LOOK ING FOR INVISIBLY DECAY ING HIGGS BOSONS THROUGH THE FINAL STATE bo+ $p_{_{\rm T}}^{-1}$

O.J.P.Eboli^{a;1}, F.de Cam pos^b, J.Rosiek^c and J.W .F.Valle^b

^aPhysics Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

^bInstituto de F sica Corpuscular – C.S.I.C., Dept. de F sica Teorica, Universitat de Valencia 46100 Burjassot, Valencia, Spain

^cInstitut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat Karlsruhe Postfach 6980, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

We study the potential of LEP II to unravel the existence of invisibly decaying Higgs bosons through the reaction e^+e^- ! $bb + p_T$. We perform our analyses in a model independent way and our results show that LEP II is capable of discovering such a Higgs for a wide range of m asses and couplings.

There are a variety of well motivated extensions of the standard model (SM) with an spontaneously broken global symmetry. This symmetry could be either be lepton number or a combination of family lepton numbers [1, 2]. These models are characterised by a more complex symmetry breaking sector which contain additional Higgs bosons. It is specially interesting for our purposes to consider models where such symmetry is broken at the electroweak scale [3, 4]. In general, these models contain a massless G oldstone boson, called majoron (J), which interacts very weakly with normal matter. In such models, the normal doublet Higgs is expected to have sizeable invisible decay modes to the majoron, due to the strong Higgs majoron coupling. This can have a signi cant e ect on the Higgs phenomenology at LEP II. In particular, the invisible decay could contribute to the signal of two acceptance jets and missing momentum. This feature of majoron models allows one to strongly constrain the Higgs mass in spite of the occurrence of extra parameters compared to the SM. In particular, the LEP I lim it on the predom inantly doublet Higgs mass is close to the SM lim it irrespective of the decay mode of the Higgs boson [5, 6].

In this work we consider a model containing two H iggs doublets ($_{1,2}$) and a singlet () under the SU (2)_L U (1)_Y group. The singlet H iggs eld carries a non-vanishing U (1)_L charge,

 $^{^1{\}rm C}\,{\rm ontribution}$ to the workshop on Physics at LEP2, Higgs Physics G roup, M . Carena and P. Zerwas conveners.

which could be lepton number. Here we only need to specify the scalar potential of the model:

$$V = {}^{2} {}^{y}_{i i i} + {}^{2} {}^{y}_{i i} + {}^{2} {}^{y}_{i i} + {}^{i} ({}^{y}_{i i})^{2} + {}^{3} ({}^{y}_{i})^{2} + {}^{12} ({}^{y}_{1 i}) ({}^{y}_{2 2}) + {}^{13} ({}^{y}_{1 i}) ({}^{y}_{i}) + {}^{23} ({}^{y}_{2 2}) ({}^{y}_{i}) + {}^{i} ({}^{y}_{1 2}) ({}^{y}_{2 i}) + {}^{1} \frac{1}{2} [({}^{y}_{1 2})^{2} + h:c:]$$
(1)

where the sum over repeated indices i=1,2 is assumed.

M inim isation of the above potential leads to the spontaneous SU $(2)_L$ U $(1)_Y$ U $(1)_L$ symmetry breaking and allows us to identify a total of three massive CP even scalars H_i ($\doteq 1,2,3$), plus a massive pseudoscalar A and the massless majoron J. We assume that at the LEP II energies only three H iggs particles can be produced: the lightest CP-even scalar h, the CP-odd massive scalar A, and the massless majoron J. Notwithstanding, our analyses is also valid for the situation where the H iggs boson A is absent [7], which can be obtained by setting the couplings of this eld to zero.

At LEP II, the main production mechanisms of invisible Higgs bosons are the B jorken process (e⁺ e ! hZ) and the associated production of Higgs bosons pairs (e⁺ e ! Ah), which rely upon the couplings hZ Z and hA Z respectively. The important feature of the above model is that, because of its singlet nature, the majoron is not size-ably coupled to the gauge bosons and cannot be produced directly, therefore, thereby evading strong LEP I constraints. The hZ Z and hA Z couplings depend on the model parameters via the appropriate mixing angles, but they can be electively expressed in terms of the two parameters $_{A, B}$:

$$L_{hZZ} = B^{P-12} M_{Z}^{2} Z Z h$$
 (2)

$$L_{hAZ} = {}_{A} \frac{g}{\cos_{W}} Z h \overset{s}{e} A$$
(3)

The couplings $_{A(B)}$ are model dependent. For instance, the SM Higgs sector has $_{A} = 0$ and $_{B} = 1$, while a majoron model with one doublet and one singlet leads to $_{A} = 0$ and $_{B}^{2}$ 1.

The signatures of the B jorken process and the associated production depend upon the allowed decay modes of the Higgs bosons h and A. For Higgs boson masses m_h accessible at LEP II energies the main decay modes for the CP-even state h are bb and JJ. We treat the branching fraction B for h ! JJ as a free parameter. In most models B is basically unconstrained and can vary from 0 to 1. Moreover, we also assume that, as it happens in the simplest models, the branching fraction for A ! bb is nearly one, and the invisible A decay modes A ! hJ, A ! JJJ do not exist (although CP-allowed). Therefore our analysis depends nally upon ve parameters: M_h, M_A, A, B , and B. This parameterisation is quite general and very useful from the experimental point of view : limits on M_h, M_A, A, B , and B can be later translated into bounds on the parameter space of many speci c models.

The parameters de ning our general parametrisation can be constrained by the LEP I data. In fact, Refs. [5, 8] analyse some signals for invisible decaying Higgs bosons, and conclude that LEP I excludes M_h up to 60 GeV provided that $_{\rm B} > 0.4$.

The bb+ p_{T} topology is our main subject of investigation and we evaluate carefully signals and backgrounds, choosing the cuts that enhance the signal over the backgrounds. Our goal is

to evaluate the lim its on M $_{\rm h}$, M $_{\rm A}$, $_{\rm A}$, $_{\rm B}$, and B that can be obtained at LEP II from this nal state. There are three sources of signal events with the topology $p_{_{T}}$ + 2 b-jets: one due to the associated production and two due to the B jorken m echanism .

$$e^+e$$
 ! (Z ! bb) + (h ! JJ) (4)

e⁺e ! (Z !) + (h ! bb) (5)

$$e^+e$$
 ! (A ! bb) + (h ! JJ) : (6)

The signature of this nal state is the presence of two jets containing b quarks and m issing m om entum (p_{_{\rm T}}). It is interesting to notice that for light M $_{\rm h}$ and M $_{\rm A}$, the associated production dom inates over the B jorken m echanism [8].

There are several sources of background for this topology:

 e^+e ! Z = Z = ! qq(7)

 e^+e ! $(e^+e$) ! $[e^+e$]qq (8)

 e^+e ! Z = ! qq[n] e^+e ! W^+W ! qq^0 [`] (9)

- (10)
- e⁺e ! W [e] ! qq⁰ [e] (11)
- e⁺e ! Z ! qq (12)

where the particles in square brackets escape undetected and the jet originating from the quark q is identi ed (m isidenti ed) as being a b-jet.

At this point the simplest and most e cient way to improve the signal-over-background ratio is to use that the Higgs bosons A and h decays lead to jets containing b-quarks. So we require that the events contain two b-tagged jets. M oreover, the background can be further reduced requiring a large $p_{_{\!\!T}}$. Having these facts in m ind we impose the following set of cuts, based on the ones used by the DELPHI collaboration for the SM Higgs boson search [9]:

- 1. Charged multiplicity cut. We require that the event should contain m ore than 8 charged particles. W ith this cut we elim in ate potential backgrounds from the production of + pairs.
- 2. M issing m om entum cuts. W e require:

The z component of the m issing momentum to be smaller than 0:15 $p_{\overline{s}}$.

The absolute value of cosine of the polar angle of the missing momentum to be less than 0.9.

The transversal component of missing momentum p_T should be bigger than 25 GeV for $\bar{P}_{\bar{s}} = 175$ and 190 GeV and 30 GeV for $\bar{P}_{\bar{s}} = 205$ GeV.

3. A colinearity cut. The cosine of the angle between the axes of the two most energetic jets is required to be above -0.8. This is equivalent to the requirem ent that the angle between the axes is sm aller than 145 .

- 4. Scaled acoplanarity cut. The scaled acoplanarity is computed as the complement of the angle in the perpendicular plane to the beam pipe between the totalm on enta in the two thrust hem ispheres, multiplied by m in fsin jet 1; sin jet 2; g in order to rem ove instability at low polar jet angles [9]. Scaled acoplanarity is required to be greater than 7.
- 5. Thrust/num ber of jets cut. W e require the event thrust to be bigger than 0.8. For the interm ediate visibly decaying H iggs boson m asses in the range 45 80 G eV this cut gives relatively sm all signale ciency. For this mass range instead of the thrust cut we dem and that the two most energetic jets should carry more than 85% of the visible energy.
- 6. Invariant m ass cut. W e assume that the visible m ass should be in the range M 10 G eV, where M is the m ass of the visibly decaying particle (Z, h, or A).
- 7. b-tagging cut. We adopt the e ciencies for the b-tagging directly from the DELPHI note [9]: 68% e ciency for the signal and the appropriate values for the backgrounds extracted from Table 5 of ref. [9].

D epending on the h and A m ass ranges, including or excluding the invariant m ass cut gives better or weaker lim its on the Z hA and Z Z h couplings. Therefore, for each m ass combination four lim its are calculated (with or without invariant m ass cut, with thrust cut or the cut on the m inim altwo-jet energy) and the best lim it is kept.

We denote the number of signal events for the three production processes (4 { 6), after imposing all cuts, N_{JJ} , N_{SM} , and N_A respectively, assuming that $_A = _B = 1$. Then the expected number of signal events when we take into account couplings and branching ratios is

$$N_{exp} = {}^{2}_{B} [B N_{JJ} + (1 B) N_{SM}] + {}^{2}_{A} B N_{A} :$$
 (13)

In general, this topology is dom inated by the associated production, provided it is not suppressed by small couplings $_{\rm A}$ or phase space. The most important background after the cuts is (7). The total numbers of background events sum med over all relevant channels are 2.3, 2.8 and 5.9 for ${}^{\rm p}{\rm s}$ = 175, 190 and 205 GeV respectively.



Figure 1: Limits on $_{\rm B}^2$ as a function of M_h for $^{\rm p}$ s = 175;190 GeV and for di erent values of B = Br(h ! JJ)

In order to obtain the limits shown in Figs. 1-2, we assumed that only the background events are observed, and we evaluated the 95 % CL region of the parameter space that can

be excluded with this result. By taking the weakest bound, as we vary B, we obtained the absolute bounds on $_{\rm A}$ and $_{\rm B}$ independent of the h decay m ode. The limits on $_{\rm A}$ obtained by searches for the bb + $p_{\rm T}$ nal states are stronger than those given by the bbbb topology. The bounds on $_{\rm B}$ apply directly also for the sim plest m odel of invisibly decaying Higgs bosons, where just one singlet is added to the SM . A more complete presentation of these results will be given in ref. [10].



Figure 2: Limits on 2_A as a function of M_h; M_A for p s = 190 GeV. The left plot shows the limits obtained for B = Br(h! JJ) = 1, in the right plot B is varied from 0 to 1.

ACKNOW LEDGEM ENTS

This work was supported by the University of W isconsin Research Committee with funds granted by the W isconsin A lum niResearch Foundation, by the U.S.Department of Energy under Grant No.DE-FG 02-95ER 40896, by DG ICYT under Grant No.PB 92-0084, by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Cient coe Tecnologico (CNPq/Brazil), by Fundaceo de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Sao Paulo (FAPESP/Brazil), and by a DG ICYT postdoctoral fellow – ship and the A lexander von Hum boldt Stiffung. W e thank S.K atsanevas for useful discussions and for bringing the paper of ref. [9] to our attention. ¹ Perm anent address: Instituto de F sica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, C P. 66318, CEP 05389-970 Sao Paulo, Brazil

References

- [1] Y. Chikashige, R. Mohapatra, R. Peccei, Phys. Lett. 98B, 265 (1980)
- [2] For a review see J.W .F.Valle, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 26 (1991) 91 and references therein.
- [3] A.Joshipura and J.W.F.Valle, Nucl. Phys. B 397 (1993) 105; A.S.Joshipura, S.Rindani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 3269.
- [4] A.Masiero and J.W.F.Valle, Phys. Lett. B 251, 273 (1990). J.C.Romao, C.A.Santos, and J.W.F.Valle, Phys. Lett. B 288, 311 (1992).
- [5] A. Lopez-Fernandez et al., Phys. Lett. B 312 (1993) 240.
- [6] B Brahm achariet al, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 4224; ALEPH Collab., Phys. Lett. B 313, 312 (1993); B 313, 299 (1993).
- [7] O.J.P.Eboli, et al., Nucl. Phys. B 421 (1994) 65, F. de Campos et al. W orking G roup on e⁺ e Collision at 500 GeV: The Physics Potential, edited by P. Zerwas (1993) 55.
- [8] F. de Campos et al, Phys. Lett. B 336 (1994) 446.
- [9] DELPHICollaboration, DELPHI95-57 PHYS 493.
- [10] O.J.P.Eboli, F.de Campos, J.Rosiek, J.W.F.Valle, in preparation.