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#### Abstract

W e analyze the possibilities that the proposed -factories o er to $m$ easure $Z$ interference. In the unpolarized beam case, we study di erent signatures in the channel, taking advantage of the presence of the near-by $a_{1}$ resonance. W e build a C-odd forw ard-backw ard asym $m$ etry, estim ated to be around $10{ }^{5}$, and ( $P$-even, $T$-even) and $(\mathrm{P}$-odd, T -odd) alignm ents of the , to be seen from the angular distribution of its decay products. W ith polarized electrons a left-right asym m etry around $2 \quad 10^{4}$ is present in all channels. At leading order this asym $m$ etry is independent of hadronic $m$ atrix elem ents and is sensitive to the $Z^{0}$ ss vector coupling. In the channel, a com bined left-right forw ard-backw ard asym $m$ etry is considered.


## 1 Introduction

A -factory is an $e^{+} e$ collider operating at a center of $m$ ass energy $q^{2}$, $(m)^{2}=(1: 01941 \mathrm{GeV})^{2}$, so that the dom inant process is the form ation of the vector resonance (1020) with spin, parity and charge conjugation $J^{P C}=1 \quad$. Projected lum inosities of $10^{32} \quad 10^{33} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ at DA NE and $N$ ovosibirsk [ilinl, would produce m ore than $10^{10}$ 's per year, a high num ber that willallow to perform e high precision experim ents. D i erent possibilities of testing CP, CPT, chiral lagrangians, etc, can be found in the rst and second editions of the DA NE Physics Handbook [2.I.

In this paper we are interested in analyzing the possibilities that a factory o ers to study $Z$ interference e ects. That is, interference am ong the -dom inant contribution to a given nalstate $F$ (as indicated in $F$ igure 1) and the contribution of the Z -boson (see for exam ple F igure 2).
$T$ he interference of $F$ igures 1 and 2 is controlled by the ratio of the $Z$ to propagators, so its order of $m$ agnitude is $q^{2}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}$, $10^{4}$. W ith $10^{10}$ events per year it seem s that this interference could be m easured at a factory like DA NE. From this kind of measurem ent one could isolate the $Z$ ss coupling, in fact the vector coupling ( $g_{v}^{s}$ ), because the is a pure ss vector state. N ote that the Z also couples to the axial current so there is a contribution com ing from the nearest-by axial vector resonance ( $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{PC}}=$ $1^{++}$), the $\mathrm{a}_{1}(1260)$. This resonance has a large width $\mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{\prime} 400 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, so at $q^{2}$, (m $)^{2}$ the coupling to the $a_{1}(1260)$ is still big enough to produce im portant e ects. This interference of $F$ igure 1 w ith $F$ igures 3 and 4 could give com plem entary inform ation on the $a_{1}$ param eters [1] $]$. In fact the $a_{1}$ contribution in Figure 3 is related to ! $a_{1}$ by an isospin rotation.

O ther near-by axial vector resonance with a large width is the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ (1170), a $J^{P C}=1^{+}$state, but the and the $Z$ can not couple to this state through the st-class vector or axial-vector currents because it is a CP odd state. The question of CP violating interferences $w$ ill be addressed in a forthcom ing paper.

Through this paper we will consider only the interference of Figure 1 w ith the Z-m ediated \resonant" contributions of F igures 2, 3 and 4. N onresonant contributions will be neglected, essentially, because we will only study hadronic nal states.

The next point to clarify is where to look for these interference e ects. That is, we have to choose the best channels and the best observables to
search for these interferences. First of all we have to keep in $m$ ind that the only way to see these e ects is to look for distinctive signatures. In a

Z interference, clearly we only have two signatures of this type. First, the interference of a P -even am plitude with a P -odd one. Second, we can have the interference of C -odd am plitude w ith a C -even one. O foourse the only kind of signal that unam biguously points tow ards a Z interference is the rst one, that is, to look for parity violating observables. N evertheless we w ill also study $C$-odd interferenœes, but rem em bering that two photon interm ediate states also contribute to these pieces.

The dom inant decay channels of the are presented in Table I. Taking into account the num ber of events necessary to $m$ easure an asym $m$ etry of $10{ }^{4}$, we will concentrate in the rst three channels. In addition we w ill distinguish two di erent cases : we can consider either unpolarized or polarized beam s. DA NE, for exam ple, is going to operate w ith unpolarized beam s, although electron beam polarization is a possibility that can be considered [4]. So we devote the $m$ ain part of the paper to study the unpolarized case and at the end we w ill consider the polarized case, too.
$F$ irst we analyze the lim ited possibilities o ered by the $K^{+} K \quad$ and $K_{L} K_{S}$ channels, and then we will study carefully the m ore prom ising channel. W e will construct the density matrix and present the observables in the $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ ! ! channels. A gain both channels will be analyzed in the polarized beam case.

## $2 \quad e e^{+}$! $K^{+} K \quad ; K_{S} K_{L}$

$T$ he $a_{1}$ does not couple to $K$ K strongly because ofP arity. So in this channel we only have the interference of $F$ igure 1 w ith F igure 2 . T he relevant piece would be when the $Z$ couples to $e e^{+}$through the axial current, so we need to construct a pseudoscalar quantity. But kaons have no spin, and with unpolarized beam s we don't have any pseudoscalar to our disposal in this process.

In conclusion the dom inant channels are not useful to $m$ easure the $\quad Z$ interference in the case of unpolarized beam $s$. As we will see later, the situation changes in the case of polarized beam s.

## 3 e $e^{+}$!

In this channelwe have a particle with spin in the nalstate and both and $a_{1}$ couple to . So the argum ents given in the previous section go into the opposite direction, that is, we can expect this channel to be the one where a $\quad \mathrm{Z}$ interference signal at a -factory with unpolarized beam s can be expected.

## 3.1 -density m atrix out

In the processe (l ; )+ $e^{+}\left(l_{+} ;+\right)!(k ;)+(p)$ for unpolarized initial $e e^{+}$all the observables are in the -density $m$ atrix ${ }^{\text {out }}$, because the pion has no spin.

In the center of $m$ ass frame (C M .), in the so-called helicity convention [G], for an electron $w$ ith $m$ om entum $l$ and helicity and a positron $w$ ith m om entum $l_{+}$and helicity + , the amplitude to $a \mathrm{w}$ ith m om entum p , and a w th m om entum k and helicity is given by 馮]

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i \sim}(;)=N \exp (i \quad)_{J}^{X}(2 J+1) d_{;}^{J}() T_{; \sim}^{J} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\sim}=($; $)$, $=\quad+,\left(\right.$; are de ned in Figure 5, $d^{\top}$; ( ) are the reduced rotation $m$ atrices about the $y$-axis, and the $T_{; \sim}^{J}$ are the so-called reduced helicity am plitudes. In our case, because in alldiagram s e ${ }^{+}$e couple to a vector boson, the sum in Equation (11) gets reduced to the term $J=1$. So we can w rite Equation ( $(\underset{1}{1})$ in a m ore sim ple way

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{; \sim}(;)=d^{1} ;() T ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To w rite Equation ( $\overline{2}$ ), we have chosen the scattering plane as the $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{z}$ plane de ned in Figure 5. W e have de ned 3N T ${ }_{;}^{1}=\mathrm{T} ; . \mathrm{F}$ inally we have perform ed the approxim ation of neglecting the electron $m$ ass; in this case, the vector and axial vector currents conserve helicity at the electron vertex. So the only am plitudes we can have are the ones with $\sim=\left(+\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}\right)$ or $\sim=\left(\frac{1}{2} ;+\frac{1}{2}\right)$, that $m$ eans that can take the values $=\quad+=1$ and xes ${ }^{\sim}$.
In A ppendix A we give the out density $m$ atrix in general for processes dom inated by vector boson contributions, that is, for the am plitudes given
by Equation (2, $\overline{2}^{\prime}$. If we consider the contribution of the diagram $s$ in $F$ igures 1 to 4 we can write .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T} ;=\mathrm{T} ;(\quad)+\mathrm{T} ;(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{T} ;\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)+\mathrm{T} ;\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)+\mathrm{T} ;\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where T; ( ) is the contribution ofF igure 1,T ; ( z ) is the parity violating piece in $F$ igure 2, the parity conserving piece in $F$ igure 2 w ill not be considered, because essentially it has the sam e structure than F igure 1. T ; $\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ and $T$; ( $a_{1}^{V}$ ) are respectively the P -conserving and P -violating pieces of Fig ure 3. F inally $T$; $\left(a_{1}\right)$ is the contribution of $F$ igure 4, that violates parity.

Now it is evident that T ; ( ) and T ( $\left.\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ are P even and T; ( $\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}}$ ), $\mathrm{T} ;(\mathrm{z})$ and $\mathrm{T} ;\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)$ are P odd so they verify ${ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{1} \mathrm{l}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T} ;\left(; \mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right) & =\mathrm{T} ;\left(; \mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right) \\
\mathrm{T} ;\left(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}} ;\right. & \left.\mathrm{a}_{1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}=+\mathrm{T} ;\left(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}} ; \quad \mathrm{a}_{1}\right) .
$$

A lso from parity conservation in ! and $a_{1}$ ! decays we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T} ;\left(; \mathrm{z}^{2}\right) & =\mathrm{T} ;(; \quad(\mathrm{z}) \\
\mathrm{T} ;\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{A}} ; \mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}} ; \quad \mathrm{a}_{1}\right) & =+\mathrm{T} ;\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{A}} ; \mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}} ; \quad \mathrm{a}_{1}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that a can not decay to a with helicity $=0$. From Equations $(\underline{\overline{4}})$ and ( $\overline{\mathrm{G}})$ it is possible to w rite all the reduced helicity am plitudes in term s of the follow ing pieces: $\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\quad), \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{z}), \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right), \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}}\right), \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)$, $T_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{A}\right), T_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{V}\right)$ and $T_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}\right)$. H ere the $m$ ost im portant piece is the rst one, being the other seven of order $10{ }^{4}$ w th respect to the rst one, at least.

U sing the equations in A ppendix A we get for the cross section

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{~d}}\right) \mathrm{d}=\frac{16}{3} \mathfrak{F}_{1 ; 1}() \mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{J}}  \tag{6}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}}=\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{\text {out }}\right) & =\frac{3}{16} \mathrm{f}\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)+4 \operatorname{Re}^{\left.\frac{\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(~)}\right] \cos g} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the polar angle of the $w$ ith respect to the electron beam.
In Equation ( $(\overline{-})$ we give the integrated cross section. In fact this equation xes the norm alization of the reduced helicity am plitudes. Equations (बֻ) and ( $\bar{i}$, ) are valid under the assum ption of using the electrom agnetic am plitude squared and the -z interference. A s we can see in Equation ( $(\overline{1})$, it appears for the rst timea $Z$ interference. The second term in the right hand side (r.h.s.) of Equation (구) gives a forw ard-backward asym $m$ etry as one would expect from an interference of the vector vector ( $V-V$ ) piece in diagram 1 w th the axial axial ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}$ ) piece in diagram 3. It must be stressed at this tim e that, in general, any interference of the type $(V-V)(A-A)$ can also be produced by interference of diagram 1 w th tw o photon processes. N ote that the 2 contribution is $P$-even and $C$-even as the ( $A-A$ ) piece in $F$ igure 3. Two photons in the interm ediate state can not couple to any 0 resonance because of helicity supresion. Landau-Y ang theorem [通] forbids the coupling of $t w$ o real photons to 1 states, so it rem ains the resonant contribution $e^{+} e!\quad!a_{1}^{0}!:::$, where the virtual photon is coupled to ${ }^{0}$ or !.

From reference $[\bar{i}, 1$, we can obtain a rigurous low er bound for this contribution provided the amplifudes $a_{1}^{0}!{ }^{0}, a_{1}^{0}!!, 0!$ and!! are known. These can be borrowed from the spin-1 chiral Lagrangian of ref. [G]] based on an extended $N$ am bu-Jona-Lasinio $m$ odel of QCD. W th these inputs we get for the ratio of the absonptive part of the ( ${ }^{0} ;!$ ) am plitude to the weak am plitude :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\text { A }\left(a_{1}!e^{+} e\right) \quad j}{\not \approx A\left(a_{1}!e^{+} e\right)_{\text {weak }}^{j}} \quad 8: 5 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A though this result indicates that the natural order ofm agnitude of the contribution to the forw ard-backw ard asym $m$ etry is larger than the w eak one, it m ust be stressed that there is also the contribution of the dispersive part. The forw ard-backw ard asym $m$ etry com es from an interference, so one can get som e cancellation or enhancem ent between the absorptive and dispersive pieces. Thus no de nite conclusion about electrom agnetic or weak dom inance can be extracted from equation ( $\overline{-1})$ till a com plete analysis


The next set of observables that can be $m$ easured in this channel correspond to the alignm ents of the , that is, the second-rank multipolar param eters $t_{2 ; M}$, de ned in A ppendix A. For these observables we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{t}_{2 ; 0}()=\sqrt{\mathrm{s}} \overline{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}}  \tag{9}\\
& \frac{d}{d} t_{2 ; 1}()=\frac{s}{\frac{3}{5}} \sin \operatorname{fRe}\left[I_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{A}\right) T_{1 ; 1}(\quad)\right] \\
& +\operatorname{icos} \operatorname{Im}\left[\left(T_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{V}\right)+T_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}\right)\right) T_{1 ; 1}(\quad)\right] g  \tag{10}\\
& \left.\frac{d}{d} t_{2 ; 2}()=\frac{s}{5} \frac{\sin ^{2}}{2} f \operatorname{Ti}_{1 ; 1}()\right\} \\
& +2 \operatorname{iIm}\left[\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)+\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\quad \mathrm{a}_{1}\right)\right) \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\quad)\right] g \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

 and $t_{2 ; 2}$ contain parity violating pieces of the type $(V-V)(V-A)$, both from $F$ igures 3 and 4, so these term s unam biguously point to a $Z$ interference. It $m$ ust be stressed that the am plitudes that interfere in these observables m ust be not relatively real, this points out to $T$ odd observables as we will see. N ote that $F$ igure 2 does not contribute to these P arity violating pieces , so the Z -ss coupling w ill appear only from the diagram of $F$ igure 4, where there is a contribution proportional to $g_{V}^{s}$. In Equation ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{\underline{Q}}\right)$ we have an additional $P$ conserving $\quad Z$ interference that can get contribution also from diagram $s w i t h$ two photons.

For com pleteness we also give the rst-rank multipolarparam eterst $t_{1 ; M}()$, but in a more standard notation of polarization com ponents. The angular distributions of the polarization along the $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ and $z^{\prime}$ axes de ned in $F$ igure 5 are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}^{0}}()= & 2 \operatorname{Re}\left[\left(\mathrm{~T}_{0 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)+\mathrm{T}_{0 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)\right) \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}()\right] \sin \cos  \tag{12}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}^{0}}()=2 \operatorname{Im}\left[\mathrm{~T}_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right) \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}()\right] \sin  \tag{13}\\
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}^{0}}()= & 2 \operatorname{Re}\left[\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)+\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)\right) \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\quad)\right]\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right) \\
& +4 \operatorname{Re}\left[T_{1 ; 1}(z) \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}()\right] \cos \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

A $s$ is well-known, the only parity-violating polarizations are w ithin the scattering plane, and correspond to $P_{x^{0}}$ and $P_{z^{0}} . P_{y^{0}}$, being a $T$ odd observable, needs a relative phase am ong interfering am plitudes. It has to be pointed out that these polarization interferences are rather di cult to m easure. Looking at the ! channel is useless, because the pions have no spin, and decays through a parity conserving interaction.T he ${ }^{+}$decay channels are badly m easured so we do not know if there is som e channel w th branching ratio at the level of $10^{3}$ that can be used to $m$ easure the -polarization. In any case if there is such a channel, even a polarization at the $10{ }^{3}$ level is going to be di cult to $m$ easure. So we will not consider
-polarization as being a m easurable quantity at a -factory, at least at the required level of accuracy to see -Z interference in the channels.

In order to $m$ easure the ${ }^{0}$ polarization, there is a channel that probably could be used: ${ }^{0}$ ! + whose branching ratio is of order $10^{2}$. In any case sensitivities to the level of $10{ }^{4}$ seem di cult to obtain. It m ust be stressed that there is only the second piece in the rh s. of Equation ( $\overline{1}$ can contribute to polarize the ${ }^{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}!{ }^{0} 0$ is forbidden by C , therefore only the interference with $F$ igure 2 can contribute to the ${ }^{0}{ }^{0}$ channel. Being this piece sensitive to the Z ss vector coupling ( $g_{V}^{s}$ ), it would be interesting to analyze the sensitivity to $g_{v}^{s}$ of a triple correlation in the process $e^{+} e$ !


Except for this last com $m$ ent, we $m$ ust conclude that although there are several pieces sensitive to the Z interference in our observables, it looks hard if not im possible to $m$ easure the contribution of $g_{v}^{s}$ com ing from $F$ igure 2 w ith unpolarized beam s.

### 3.2 The -density matrix out and the $Z$ ss coupling



 $T$-even, consequently they are proportional to the \real" part of a parityviolating interference. On the contrary $S_{y^{0}}$ is $P$-even and $T$-odd and then it is proportional to the \im aginary" part of a parity-conserving interference. N ote also that $S_{x^{0}}$ and $S_{y^{0}}$ are a com bination of the $S$ operators, so they need two di erent am plitudes that di er by one unit in the helicity of the
. H ow ever $F$ igure 2 does not populate the in the helicity state $=0$, so it can only contribute to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}^{0}}$. The other results follow inm ediatelly from the previous argum ents.

If we take into account the follow ing relations for the spherical com ponents of the tensor polarization

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{T}_{2 ; 0} /\left(3 \mathrm{~S}_{z^{0}}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~S}^{2}\right)  \tag{15}\\
\mathrm{T}_{2 ; 1} / / & \left(\mathrm{S}_{+} \mathrm{S}_{z^{0}}+\mathrm{S}_{z^{0}} \mathrm{~S}_{+}\right) /\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}^{0}} \mathrm{~S}_{z^{0}}+S_{z^{0}} S_{x^{0}}\right)+i\left(S_{y^{0}} S_{z^{0}}+S_{z^{0}} S_{\mathrm{y}^{0}}\right) \\
\mathrm{T}_{2 ; 2} / & \mathrm{S}_{+}^{2} / \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}^{0}}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}^{0}}^{2}+i\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}^{0}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}^{0}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}^{0}} S_{\mathrm{y}^{0}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

it is quite easy to understand the result in Equations $\left(\frac{\overline{9}}{1}\right),(\overline{1} \overline{0})$ and $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}), \mathrm{hT}_{2 ; 0}$ i, being proportional to $S_{i}^{2}$, $m$ ust be $P$-even and $T$-even, thus the forwardbackw ard asymmetry is proportional to the \real" part of a P-conserving interference. $\mathrm{hT}_{2 ; 1} \mathrm{i}$ has two pieces, the real part proportional to $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}} 0 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{z}^{0}}+\right.$ $S_{z^{0}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}^{0}}$ )i must be P -even and T -even, and so proportional to the $\backslash$ real" part of a P -conserving interference, as it is. T he im aginary part of $\mathrm{hr}_{2 ; 1} \mathrm{i}$ is proportional to $h\left(S_{y^{0}} S_{z^{0}}+S_{z^{0}} S_{y^{0}}\right)$ i, and so it is $P$-odd and $T$-odd, consequently it gives the \im aginary" part of a parity-violating interference. The reason why $F$ igure 2 does not contribute to $\mathrm{T}_{2 ; 1}$ is again the absence ofpopulation in the $=0$ helicity state of the. Being $\mathrm{T}_{2 ; 1}$ linear w ith $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}^{0}}$ the contributions to $\mathrm{hT}_{2 ; 1} \mathrm{i}$ are proportional to the interference of tw o am plitudes di ering by one unit in the helicity. On the contrary Figure 4 is proportional to $g_{V}^{s}$ and it contributes to this P -violating piece.

F inally from the last of Eqs. (19) , the im aginary part of $\mathrm{hT}_{2 ; 2}$ i is itself the im aginary part of a $P$-violating interference, because $S_{x} 0 S_{y^{0}}$ is $P$-odd and $T$-odd. W hy $F$ igure 2 does not contribute to this piece ?. If we study only contributions from F igure 1 and 2, our process can be studied as a tw o step process: rst the form ation of a polarized and aligned, polarized by the interference of $F$ igures 1 and 2, and aligned (just $t_{2 ; 0}$ ) by $F$ igure 1 alone, followed by its decay A ! B $(J=1)+C$, it can be checked than in order to obtain $t_{2 ; 2} \in 0$ for the B-particle (of spin 1), it is necessary to have the A-particle aligned. This is why Figure 1 contributes to the real part of $t_{2 ; 2}$ ( $P$-even and $T$-even) and why $F$ igure 2 does not contribute to the im aginary part of $t_{2 ; 2}$ ( P -odd and T -odd); F igure 2 does not aligne the and consequently does not contribute to $t_{2 ; 2}$. N ote that in this argum ent we have not used the fact that $T$; ( ) and $T$; ( z ) have the sam e relative phases,
that also im plies Im（ $t_{2 ; 2}$ ）$=0$ from $F$ igure 2.0 nœe again this argum ent does not apply to F igure 4，which also contributes to Eq．（1̄5i＇）．

In conclusion，we understand why $g_{V}^{s}$ com ing from $F$ igure 2 appears only in the second piece of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}^{0}}()$ ．Therefore， w ith unpolarized beam s it seem s di cult to extract $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{v}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ from the contribution off igure 2 w ith－factory data， although there is still the contribution of $F$ igure 4，proportional to $g_{V}^{s}$ too．

## 4 Observables in $e e^{+}!+\quad$ ！（ $+{ }^{0}$ ）

To $m$ easure the $m$ ultipolar param eters of ，one has to look at the correlated decay of the ．To be speci c we will consider the channel ${ }^{+}$and the subsequent decay ${ }^{+}$！+0 ，that for our purpose has 100\％branching ratio．The amplitude for $a^{+}$w ith third component of spin to decay to ${ }^{+} 0\left(+\right.$ in the direction $\left.{ }_{1}\right)$ in its center of $m$ ass fram e is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}\left({ }_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{D}{\underset{; 0}{(1)}(1 ; 1 ; 0) T}^{(1)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The single im portant factor here is the rotation $m$ atrix $D{ }_{0}^{(1)}(1 ; 1 ; 0)$ ． 1 is the direction of the ${ }^{+}$in the C M．of the ，w ith respect to the axes we have de ned in Figure $5\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) . T$ is the unique reduced helicity am plitude present here，so it will be controlled by the width（ ${ }^{+}$！${ }^{+}{ }^{0}$ ）$=$ 予。 Having this channel 100\％branching ratio，we can take 弥 equal to the totalw idth of the ，and so we get

This expresion represents the di erential cross section for $\mathrm{e}^{+} e$ ！ and the subsequent decay ${ }^{+}$！+0 in the $e^{+}$e CM．fram e and expressed in term sof $=(;)$ and ${ }_{1}=(1 ; 1)$ ，the angle of the ${ }^{+}$in the ${ }^{+} C M .$. The result of Eq．（ $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}_{-1}\right)$ is independent of the dynam ics（ $T$ ）of decay．N ote that $\stackrel{\text { out }}{;} 0$ is invariant under a boost along the direction of $m$ otion of the ． It is now straight－forw ard to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d d_{1}}=\frac{d}{d}\left(e^{+} e!+\right) \frac{1}{4}\left[1 \quad \mathrm{p} \overline{10}_{N}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{N} ; 0}^{(2)}(1 ; 1 ; 0) t_{2 ; N}()\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we have previously discussed, the multipolar param eters $\mathrm{t}_{1 ; \mathrm{M}}$ (the usual polarizations) have disappeared from the nal angular distribution, and only the second-rank multipolar param eters can be m easured. So our nal expresion for the ${ }^{+}$channel is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{d^{2}}{d d_{1}}=\frac{9\left(e^{+} e!{ }^{+}\right)}{128^{2}} f\left[\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)+4 R e \frac{T_{1 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{A}\right)}{T_{1 ; 1}()}\right] \cos \right] \sin ^{2}{ }_{1} \\
& \left.+\mathbb{R e}\left[\frac{\mathrm{T}_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{r})}\right] \cos \cos _{1}+\operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\mathrm{T}_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)+\mathrm{T}_{0 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{r}}\right] \cos \sin { }_{1}\right] 4 \sin \sin { }_{1} \\
& \cos 1_{1}+\left[\cos \left(2_{1}\right) \quad 2 \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~T}_{1 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)+\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{l})}\right] \sin \left(2_{1}\right)\right] \sin ^{2} \sin ^{2}{ }_{19} \mathrm{~g} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

A s can be seen from this expresion, the $Z$ interference has produced four new asymmetries, one of them also present at the ${ }^{+}$level. Two of them violate parity and the other two are parity conserving. These asym $m$ etries can be extracted by tting the real data w th Equation ( $\overline{1} \overline{9}$ ) or by $m$ easuring the follow ing observables

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hoos } \left.i=\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{l})}\right]  \tag{20}\\
& \operatorname{hoos~}_{1} \cos { }_{1} \mathrm{i}=\left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~T}_{0 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\quad)}\right]  \tag{21}\\
& \text { hoos } \cos _{1} \sin _{1} i=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~T}_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)+\mathrm{T}_{0 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}()}\right]  \tag{22}\\
& h \sin 2_{1 i}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~T}_{1 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)+\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{r}}\right] \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

From $\left(\overline{B_{B}} . \overline{4}\right)$ we see that the coupling does not change when going from the ${ }^{+}$to the ${ }^{+}$channel, but from $\left(\overline{B^{-}}-\overline{1} \overline{2}\right)$ the $a_{1}$ coupling changes sign from ${ }^{+}$to ${ }^{+}$. So Equation (119 $\underline{1}_{-1}$ w ill also be valid for the ${ }^{+}$channel, except that all the asym $m$ etries $w$ ill change sign. From ( $\bar{B}-\overline{1} \overline{1})$ we know that the $a_{1}$ does not couple to $0 \quad 0$, so in this channel these four asym $m e-$ tries vanish. A though we have derived these results from Isospin, actually
they can also be obtained from $C$ invariance of strong and electrom agnetic interactions, and so this result is $m$ ore general. It $m$ ust be pointed out that, by com paring di erent charge channels, one can elim inate som e system atic errors, for exam ple, by perform ing the ratio of the di erence over the sum of the ${ }^{+}$and ${ }^{+}$channels.

Equation ( $\overline{1} \overline{9})$ has been obtained under the assum ption of the narrow $w$ idth aproxim ation for the , this $m$ eans that the im aginary parts of equations ( $\underline{2}_{2}^{2}$ ) and $(\underline{2} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ com es from the relative phase am ong the and a 1 propagators at the peak. If the nite width of the is taken into account, three interm ediate resonant 's have to be included in F igures 1 and 2, and ${ }^{+}$and
in $F$ igures 3 and 4 . $T$ his fact $w$ ill.bring $m$ ore phases into the gam $e$ in such a way that the results in equations ( $(\overline{2} \overline{2})$ and $(\overline{2} \overline{3})$ w ill change. These com plications w ill be considered num erically in the next section. N evertheless, we m ust stress that the inclusion of these additional interferences does not change the argum ent in section 3.3 about the absence of any contribution of $F$ igure 2 to the observable $\underline{(2 \overline{3})}$ ). In fact, the parity violating piece of F igures 1 and 2 w th three pions in the nalstate is proportionalto an antisym $m$ etric leptonic tensor contracted with a "sym $m$ etric" hadronic tensor, thus this contribution vanishes. $N$ ote that there is only one form factor for the $m$ atrix elem ent of the vector current betw een three pions and the vacuum, so in the three pion channel this interference is never present, even ifwe include nite w idths and interferenœ of three interm ediate 's.

## 5 N um erical results: unpolarized beam s

N ow we willpresent our estim ate of the four asym $m$ etries appearing in Equation ( $(\underline{1} \overline{\underline{9}})$. Let us for exam ple concentrate in the one that gives a forw ardbackw ard asymmetry at the ${ }^{+}$level.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{F B}=\frac{(\cos >0)(\cos <0)}{(\cos >0)+(\cos <0)}=\frac{3}{2} h o o s \quad i=\frac{3}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{T_{1 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{A}\right)}{T_{1 ; 1}()}\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$


where we have substituted the couplings of the standard $m$ odel, and also we have introduced a potential relative phase am ong $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{V}}$, m ore likely $\mathrm{w}=0$ or. N ow we need values for all the form factors appearing in ( $\overline{2} \overline{5})$ ).


$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{V}\left(M^{2}\right) j=0.242 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ! $a_{1}$, that can be roughly extracted from the three pion channel


$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{A}\left(q^{2} M_{a_{1}}^{2}\right) j=0: 198 G e V^{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is also in agreem ent w ith a recent lattioe caloulation [īin], taking into account an $\overline{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ factor of di erence in the nom alization. From
$!\quad+\quad\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]} \\ ]\end{array}\right.$ and Equation $\left.\bar{B}-\bar{S}_{1}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(M^{2}\right) j=1: 10 \mathrm{GeV}{ }^{1} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ inally, assum ing that $a_{1}$ decays dom inantly [-3-1 $]$ to and taking a w idth of 400 M eV we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
3 A_{0}\left(q^{2} M_{a_{1}}^{2}\right) j & 39: 5 \mathrm{GeV}^{1} \\
& 3 A_{1}\left(q^{2} M_{a_{1}}^{2}\right) j  \tag{30}\\
30: 8 \mathrm{GeV}
\end{array}
$$

In principle, there is no apparent dynam ical reason why $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ should be much bigger than $A_{1}$ or vioeversa. Just for illustrative purpose, we can quote than in the $m$ odel of reference tī 1:19. In this particularm odel, Equation $\overline{\mathbb{B}} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{3})$ and $a_{1}=400 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ translate into the follow ing values: $\mathrm{AA}_{0} \mathrm{j}^{\prime} 26: 9 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{j}^{\prime} 22: 6 \mathrm{GeV}{ }^{1}$. ARGUS collaboration has also $m$ easured the $A_{0}=A_{1}$ ratio ( $S=D$ ratio) [1]ī1] and these results agree, w ithin errors, w th the calculation of reference [12 Then, to estim ate the asymm etries we will use these values from ARGUS $m$ easurem ents, but keeping in $m$ ind that the results $m$ ay slightly di er from our estim ates, because the data are not good enough in the region. In fact,
 could reach values 1.4 higher than our estim ated results.

So just using experim ental values and assum ing no $q^{2}$-dependence for the $\overbrace{1}\left(q^{2}\right)$ jform factor we get

A -factory is going to operate essentially at the -peak, also the Breit$W$ igner structure can not be extrapolated from the -peak $m$ ore than a few tim es the width , so we de ne the variable as $q^{2}=(M+)^{2}$ and we will consider only the range 22 for illustrations.


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{a_{1}}\left(q^{2}\right)}{P \quad\left(q^{2}\right)}=\frac{M}{M_{a_{1} \quad a_{1}}} G() \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G()$ is the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G()=f \frac{1+g() f()}{1+f^{2}()}+i \frac{f() g()}{1+f^{2}()} g \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the fand $g$ functions are de ned as follow s

$$
\begin{gather*}
g()=2\left(1+\frac{2 M}{2 M}\right)  \tag{34}\\
f()=x+\frac{M}{M_{a_{1} a_{1}}} g() \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

$x=\left(\begin{array}{ll}M_{a_{1}}^{2} & M^{2}\end{array}\right)=\binom{M_{a_{1}}}{a_{1}}$. For $a_{1}=400 \mathrm{M}$ eV x gets the value 0.98. The real part of Equation ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ vanishes for, $1=(2 x)$ and the im aginary one equals to zero at $\quad x=2$. These cancellations $m$ ake di cult to give any precise value for $A_{F B}$, taking into account: the uncertainties in $a_{1}$, the poor approxim ation that represents the pure $B$ reit- $W$ igner structure for the $a_{1}$ and the lack of know ledge of $\mathrm{w}_{1}$. Up to unfortunate cancellations, it is the factor (M) $\quad=\left(\begin{array}{lll}M_{a_{1}} & a_{1}\end{array}\right)^{\prime} \quad 9: 18 \quad 100^{3}$ that sets the scale in Equation ( $\overline{3} \overline{2}$ ) $)$.

So a reasonable estim ate of Equation ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{1} 1)^{\prime}\right)$ would be to take $=0$ and $\mathrm{w}_{1}=0$. In that case we get, always for $\mathrm{a}_{1}=400 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\mathrm{FB}}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}, M_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}^{2}\right) \dot{y}_{\mathrm{v}_{1}=0}, 7: 76 \quad 10^{6} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Figure 6 we have plotted $G()$ for $a_{1}=400 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, and, as far as orders ofm agnitude are concemed, it can be seen that both the real and the im aginary parts of $G()$ are sim ilar.

C om paring Equations $(\bar{C} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{\bar{T}})$ and $(\bar{C} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$, it is evident that, up to $m$ inor
 by $A_{0}$, so we get in the sam e spirit than Equation ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\mathrm{T}_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{A}\right)}{T_{1 ; 1}(\quad)}\right]_{\mathrm{J}_{1}=0}, \quad 6: 31 \quad 10^{6} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

 tries in Equations $(\overline{2} 2)$ and $\overline{2} \overline{2})$, for $\sin ^{2} w=0.23$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~T}_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{V}\right)+\mathrm{T}_{0 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{l}}\right]_{j_{1}=0},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 5:27 } 10{ }^{3} \dot{j}_{v} \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\mathrm{P}_{a_{1}}}{\mathrm{P}}\right] \quad 0: 38 \quad g_{j}^{s} \operatorname{Re} e\left[\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}}{\mathrm{P}}\right] j= \\
& \left(4: 85 \quad 10^{2}+1: 44 j_{\mathrm{V}}^{5} \mathrm{j}\right) 10^{5} / 2: 98 \quad 10^{6} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

A s we can see, the dom inant piece com es from $F$ igure 4, and so it is proportional to $g_{v}^{s}$. $N$ evertheless these asym $m$ etries are di cult to be $m$ easured at a factory. For the observables ( $2 \overline{2} \overline{2})$ and ( $2 \overline{3} \overline{3})$ these results translate into

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { hoos } \quad \cos { }_{1} \sin \quad{ }_{1} i=2: 58 \quad 10^{7}  \tag{40}\\
\text { hsin } 2_{1} i=1: 21 \quad 10^{6} \tag{41}
\end{gather*}
$$

If nite width e ects are included ( $=150 \mathrm{M}$ eV ) by introducing three interm ediate 's in Figure 1 and ${ }^{+}$and in $F$ igures 3 and 4 the results change a little bit. T hese observables have to be integrated over som e region of the invariant $m$ ass of the ${ }^{+}$system. If we take this region to be $\left[(m \quad)^{2} ;(m+)^{2}\right]$, inside the phase space and a pure Breit-W igner structure for the -propagator we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { hoos } \cos _{1} \sin \quad i=1: 21 \quad 10^{7}  \tag{42}\\
h \sin 2_{1} i=1: 35 \quad 10^{6} \tag{43}
\end{gather*}
$$

As can be seen from these results, the narrow $w$ idth approxim ation is quite good for hsin $2{ }_{1}$ i, but for hoos $\cos { }_{1} \sin { }_{1}$ i the corrections due to the nite ( and large) w idth of the becom e im portant.

## 6 P olarized beam s : Left-R ight asym m etries

A s previously m entioned, at a -factory like DA NE, in principle it would be possible to operate the $m$ achine $w$ th polarized electrons $w$ thout a serious drawback in its hum inosity [胹]. So we will consider in this section the case when electrons are longitudinally polarized, w ith polarization $P$, and positrons are unpolarized. In this situation it is easy to construct a pseudoscalar quantity, as is the case for the so-called left-right asym $m$ etry

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{L R}=\frac{(P) \quad(P)}{(P)+(P)} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( P ) is the integrated cross section for a given channel $F$ when the in itial electron is longitudinally polarized w ith value P. T his asym m etry will arise from the interference of the diagram $s$ in $F$ igure 1 and 2, for any decay channel.
$N$ ote that if we integrate over the $F$ variables, the hadronic tensor is sym $m$ etric and the sym $m$ etric part of the leptonic tensor is the sam e both for the leading term com ing from the $F$ igure 1 - $P$ independent - and the interference w ith $F$ igure 2 - this piece being proportional to $P$ - O ther diagram $s$ do not contribute at leading order to this observable. Taking this fact into account, we can w rite (P) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(P)=(P=0)\left[1+\frac{16}{P}\left(\frac{G_{F} q^{2}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{g_{A} g_{V}^{S}}{Q_{s}}\right) P\right] \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we conclude that in the ratio of Equation ( $\overline{4} \overline{4} \overline{4})$, all the hadronic dependence will cancel out for any decay channel. Then the result will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.A_{L R}=\frac{12}{P} \frac{G_{F} q^{2}}{4}\right) g_{V}^{s} P \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{V}^{s}$ is the vector coupling of the $Z$ to $s s$ and we have used $g_{A}=\frac{1}{4}$ and $Q_{s}=\frac{1}{3}$ de ned in A ppendix C. $W$ e have supposed -dom inance for all the channels $F$.

Equation (4̄(A) is valid for all decay channels and its value for $q^{2} M^{2}$ and $\sin ^{2} \mathrm{w}=0.23$ is $1: 94 \quad 10{ }^{4} \mathrm{P}$. So from the statistical point of view, it probably could be m easured at DA NE by going to the dom inant channels, that is, $K^{+} K \quad, K_{S} K_{L}$ and , if a polarized beam of electrons is used.

O ther observables can be de ned for each particular channel. A Left$R$ ight di erential asym $m$ etry (not integrated in ) can be de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{L R}()=\frac{\frac{d}{d}(; P=+1) \quad \frac{d}{d}(; P=1)}{\frac{d}{d}(; P=+1)+\frac{d}{d}(; P=1)} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these observables we can recover Eq. (4̄̄̄íl) by integrating num erator and denom inator separately. For the channel, for exam ple, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{L R}()=\frac{\left.2 \operatorname{Re} e\left[\frac{T_{1 ; 1}(z)}{T_{1 ; i}(1)}\right]\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)+4 \operatorname{Re}^{\left.\frac{T_{1 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{V}\right)+T_{1 ; 1}\left(a_{1}\right)}{T_{1 ; 1}( }\right)}\right] \cos }{\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

that obviously reproduces Eq. (4) and veri es the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{L R}(=0)=P_{z^{0}}(=0) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

as can be checked from Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{4})$. This last relation is a direct consequence of angular $m$ om entum conservation and helicity conservation at the leptonic vertex. From this general relation we have a consistency chedk between Eq.


C om paring Eqs. ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-} \bar{O})$ and $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{-})$, we can see that the angular dependences of the di erential left-right asym $m$ etry forpolarized beam $s$, and the longitudinal polarization of the for unpolarized beam s are exchanged. In Eq. $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4})$, the term w ith cos is due to Parity violation in the leptonic vertex, that is, it com es from the decay of a polarized, whereas the term $w$ ith $\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)$ is due to Parity violation in decay or interference with $a_{1}$-decay. H ow ever,
in the case of initialbeam polarization, the term w ith parity violation in the leptonic vertex induces a left-right asym $m$ etry even in the totalcross section.

From Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{8} \overline{1})$, one can build a combined left-right forw ard-backw ard asym m etry as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\mathrm{FB}}=\frac{\mathrm{R}(\operatorname{COS}>0) \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R}}(\operatorname{COS}<0) \quad \mathrm{L}(\operatorname{COS}>0)+_{\mathrm{L}}(\operatorname{COS}<0)}{\left.\mathrm{COS}_{\mathrm{R}}>0\right)+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R}}(\operatorname{COS}<0)+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{L}}(\operatorname{COS}>0)+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{L}}(\operatorname{COS}<0)} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

to separate out the two term s in Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{8} \overline{-})$. U nder the assum ptions for the $a_{1}$-vertex form factors discussed in Section 5, we get $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{LR}}^{\mathrm{FB}}$, 3:15 $10{ }^{6}$.

## 7 C onclusions

A general analysis of the possibilities of $m$ easuring $Z$ interference at a ffactory has been worked out. For the case of unpolarized beam s we have looked carefiully to the $\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and channels. The rst two channels do not present any distinctive signature of the interference. The channel presents several signatures of the $Z$ interference through parity violating observables, taking into account that the Z boson couples through the axial current to the $\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{~m}$ eson that dom inantly decays to . It is rather unfortunate the fact that the interference of $F$ igures 1 and 2 only contributes to the longitudinal polarization, a di cult observable to be $m$ easured. $N$ evertheless $g_{v}^{s}$ enters in other asym $m$ etries through alignm ents, but the nal values of these observables are rather sm all. $C$-odd asym $m$ etries com ing from the ( $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{V}$ ) (A -A ) interference are of the order $10{ }^{5}$, probably also out of DA NE perform ances, in addition other non-weak contribution has to be computed to give a de nite prediction for hoos $i$ and hoos ${ }_{1}$ cos ${ }_{1}$ i. Potentially interesting two photon physics could also be present in these observables.

In the case of $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ collision at $\mathrm{q}^{2}$, $\mathrm{M}^{2}$ with longitudinally polarized electrons and unpolarized positrons, we have obtained that in every channel there is a left-right asymm etry of order $210^{4}$, free from hadronic uncertainties at leading order and sensitive to the vector coupling of the $Z$ to the quark s. From the statistical point of view, this asymm etry seem s to be reachable by DA NE operating with polarized electrons. W e have also de ned a combined left-right forw ard-backw ard asym m etry to extract
the ( P -odd, T -even) $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ interference. Its value is much sm aller than the left-right asym $m$ etry.
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## APPEND IX A

The out $m$ atrix for the can be w ritten, in the case of unpolarized beam $s$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{\text {out }}\right) ; 0={ }^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{f}_{\sim} \underset{\sim}{ }(;) \mathrm{f}_{0 ; \sim}(;)={ }^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~d}^{1} ;() \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{T}} ; 0() \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{T}_{0} ; \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In its center ofm ass fram e or in any boosted fram e along $z^{\prime}$, out can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\text { out }}{\operatorname{Tr}(\text { out })}=\frac{1}{2 j+1}_{\mathrm{L} ; M}^{X^{j}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{L} ; M} T_{\mathrm{L} ; M} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the irreducible tensor operators are refered to the $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ system de ned by the helicity convention in Figure 5. De nition and norm alization
 the willbe given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{\text {out }}\right) \operatorname{t}_{\mathrm{L} ; M}()=\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{\left.{ }^{\text {out }} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L} ; M}\right)=} \mathrm{X}_{; 0}^{\mathrm{X}}\left({ }^{\text {out }}\right) ;{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(1 \mathrm{~L} 1 j \mathrm{M}{ }^{0}\right)\right. \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multipole param eters $t_{\text {i }} M=()^{M} t_{\text {; }} M$ de ne completely the out density $m$ atrix.

For com pleteness, we also give the polarization in term sof the multipolar param eters

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.P_{x^{0}}=\left(t_{1 ; 1} \quad t_{1 ; 1}\right)=2 \operatorname{Ret}_{1 ; 1}\right]  \tag{A.4}\\
\left.P_{y^{0}}=i\left(t_{1 ; 1}+t_{1} ; 1\right)=2 \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{t}_{1 ; 1}\right]  \tag{A.5}\\
P_{z^{0}}=p_{\overline{2}} \overline{t_{1 ; 0}} \tag{A..}
\end{gather*}
$$

## APPEND IX B

In what follow S , we shall de ne the form factors needed to perform e our calculation. A lso we shall give expressions of related processes where to $m$ easure these form factors.
$T$ he vector form factor is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(q ;!) j{ }_{s}(0) \quad s(0) j 0 i=F_{v}\left(q^{2}\right) "(!; q) \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

it represents the coupling of the strange quark vector current to a ofm o$m$ entum $q$ and helicity !," (! ;q) is the polarization four-vector of the. W th the one particle states norm alized to (2E) (2 $)^{3}$ ( $\left(\underset{q}{ } \tilde{q}^{0}\right)$ ! !! 0 , a straightforw ard calculation for the width of going to $e e^{+}$gives the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
!\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}=\frac{4 \mathrm{~F}^{2}}{27} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{V}}\left(M^{2}\right) \mathrm{J}}{\mathrm{M}^{3}} \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ ost generalm atrix elem ent of $a \quad w$ th $m$ om entum $q$ and helicity $!$, going to $a \quad w$ th $m$ om entum $k$ and helicity and a pion ofm om entum $p$ is
where ( $; \mathfrak{k}$ ) is the polarization four-vector of the . This result depends upon Lorentz invariance, linearity w ith the and wave functions, and parity conservation. W e de ne ${ }^{+; ; 0}\left(q^{2}\right)$ corresponding to the three channels + , + and ${ }^{0}{ }^{0}$. By using isospin we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{2}\right)=+\left(q^{2}\right)=\left(q^{2}\right)={ }^{0}\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
(!+\quad+)=\frac{\tilde{K}^{3} j\left(M^{2}\right) \hat{\jmath}}{12} \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if we neglect the $m$ ass di erence between the ${ }^{0}$ and the .
$T$ he axial form factors are de ned in the follow ing way

$$
\frac{1}{2} h a_{1}^{0}(q ;!) j u(0) \quad 5 u(0) \quad d(0) \quad 5 \text { d }(0) j 0 i=F_{A}\left(q^{2}\right) "(!; q) \quad \text { (B.6) }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h a_{1}(q ;!) j p_{\overline{2}}^{1} u ; d(0) \quad 5 \quad d ; u(0) j 0 i=F_{A}\left(q^{2}\right) "(!; q) \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notation is the same as in (", $\overline{1}$ ). . Because the $a_{1}$ is isovector, we have the contribution of the isovector axial-vector current. From isospin we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{A}}\left(q^{2}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}}^{+}\left(q^{2}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By treating the $a_{1}$ in the narrow $w$ idth approxim ation, one can extract [1] $\overline{1}]$ from ! an aproxim ate experim ental data for ! $a_{1}$. For this process we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(!\quad a_{1}\right)=\frac{G_{F}^{2}}{8} \forall V_{u ; d} J^{2} F_{A}\left(M_{a_{1}}^{2}\right) f \frac{M^{3}}{M_{a}^{2}}\left(1 \quad \frac{M_{a}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(1+2 \frac{M_{a}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right) \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{d}}$ is the corresponding elem ent of the C abibbo-K obayashiM askaw a $m$ atrix, and the rest of the notation is self explanatory.
$T$ he $m$ ost generalm atrix elem ent of an $a_{1} w$ ith $m$ om entum $q$ and helicity ! going to is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.h^{i}{ }^{\mathcal{K}} ;\right)^{j}(\mathfrak{p}) j H_{s} j a_{1}^{k}(q ;!) i=A_{0}^{i j ; k}\left(q^{2}\right) q \quad(; \widetilde{k}) k "(!; q) \\
& \left.+A_{1}^{i ; j ; k}\left(q^{2}\right) f \frac{M^{2} q^{2}\left(k \quad q^{2}\right.}{(k \quad q)} "(!; \mathbb{q}) \quad(; \widetilde{k})+q \quad(; \mathbb{k}) k "(!; q)\right) g \tag{B.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have two form factors: $A_{1}^{i j ; k}$ contributes to the am plitudes where the is in the +1 or -1 helicity state, and $A_{0}^{i ; j \text { j.k }}$ to the 0 helicity state of the
. The general structure $\bar{B} \overline{1} \overline{9})$ depends upon the sam e asum ptions we have used in $(\bar{B}-\overline{-1})$. The indioes $i, j, k$ indicate the corresponding charges of each channel. From Isospin we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{i ; j ; k}={ }^{P} \overline{2} C\left(111 \bar{I}_{i} I_{j} I_{k}\right) A \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in such a way the $a_{1}^{0}$ does not decay to ${ }^{0}{ }^{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}^{+; ; 0}=\mathrm{A}^{;+; 0}=\mathrm{A}^{0 ; ;}=\mathrm{A}^{; 0 ;} \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

 both on shell, otherw ise there would be other dependences w ith its invariant m asses.

The w idth of the $a_{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}^{i}!j k=\frac{{ }^{5=2}\left(M_{a}^{2} ; M^{2} ; M^{2}\right)}{768 M_{a}^{5} M^{2}} f \not A_{1}^{i ; j ; k}\left(q^{2}\right) \jmath \frac{8 M^{2} M_{a}^{2}}{\left(M_{a}^{2}+M^{2} M^{2}\right)^{2}}+\overbrace{0}^{i ; j ; k}\left(q^{2}\right) \jmath g \tag{B.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separate inform ation on $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ needs additional inform ation about the $a_{1}$-decay, that could be extracted through a carefiul analysis of the ! decay [1]

## APPENDIX C

In this section, we present the calculation of the reduced helicity am plitudes of the $e^{+} e!\quad+\quad$ process. The $K$ inem atics in the $C M$. fram e of the $e^{+} e$ system (or the system) is de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=(E ; 0 ; 0 ; H \sim) \quad q=(1+1) \tag{C.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k=\left(k^{0} ; \tilde{k} j \sin ; 0 ; \tilde{k} j \operatorname{jos}\right) \quad(1 ; \mathbb{k})=\frac{p^{1}}{\overline{2}}(0 ; \infty 0 s ; i ; \sin )
\end{aligned}
$$

The am plitudes we need correspond to the diagrams in Figures 1 to 4. Every vertex has been previously de ned in Appendix B. The and the $a_{1}$ propagators w ill be taken as pure B reit $-W$ igner distributions, although, $m$ ore sophisticated versions can be taken if necessary. R elative phases have been im posed by the $T$ properties of the currents, in such a way that relative im aginary pieces com e essentially from the B reit-W igner structure. T he Feynm an am plitudes corresponding to diagram s 1 to 3 are

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{i+;} \quad()=\frac{4}{q^{2}} Q_{s} F_{V}\left(q^{2}\right) \quad\left(q^{2}\right) P \quad\left(q^{2}\right) V(+i \quad) \quad(; \widetilde{k}) k q  \tag{C2}\\
& M \underset{i+i}{z} \quad(\quad)=\frac{8 G_{F}}{2} g_{V}^{s} F_{V}\left(q^{2}\right) \quad\left(q^{2}\right) P \quad\left(q^{2}\right)\left[g_{V} V \quad(+i \quad)+g_{A} A \quad(+; \quad)\right] \\
& (; \widetilde{k}) \mathrm{k} q \\
& \text { (C.3) }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f A_{1}\left(q^{2}\right) \frac{M^{2} q^{2}(k \quad q)}{(k \quad q)}(; \widetilde{k})+\left[A_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)+A_{0}\left(q^{2}\right)\right](q \quad(; \widetilde{k})) k g(C .4)
\end{aligned}
$$

The amplitude corresponding to diagram 4, M $\underset{\text {; }+\underset{i}{a_{1}} \text {, can be obtained from }}{ }$ $\mathrm{M}_{\text {; + ; }}^{\mathrm{a}_{1}}$ by taking $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}=0$, and perform ing the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{v}!\quad \frac{4}{q^{2}} P \quad\left(q^{2}\right) F_{v}\left(q^{2}\right) \stackrel{R}{Q_{s}} g_{v}^{s} \tag{C.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this expresions, $Q_{s}=\frac{1}{3}$ is the squark charge, $g_{V}=\frac{1}{4}+\sin ^{2} \mathrm{w}$ and $g_{A}=\frac{1}{4}$ are the $Z$ couplings to leptons, $g_{3 A}=\frac{1}{2}$ is the isovector axial-vector coupling to light quarks, and $g_{V}^{s}=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{3} \sin ^{2} \quad \mathrm{w}$ is the vector coupling of the $Z$ to the squarks. N ote that the precise nom alization of the currents entering in the form factors is de ned in ( $\left.B_{-1}^{-1}\right)$. $P \quad\left(q^{2}\right)$ and $P_{a_{1}}\left(q^{2}\right)$ are the and $a_{1}$ propagators respectively. W e will take the usual B reit- $W$ igner structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(q^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)+i M} \tag{C..6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $m$ atrix elem ent of the leptonic currents are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{V}(\quad ;+)=\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{l}_{+}+\right) \quad \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{l} ; \quad)=2 \mathrm{E} \quad+; \quad(0 ; \quad+\quad+i ; 0) \\
\mathrm{A}(\quad ; \quad+)=\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{l}_{+} ;+\right) \quad{ }_{5} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{l} ; \quad)=2 \mathrm{E} \quad+; \quad(0 ; 1 ; i(\quad+) ; 0) \tag{С..7}
\end{gather*}
$$

It $m$ ust be stressed that the weak ham ittonian entering in the am plitude M $\underset{+}{a_{1}}$; is a pure non-leptonic one, so there can be additional contributions. D ouble C abibbo suppressed charged current contributions are also present. From the analysis [1] [it], the Q CD corrections give rise to the factor $\quad$, in ( \the parity violating piece of the w eak decay ! " in the context ofC hiral Perturbation $T$ heory for vector m esons. W e w ill not follow this calculation, because $a_{1}$-dom inance has not been included, as the experim ental data in
! [1]3̄] indicate, even at 1 GeV .
O $n$ the peak the (rh.s.) of (

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \xrightarrow{9} g^{s} B:\left(!e^{+} e\right) \tag{C.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in such a $w$ ay that for $\sin ^{2} w=0 \cdot 23$, it is $3: 3$ tim es bigger than the (lh s.) in ( $\left.\bar{C}_{-}^{-} \bar{S}_{1}\right)$.

F inally the norm alization in Equations $(\bar{C}-\overline{-1})$, $\left(\overline{C_{-}} \overline{-1}\right)$ and $\left(\overline{\mathcal{C}_{-}} \overline{-}\right)$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{+} e \quad!\quad\right)={\frac{1}{8 q^{2}}}^{Z} \frac{d^{3} k}{2 k^{0}} \frac{d^{3} p}{2 p^{0}} \frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} \quad X \quad \mathbb{M} ;+; \quad\left(+a_{1}\right) \jmath^{(4)}(q \quad k \quad p) \tag{C.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the -diagram we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{+} e!\quad\right)_{j_{2}, M^{2}}, \frac{12\left(!e^{+} e\right)\left(!{ }^{+}\right)}{\left(q^{2} M^{2}\right)^{2}+M^{2}{ }^{2}} \tag{C.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality holds in the range where the $q^{2}$-dependence of $F_{v}\left(q^{2}\right)$ and $\left(q^{2}\right)$ can be neglected, a very good aproxim ation arround the -peak.
A lengthy but straightforw ard calculation has allow ed to check the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M} ;+; \quad()=K f_{; \sim}() \tag{C..11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for both and $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ contributions. K is a $\mathrm{q}^{2}$-dependent constant that takes


Finally we get the follow ing results

$$
\begin{equation*}
K T_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{A}\right)=8 G_{F} q^{2} g_{A} g_{3 A} \frac{\tilde{\tilde{K}^{\mathcal{J}}}}{M} F_{A}\left(q^{2}\right) A_{0}\left(q^{2}\right) P_{a_{1}}\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{C.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K T_{1 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{A}\right)=8 G_{F} q^{2} g_{A} g_{3 A} \frac{\tilde{k}^{\mathcal{J}}}{k^{0}} F_{A}\left(q^{2}\right) A_{1}\left(q^{2}\right) P_{a_{1}}\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{C.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

 respectively just perform ing the substitution ( ${ }^{-}{ }^{-} \bar{J}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$.

The follow ing relations have been checked out explicitly

$$
\begin{align*}
& K T_{1 ; 1}(\quad)=4^{p} \overline{2} Q_{s} \tilde{K} j^{j} F_{V}\left(q^{2}\right)\left(q^{2}\right) P\left(q^{2}\right)  \tag{C..12}\\
& K T_{1 ; 1}(z)=8 G_{F} q^{2} g_{V}^{s} g_{A} \tilde{j}^{K} j F_{V}\left(q^{2}\right)\left(q^{2}\right) P\left(q^{2}\right)  \tag{C..13}\\
& K T_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{V}\right)=8 G_{F} q^{2} g_{V} g_{3 A} \frac{\tilde{K}^{\rho}{ }^{2}}{M} F_{A}\left(q^{2}\right) A_{0}\left(q^{2}\right) P_{a_{1}}\left(q^{2}\right)  \tag{C.14}\\
& K T_{1 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{V}\right)=8 G_{F} q^{2} g_{V} g_{3 A} \frac{\tilde{j}^{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{\mathrm{J}}}{k^{0}} F_{A}\left(q^{2}\right) A_{1}\left(q^{2}\right) P_{a_{1}}\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{C.15}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{l}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{z})  \tag{C.19}\\
& \mathrm{T}_{0 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}} ; \quad \mathrm{a}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{0 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} ; \quad \mathrm{a}_{1}\right)  \tag{C20}\\
& \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}} ; \quad \mathrm{a}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}} ; \quad \mathrm{a}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}} ; \quad \mathrm{a}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}} ; \quad \mathrm{a}_{1}\right) \\
& T_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{A}\right)=T_{0 ; 1}\left(a_{1}^{A}\right)  \tag{C21}\\
& \mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{A}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}^{A}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

These are equivalent to Equations ( $\overline{4} \mathbf{4})$ and $(\underline{\text { G }}$ ).
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## Table C aptions

Table I: D om inant decay channels.

Table I

| (1020) $\quad \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{G}}\left(\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{PC}}\right)=0$ (1 ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M ass M $=1019: 413 \quad 0: 008 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ <br> W idth $=4: 43 \quad 0: 06 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ |  |  |  |
| D ecay m odes | Fraction ${ }_{\text {i }}=$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K} \\ & \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0} \end{aligned}$ | (49:1 0:9)\% |  |  |
|  | (34:3 0:7) \% |  |  |
|  | (12:9 | 0:7)\% |  |
| + 0 | (2:5 0:9) \% |  |  |
|  | (128 | $0: 06) \%$ |  |
| 0 | (1:31 | $0: 13)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ | (3:09 | $0: 07)$ | 10 |
| + | (2:48 | 0:34) | 10 |

## Figure C aptions

Figure 1: D om inat diagram for production at a -factory.

Figure 2: Z m ediated production of . V (A) means a vector (axial) coupling.

Figure 3: Pure $a_{1}$ resonant contribution, $m$ ediated by $Z$.

Figure 4: W eak decay of through $\mathrm{a}_{1}$.

Figure 5: Reference system . ( $x, y, z$ ) de ne the axes in the C M . of the $e^{+} e$ system . ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ ) are the corresponding axes in the CM. fram e of reference.

Figure 6: Real and Im aginary part of $G(1)$, de ned in Equation '( $\overline{(1} \overline{\mathrm{j}})$ ). $T$ he $B$ reit- -F igner structure of the has been included.
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