_1^0, \text{etc})$ and their CP -conjugate processes. The dominant tree-level W -mediated diagrams for this type of decays are depicted in Fig. 2. We observe that the graph amplitudes in Fig. 2(a) are doubly CKM-suppressed with respect to those in Fig. 2(b), and the ratio of their CKM factors is $|V_{cd}/V_{ud}| \cdot |V_{ub}/V_{cb}| \approx 2\%$. In discussing the indirect CP violation induced by the interplay of decay and $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$ mixing, the contribution of Fig. 2(a) can be safely neglected. By use of this approximation, we obtain the decay amplitudes of $B_d^0 \rightarrow D_{L(H)} M^0$ and $\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D_{L(H)} M^0$ (here $M^0 = \pi^0, \rho^0, \text{etc}$) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A(B_d^0 \rightarrow D_{L(H)} M^0) &\approx q_D^* (V_{cb}^* V_{ud}) A_b e^{i\delta_b}, \\ A(\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D_{L(H)} M^0) &\approx p_D^* (V_{cb} V_{ud}^*) A_b e^{i\delta_b}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where A_b and δ_b are the real hadronic matrix element and the strong phase of Fig. 2(b), respectively. In the above two CP -conjugate amplitudes, we have ignored a possible relative sign arising from CP transformation of the initial and final states [13].

The interplay of decay and $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$ mixing gives rise to CP violation in the B_d decays under consideration. This kind of CP -violating signal is characterized by the following

rephasing-invariant quantity:

$$\mathcal{T}_{DM} \equiv \text{Im} \left[\frac{q_B}{p_B} \cdot \frac{A(\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D_{L(H)}M^0)}{A(B_d^0 \rightarrow D_{L(H)}M^0)} \right] \approx \text{Im} \left[\frac{q_B}{p_B} \cdot \frac{q_D}{p_D} \cdot \frac{V_{cb}V_{ud}^*}{V_{cb}^*V_{ud}} \right], \quad (11)$$

where q_B and p_B are the $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$ mixing parameters, and $|q_D/p_D| \approx 1$ has been used. In the standard model, $q_B/p_B = (V_{tb}^*V_{td})/(V_{tb}V_{td}^*)$ and $q_D/p_D = (V_{cs}^*V_{us})/(V_{cs}V_{us}^*)$. Then we obtain $\mathcal{T}_{DM} \approx -\sin(2\beta)$ with $\beta \equiv \arg(-V_{cb}^*V_{td}^*V_{cd}V_{tb})$, by use of the unitarity condition $V_{ud}^*V_{cd} + V_{us}^*V_{cs} = -V_{ub}^*V_{cb} \approx 0$. Due to the underlying new physics in $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing (perhaps $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$ mixing is affected by the same source of new physics, as illustrated in Sect. 5), what one can extract from \mathcal{T}_{DM} is indeed a complicated weak phase shift which is possible to differ from 2β significantly.

The decay modes $B_d \rightarrow D_{L(H)}M^0$ can be measured at an asymmetric B -meson factory running on the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance, where the B 's are produced in a two-body state ($B_u^+B_u^-$ or $B_d^0\bar{B}_d^0$) with odd charge-conjugation parity. Because the two B_d mesons mix coherently until one of them decays, one may use the semileptonic decay of one meson to tag the flavor of the other meson decaying to $D_{L(H)}M^0$. The (proper) time distribution of the joint decay rates can be given as [14]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R}(l^-, D_{L(H)}M^0; \Delta t) &\propto e^{-\Gamma_B|\Delta t|} [1 - \mathcal{T}_{DM} \cdot \sin(x_B\Gamma_B\Delta t)], \\ \text{R}(l^+, D_{L(H)}M^0; \Delta t) &\propto e^{-\Gamma_B|\Delta t|} [1 + \mathcal{T}_{DM} \cdot \sin(x_B\Gamma_B\Delta t)], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where Δt is the time difference between the semileptonic and nonleptonic decays², $x_B \approx 0.71$ is a mixing parameter of the $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$ system, and Γ_B is the average width of B_d mass eigenstates. Clearly the CP -violating term \mathcal{T}_{DM} is determinable from measurements of the above joint decay rates.

It is worthwhile at this point to emphasize the prospect for detecting the decays $B_d \rightarrow D_{L(H)}M^0$ at the forthcoming facilities of B mesons. With the help of current data $\text{Br}(B_u^- \rightarrow D^0\rho^-) \approx 1.34 \times 10^{-2}$, $\text{Br}(\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D^+\rho^-) \approx 7.8 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\text{Br}(\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D^0\rho^0) < 5.5 \times 10^{-4}$ [1], an isospin analysis shows that there are not significant final-state interactions in $B \rightarrow D\rho$ and the branching ratio of $\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D^0\rho^0$ has a lower bound $\text{Br}(\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D^0\rho^0) \geq 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$ [15]. Therefore, the transitions $\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow D^0\rho^0$ and $B_d \rightarrow D_{L(H)}\rho^0$ are able to be measured in the near future. Since all decays of the type $B_d \rightarrow D_{L(H)}M^0$ are governed by the same weak parameters, their branching ratios are expected to be of the same order as $\text{Br}(B_d \rightarrow D_{L(H)}\rho^0)$.

5 Finally let us take the model with four families of quarks for example, to look at how the new physics induced by the fourth family (t' , b') affects $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing, $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$ mixing

²Note that the time sum of the semileptonic and nonleptonic decays has been integrated out, since it is not measured at a B -meson factory [14].

and the relevant weak decays of B mesons. Now quark mixings are described by a 4×4 unitary matrix, and the unitarity triangles of the 3×3 CKM matrix become the unitarity quadrangles in the complex plane [8]. Assuming that the box diagram of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing is dominated by the heaviest down-type quark b' , one gets

$$\frac{q_D}{p_D} = \frac{V_{cb'}^* V_{ub'}}{V_{cb'} V_{ub'}^*} \equiv e^{i\phi_{b'}} \quad (13)$$

to a good degree of accuracy. In contrast, the t' quark contributes significantly to $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$ mixing [16]. The ratio q_B/p_B can be given as

$$\frac{q_B}{p_B} = \frac{V_{tb}^* V_{td}}{V_{tb} V_{td}^*} e^{i\phi_{t'}} , \quad (14)$$

where

$$\phi_{t'} = \arg \left[1 + 2 \frac{V_{t'b}^* V_{t'd}}{V_{tb}^* V_{td}} \cdot \frac{E(t, t')}{E(t, t)} + \frac{(V_{t'b}^* V_{t'd})^2}{(V_{tb}^* V_{td})^2} \cdot \frac{E(t', t')}{E(t, t)} \right] \quad (15)$$

with $E(i, j)$ being the box-diagram function for the internal i and j quarks [17]. It is clear that $|q_D/p_D| \approx 1$ and $|q_B/p_B| \approx 1$ are two safe approximations. However, small deviation of $|q_D/p_D|$ from unity (e.g., at the level of $10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$) could lead to a measurable effect in the semileptonic decays $B_u^+ \rightarrow D_{L(H)} l^+ \nu_l$ vs $B_u^- \rightarrow D_{L(H)} l^- \bar{\nu}_l$, as we have discussed in Sect. 2.

For explicitness, we adopt the Botella-Chau parametrization for the 4×4 quark mixing matrix [18], in which there are three CP -violating phases ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 . The phases ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 are induced by (t', b') , while ϕ_1 can be regarded as the original phase of the 3×3 CKM matrix. It is easy to obtain $\phi_{b'} = 2(\phi_3 - \phi_2)$, but $\phi_{t'}$ appears to be a complicated function of ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 . Assuming a natural hierarchy among the four-family quark mixings [19], we find that in the lowest-order approximation only ϕ_1 enters elements of the 3×3 submatrix: V_{ij} (with $i, j < 4$). Thus one can still use the denotions $\beta \approx \arg(V_{td}^*)$ and $\gamma \approx \arg(V_{ub}^*)$, where β and γ stand for two angles of the conventional unitarity triangle [1]. From eqs. (5) and (11), we get

$$\varphi \approx \gamma + \phi_{b'} \quad (16a)$$

in $B_u^\pm \rightarrow D_{L(H)} K^\pm$; and

$$\mathcal{T}_{DM} \approx -\sin(2\beta - \phi_{b'} - \phi_{t'}) \quad (16b)$$

for $B_d \rightarrow D_{L(H)} M^0$. Clearly these two types of decay modes can be contaminated by the existence of the fourth quark family.

Following the assumption of a natural hierarchy among $|V_{ij}|$ (with $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$), we realize that the approximate triangular relation $V_{ud}^* V_{cd} + V_{us}^* V_{cs} + V_{ub}^* V_{cb} = -V_{ub'}^* V_{cb'} \approx 0$ may hold. As a consequence, γ can be approximately determined from

$$\gamma \approx \arccos \left(\frac{|V_{ud} V_{cd}|^2 + |V_{ub} V_{cb}|^2 - |V_{us} V_{cs}|^2}{2 |V_{ud} V_{cd}| \cdot |V_{ub} V_{cb}|} \right) . \quad (17)$$

All the matrix elements in eq. (17) have been measured in experiments [1], but the precision of their values (in particular, $|V_{cd}|$, $|V_{cs}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$) need be further improved in order to make the determination of γ available. It is expected that a comparison between the experimental values of γ (from eq. (17)) and φ (from eq. (16a)), if possible, can signify the nonvanishing $\phi_{b\prime}$ and give a constraint on its magnitude. From this point, one could have got a feeling why $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing is a useful window for probing new physics.

In conclusion, the presence of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing at a detectable level ($x_D \sim 10^{-2}$) requires new physics and may lead to some observable effects in weak decays of B mesons. A careful study of the decay rates and CP asymmetries for those B transitions with neutral D mesons in the final states is able to shed light on the possible new physics in $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing. Measurements of some such B decay modes are available at the forthcoming B factories and other high-luminosity facilities for B physics.

I would like to thank Prof. H. Fritzsch for his warm hospitality and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for its financial support. I am greatly indebted to Prof. D.M. Kaplan for his enlightening and constructive comments on this work. An interesting discussion with Dr. H. Simma in Strasbourg is also acknowledged.

References

- [1] Particle Data Group, L. Montanet et al., Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 1173.
- [2] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 2460;
 J.L. Hewett, preprint SLAC-PUB-6821 (presented at the Lafex International School on High Energy Physics, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, February 6 - 22, 1995);
 G. Burdman, preprint Fermilab-Conf-95/281-T (presented at the Workshop on the Tau/Charm Factory, Argonne, June 21 - 23, 1995);
 A.L. Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pène, and J.C. Raynal, preprint LPTHE-Orsay 95/15 (presented at the Journées sur les projets de Physique Hadronique, Société, Française de Physique, Super-Besse, France, 12 - 14 janvier, 1995).
- [3] G. Blaylock, A. Seiden, and Y. Nir, Phys. Lett. B355 (1995) 555;
 T.E. Browder and S. Pakvasa, preprint UH 511-828-95 (1995).
- [4] See, e.g., K.S. Babu, X.G. He, X.Q. Li, and S. Pakvasa, Phys. Lett. B205 (1988) 540.

- [5] G.C. Branco, P.A. Parada, and M.N. Rebelo, preprint CFIF-IST-4/94 (1994).
- [6] See, e.g., Y.L. Wu and L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 1762.
- [7] Y. Nir and D. Silverman, Nucl. Phys. B345 (1990) 301;
Y. Nir and H.R. Quinn, in *B Physics*, edited by S. Stone (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992), p. 362.
- [8] Z.Z. Xing, preprint LMU-13/95 (presented at the Conference on Production and Decay of Hyperons, Charm and Beauty Hadrons, Strasbourg, France, September 5 - 8, 1995).
- [9] M. Gronau and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B265 (1991) 172.
- [10] D.M. Kaplan, preprint IIT-HEP-95/3 (presented at the Workshop on the Tau/Charm Factory, Argonne National Laboratory, June 21 - 23, 1995); preprint IIT-HEP-95/4 (presented at the Four Scas Conference, SISSA, Trieste, Italy, June 26 - July 1, 1995); T. Liu, Princeton preprint HEP/95-6 (presented at the Workshop on the Tau/Charm Factory, Argonne National Laboratory, June 21 - 23, 1995); J.L. Hewett, preprint SLAC-PUB-6695 (to appear in *The Tau-Charm Factory in the Era of B Factories and CESR*, Stanford, CA, August 15 - 16, 1994).
- [11] See, e.g., S. Stone, Nucl. Inst. & Meth. A333 (1993) 15.
- [12] I. Dunietz, Phys. Lett. B270 (1991) 75.
- [13] For a detailed clarification of CP parities in the final states, see: I. Dunietz and A. Snyder, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 1593.
- [14] Z.Z. Xing, preprint LMU-22/94 (to appear in Phys. Rev. D53, Vol. 1, 1 January 1996); Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) R2957; H. Fritzsch, D.D. Wu, and Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B328 (1994) 477.
- [15] Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B364 (1995) 55.
- [16] See, e.g., W.S. Hou and A. Soni, Phys. Lett. B196 (1987) 92.
- [17] T. Inami and C.S. Lim, Prog. Theor. Phys. 65 (1981) 297; 1772 (E).
- [18] F.J. Botella and L.L. Chau, Phys. Lett. B168 (1986) 97.
- [19] C. Hamzaoui, A.I. Sanda, and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett 63 (1989) 128.




Figure 1: The dominant tree-level W -mediated diagrams for $B_u^+ \rightarrow D_L K^+$ or $D_H K^+$.




Figure 2: The dominant tree-level W -mediated diagrams for $B_d^0 \rightarrow D_L M^0$ or $D_H M^0$, where M^0 represents the meson π^0, ρ^0, a_1^0 , etc.