# Jets and Q uantum F ield Theory 

N A Sveshnikov ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and F.V.T kachov ${ }^{\text {b }}$<br>a D epartm ent of $P$ hysics, M oscow State U niversity, M oscow 119899<br>${ }^{\text {b }}$ Institute for N uclear R esearch of $R$ ussian A cadem y of Sciences, M oscow 117312

M arch 26, 2022


#### Abstract

W e discuss quantum \{ eld \{theoretic interpretation of the fam ily of observables (the so \{called C \{algebra) introduced in [12i] for a system atic description of $m$ ultijet structure of $m$ ultiparticle nal states at high energies. W e argue that from the point of view of general quantum eld theory, all in form ation about the $m$ ultijet structure is contained in the values of a fam ily of $m$ ultiparticle quantum correlators that can be expressed in term s of the energy \{m om entum tensor.


## Introduction

The concept of hadron jets is a comerstone of the $m$ odem high energy
 cuss the experin ental procedures em ployed e.g. in the recent discovery of the top quark $\left[\frac{13}{-1}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\overline{4}]}\end{array}\right]$ thout using the language of hadron jets. Yet apart from the early discussion of the issue of perturbative $\mathbb{R}$ safety in connection
 has been done to integrate the jet paradigm into the fram ew ork of $Q$ uantum Field Theory. This is despite the fact that perturbative QFT is the only system atic calculational fram ew ork for obtaining theoretical predictions about jets. The conventional theory of jets was developed by trial and error w thin experim ental and phenom enological com $m$ unities and is based on the notion of jet de nition algorithm which is foreign to QFT.On the other
hand, theoretical studies of jet algorithm s and the related issues of Sudakov factorization etc. (cf. e.g. $\left[\bar{T}_{1}\right],\left[\frac{\bar{O}_{1}^{1}}{1}\right]$ and refs. therein ) sim ply accepted the conventional jet paradigm w ithout attem pting to bring it into conform ity w ith QFT.This would be understandable should QFT be a phenom enological schem e of lim ted applicability. B ut Q FT is a fundam ental fram ew ork to describe $m$ echanics of the $m$ ost elem entary bits of $m$ atter know $n$ to date that is capable of yielding predictions that agree $w$ ith experim ents to unprecedented precision $\stackrel{\dagger}{9}, \underline{9}$, and there are no reasons w hatsoever to doubt its basic nature ${ }_{-1}^{I_{1}}$

T he eclectic nature of the theory of jets did not fail to result in practical di culties. These are $m$ anifest in $w h a t$ is known as ambiguities of jet de nition (see e.g. [1] [1] $]$ ) that lim it the precision of experim ental results obtained using the conventional data processing techniques. For instance, it is expected that the dom inant error of determ ination of the top $m$ ass at the LH C w ill be lim ited by the system atic error due to am biguities of jet algorithm $s$ [1]q]]. T he di culties ofa di erent nature arise in theoreticalstudies: jet cross sections are im possible to com pute analytically even in the sim plest cases, while studies of such issues as pow er corrections are unduly cum bersom e (as com pared w ith the non $\{$ jet case) .
 scription of jet\{related features of hadronic nalstate in high energy physics can be achieved in a Q F T \{com patiblem anner w ithin the so \{ called form alism of C \{algebra ( C is from 'calorim etric', here and below). T he C \{algebra consists of a basic class of observables | the so \{ called C \{correlators that have a rather simple form of multiparticle correlators $w$ th their dependence on particles' energy rigidly xed (see below) | plus a few rules to construct new observables from those already available. T he resulting observables possess optim al stability properties $w$ th respect to data errors and statistical uctuations, and can be com puted from events bypassing jet nding algorithm $s$, thus avoiding the notorious problem of ambiguities of jet de nition. On the other hand, the exam ples given in [1] $\overline{2}]$ dem onstrate that the expressive pow er of the C \{algebra is su cient to express practically any jet\{related property studied in high energy physics (such as 'n \{ jet fractions' and m ass spectra of 'm ultijet substates').

A s was pointed out in $[1 \overline{1} 2 \overline{1}]$, the central role of the observables of corre-

[^0]lator form in the C \{algebra opens a prospect of a system atic Q FT study of the theory of jets. H ow ever, the issue of com patibility of the C \{algebra w ith QFT was only touched upon in [1̄2]. There are two points that re$m$ ain to be clari ed. First, we will show that all inform ation about m ultijet structure is contained in true quantum correlators (the argum ents of $\left[\begin{array}{l}-12 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ are incom plete in this respect). Second, we w illexpress such correlators in term $s$ of the energy $m$ om entum tensor so that the resulting de nition acquires a fundam ental non \{perturbative aspect.
setup

An overview of the argum ents that went into the construction of the $\mathrm{C}\{$ algebra is given in

Let i num ber the particles produced in an event. T he event is seen by calorim etric detectors as a nite sequence ofpairs $P=f E_{i} ; \hat{p}_{i} g^{\prime}$ where $E_{i}$ and $\hat{p}_{i}$ are the $i$-th particle's energy and direction (w hich can be represented e.g. by a point of the unit sphere). Strictly speaking one should bear in $m$ ind the follow ing tw o points. First, such an "event" is an elem ent of the factor space of the space of nal states $w$ ith respect to an equivalence relation (nam ely, collinear particles are calorim etrically indistinguishable). Second, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ should be interpreted as $j_{i} j$; at high energies one neglects particles' $m$ asses and the two quantities are equivalent from the point of view of their use in the description of m ultijet structure.

Follow ing $[\underline{1}=\overline{2}]$, we describe the $C$ \{ algebra using the language of particles. $R$ egarding hadrons in nalstates as freem assive particles w e have to intenpret the form ulas of $[\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2}]$ in the context of the corresponding Fock space. The nal form ula has a substantially w ider m eaning: no assum ptions about the structure of the space of nal states $w i l l$ be necessary.

A n observable is de ned via a function on the events, $f(P)$, and the value of the observable $m$ easured for a given initial state is obtained by averaging f ( P ) over the entire ensem ble ofevents generated from that initialstate. O ne can always form ally de ne (w thout adding new inform ation) the operator $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{f}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{f} \mathcal{P} i=f(P) \mathcal{P} i \tag{2:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the $m$ easured value of the observable is
where $\ddot{\text { In } i} \mathrm{i}$ is the corresponding initial state.
The C \{algebra of ${ }_{1}[\overline{2} \overline{2}]$ consists of the so \{called C \{correlators that form its basis and a few rules to construct new observables. A C \{correlator has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{N}(P)={ }_{i_{1}}^{x}:::^{x} E_{i_{N}}::: E_{i_{V N}} f_{N}\left(\hat{P}_{i_{1}}::: \hat{P}_{i_{V}}\right) ; \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is any positive integer and $f_{N}\left(\hat{f}_{1}::: \hat{\hat{N}}_{\mathrm{N}}\right.$ ) can be any symmetric function of its $N$ angular argum ents; w ithout loss of physical generality we take it to be $\mathrm{C}^{1}$. N otice that the energy dependence of such a correlator is xed.

Am ong the rules to construct new elem ents of C \{algebra are algebraic com binations as well as integration and certain form $s$ ofm inim ization $w$ ith respect to a param eter (neither ofw hich is of interest in the present context). A construction of a di erent type involves di erential distributions which e ectively reduces to allow ing new observables of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (s } F(P)) \text {; } \tag{2:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is a real param eter, $F$ is an observable from the $C$ \{algebra, and is the $D$ irac \{fiunction. ( $T$ his construction becom es phenom enologically useful only in com bination with other nules but this is of no im portance here).
Expressing spectral observables via C \{correlators 3
The rst point that has to be clari ed is as follow s. T he spectral observable 2.4 is, of course, to be interpreted as a m easure, i.e. it describes a fam ily of num eric\{valued observables, each corresponding to a continuous function (s):

```
            z
                        ds (s) (s F (P))=(F (P))
```

A theough such an observable is indeed expressed in term s of a C \{correlator, F (P ), but only at the level of a single event. This, how ever, is not enough for an entirely meaningfulQFT interpretation. W hat one would like to be able to say is that the observable after the averaging over allevents could be interpreted in tem s of $C$ \{correlators also taken after such averaging. The additional argum ent required for this is as follow s.

A ccording to the well $\{$ know $n W$ eierstrass approxim ation theorem (see e.g. [1], Theorem 802]), any continuous function (s) can be approxim ated in the
uniform sense by polynom ials ofs within a bounded interval. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that a $\mathrm{C}\{$ correlator is alw ays bounded by a constant.) So the observables of the form 3.1 can be approxim ated to arbitrary precision by nite linear com binations of $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{P})$; $\mathrm{n} \quad 0$. If F is an N \{comelator then $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is an $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{N}$ \{correlator. D ue to uniform ity of the approxim ation, one can always change the order of taking the linear com bination and averaging over all events for a given initial state. The conclusion is that any di erential observable from the $C\{$ algebra as described in [1̄] ] can be regarded as appropriately approxim ated by C \{correlators after the averaging over all events. So it becom es entirely $m$ eaningful to say that all physical inform ation about the $m$ ultijet structure of events corresponding to a given initialstate is given by the average values of allc \{correlators over the corresponding events. Therefore, in what follow s we concentrate on operator QFT interpretation of the C \{correlators 2.3.

## O perator form of C $\{$ correlators

O ne can form ally rew rite 2.3 sim ilarly to 22 :

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{P_{1}}:: P^{P}{ }_{i_{N}} E_{i_{1}}::: E_{i_{N}} f_{N}\left(\hat{1}_{i_{1}}::: \hat{p}_{i_{N}}\right)^{E} P= \\
& ={ }^{R} d n_{1}:::^{R} d n_{N} \operatorname{hin} j "\left(n_{1}\right)::: "\left(n_{N}\right) \text { üni } f_{N}\left(n_{1} ;::: ; n_{N}\right) \text {; } \tag{4:1}
\end{align*}
$$

where all $n$ are unit 3 \{vectors (points of unit sphere), " $(\mathrm{n})$ is an operator\{ valued distribution on the unit sphere. It is a QFT interpretation of " ( $n$ ) that we w ish to obtain.

In the context of the asym ptotic Fock space, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
"(n)=\frac{z}{2 p^{0}} a^{+}(p) a(p) \quad(\hat{p} \quad n) \quad(\hat{p} ; n) ; \tag{4:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last factor is the \{function on the unit sphere localized at the point $\hat{p}=n$. O ne notices that in presence of such $\{$ function, $(\hat{p} \quad n)=j \rho j \quad E$ (recall that any de nition of jets and jet\{related observables is valid only in the lim it of high energies where all particles are regarded as massless). The operators a are to be interpreted as free\{ eld operators corresponding to the asym ptotic states at $t=+1$.
" (n) in term s of elds. A heuristic derivation.
W ithout loss of generality we take all particles to be identical Lorentz \{ scalar bosons. We also lim it ourselves in this rst heuristic derivation to particles with non \{zero m ass m . C onsider the quantum eld corresponding to a :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime(x ; t))^{z} \frac{d^{3} k}{2 k^{0}} e^{+i k x} a^{+}(k)+H: C:: \tag{5:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( W e dropped irrelevant norm alization factors.) Take $\mathrm{x}=\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{p}^{0}} \mathrm{t}$; $\mathrm{t}!+1$, and form ally use the stationary phase approxim ation. Then:

$$
, \frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{p}_{0}} t_{;} t_{\mathrm{t}!+1} \frac{\mathrm{p}_{0}^{3=2}}{\mathrm{t}^{3=2}} \quad \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \quad a^{+}(\mathrm{p}) e^{\frac{\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2} t}{p_{0}}+\frac{3}{4}}{4}}+\mathrm{H}: C:
$$

The combination $a^{+}$(p) a (p) can be extracted as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.@_{0}^{\prime} @_{i}^{\prime} \quad \frac{p}{p_{0}} t_{;} t=t^{3} \frac{p_{i} p_{0}^{4}}{2 m^{2}} a^{+}(p) a(p)+0 \quad e^{2 i \frac{m^{2} t}{p_{0}}}+\right) \quad+o t^{3} \tag{5:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ oticing that the com bination of eld derivatives on the $1 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{s}$. is exactly $\mathrm{T}_{0 \mathrm{i}}$, the energy \{ m om entum tensor of the free eld ', and form ally neglecting the oscillating term s , one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
"(n)=m^{2} t^{3} \frac{d p}{p_{0}^{5}} \quad(\hat{p} ; n) T_{0 i} \frac{p}{p_{0}} t ; t: \tag{5:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The power of $m$ ass can be elim inated by rescaling $p!m p$. There are two subtle points that have to be clari ed in the above derivation:

The derivation has to be valid for $m$ assless eld, and for $m=0$ one should be able to take into account the rather com plex singularity of the eld near the light cone, nam ely,

H ow to accurately neglect the oscillating term s on the rh.s. of 5.3?
$T$ hese are the two issues that we are now going to consider.

## A m ore accurate derivation

M otivated by the above derivation, consider the follow ing expression (a sm earing over $n$ is im plicit) :

$$
\lim _{t!1} t^{Z^{1}}{ }_{0}^{2} d \quad @_{0}^{\prime} @_{i}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{l}
n \tag{6:1}
\end{array} ; t\right)
$$

Substituting 5.1 one obtains the follow ing expression for the coe cient of a (p) a (q):

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}^{1}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} p}{2 p_{0}} \frac{d^{3} q}{2 q_{0}} e^{i t\left[\left(p_{0} p n\right)\left(q_{0} \text { qn }\right)\right]} p_{0} q_{i} a \text { (p)a (q): } \tag{6:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asym ptotics of this expression for $t!+1$ can be found using the stationary phase m ethod.

O ne nds that the stationary points are as follows.
For the term a (p) a (q) w ith opposite signs the stationary point is $p=q=$ $p \frac{m}{12^{2}} n$ w th any $2[0 ; 1]$ form 0 , and $=1, p=q=!n w$ th any $!>0$ for $m=0$. For the term a (p) a (q) with both signs equal one should take $=0$ and $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}=0$ for both $\mathrm{m} \in 0$ and $\mathrm{m}=0$, and the entire contribution is suppressed as com pared w th the previous case by an additional pow er of $t^{1}$. This settles the problem of oscillating term s . F inally, one arrives at the follow ing expression irrespective of the eld's $m$ ass:
$\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{t}} \quad \mathrm{Z}$
$"(n) d n=\lim _{t!+1}{ }^{2} d n_{i} T_{0 i}(n ; t) d n=\lim _{t!+1}{ }_{x 2 C \text { one }(t, n ; d n)} d x n_{i} T_{0 i}(x ; t) d n$
To help understand this expression, below is a graphical representation of the integration region x 2 C one $(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{dn})$ on the r.h.s.: Recall that $\mathrm{T}_{0 \mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ is the space\{tim e density of 3 \{ m om entum and is equal due to sym $m$ etry of the energy \{ m om entum tensor to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i} 0}(\mathrm{x})$, the density of energy ow. The convolution $n_{i} \mathrm{~T}_{0 i}$ projects out the com ponent of the ow in the direction $n$. The integration has to be perform ed over the entire cone inchuding sm all

x (which is the least expected feature of the answer). This is apparently because, in general, particles in the nal state $m$ ay be arbitrarily slow .

## C onclusions

W e have dem onstrated that allphysical inform ation about m ultijet structure ofm ultiparticle events at high energies represented by the $C$ \{algebra of observables described in [1] $\overline{2}]$ is contained in the fam ily of the so-called C $\{$ correlators of the form $4.1 \mathrm{where} f_{N}$ are arbitrary $s m$ ooth sym $m$ etric functions of their angular argum ents, and the operator" ( $n$ ) is de ned by 6.3. Such a de nition of $C$ \{correlators retains physicalm eaning even if the theory does not adm it a naive particle interpretation (e.g. QCD where the asym ptotic states cannot contain quark and ghon elds; cf. e.g. the discussion in [15먹]; or QED where the structure of asym ptotic states is rather com plex due to $m$ assless photons). The de nition of jet\{related observables in term $s$ of the C \{correlators 4.1, 6.3 is non \{perturbative which lls an im portant gap that rem ained in the theory of QCD jets.

To reiterate, we started w th the assum ption that hadrons can be regarded as free particles in nal states. Then we showed that that the $C$ \{correlators are expressed in term $s$ of the energy $m$ om entum tensor of the corresponding
asym ptotic free elds via form ulas that are universal in the sense that they are independent of particles' $m$ asses. Therefore, sum $m$ ing over all particles' types yields the total energy m om entum tensor. The latter, how ever, being related to the spaci\{tim e sym $m$ etries of the theory, is independent of the set of elds used to form ulate the theory. In particular, one can use its expression in term $s$ of quark and ghon elds as well.

All this puts the issue of hadronization e ects in jet cross sections into a clearer perspective. For instance, in the case of $e^{+} e$ anninilation into hadrons the bound states do not appear in the expressions 4.1, 6.3 at all. Likew ise, the im portant [1] 1 ] issue of the structure of pow er corrections can be system atically studied (lim iting the consideration by necessity to perturbation theory) follow ing the pattem of asym ptotic operation [18], appropriately m odi ed for non \{E uclidean asym ptotic regim es $[1 \overline{1}, \underline{1}, \underline{2} \overline{1}]$. In particular, the form of 6.3 (cf. the integration over a half axis stretching from zero to in nity) seem s to add plausibility to the recent hypothesis that string operators should play a role in pow er corrections to jet cross sections $[\underline{2} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}]$.

Lastly, it would be interesting to nd out under what generalassum ptions one can prove existence of ob jects like C \{correlators 4.1, 6.3 in the context of axiom atic Q FT. It m ay be expected that this can be done under assum ptions som ew hat weaker than the usual one about a non-zero $m$ ass gap in the standard scattering theory (cf. [2] $\left.\ln _{2}^{2}\right]$ ).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ T here is, of course, gravity but the fact has no bearing on the high energy physics yet.

