

E2 AND C2 AMPLITUDES FOR ELECTROPRODUCTION OF Δ

M. FIOLHAIS

Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, 3000 Coimbra, Portugal

B. GOLLI

Faculty of Education and J. Stefan Institute, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

S. ŠIRCA


J. Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, 61111 Ljubljana, Slovenia

We compute the amplitudes for the electromagnetic $\gamma_v N \rightarrow \Delta$ transition in the framework of soliton models with quarks and mesons. The ratios E2/M1 and C2/M1 as functions of the photon four-momentum squared are dominated by the contribution of the pion cloud and are in reasonable agreement with the available experimental data.

The interest in the theoretical calculation of the electromagnetic amplitudes for the $N \rightarrow \Delta$ transition is growing as a consequence of the recent and forthcoming pion electroproduction experiments in the $\Delta(1232)$ region at the new accelerator facilities operating with CW electron beams.

At low energies this process can be an important test of effective models. Here we consider two different soliton models involving quark and meson degrees of freedom: the linear sigma model (LSM) and the chiral chromodielectric model (CDM). The nucleon and the Δ state are obtained variationally using the hedgehog ansatz which is endowed with good quantum numbers of angular momentum and isospin by means of the Peierls-Yoccoz projection techniques [1]. The models provide different pictures of the nucleon [2]: in the LSM there are strong chiral meson fields which are needed to bind three valence quarks; in the CDM, due to the presence of the additional chiral singlet χ field, the three valence quarks are confined and the strength of the chiral meson profiles is much smaller. However, since both models account for a reasonable description of nucleon properties, it is interesting to study their predictions regarding the process $\gamma_v N \rightarrow \Delta$, and try to figure out which features can be considered as model independent. Other calculations of quadrupole amplitudes performed in the framework of chiral models such as the CBM [3], the Skyrme model [4] and the NJL [5] only refer to photoproduction.

Processes of photo and electroproduction of the Δ are described by the vertex



where γ is the real (in case of photoproduction) or the virtual (in case of electroproduction) photon. In the reference frame in which Δ is at rest, the following kinematical expressions

hold for the photon 3-momentum and energy:

$$|\mathbf{k}|^2 = \omega^2 - K^2 \equiv k^2 = \left[\frac{M_\Delta^2 + M_N^2 - K^2}{2M_\Delta} \right]^2 - M_N^2; \quad \omega = \frac{M_\Delta^2 + K^2 - M_N^2}{2M_\Delta}. \quad (1)$$

There are only three relevant multipoles in the expansions of the $N \rightarrow \Delta$ electromagnetic transition amplitudes: a transverse electric quadrupole (E2), a transverse magnetic dipole (M1) and (only in electroproduction) a Coulomb quadrupole (C2). For the models we are using, both quarks and pions contribute to the electromagnetic current which is given by

$$\hat{J}^\mu = \bar{q}\gamma^\mu \left(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{\tau_3}{2} \right) q + (\vec{\pi} \times \partial^\mu \vec{\pi})_3 \equiv (\hat{\rho}, \hat{\mathbf{J}}), \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{\pi}$ is the pion field and q is the quark field.

The multipole moments are then given by

$$M^{\text{M1}}(k) = -\frac{3}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \langle \Delta^+ \uparrow | (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{J}})_{\lambda=+1} | p \downarrow \rangle j_1(kr) \quad (3)$$

$$M^{\text{C2}}(k) = -\sqrt{20\pi} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \langle \Delta^+ \uparrow | \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) | p \uparrow \rangle Y_{20}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) j_2(kr) \quad (4)$$

$$M^{\text{E2}}(k) = -\sqrt{10\pi} \frac{1}{k} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \langle \Delta^+ \uparrow | \left[\nabla \times j_2(kr) \mathbf{Y}_{22}^1(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \right] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}) | p \downarrow \rangle. \quad (5)$$

Commonly, one uses the current conservation and writes (5) in the form

$$M^{\text{E2}}(k) = \frac{\sqrt{15\pi}}{3} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \langle \Delta^+ \uparrow | \frac{\omega}{k} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r j_2(kr) - i k \mathbf{r} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}) j_2(kr) | p \downarrow \rangle Y_{21}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}). \quad (6)$$

Both expressions (5) and (6) would lead to the same result if an exact calculation were performed and $|N\rangle$ and $|\Delta\rangle$ were indeed eigenstates of the model hamiltonians. In our case the results obtained using (5) are very sensitive to the asymptotic behaviour of the pion radial profiles. Since the delta state is described as a soliton and not as a $N\pi$ system at large distances it is better to rely on (6), similarly to what has been done in other chiral models [3–5].

The matrix elements in eqs. (3–6) were calculated using model states representing the nucleon and the Δ in the LSM and in the CDM. The evaluation is considerably simplified if one takes advantage of the symmetries of the hedgehog state [6] but the final expressions are rather long and therefore are omitted here.

Assuming the hedgehog ansatz, the quarks are in s-state and therefore they cannot contribute to the quadrupole moments (4–6). However, through their interactions with the p-wave pions they can be excited to d ($j = 3/2$) states in which case they also contribute. We have computed the admixture of d-states in N and Δ in the CDM and it turns out that such excitations contribute less than 10% to the total amplitudes [7]. Therefore, the quadrupole amplitudes result essentially from the direct coupling of the photon to the pion cloud.

In both LSM and CDM we used the standard choice of parameters for $m_\pi = 0.14$ GeV, $m_\sigma = 1.2$ GeV and $f_\pi = 0.093$ GeV. The simplest version of the CDM with just a quadratic term in the potential for the confining field was considered. The relevant parameter of the model is $G = \sqrt{gM}$ where M is the χ mass. Sensible results for the nucleon properties are

Model	g or G	E2/M1	C2/M1	$A_{1/2}^q$	$A_{1/2}^\pi$	$A_{1/2}$	μ_{iv}^q	μ_{iv}^π	μ_{iv}
LSM	4.6	-1.89	-2.35	-53	-52	-105	1.22	1.16	2.38
	5.0	-1.87	-2.33	-53	-54	-107	1.20	1.20	2.40
	5.4	-1.84	-2.29	-53	-55	-108	1.17	1.22	2.39
CDM	0.18	-1.68	-2.32	-53	-18	-71	1.67	0.27	1.94
	0.19	-1.75	-2.39	-51	-20	-71	1.58	0.30	1.88
	0.20	-1.83	-2.47	-49	-21	-70	1.49	0.33	1.82
Exp.		-1.5 ± 0.4	—			-141 ± 5			2.35

obtained for G around 0.2 GeV [8]. For the LSM the dimensionless constant g should be around 5 [1].


In the Table we show the E2/M1 and C2/M1 ratios (in %) at the photon point for various values of g in LSM and G (in GeV) in CDM ($g = 0.030$ GeV always used). They are defined by $E2/M1 = M^{E2}/3 M^{M1}$ and $C2/M1 = M^{C2}/2\sqrt{2}M^{M1}$. We also present the separate contributions of quarks and pions to the helicity amplitude $A_{1/2}$ (in units of $10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-1/2}$), and the isovector magnetic moment of the nucleon (in n.m.). The ratio E2/M1 is well reproduced in both models. (The experimental value quoted was extracted from [9]; recent measurements in Mainz suggest a value of around -2.5% [10].) It is generally assumed that the photon 3-momentum is sufficiently small to consider that $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} r j_2(kr)$ in (6) can be replaced by $3 j_2(kr)$ and, in such a case, the ratio E2/M1 reduces to C2/M1 (we checked that the second term in (6), which is usually neglected [4,5], is indeed very small). Our results indicate that this reduction is not justified: in both models, we found that the contribution to E2/M1, coming from those terms which are usually dropped, represents 20–25% of the total value. In electroproduction, since $k \geq 0.259$ GeV the ratios E2/M1 and C2/M1 behave quite differently (see below). At the photon point, the experimental value for the helicity amplitude $A_{1/2}$ is underestimated in both LSM and in CDM. The situation is less favourable in the CDM due to the weak pion cloud pion which leads to a too small pion contribution to the amplitude (the same feature occurs for the pion part of the nucleon isovector magnetic moment). A similar situation happens regarding the dependence of $A_{1/2}$ on K^2 ; in the LSM, such dependence seems to be more consistent with data [7].

The ratios E2/M1 and C2/M1 obtained in LSM ($g = 5.0$) and CDM ($G = 0.2$ GeV) are represented in Fig. 1 as a function of $-K^2$. Experimental points are taken from [11].

The two ratios are rather insensitive to the details of the models considered here. As it appears, the quantity E2/M1 is compatible with zero for $-K^2 > 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$, but the ratio C2/M1 is clearly negative, assuming relatively large values, which may indicate the presence of an important pion content in the nucleon and the delta.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation (Lisbon), the Ministry of Science of Slovenia, and the European Commission contract ERB-CIPA-CT-92-2287. MF would like to acknowledge a travel grant from GTAE (Lisbon).

Fig. 1



References

1. B. Golli and M. Rosina, *Phys. Lett.* **165B**, 347 (1985). M. C. Birse, *Phys. Rev.* **33D**, 1934 (1986).
2. M. C. Birse, *Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **25**, 1(1990).
3. G. Kälbermann and J. M. Eisenberg, *Phys. Rev.* **28D**, 71 (1983). K. Bermuth *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **37D**, 89 (1988).
4. A. Wirzba and W. Weise, *Phys. Lett.* **188B**, 6 (1987).
5. T. Watabe, Chr. V. Christov and K. Goeke, *Phys. Lett.* **349B**, 197 (1995).
6. M. Čibej, M. Fiolhais, B. Golli and M. Rosina, *J. Phys.* **18G**, 49 (1992).
7. M. Fiolhais, B. Golli and S. Širca, preprint submitted to *Phys. Lett. B*.
8. A. Drago, M. Fiolhais and V. Tambini, *Nucl. Phys.* **588A**, 801 (1995).
9. Particle Data Group, *Phys. Rev.* **50D**, 1712 (1994).
10. R. Beck, these Proceedings.
11. Particle Data Group, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48**, s157 (1976).