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#### Abstract

W e evaluate the N coupling constant using a QCD sum nule based on the pion-to-vacuum $m$ atrix elem ent of the correlator of tw o interpolating nucleon elds. The part of the correlator w ith D irac structure ${ }_{k}{ }_{5}$ is used, keeping all term sup to dim ension 5 in the OPE and including continuum contributions on the phenom enological side. The ratio of this sum rule to the nucleon sum rule involving condensates of odd dim ension yields stable results w ith values of $g_{\mathrm{N}}$ in the range 12 5. The sources of uncertainty are discussed.


U nderstanding hadron interactions from rst principles is one of the $m$ ain goals of $Q$ uantum Chrom odynam ics (QCD). Since the solution of QCD for hadron interactions at low energies is still far o it is useful to consider tackle problem s of hadron dynam ics w ith approaches that lie as close as possible to $Q C D$. O ne of them, the m ethod of $Q C D$ sum rules [1i1], has proved to be a very powerfult tool to extract inform ation about hadron properties.

[^0]W e present here a sum rule analysis of the $N$ coupling constant $g_{N}$, which is one of the m ost im portant param eters in hadron physics. This quantity has previously been exam ined within the fram ew ork of QCD sum rules in Refs. . [2̄] ] worked w th two di erent sum nules for $g_{N}$, one obtained from a correlator of three interpolating elds, and one based on the pion-to-vacuum matrix elem ent of the correlator of two interpolating nucleon elds, :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 \mathfrak{j} f(x)^{-}(0) g j^{a}(k) i ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever those authors included only the leading term of operator product expansion (OPE) and neglected continuum contributions. Shiom i and H atsuda [3] developed the sum nule based on the part of this two-point correlation function with D irac structure ${ }_{5}$. They calculated $g_{N}$ in the soft-pion lim it, including condensates up to dim ension 7 in the OPE, radiative corrections and a perturbative estim ate of continuum contributions.

The $m$ ethod based on the two-point correlator ( $\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) has a signi cant advantage in that it can be used for low values of the $m$ om entum transfer to the nucleon. In contrast the OPE of the three-point correlator is valid only for large spacelike $m$ eson $m$ om enta and so a determ ination of the coupling constant requires an extrapolation to zero $m$ om entum (see, for exam ple [ī]). This procedure is dangerous because of the higher-order tem $s$ that have been om itted from the OPE. The contributions of these term sive rise to corrections that are proportional to large inverse powers of the $m$ eson $m$ om entum $k, m$ aking the extrapolation of a truncated OPE unreliable. Estim ates of the coupling constant from the coe cient of
 the contributions of higher-m ass states in the sam em eson channel.

In the soft-pion lim it the OPE for the ${ }_{5}$ part of the two-point correlator for $g_{N}$ has
 dim ension, up a factor of $1=f$ [2]ish] . Ifcontinuum corrections are neglected, the ratio of these two sum rules has the form of the $G$ oldberger-T reim an relation $w$ th $g_{A}=1$ [2̄]. Shiom iand H atsuda [ili] showed that this continues to hold for the higher-dim ension term s in the OPE,
provided that the continuum thresholds are taken to be the sam e for both sum rules. U sing di erent thresholds in the tw o sum rules they were $a b l e$ to get around this problem $w$ ith the im plied value of $g_{A}$.

H ow ever, using the usual soft-pion theorem [ig], the correlator (1) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{~h} 0 j Q_{5}^{a} ; \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}) ;{ }^{\mathrm{y}}(0)\right) \mathrm{JjOi}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \mathrm{f}} \mathrm{f}_{5}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \text {;h0 } \mathrm{H}\left((\mathrm{x}) ;{ }^{\mathrm{y}}(0)\right) \mathrm{j} 0 \mathrm{ig} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{5}^{a}$ is the axial charge and we have $m$ ade use of the transform ation properties of the interpolating eld under axial rotations ' $\left.{ }_{[ }[9], Q_{5}^{a} ;\right]=\frac{1}{2} 5^{a}$. The antioom $m$ utator w ith 5 picks out the part of the two-point correlator proportional to the unit D irac m atrix. The phenom enological side of the resulting sum rule is thus $i_{5}=f$ tim es the corresponding expression for the odd-condensate nucleon sum rule. Thism atches exactly w ith the structure


The soft-pion lim it for the 5 piece of the correlator (1) thus yields a sum nule for $M_{N}=f=g_{N}=g_{A}$. The value for $g_{N}$ determ ined from such a rum rule follows from the odd-condensate sum nule for the nucleon $m$ ass and the $G$ oldberger- $T$ reim an relation (or an approxim ation to it taking $g_{A}=1$ ). The sum nule can be thought of as just a chiral rotation of the odd-condensate nucleon sum rule and not an independent determ ination of $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}} \cdot \mathrm{By}$ considering term s beyond the soft-pion lim it, we obtain here a value for $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}$ that is not sim ply a consequence of chiralsym $m$ etry. The sum rule we use is thus analogous to that for
 couplings.
$M$ oreover, a potentially im portant piece of the phenom enological side of the sum rule for $g_{\mathrm{N}}$ has been om itted in both calculations. This term corresponds to transitions of where a ground-state nucleon absorbs the pion and is excited into the continuum. Since it is not suppressed by the B orel transform ation such a term should be included in a consistent sum -
 soft-pion lim it, such term s generate contact interactions where the pion couples directly to the nucleon eld, $\mathrm{hN}(\mathrm{p}) \bar{\jmath}_{\mathrm{n}}(0) \mathrm{j}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{i}$, and which are essential if the correct soft-pion lim it
is to be obtained. A though the need for these term $s$ is partioularly clear if pseudovector N coupling is used (see for exam ple (hey coupling. Their om ission in Refs. 解 relation was not found there. Indeed, as the authors of $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { T, }\end{array}\right]$ point out, a quidk estim ate of these unsuppressed N contributions suggests that they could be as large as 25\% : enough to rem ove the discrepancy w the the oldberger-T reim an relation.

Here we start from the two-point correlator ( $\overline{1}$ (1) just discussed, but instead of the piece w th w ith D irac structure 5 considered in Refs. k is the pion m om entum. We work here to leading order in a chiral expansion, neglecting higher-order term $s$ in the pion $m$ om entum or current quark $m$ ass.

W e consider the tw o-point correlation function

$$
(p)=i^{z} \quad d^{4} x \exp (i p \quad x) h 0 \nmid f f_{p}(x)_{n}^{-}(0) g j^{+}(k) i ;
$$

where we use the Io e interpolating eldil ${ }_{1}^{-}[6]$ for the proton,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=\quad a b c\left[u^{a}(x)^{T} C \quad u^{b}(x)\right]_{5} \quad d^{c}(x) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $a ; b ; c$ are the colour indiges and $C$ is the charge conjugation $m$ atrix. (T he corresponding neutron eld $n$ is obtained by interchanging $u$ and dquark elds.) M ore general choiges of interpolating eld are possible, as discussed in detail by Leinw eber '[1] [1] . For the odd-condensate nucleon sum rule, which we make use of in our determ ination of $g_{N}$, it tums out that the Io e eld is close to the optim al one as determ ined in Refle ${ }^{-1 / 4]}$ ] and so we do not consider m ore general elds here.

In the deeply Euclidean region ( $p^{2}$ large and negative) the OPE of the product of two interpolating elds takes the follow ing general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{z} d^{4} x \exp (\text { ip } x) T f_{p}(x)_{n}^{-}(0) g={ }_{n}^{x} C_{n}(p) O_{n} \text {; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{n}(p)$ are the $W$ ilson coe cients and $O_{n}$ are local operators constructed out of quark and ghon elds (all renorm alised at som e scale ). U sing this OPE in the correlator ( $\overline{1} \overline{1}$ ), we
nd that only operators of odd dim ension contribute. The leading tem in this expansion involves operators w ith dim ension 3 and has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
3(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{k})=\frac{1}{2^{2}} \mathrm{p}^{2} \ln \left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right) \mathrm{h} 0 \overline{\mathrm{j}} \quad{ }_{5} \mathrm{uj}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{i} \quad 5^{+} \quad ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where term $s$ that do not contribute to the D irac structure of interest, $\nmid{ }_{5}$, have been suppressed. The $m$ atrix elem ent here is just the usual one for pion decay:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 \overline{\mathrm{j}} \quad{ }_{5} u j^{+}(k) i={ }_{i}^{p} \overline{2} \mathrm{fk} \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{f}=93 \mathrm{MeV}$ is the pion decay constant.
At dim ension 5 the only relevant contribution arises from the second-order term in the covariant expansion of the nonlocal operator $\bar{d}(0) \quad 5 u(x)$. It has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
5(\mathrm{p})=\frac{5}{9^{2}} \ln \left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right) \mathrm{h} 0 \overline{\mathrm{j}} \quad{ }_{5} \mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{u} j^{+}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{i} \quad 5^{+} \quad: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Up to corrections of higher order in the current $m$ ass, this can easily be re-expressed in term s of a m ixed quark-ghon condensate

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 \overline{\bar{j}} \quad{ }_{5} D^{2} u j^{+}(k) i=\frac{g_{s}}{2} h 0 \overline{\bar{j}} \quad 5 \quad G \quad u j^{+}(k) i+O\left(m_{c}^{2}\right): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Som efurther manipulation allow s one to rew rite this in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 \overline{\bar{j}} \quad{ }_{5} D^{2}{ }^{2} u j^{+}(k) i=g_{s}\left(h 0 \overline{\bar{j}} G \quad u j^{+}(k) i \quad \text { ig } g_{s} h 0 \overline{\bar{j}} G \quad{ }_{5} u j^{+}(k) i\right) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where G $=\frac{1}{2} \quad G$. (We use the convention ${ }^{0123}=+1$.) $T$ he second term in this expression is of higher order in the chiral expansion (see Ref. [1] 1 in for details) and so we neglect it here.

The rst $m$ atrix elem ent in ' rules for the pion. They expressed it in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{s} h 0 \overline{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C} \text { e } \quad u j^{+}(k) i={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2 i}^{2} \mathrm{fk} \text {; } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtained ${ }^{2}=(0: 20 \quad 0: 02) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}{\underset{\mathrm{~L}}{1}}^{1}$ A crucial contribution in both of their sum nules
 proxim ation for this quantity but direct detem inations of it from other sum nules suggest
 torisation. These give correspondingly larger values for ${ }^{2}$, a point we shall com e back to in the discussion of our results below .

A s an estim ate of the im portance of higher dim ension condensates, we have also calculated the contribution of what we hope is the $m$ ost im portant dim ension -7 operator in the OPE.This is a mixed quark-gluon condensate, which we evaluate in the factorised approxim ation. K eeping only this contribution explicitly, the dim ension-7 piece of the correlator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
7(\mathrm{p})=\frac{1}{12 \mathrm{p}^{2}} \mathrm{~h} 0 \overline{\mathrm{j}} \quad{ }_{5} \mathrm{u} j^{+}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{ih} 0 \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{G}^{2} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{Di} \quad 5+\quad ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{h} 0 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{Oi}$ is the ghon condensate in vacuum .
On the phenom enological side, the term of interest in the correlator ( $\left(\frac{3}{3}\right)$ is the one w ith a double pole at the nucleon $m$ ass, since this contains the $N$ coupling constant. H ow ever the nucleon interpolating eld does not just create ground-state nucleons; there are also continuum contributions which cannot be ignored. The continuum -to-continuum pieces are $m$ odelled in the usualm anner, in term s of the spectraldensity associated with the im aginary part of the OPE expression for the correlator. This continuum is assum ed to start at som e threshold $S_{N}$. A fter B orel transform ation, it can be taken over to the OPE side of the sum nule where it modi es the coe cients of the term $s$ involving $\ln \left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)$. In addition one $m$ ust

[^1]include nucleon－to－continuum term s since B orel transform ation does not suppress these $w$ ith respect to the double－pole term
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p)=i p-1 \not k_{5} \frac{{ }_{N}^{2} M_{N} g_{N}}{\left(p^{2} M_{N}^{2}\right)^{2}}+{ }_{W^{2}}^{Z_{1}} d s b(s) \frac{1}{s M_{N}^{2}} \frac{1}{p^{2} M_{N}^{2}}+\frac{a(s)}{s p^{2}}+\# \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where the continuum－continuum term $s$（and term $s$ with other D irac structures）have not been written out．Here N is the strength w ith which the interpolating eld couples to the nucleon：

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 j_{N}(0) \hat{N}(p) i={ }_{N} u(p): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the strength of the $\not{ }_{k}$ piece of the doublepole term is the sam e for both pseu－ doscalar and pseudovector N coupling．

Equating the OPE and phenom enological expressions for the correlator（ transform ing［ī］，we get the sum nule
$\frac{1}{2^{2}} M^{4} E_{2}(x)+\frac{5}{9{ }^{2}} M^{2} E_{1}(x)^{2}+\frac{1}{12} E_{0}(x) h 0 j-G^{2} j 0 i=\frac{{ }_{N}^{2} M_{N} g_{N}}{f M^{2}}+A \quad \exp \left(M_{N}^{2}=M^{2}\right) ;$
where $M$ is the Borel $m$ ass and $E_{n}(x)=1 \quad\left(1+x+:::+\frac{x^{n}}{n!}\right) e^{x} w$ th $x=\frac{S}{M^{2}}$ ．The second term on the r．h．s．of this sum rule，involving the undeterm ined constant $A$ ，is the B orel transform of the nucleon pole term of the nucleon－to－continuum piece in（1－īi）．It contains the sam e exponential as the nucleon double－pole term and so cannot be ignored． The second nucleon－to－continuum term in（1̄⿱龴⿱乛亅㇒木刂⿰㇒⿻二丨冂刂灬）leads to a term that is suppressed by an exponential involving the $m$ asses of states in the continuum．It is thus typically a factor of $3\{4 \mathrm{sm}$ aller than the term included in（1）（19）．P rovided that the rst of these m ixed term s is a reasonably sm all correction to the sum rule，it should be safe to neglect the second，as discussed by Io e：

We now tum to the num erical analysis of this sum nule．First，one should get rid of the unknown constant A．M ultiplying the sum rule by $M^{2} \exp M_{N}^{2}=M^{2}$ ，we see that the rhs．becom es a linear function of $M^{2}$ ．By acting on this form of the sum rule with
(1 $M^{2} @=@ M^{2}$ ) [īin (or equivalently by tting a straight line to the lh s. and extrapolating to $M^{2}=0$ [ī-10]) we in principle can determ ine the value of $g_{N} . H$ owever we are unable to nd a region of B orelm ass in which the lh s. is approxim ately a linear function of ${ }^{2}$, and hence there is no region of stability for the extracted $g_{\mathrm{N}}$.

This lack of stability is sim ilar to the situation for the nucleon sum nules, where two sum rules can be derived [बَ-1] (involying either odd or even dim ension operators) but neither show s good stability. N onetheless the ratio of these leads to a m ore stable expression for the nucleon $m$ ass. $W$ e have therefore taken the ratio of our sum nule (1-15) to the nucleon sum nules. W e obtain the $m$ ost stable results from the ratio to the odd-dim ension sum rule,
and so we present here only the results for that case. Taking such a ratio also has the advantage of elim inating the experim entally undeterm ined strength ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}$ from the sum rules. $N$ ote that we have allowed for a di erent continuum threshold $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ in the nucleon sum rule and have de ned $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{M}^{2}$.

The ratio of the sum nules $(\underset{1}{1} \overline{\bar{S}})$ and $(\overline{1} \bar{\sigma})$ can be written in the form
and them ethod discussed above used to elim inate the unknow n m ixed nucleon-to-continuum term, $A M^{2}\left(A^{0}=A f={ }_{N}^{2} M_{N}\right)$. In $F i g .1$ we show results for $g_{N}$ as a function of $M^{2}$, for typical values of the condensates and thresholds: $\mathrm{h} 0 \overline{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{Oi}=(0.245 \mathrm{GeV})^{3}, \mathrm{hOj} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{jOi}{ }^{\prime}$ $0: 012 \mathrm{GeV}^{4},{ }^{2}=0: 35 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{N}}=2: 5 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}=2: 15 \mathrm{GeV}$. Stable values of $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$, 11:7 are found over a region $M^{2}$ ' $0: 8 \quad 1: 8 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. C orrections due to the $A \mathrm{M}^{2}$ term are sm all, at $m$ ost $5 \%$. The second such term in ( 1 $3\{4$, and so we are justi ed in neglecting it.

The threshold $S_{N}$ has been adjusted to give stability for $M^{2}$ around $1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, since one $m$ ay hope that in this region the Borel transform ed sum rule is not too sensitive to the approxim ations that have been $m$ ade on both the OPE and phenom enological sides of the
sum rule. The existence of a w indow of stability provides a check on the consistency of th is assum ption. Wealso dem and that the thresholds $S_{N}$ and $S_{N}$ should lie signi cantly above this $w$ indow so that the continuum is not too heavily weighted in the B orel transform. We nd that the $w$ indow of stability $m$ oves rapidly upwards as $S_{N}$ is increased for xed $S_{N}$. For the typical param eter values above, only the region $2.05 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 2: 22 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ satis es these requirem ents. The value of $g_{N}$ varies by at most $0: 2$ over this region.

As a further check on our results, we have exam ined whether the individual sum rules
 dim ension condensates contribute less that $10 \%$ of the $O P E$ to both sum rules for $M^{2}>0: 8$ $\mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. The continuum form s about 40\% of the phenom enological side of the di erentiated version of the $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}$ sum nule (15) for $\mathrm{M}^{2}$ up to $1.4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, the point at which the continuum reaches 50\% of the odd-condensate sum rule (1] $\overline{1})$. The region $M^{2}$, $0: 8 \quad 1: 4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ thus provides a w indow w thin which our results are both stable w ith respect to the B orelm ass and not too sensitive to our approxim ations.

W e have exam ined the dependence ofour results on the other input param eters. Variation of the threshold in the nucleon sum nule $S_{N}$ from 22 to $2.8 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, readjusting $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ to $m$ aintain stability, changes $g_{\mathrm{N}}$ by 02 . To estim ate the sensitivity of our sum rule to the contributions of dim ension -7 condensates and to uncertainties in the gluon condensate, we have varied the dim ension-7 term in (15్1) between zero and tw ige its standard value. O ur results for $g_{\mathrm{N}}$ change by $0: 5$ over this range.

O ne of the $m$ ost im portant input param eters in our sum nule is the $m$ atrix elem ent ${ }^{2}$, de ned by (1-1 1 in $)$. As already $m$ entioned, this param eter was extracted by $N$ ovikov et al [1] $\overline{1}$ ] from an analysis of two sum rules for the pion. Their results depend crucially on the four-quark condensate, ${ }_{s} h 0 j(\bar{q} q)^{2} j 0 i$, for whidh they $m$ ade the factorisation approxim ation and took a value of about $2 \quad 10^{4} \mathrm{GeV}^{6}$. W ith this input, both of their sum nules yield consistent results for ${ }^{2}$ in the region $0: 20 \quad 0: 02 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. H ow ever, sum rules analyses of decay and $e^{+} e$ annihilation into hadrons lead to signi cantly larger values of the fourquark condensate (see
$U$ sing these in the sum nules of $R$ ef. although the two sum nules do not then give consistent results. A s a conservative estim ate of the uncertainty in ${ }^{2}$ we have considered the range 020 to $0.45 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. The corresponding variation in $g_{N}$ is 2 , when the other param eters are held at their values above and $S_{N}$ is changed to keep the w indow of stability around $1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.

A second signi cant source of uncertainty is the quark condensate hofpipi w hich appears in the odd-dim ension sum nule for the nucleon. \Standard" values for this lie in the range $(0: 21 \mathrm{GeV})^{3}$ and $(0: 26 \mathrm{GeV})^{3}$. The values of the nucleon m ass determ ined from sum nules 恛] are strongly correlated w th this condensate. There is also a weaker correlation $w$ th the chosen value of the threshold $S_{N}$. Since we are dividing by $M_{N}$ in the ratio (17), our results are rather sensitive to the value of this condensate. O ne w ould like to use values of $\mathrm{h} 0 \overline{\mathrm{Tq}} \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Di}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ that give the nucleon m ass correctly, but the ratio of the odd and even dim ension nucleon sum nules does not yield com pletely stable results for $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}$. The best we can do is to rule out values of $h 0 \overline{\text { pajpini below }}(0: 23 \mathrm{GeV})^{3}$ since they cannot reproduce the nucleon $m$ ass $w$ thin the region of B orelm ass and threshold that we consider. Varying the quark condensate between $(0.23 \mathrm{GeV})^{3}$ and $(0.26 \mathrm{GeV})^{3}$, we nd that $g_{\mathrm{N}}$ changes by 2.

O ur nal result for the $N$ coupling constant is thus $g_{N}=12 \quad 5$, where the uncertainty is dom inated by ${ }^{2}$ and ho $\overline{9} q-0$ i. This is to be com pared with values deduced from $N N$ and N scattering. For $m$ any years the accepted value was $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}=13: 4$ [19] $]$ but this coupling has been the sub ject of som e debate in recent years. M ore recent analyses lead to values in the range $12.7\{13.6$ [ large uncertainty in it could be reduced if the quark condensate could be determ ined $m$ ore precisely. In addition, the sum rules of $N$ ovikov et al [19] should be re-exam ined using larger values of the four-quark condensate to try to pin down ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ore exactly.

In sum $m$ ary, we have calculated the $N$ coupling constant using a $Q C D$ sum rulebased on the pion-to-vacuum $m$ atrix elem ent of a two-point correlator of interpolating nucleon elds. $T$ his approach avoids the need for extrapolation from large spacelike $m$ eson $m$ om enta. We
have included nucleon-to-continuum term som itted from previous analyses. O ur sum nule is based on the part of the correlator with D irac structure $\not{ }_{k}{ }_{5}$ and includes all term $s$ up to dimension 5 in the OPE. Stable results are obtained from the ratio of this sum rule to one for the nucleon $m$ ass and the unsuppressed nucleon-to-continuum contributions are found to be sm all. Contributions from higher-dim ension operators and om itted continuum contributions are estim ated to be sm all. This dem onstrates the practicability of this type of sum rule for calculation of other $m$ eson-baryon couplings, whose values are at present not well determ ined.
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## FIGURECAPTION

Fig.1. Dependence on the square of the B orelm ass of the $N$ coupling constant determ ined from the ratio of sum rules ( $\left.\mathbf{I N}_{-1} \mathbf{T}_{1}\right)$. The values of the param eters used are given in the text. $T$ he solid line shows the value of $g_{N}$ corrected for the $m$ ixed continuum term $A M^{2}$, the dashed line the uncorrected lh s. of $\left(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{\bar{l}_{1}}\right)$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is a potential sign am biguity in using the result of $R$ ef. [19] since they do not specify their convention for ${ }^{0123}$. H ow ever we have checked that our coe cient of $x^{2}$ in the expansion of ho $\bar{j}(0) \quad 5 u(x) j^{+}$i (which should be independent of convention) agrees in both sign and magnitude with that of the corresponding term in the expansion of $h 0 j u(x) \bar{u}(0) j 0 i_{A}$ given in Refs.
    

