

A Time-independent Way to Probe $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ Mixing at τ -charm Factories

ZHI-ZHONG XING ¹

*Sektion Physik, Theoretische Physik, Universität München,
Theresienstrasse 37, D-80333 München, Germany*

and

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Nagoya University,
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-01, Japan ²*

Abstract

$D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing leads to the mass and width differences in the mass eigenstates of D^0 and \bar{D}^0 mesons (measured by parameters x_D and y_D respectively), but their magnitudes cannot be reliably predicted by the standard model. We show that it is possible to separately determine x_D and y_D through *time-integrated* measurements of the dilepton events of coherent $D^0\bar{D}^0$ decays on the $\psi(4.14)$ resonance at a τ -charm factory.

¹Electronic address: xing@eken.phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp

²Mailing address

It is known in charm physics that mixing between D^0 and \bar{D}^0 mesons can arise naturally, since both of them couple to a subset of the virtual and real intermediate states. The rate of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing is commonly measured by two well-defined parameters x_D and y_D , which correspond to the mass and width differences in the mass eigenstates of D^0 and \bar{D}^0 . In the standard model the short-distance (box diagram) contribution to $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing is expected to be negligibly small [1], but different approaches to the long-distance effects have given dramatically different estimates for the magnitudes of x_D and y_D [2, 3, 4, 5].

The latest E691 data of Fermilab fixed target experiments give an upper bound on $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing: $r_D \approx (x_D^2 + y_D^2)/2 < 0.37\%$ [6]. If x_D and y_D are well below 10^{-2} as predicted by the dispersive approach of ref. [2] or the heavy quark effective theory of ref. [4], then the observation of r_D at the level of 10^{-4} or so will imply the presence of new physics [7, 8, 9, 10].

Today much more theoretical effort is needed to make sure of the order of x_D and y_D . On the experimental side, searches for $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing to a high degree of accuracy (e.g., $r_D \sim 10^{-4}$ to 10^{-5}) are expected to be available at future fixed target facilities, B -meson factories and τ -charm factories [11, 12, 13].

In this work we investigate how the subtlety of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing can be probed at a τ -charm factory. We show that it is possible to separately determine the mixing parameters x_D and y_D by use of the *time-integrated* dilepton events of coherent $D^0\bar{D}^0$ decays at the $\psi(4.14)$ resonance. The importance of such measurements is that knowledge of the relative magnitude of x_D and y_D can definitely clarify the ambiguities of current theoretical estimates and shed light on possible sources of new physics in $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing.

In the presence of CP violation, the CP eigenstates $|D_1\rangle \equiv (|D^0\rangle + |\bar{D}^0\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|D_2\rangle \equiv (|D^0\rangle - |\bar{D}^0\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ are related to the mass eigenstates $|D_L\rangle$ and $|D_H\rangle$ by

$$\begin{aligned} |D_L\rangle &= \cosh(i\phi)|D_1\rangle - \sinh(i\phi)|D_2\rangle, \\ |D_H\rangle &= \cosh(i\phi)|D_2\rangle - \sinh(i\phi)|D_1\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the subscripts ‘‘L’’ and ‘‘H’’ stand for Light and Heavy respectively, and ϕ is a complex phase. The proper-time evolution of an initially ($t = 0$) pure D^0 or \bar{D}^0 is given as

$$\begin{aligned} |D^0(t)\rangle &= f_0(t) \left[f_+(t)|D^0\rangle + \exp(+i2\phi)f_-(t)|\bar{D}^0\rangle \right], \\ |\bar{D}^0(t)\rangle &= f_0(t) \left[f_+(t)|\bar{D}^0\rangle + \exp(-i2\phi)f_-(t)|D^0\rangle \right], \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

in which the evolution functions read $f_0(t) = \exp[-(im + \Gamma/2)t]$, $f_+(t) = \cosh[(i\Delta m - \Delta\Gamma/2)t/2]$ and $f_-(t) = \sinh[(i\Delta m - \Delta\Gamma/2)t/2]$. Here we have used the common notations $m \equiv (m_L + m_H)/2$, $\Gamma \equiv (\Gamma_L + \Gamma_H)/2$, $\Delta m \equiv m_H - m_L$ and $\Delta\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_L - \Gamma_H$. Furthermore, we define $x_D \equiv \Delta m/\Gamma$ and $y_D \equiv \Delta\Gamma/(2\Gamma)$ as two characteristic parameters of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing.

For fixed target experiments or e^+e^- collisions at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance, the D^0 and \bar{D}^0 mesons can be produced incoherently. Knowledge of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing is expected to come from ratios of the wrong-sign to right-sign events of semileptonic D decays:

$$r \equiv \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow l^- X^+)}{\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow l^+ X^-)} = \exp(-4\text{Im}\phi) \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 + \alpha}, \quad (3a)$$

$$\bar{r} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow l^+ X^-)}{\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow l^- X^+)} = \exp(+4\text{Im}\phi) \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 + \alpha}, \quad (3b)$$

where $\alpha = (1 - y_D^2)/(1 + x_D^2)$. Note that nonvanishing $\text{Im}\phi$ signifies CP violation in $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing. To fit more accurate data in the near future, we prefer the following mixing parameter:

$$r_D \equiv \frac{r + \bar{r}}{2} = \cosh(4\text{Im}\phi) \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 + \alpha}. \quad (4)$$

For $\text{Im}\phi \sim 1\%$, the value of $\cosh(4\text{Im}\phi)$ deviates less than 0.1% from unity. Thus this overall factor of r_D is safely negligible³. The latest E691 data [6] give $r \approx \bar{r} \approx r_D \approx (x_D^2 + y_D^2)/2 < 0.37\%$ for small x_D and y_D , where $\exp(-4\text{Im}\phi) \approx \exp(+4\text{Im}\phi) \approx 1$, a worse approximation than $\cosh(4\text{Im}\phi) \approx 1$, has been used.

For a τ -charm factory running at the $\psi(4.14)$ resonance, the coherent $D^0\bar{D}^0$ events can be produced through $\psi(4.14) \rightarrow \gamma(D^0\bar{D}^0)_{C=+}$ or $\psi(4.14) \rightarrow \pi^0(D^0\bar{D}^0)_{C=-}$, where C stands for the charge-conjugation parity [7, 13]. The time-dependent wave function for a $(D^0\bar{D}^0)_C$ pair at rest is written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|D^0(\mathbf{k}, t)\rangle \otimes |\bar{D}^0(-\mathbf{k}, t)\rangle + C |D^0(-\mathbf{k}, t)\rangle \otimes |\bar{D}^0(\mathbf{k}, t)\rangle \right], \quad (5)$$

where \mathbf{k} is the three-momentum vector of D^0 and \bar{D}^0 mesons. For our purpose, we only consider the primary dilepton events which are directly emitted from the coherent $(D^0\bar{D}^0)_C$ decays. Let $N_C^{\pm\pm}$ and N_C^{+-} denote the time-integrated numbers of like-sign and opposite-sign dilepton events, respectively. After a straightforward calculation [7, 14, 15], we obtain

$$N_C^{++} = N_C \exp(+4\text{Im}\phi) \left[\frac{1 + Cy_D^2}{(1 - y_D^2)^2} - \frac{1 - Cx_D^2}{(1 + x_D^2)^2} \right], \quad (6a)$$

³Indeed the magnitude of $\text{Im}\phi$ can be determined by measurements of the CP asymmetry $(\bar{r} - r)/(\bar{r} + r)$, which is equal to $\sinh(4\text{Im}\phi)/\cosh(4\text{Im}\phi) \approx 4\text{Im}\phi$.

$$N_C^{- -} = N_C \exp(-4\text{Im}\phi) \left[\frac{1 + Cy_D^2}{(1 - y_D^2)^2} - \frac{1 - Cx_D^2}{(1 + x_D^2)^2} \right], \quad (6b)$$

and

$$N_C^{+ -} = 2N_C \left[\frac{1 + Cy_D^2}{(1 - y_D^2)^2} + \frac{1 - Cx_D^2}{(1 + x_D^2)^2} \right], \quad (7)$$

where N_C is the normalization factor proportional to the rates of semileptonic D^0 and \bar{D}^0 decays. It is easy to check that the relation

$$N_-^{++} N_+^{- -} = N_+^{++} N_-^{- -} \quad (8)$$

holds stringently, and it is independent of the magnitudes of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing and CP violation.

Note that a coherent $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ pair with $C = -$ can be straightforwardly produced from the decay of the $\psi(3.77)$ resonance [10, 13]. Its time-independent decay rates to the like-sign and opposite-sign dileptons obey eqs. (6) and (7), respectively. At a τ -charm factory the $(D^0 \bar{D}^0)_{C=-}$ events at both the $\psi(3.77)$ and $\psi(4.14)$ resonances will be accumulated, and a combination of them may increase the sensitiveness of our approach to probing $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing.

Usually one is interested in the following two types of observables:

$$a_C \equiv \frac{N_C^{++} - N_C^{- -}}{N_C^{++} + N_C^{- -}}, \quad r_C \equiv \frac{N_C^{++} + N_C^{- -}}{N_C^{+ -}}, \quad (9)$$

which signify nonvanishing CP violation and $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing, respectively. Explicitly, we find

$$a_- = a_+ = \frac{\sinh(4\text{Im}\phi)}{\cosh(4\text{Im}\phi)} \approx 4\text{Im}\phi \quad (10)$$

for small $\text{Im}\phi$. If $\text{Im}\phi$ is at the level of 10^{-3} or larger, it can be measured to 3 standard deviations at the second-round experiments of a τ -charm factory with about 10^7 like-sign dileptons (or equivalently, about 10^{10} $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ events). Furthermore,

$$r_- = \cosh(4\text{Im}\phi) \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 + \alpha}, \quad r_+ = \cosh(4\text{Im}\phi) \frac{\beta - \alpha^2}{\beta + \alpha^2}, \quad (11)$$

where $\beta = (1 + y_D^2)/(1 - x_D^2)$. One can see that $r_- = r_D$ holds without any approximation. For small x_D and y_D , we have $r_- \approx (x_D^2 + y_D^2)/2$ and $r_+ \approx 3r_-$. These two approximate results are well-known in the literature (see, e.g., [7]). In such an approximation, however, the relative size of x_D^2 and y_D^2 cannot be determined.

To distinguish between the different contributions of x_D and y_D to $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing, one has to measure r_{\pm} as precisely as possible. With the help of eq. (11), we show that the magnitudes

of x_D and y_D can be separately determined as follows:

$$x_D^2 = \left(\frac{1+r_-}{1-r_-} \cdot \frac{1+3r_-}{1-r_-} - \frac{1+r_+}{1-r_+} \right) \left(\frac{1+r_-}{1-r_-} - \frac{1+r_+}{1-r_+} \right)^{-1}, \quad (12a)$$

$$y_D^2 = \left(\frac{1-r_-}{1+r_-} \cdot \frac{1-3r_-}{1+r_-} - \frac{1-r_+}{1+r_+} \right) \left(\frac{1-r_+}{1+r_+} - \frac{1-r_-}{1+r_-} \right)^{-1}. \quad (12b)$$

Here it is worth emphasizing that $\cosh(4\text{Im}\phi)$ as the overall (and common) factor of r_D , r_- and r_+ can be safely neglected. In the approximations up to $O(r_-^2)$ and $O(r_+^2)$, we obtain two simpler relations:

$$x_D^2 - y_D^2 \approx 2 \frac{r_+ - 3r_-}{r_+ - r_-}, \quad x_D^2 + y_D^2 \approx 4r_- \frac{r_+ - 2r_-}{r_+ - r_-}. \quad (13)$$

Thus it is crucial to examine the deviation of the ratio r_+/r_- from 3, in order to find the difference between x_D^2 and y_D^2 . Instructively, we consider three special cases:

$$x_D \gg y_D \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{r_+}{r_-} \approx 3 + 2r_- > 3, \quad (14a)$$

$$x_D \approx y_D \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{r_+}{r_-} \approx 3 - 9r_-^2 \approx 3, \quad (14b)$$

$$x_D \ll y_D \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{r_+}{r_-} \approx 3 - 2r_- < 3. \quad (14c)$$

These relations can be directly derived from eq. (11) or (12). If r_- is close to the current experimental bound (i.e., $r_- = r_D \approx (x_D^2 + y_D^2)/2 < 0.37\%$), then measurements of r_+/r_- to the accuracy of 10^{-4} can definitely establish the relative magnitude of x_D and y_D . To this goal, about 10^8 like-sign dileptons (or equivalently, about 10^{11} events of $(D^0\bar{D}^0)_{C=-}$ and $(D^0\bar{D}^0)_{C=+}$ pairs) are needed. Certainly such a measurement can only be carried out at the second-generation τ -charm factories (beyond the ones under consideration at present).

In principle, there is another possibility to determine x_D^2 and y_D^2 separately. If the production cross-sections of $\psi(4.14) \rightarrow \gamma(D^0\bar{D}^0)_{C=+}$ and $\psi(4.14) \rightarrow \pi^0(D^0\bar{D}^0)_{C=-}$ can be reliably predicted or measured, it is possible to fix the ratio of the two normalization factors (i.e., $n \equiv N_-/N_+$) independent of the dilepton events shown in eqs. (6) and (7). Then an asymmetry between the $C = -$ and $C = +$ opposite-sign dilepton events can be obtained as follows:

$$\Delta^{+-} \equiv \frac{N_+^{+-} - N_-^{+-}}{N_+^{+-} + N_-^{+-}} \approx \frac{1-n}{1+n} - \frac{3-n}{1+n} \cdot \frac{x_D^2 - y_D^2}{2}. \quad (15)$$

We see that $n = 1$ is the most favorable case (i.e., $(D^0\bar{D}^0)_{C=+}$ and $(D^0\bar{D}^0)_{C=-}$ events have the same production rate at the $\psi(4.14)$ resonance), in which Δ^{+-} directly measures the difference

between x_D^2 and y_D^2 . A comparison between Δ^{+-} and r_- (or r_+) is able to determine x_D^2 and y_D^2 separately. In this way r_- need not be measured as precisely as in the first approach discussed above, however, the accurate value of n is necessary. Unfortunately, it seems impossible at present to precisely determine n from either theory or experiments.

We have shown that it is possible to separately determine the $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing parameters x_D and y_D by time-integrated measurements of the dilepton events of $(D^0 \bar{D}^0)_{C=\pm}$ decays at a τ -charm factory. In the assumption of a dedicated accelerator running for one year at an average luminosity of $10^{33} \text{s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, about 10^7 events of $\gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0)_{C=+}$ and the similar number of $\pi^0(D^0 \bar{D}^0)_{C=-}$ are expected to be produced at the $\psi(4.14)$ resonance [13]⁴. The precision of 10^{-4} to 10^{-5} in measurements of r_- and r_+ is achievable if one assumes zero background and enough running time [13, 16]. To measure the ratio r_+/r_- up to the accuracy of 10^{-4} , however, much experimental effort is needed. If $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ mixing were at the level of $r_D \sim 10^{-3}$ (or at least $r_D \geq 10^{-4}$), then the relative magnitude of x_D and y_D should be detectable in the second-round experiments of a τ -charm factory.

I would like to thank H. Fritzsche and A.I. Sanda for their warm hospitality during my research stay in München and Nagoya, respectively. I am also grateful to D. M. Kaplan and T. Liu for some useful discussions. This work was supported by both the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

- [1] H.Y. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D26 (1982) 143; A. Datta and D. Kumbhakar, Z. Phys. C27 (1985) 515.
- [2] J.F. Donoghue et al., Phys. Rev. D33 (1986) 179.
- [3] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Lett. B164 (1985) 170.
- [4] H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. B297 (1992) 353; T. Ohl, G. Ricciardi, and E.H. Simmons, Nucl. Phys. B403 (1993) 605.

⁴A rough estimate gives $n \approx 0.78$. Note that more $(D^0 \bar{D}^0)_{C=-}$ events can be produced at the $\psi(3.77)$ resonance, but they are only applicable to the measurement of r_- .

- [5] For a brief review, see: G. Burdman, Report No. Fermilab-Conf-94/200 (1994); Fermilab-Conf-95/281-T (1995).
- [6] Particle Data Group, L. Montanet et al., Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 1173.
- [7] I.I. Bigi and A.I. Sanda, Phys. Lett. B171 (1986) 320; I.I. Bigi, SLAC Report No. 343 (1989) 169; I.I. Bigi, Report No. Fermilab-Conf-94/190 (1994).
- [8] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 2460.
- [9] S. Pakvasa, Report No. UH-511-787-94 (1994); J.L. Hewett, Report No. SLAC-PUB-95-6821 (1995); G. Blaylock, A. Seiden, and Y. Nir, Phys. Lett. B355 (1995) 555; T.E. Browder and S. Pakvasa, Report No. UH-511-828-95 (1995).
- [10] Z.Z. Xing, Report No. LMU-20/95 (accepted for publication in Phys. Lett. B).
- [11] D.M. Kaplan, Report No. Fermilab-Conf-94/190 (1994); IIT-HEP-95/3 (1995).
- [12] T. Liu, Report No. HUTP-94/E021 (1994); Princeton/HEP/95-6 (1995).
- [13] J.R. Fry and T. Ruf, Report No. CERN-PPE/94-20 (1994).
- [14] Explicit formulas for coherent $B^0\bar{B}^0$ decays can be found in: I.I. Bigi and A.I. Sanda, Nucl. Phys. B281 (1987) 41; I.I. Bigi, V.A. Khoze, N.G. Uraltsev, and A.I. Sanda, in *CP Violation*, edited by C. Jarlskog (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988), p. 175.
- [15] A generic formalism for the time-dependent and time-integrated decays of coherent $P^0\bar{P}^0$ pairs ($P = K, D, B_d^0$ or B_s^0) has been given by Z.Z. Xing, in Phys. Rev. D53 (1996) 204.
- [16] See, e.g., G. Gladding, SLAC Report No. 343 (1989) 159; U. Karshon, SLAC Report No. 343 (1989) 706; W. Toki, SLAC Report No. 343 (1989) 57.