## Dierent Nuclear Dependence of J= and <sup>0</sup> Production in p-A and A-p Collisions

Yong-B in  $He^{1;2}$  Y, Wei+Q in  $Chao^{1;3;4}$  and Chong-Shou  $Gao^{1;2;3}$ 

1. China Center of Advanced Science and Technology (W orld Laboratory),

P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China

2. Physics Department, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

3. Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academ ia Sinica, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China

4. Institute of High Energy Physics, Academ ia Sinica, P.O. Box 918(4), Beijing 100039, China

(April 16, 2024)

## Abstract

W hile presently available p-A data observe that the nuclear dependence of J= and  $^{0}$  production is the same within errors, we show that di errent nuclear dependence of J= and  $^{0}$  production in the kinematic region uncovered by the present p-A data can be predicted based on two di errent nuclear absorption scenarios. It is found that the predicted production ratio

 $(^{0})=(J=)$  at positive  $x_{\rm F}$  in A-p collisions is mainly determ ined by nuclear absorption, and hence allows direct experimental test of nuclear absorption scenarios.

12.38 M h, 13.85.t, 25.75.+ r

Typeset using REV T<sub>E</sub>X

Partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>E-m ail: hyb@ ccastb.ccast.ac.cn, Telefax: (086)-010-2562586

Quarkonium production in experiments with a proton-beam incident on a nucleus target, i.e. p-A collisions, has attracted much attention in recent years in connection with J= suppressions in high energy heavy-ion collisions [1{4]. A ctually it is a good place to check the hadronic absorption m odels which attribute the observed J= suppressions in nucleus-nucleus collisions to the absorption of J= through its interactions with hadronic environm ent instead of the form ation of quark-gluon plasm a (QGP). In particular, the experin ental observations [5,6] that the J= and  $^{0}$  production has the same nuclear dependence in p-A collisions put a strict constraint on various absorption m odels. However, it has been realized that all present experiments for the production of J= and <sup>0</sup> in p-A collisions studied only J= or <sup>0</sup> which is fast in the lab system or has positive Feynman  $x_F$ . In fact, in the kinem atic region not yet probed by present p-A experiments, i.e. the negative  $x_{\rm F}$  region, di erent nuclear dependence of J= and <sup>0</sup> production can be predicted based on di erent scenarios of absorption by target nucleons, which will be shown in this work. One of these scenarios, the \traditional" nuclear absorption model (we shall refer to it as Scenario-I throughout this work), has been established in the literature [7{10] to account for the e ects of absorption by nucleons in the following way: while the cc pair (pre-m eson), produced as a colour singlet and of sm all spatial size, is expanding gradually to its bound state size, it can be dissociated by nucleons through an interaction cross section depending on the size of the cc pair. Very recently another scenario (Scenario-II) [11], stem m ing from the most recent theoretical progress made in hadronic production of quarkonium states, has been proposed to explain the observed charmonium suppression in hadron-nucleus and nucleus-nucleus collisions. This scenario considers charm onium production through the interm ediate next-to-leading Fock space state consisting of a colour octet oc pair and a soft gluon. The interaction between this composite state and nucleons can lead to charm onium suppression. In this work we shall show how these two di erent absorption scenarios could accomm odate present p-A data and yet predict dierent nuclear dependence of J= and  $^{0}$ production in p-A collisions at negative  $x_F$  .

At negative  $x_F$  in p-A collisions the mechanisms of charmonium production such as

nuclear shadowing [12(14) and intrinsic cham [15,10] are expected to be unimportant and will be neglected in our work. Initial state energy loss e ects [16] may lead to an increase of charmonium production in the negative  $x_F$  region, which gives an opposite trend to the experimental data. Furthermore, the usual EMC e ects [17] can be important at negative  $x_F$  [18]. The initial state energy loss and EMC e ects are not included in our study and their e ects will be discussed later. Our previous work [19] showed that  $x_F$  -dependent com over contributions, as well as the nuclear absorptions, are essential for a comparison between theories and experimental data at small  $x_F$ . We shall include only the e ects of absorption by target nucleons and com overs in our study of J= and <sup>0</sup> production in p-A collisions at negative  $x_F$ . In fact, recent results on open charm production near  $x_F = 0$  [20] suggested that absorptions may be the dom inant cause of the charm onium suppression in p-A collisions at negative  $x_F$ .

We shall show in this work that although the individual J= and  $^{0}$  production at negative  $x_{F}$  in p-A collisions predicted by the two di erent absorption scenarios may depend on the choice of parameters in comover contributions, the ratio of the two production cross sections  $(J=)=(^{0})$  is insensitive to comover contributions, and therefore the prediction of the ratio may allow an experimental test of nuclear absorption scenarios.

However, a study of charm online production at negative  $x_F$  (corresponding to the case of charm online moving slow in the rest frame of target nucleus) is essentially impossible in an experiment of proton-beam incident on a nucleus target (p-A collisions). An alternative is the experiment of a heavy ion beam incident on a hydrogen or deuterium target [18,21], which we shall call A -p collisions. In A -p collisions the produced charm on a are moving fast in the lab system and thus the relevant measurements are more readily. In this work we have also calculated and compared the predictions of the mentioned two absorption scenarios for J= and <sup>0</sup> production in A -p collisions at CERN-SPS energy.

In Scenario-I for p-A collisions the produced charm onlum states  $(J = or^{0})$  or cc pairs (pre-m esons) can been dissociated by nucleons in the target nucleus into open-charm pairs (D D), which leads to suppressions of charm onlum production. However, the form ation of charm onlime bound state requires nite proper time, and this form ation time will suler a Lorentz delay in the lab system. In the kinemiatic region reached by present experiments, the produced of pair is moving fast in the lab system and may have been outside the target nucleus before it forms a physical charm onlime bound state due to the Lorentz delay of the form ation time in the lab system. Therefore, present experimental data show that the J= and <sup>0</sup> productions have the same nuclear dependence in p-A collisions, although the radii of the J= and <sup>0</sup> bound states diler by almost a factor of two in potential models [22]. A coording to this picture, those of pairs which are slow in the lab system will have enough time to form the charm onlime bound states (J= or <sup>0</sup>, etc.) inside the target nucleus, and thus the interaction of fully formed bound states J= and <sup>0</sup> production through the nuclear absorption depending on the nal-state size, as we shall see below by explicit calculations.

Including only the e ects of absorption by nucleons and comovers, the  $x_F$ -dependent cross section for quarkonium production in p-A collisions is

$$\frac{d}{dx_{F}}^{pA} = A \frac{d}{dx_{F}}^{pp} \sum_{i=1}^{Z} d^{2}b d^{2}x_{A} (b;z) exp : dz^{0} d^{2}x_{A} (b;z^{0}) d^$$

where pp is the bare nucleon-nucleon cross section, b the impact parameter and  $_{\rm A}$  (b; z) the nuclear density.

The second exponential factor in Eq. (1) represents the com over contributions, where  $_{\infty}$  is the (cc)-com over absorption cross section,  $_{0}$  is the form ation time of com overs,  $_{f}$  is the elective proper time over which the com overs can interact with cc pair,  $v_{re}$  is the relative velocity of cc with the com overs, and n (;b) is the density of com overs at the proper time and impact parameter b. In our calculations we have introduced the  $x_{\rm F}$  dependence of com over contributions through n (;b) as we did in Ref. [19], where n (;b) is related to the  $x_{\rm F}$ -dependent com over distribution that is derived from the rapidity distribution of com overs. We x  $_{\rm f} = r_0 = c_{\rm s}$  with  $r_0$  ' 12 fm and  $c_{\rm s}$  '  $1 = \frac{p}{3}$ ,  $v_{\rm re}$  ' 0.6 [10]. We shall vary

the values of  $_0$  and  $_\infty$  to show the in uence of com over contributions on the charm onium production at negative  $x_F$  .

The rst exponential in Eq. (1) is the survival probability of the  $\infty$  pair after its interaction with target nucleons. In the context of Scenario-I the nuclear absorption cross section is assumed to depend on the spatial separation of the  $\infty$  pair, so that

$$abs (z^{0} \quad z) = \begin{cases} \stackrel{\stackrel{\scriptstyle 0}{\scriptstyle \gtrless}}{\underset{\scriptstyle RN}{\scriptstyle N}} (=_{\scriptstyle R})^{k} & \text{if } <_{\scriptstyle R}; \\ \stackrel{\scriptstyle 0}{\scriptstyle \end{Bmatrix}} & \underset{\scriptstyle RN}{\scriptstyle N} & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$$
(2)

where in general k > 0 and we shall take k= 2 in our calculations. Here R stands for charm onium bound states J= or <sup>0</sup>. The form ation time of <sup>0</sup> is taken as o' 1.5 fm [23], and the elective form ation time of J= bound state is estimated to be '1.2 fm, taking into account the fact that about 70% of the observed J= are directly produced and the remaining 30% come from the decay of  $_{c}$  [24]. We shall take the nuclear absorption cross sections for J= and <sup>0</sup> as  $_{N} = 4 \text{ mb}$  from geometric considerations and  $_{ON} = _{N} (r_{O}=r_{O})^{2} / 3.7 _{N}$  [25,24].

The proper time in Eq. (2) is related to the distance traveled by the  $\infty$  pair through =  $(z^0 - z) = v$ , v is the velocity of the  $\infty$  pair in the rest fram e of target nucleus, and =  $(1 - v^2)^{1=2}$ . We can relate the velocity of the  $\infty$  pair in the rest fram e of target nucleus to the Feynman  $x_F$  by

$$v = \frac{P}{M} = _{cm} (P_{L} + _{cm} \frac{q}{(P_{L})^{2} + M^{2}});$$
(3)

where  $P_L = x_F (P_L)_{max}$  is the longitudinal momentum of the cc pair in the center-of-mass system and its maximum is

$$(P_{\rm L})_{\rm max} = \frac{q}{[s (M + 2m_{\rm p})^2][s (M - 2m_{\rm p})^2]} = 2^{\rm p} \overline{s}:$$
(4)

Here M is the mass of the cc system,  $m_p$  the mass of a proton, and s the center-ofm ass energy squared. The transform ation from the rest frame of the target nucleus to the centerofm ass system is given by  $m_{cm} = (E_b + m_p) = \bar{p} = \bar{s}$ , and  $m_{cm} = P_b = (E_b + m_p)$ , where  $E_b$  and  $P_b$ are the energy and momentum of the projectile beam in the lab system. One can see from Eq. (3) that a J= produced at negative  $x_F$  corresponds to a slow J= in the rest fram e of the target nucleus.

We have calculated the  $x_F$  dependence of for J= and <sup>0</sup> production at negative  $x_F$ in Ferm ilab E 772/E 789 p-A collisions in the parametrization,

$$\frac{d^{pA}}{dx_{F}} = \frac{d^{pp}}{dx_{F}} A^{(x_{F})}$$
(5)

The results are shown in Fig. 1 by the upper two curves, with the solid curve for J= production and the dashed for <sup>0</sup> production, param eters in comover contributions taken as  $_{co}=4 \text{ m b}$ ,  $_{0}=0.8 \text{ fm}$ . A loo shown are the Ferm ilab E789 data on the J= production at  $x_{\rm F}$  <0 [26]. The curves are a little above the E789 data because for J= production the nuclear shadow ing e ect can contribute som ehow at  $x_{\rm F}$  ' 0 [19]. It can be seen that Scenario-I actually gives the same nuclear dependence for J= and <sup>0</sup> production in p-A collisions at  $x_{\rm F}$  > 0, as E772/E789 experiments have observed. However, diement nuclear dependence for J= and <sup>0</sup> production appears at  $x_{\rm F}$  < 0.2, which is beyond the E772/E789 data. Note that the rise of  $_{\rm J=}$  (solid curve in Fig. 1) at negative  $x_{\rm F}$  is due to the  $x_{\rm F}$  -dependent com over contributions in Eq. (1).

A swe discussed above, in order to confront experiments we have calculated the production of J= and <sup>0</sup> in A-p collisions at CERN-SPS energy  ${}^{p}$  = 17.4 GeV. As a matter of fact, the production at positive  $x_{\rm F}$  in A-p collisions corresponds to the production at negative  $x_{\rm F}$  in p-A collisions. In Fig. 2 we show the results of J= and <sup>0</sup> production in A-p collisions at CERN-SPS energy for two sets of parameters  $_{\infty}$  and  $_{0}$  in comover contributions: the upper two curves with  $_{\infty}=2$  mb and  $_{0}=1.0$  fm (dot-dashed curve for  $_{J=}$  and dotted curve for  $_{0}$ ); the lower two curves with  $_{\infty}=4$  mb and  $_{0}=0.8$  fm (solid curve for  $_{J=}$  and dashed curve for  $_{0}$ ). One sees that com over contributions are essential for charm onium production at positive  $x_{\rm F}$  in A-p collisions.

In order to reduce the uncertainties caused by the com over contributions, we have studied the production ratio R  $_{-2}$  ( $^{0}$ )=f (J=) in A-p collisions at CENR-SPS energy, keeping in m ind that com over contributions depends weakly on the type of produced charm onium.

Here f ' 0.14 is the experimental <sup>0</sup> and J= production ratio in hadron-hadron collisions [6,27]. The results are illustrated in Fig. 3 by the upper two curves: the solid curve for parameters  $_{\infty}=4$  mb,  $_{0}=0.8$  fm, and the dashed curve for  $_{\infty}=2$  mb,  $_{0}=1.0$  fm. We see that the production ratio is insensitive to comover contributions. Moreover, in addition to nuclear absorption and comover contributions the EM C elects can be important at positive  $x_{\rm F}$  in A-p collisions [18]. However, since the EM C elects are initial-state elects and should be independent of the nalproduced type of charmonium, the inclusion of the EM C elects will not a lect the value of the production ratio R  $_{\odot}$ . The same argument exists for initial-state energy loss [16]. Therefore we suggest that the prediction of production ratio R  $_{\odot}$  at positive  $x_{\rm F}$  in A-p collisions should be able to provide a direct test for nuclear absorption scenario discussed above.

Another nuclear absorption scenario (Scenario-II) has been recently proposed by K harzeev and Satz [11], and shown to be able to derive the phenom enological G erschel-H ufner t [28] for hadron-nucleus data on J= production. In this scenario charm onium state is considered to be produced through the intermediate next-to-leading Fock space component  $j(cc)_8 g > form ed by a colour octet cc pair and a gluon, whose lifetime is estimated to suppression of charm onium production. In particular, it is argued that the composite state (ccg) is of the same size for all charm onium states, and hence the (ccg)-nucleon cross section is the same for all charm onium suppression is dom inated by the interaction of the interaction of J= and <sup>0</sup> will have the same suppression, as long as charm onium suppression is dom inated by the interaction of the intermediate (ccg) with nucleons. This is exactly what happens in the present p-A experiments.$ 

However, this scenario may also predict di erent nuclear dependence of  $J = \text{ and } {}^{0} \text{ pro-duction in p-A collisions in the kinematic region where the composite state (ccg) has turned into the fully formed charmonium bound states <math>J = \text{ and } {}^{0}$ , and then nuclear absorption will depend on the speci c type of charmonium state. This will be shown in the following calculations.

7

D i erent from Scenario-I the nuclear absorption cross section in Scenario-II is now assum ed to be

$$abs}(z^{0} z) = \begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\underset{(ccg)N}{\xi}} & \text{if } z^{0} z < v_{8}; \\ & & \\$$

where v is given by Eq. (3),  $_{RN}$  are the relevant nuclear absorption cross sections as the sam e as those in Eq. (2),  $_{8}$  ' 0.25 fm is the lifetime of the intermediate state (cog) [29,11]. The cross section of the interaction between the intermediate state (cog) and nucleons  $_{(cog)N}$  is estimated to be 6 - 7 mb [11]. We shall take  $_{(cog)N} = 7$  mb in our calculations.

We have also in Scenario-II completed a calculation of the  $x_F$  dependence of in the parameterization Eq. (5) for J= and <sup>0</sup> production in p-A collisions at Ferm ilab E772/E789 energy. The results for chosen parameters,  $_{co}=4$  mb and  $_{0}=0.8$  fm, are shown in Fig.1 by the lower two curves: dot-dashed curve for J= production and dotted curve for <sup>0</sup> production. One sees that at  $x_F > 0$ , i.e. in the kinematic region covered by E772 data on J= and <sup>0</sup> production, Scenario-II also gives nearly the same nuclear dependence of J= and <sup>0</sup> production. On the other hand, a rather di erent nuclear dependence of J= and <sup>0</sup> production dom inated by the interaction of the fully form ed J= or <sup>0</sup> bound states with target nucleons. However, the predicted J= production at  $x_F ' 0$  is more depleted than the E789 data, which m ight in ply our over-estimate of the com over contributions in this region. The over-estimate, fortunately, will a ect little the production ratio R  $\sim$  , as it is shown below.

In Fig. 3 we show the calculated production ratio R  $_{--}$  in A-p collisions at CERN-SPS energy based on Scenario-II by the lower two curves: dot-dashed curve with  $_{-\infty}=4 \text{ mb}$ ,  $_{0}=0.8 \text{ fm}$ ; and dotted curve with  $_{-\infty}=2 \text{ mb}$ ,  $_{0}=1.0 \text{ fm}$ . Again one indicates the production ratio R  $_{--}$  given by Scenario-II is insensitive to comover contributions. Furtherm ore, the behavior of R  $_{--}$  given by Scenario-II appears quite dimension that predicted by Scenario-I, which is due to the following essential dimensions between Scenario-I and Scenario-II: First, in order that the J= and  $_{--}^{0}$  production be equally suppressed at positive x<sub>F</sub> in p-A colli-

sions, Scenario-I perm its very little nuclear absorption cross section at  $x_F > 0$  for both J= and  $^{0}$  production, while in Scenario-II the interaction cross section between the interm ediate state (ccg) and nucleons is rather large but the same for J= and  $^{0}$  production; Second, the proper form ation time of physical J= and  $^{0}$ , '1.2 fm and  $^{\circ}$ '1.5 fm, is rather long compared with the proper lifetime of the intermediate state (ccg),  $_{8}$ ' 0.25 fm, therefore the stronger suppression of  $^{0}$  production relative to J= production in A-p collisions given by Scenario-II happens at lower value of  $x_F$  than that given by Scenario-I (see Fig. 3). Based on the behavior of predicted R  $_{2=}$ , an experimental test of nuclear absorption scenarios is possible.

A lthough uncertainties of the parameters in nuclear absorption scenarios m ight reduce the power of prediction, some qualitative behaviors discussed here should be maintained by future experimental data. If not, e.g. the nuclear dependence of J= and  $^{0}$  production remains the same at positive  $x_F$  in A-p collisions, some nuclear absorption scenarios w ill be excluded as the explanation of quarkonium suppression observed in nuclear collisions.

To sum mary, we have shown in this work that nuclear absorption scenarios are able to predict di erent nuclear dependence of  $J = and ^{0}$  production at negative  $x_{F}$  in p-A collisions or equivalently at positive  $x_{F}$  in A-p collisions, although the present p-A data at  $x_{F} > 0$  observed the same nuclear dependence of  $J = and ^{0}$  production [5,6]. Furtherm ore, we nd that the production ratio ( $^{0}$ )= (J =) at positive  $x_{F}$  in A-p collisions is mainly determ ined by nuclear absorption, but insensitive to com over contributions, initial-state energy loss and EM C e ects, which can also contribute to charm online production in this kinem atic region. Therefore the predictions of production ratio at positive  $x_{F}$  in A-p collisions are suggested to be able to provide a rather direct and experimentally accessible test for nuclear absorption scenarios.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T.Matsuiand H.Satz, Phys. Lett. B 178 (1986) 416.
- [2] M.C.Abreu et al., Z.Phys.C 38 (1988) 117.
- [3] J.Y.G rossiond et al., Nucl. Phys. A 498 (1989) 249c.
- [4] C. Baglin et al., Phys. Lett. B 220 (1989) 471; B 255 (1991) 459; B 262 (1991) 362; B 270 (1991) 105.
- [5] D.M.Alde et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 133.
- [6] M. C. Abreu et al., Nucl. Phys. A 566 (1994) 371c; C. Lourenco, Proc. Int. W orkshop X X III on G ross P roperties of Nuclei and Nuclear Excitations, ed. H. Feldm eier and W. Norenberg (G esellschaft für Schwerionenforschung m bH, D arm stadt, 1995) p163.
- [7] S.J.Brodsky and A.H.Mueller, Phys. Lett. B 206 (1988) 685.
- [8] J.P. B laizot and J.-Y. O llitrault, Phys. Lett. B 217 (1989) 386; J.P. B laizot, Nucl.
  Phys. A 498 (1989)273c.
- [9] S.Gavin and R.Vogt, Nucl. Phys. B 345 (1990) 104.
- [10] R. Vogt, S. J. Brodsky and P. Hoyer, Nucl. Phys. B 360 (1991) 67.
- [11] D.Kharzeev and H.Satz, preprint CERN-TH/95-214, BI-TP 95/30.
- [12] J.Qiu, Nucl. Phys. B 291 (1987) 746.
- [13] J.Ashman et al, Phys. Lett. B 202 (1988) 603.
- [14] S.J.Brodsky and H.J.Lu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 1342.
- [15] S.J.Brodsky and P.Hoyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 1566.
- [16] S.Gavin and J.M ilana, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 1834.
- [17] J.J. Aubert et al., Phys. Lett B 123 (1983) 275; A.C. Benvenuti et al., Phys. Lett.


B 189 (1987) 483; J.Ashm an et al, Phys. Lett. B 202 (1988) 603; P.Am audruz et al, Z.Phys. C 51 (1991) 387.


- [18] D.Kharzeev and H.Satz, preprint CERN-TH/95-73 BI-TP 95/16.
- [19] W.Q.Chao, C.S.G ao and Y.B.He, preprint CCAST-95-06.
- [20] M.J.Leitch et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 72 (1994) 2542.
- [21] P.Hoyer, preprint NORD ITA -95/78 P, hep-ph/9511411.
- [22] W. Kwong, J.L. Rosner and C. Quigg, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 37 (1987) 325.
- [23] F.Karsch and R. Petronzio, Z. Phys. C 37 (1988) 627.
- [24] S.Gavin, H.Satz, R.L.Thews and R.Vogt, Z.Phys. C 61 (1994) 351.
- [25] B. Povh and J. Hufner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 1612.
- [26] M.J.Leitch et al, Nucl. Phys. A 544 (1992) 197c.
- [27] R. Gavai et. al., in Hard Processes in Hadronic Interactions, Ed. H. Satz and X.-N.W ang; Preprint CERN-TH.7526/94, BI-TP 63/94.
- [28] C.Gerscheland J.Hufner, Z.Phys.C 56 (1992) 171.
- [29] D.Kharzeev and H.Satz, Z.Phys.C 60 (1993)389.

Fig. 1. Predictions for J= and <sup>0</sup> production in the Ferm ilab E772/E789 experiment with 800 GeV/c proton-beam incident on nuclear targets: the upper two curves given by Scenario-I (solid curve for J= and dashed curve for <sup>0</sup>) the lower two curves given by Scenario-II (dot-dashed curve for J= and dotted curve for <sup>0</sup>). A loo shown are the Ferm ilab E789 data on the J= production [26].

Fig. 2. Predictions given by Scenario-I for J= and <sup>0</sup> production in the CERN-SPS experiment with 160 GeV/cP below incident on a hydrogen target for two sets of parameters  $_{\infty}$  and  $_{0}$  in comover contributions: the upper two curves with  $_{\infty}=2 \text{ mb}$  and  $_{0}=1.0 \text{ fm}$  (dot-dashed curve for  $_{J=}$  and dotted curve for  $_{0}$ ); the lower two curves with  $_{\infty}=4 \text{ mb}$  and  $_{0}=0.8 \text{ fm}$  (dot-dashed curve for  $_{J=}$  and dotted curve for  $_{0}$ ).

Fig. 3. Predictions of the production ratio for J= and <sup>0</sup> production in the CERN-SPS experiment with 160 GeV/cPb beam incident on a hydrogen target given by Scenario-I (the upper two curves: the solid curve for parameters  $_{\infty}$ =4 mb,  $_{0}$ =0.8 fm, and the dashed curve for  $_{\infty}$ =2 mb,  $_{0}$ =1.0 fm) and Scenario-II (the lower two curves: dot-dashed curve with  $_{\infty}$ =4 mb,  $_{0}$ =0.8 fm; and dotted curve with  $_{\infty}$ =2 mb,  $_{0}$ =1.0 fm).





Ø




Fig. 3 Pb-p collisions