Hard Diraction in the QCD Dipole Picture

A Bialas and R Peschanski
Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University
Reymonta 4, 30-059 Cracow, Poland
CEA, Service de Physique Theorique, CE-Saclay
F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

March 26, 2022

A bstract

U sing the QCD dipole picture of the BFKL pomeron, the gluon contribution to the cross-section for single di-ractive dissociation in deep-inelastic high-energy scattering is calculated. The resulting contribution to the proton di-ractive structure function integrated over this given in terms of relevant variables, x_P ; Q^2 ; and $= x_{B j} = x_P$: It factorizes into an explicit x_P dependent H and Pomeron in ux factor and structure function. The invariance is found to have substantial logarithm is corrections which may account for the recent measurements of the Pomeron intercept in this process. The triple Pomeron coupling is shown to be strongly enhanced by the resummation of leading logs. The obtained pattern of scaling violation at small is similar to that for F_2 at small x_{Bj} :

1. Recently, new measurements of the proton di ractive structure function at small x and very large Q^2 were presented by H 1 and ZEUS experiments [1, 2]. The observed 3-dimensional structure function factorizes:

$$F_2^{D(3)}(x_{Bj};Q^2;x_P) = f(x_P) F_2^{D(2)}(;Q^2)$$
: (1)

Here $Q^2 = (Q^2 + M^2)$; $x_P = x_{B j} =$; and M^2 is them assofthed i ractively excited system. This factorized form is naturally interpreted as the product

of a Pom eron ux factor inside the proton and its corresponding structure function β]. However, other theoretical interpretations are also possible β .

The aim of the present paper is to investigate the perturbative QCD contribution to the process in question using the colour dipole approach [5, 6], which is known [5, 6, 7] to reproduce the physics of the "H and P om eron" [8]. We calculate the direction dissociation of the virtual photon on the proton at small $x_{\rm B~j}$ and large Q 2 : Our main result is the explicit formula for the small $x_{\rm P}$ behaviour of the 3-dimensional directive structure function

$$F_{2}^{D(3)}(Q^{2};x_{P};)$$
 $X_{2}^{D(4)}(Q^{2};x_{P}; ;t) =$

$$= \frac{2e_{f}^{2} {}^{5}N_{c}^{2}}{2} \frac{2a(x_{p})}{}^{!} x_{p}^{1} {}^{2}P_{c ii}^{2} \frac{d}{2} \frac{r_{0}Q}{} H ()$$

where H () is given by

$$H () = V () \frac{4}{2(2)^{\frac{4}{3}}} \frac{(3 - \frac{1}{2})^{-3}(2 - \frac{1}{2})^{-2}(2 + \frac{1}{2})^{-2}(1 + \frac{1}{2})}{(4 - \frac{1}{2})^{-2}(2 + \frac{1}{2})^{-2}}$$
(3)

with

$$V () = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} F (1 - \frac{1}{2}; 1 - \frac{1}{2}; 1; y^{2}) dy$$
 (4)

(F is the hypergeom etric function). () is the eigenvalue of the BFK L kernel de ned as

$$(\)=2\ (1)\ (1\ \frac{}{2})\ \frac{}{2});$$
 (5)

and a () is given by

a() =
$$[7 N_c (3) log (1=) =]^1$$
: (6)

 $_{\rm P}$ $_{\rm P}$ $1=\frac{N_{\,\rm C}}{}$ (1). r_0 is a non-perturbative parameter (de ned by (30) as the average of the transverse dipole size inside the target) which cannot be determined within the present approach. Finally, $e_{\rm f}^2$ is the sum of the squares of quark charges.

The formula (2) has several interesting features.

(i) Factorization. One sees that $F_2^{\ D\ (3)}$ is a product of two factors: one depends only on x_P , another one depends on and Q^2 . The rst one can

¹W e consider here only the transverse photon contribution.

thus be interpreted as the "Pom eron ux factor" and the second one as the "Pom eron structure function" [1, 2].

(ii) Pomeron ux factor. With this identication, one obtains for the Pomeron ux factor

$$_{P} = C \quad x_{P}^{1 \quad 2 \quad P} \quad \frac{2a(x_{P})}{}^{!}$$
 (7)

where C is an arbitrary constant. One sees that the obtained x_p dependence di ers from the normally assumed power law x_p^{-1-2-p} by a logarithm ic factor ($\log(1=x_p)$) ; as found already in the triple Pomeron limit [7]. Our calculation shows that this logarithm ic correction is rather general and also applies beyond this limit. It would be of course very interesting to verify this prediction with the data. In this context we note that, when tted with a power law, the formula (7) gives an elective Pomeron intercept

$$_{P}^{\text{eff}} = _{P} \frac{3}{2 \log (1 = x_{P})}$$
: (8)

We would like to emphasize that this correction is rather substantial even at rather small x_P (at $x_P=10^3$; $_P^{\rm eff}$ $_P$ 0.2). One sees that this e ect agrees both in sign and in magnitude with the dierence between the Pomeron intercepts observed in F_2 [9, 10] and in the directive structure function $F_2^{\rm D}$ [1, 2] and may thus be a simple explanation of the apparent contradiction between these two measurements. It would clearly be of great interest to analyze the future data using the form (7).

(iii) The Pom eron structure function depends explicitly on Q 2 and thus the model predicts violation of scaling. To have a feeling on the pattern of this scaling violation and also on the dependence of F_P on , it is illuminating to evaluate the integral in (2) by the saddle-point method. The result is

$$F_{P} (Q^{2};) = \frac{e_{f}^{2} {}^{5}N_{c}^{2}}{C^{2}} \frac{r_{0}Q}{2} {}^{0}H (_{0}) \frac{2a()7(3)}{{}^{0}(_{0})} {}^{!} {}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$N_{c} (_{0}) = ; (9)$$

o being determined from the saddle-point equation:

$$\frac{N_{c}}{}^{0}(_{0}) \log = \log(\frac{Q r_{0}}{2})$$
: (10)

In the interesting "triple Pomeron" limit, $0;_0$ 1, (9) simplies into

$$F_{P} (Q^{2}; 0) = \frac{e_{f}^{2} {}^{5}N_{c}^{2}}{C^{2}}H (1)\frac{r_{0}Q}{2} \qquad {}^{P} \frac{2a()}{2} \exp\left[\frac{a()}{2}\log^{2}\left(\frac{r_{0}Q}{2}\right)\right];$$
(11)

This formula gives a pattern of scaling violation typical of the exchange of a hard pomeron [11].

- (iv) Triple Pom eron coupling. H () gives the triple pom eron coupling. H (1) can be explicitly evaluated from (3) with the result H (1) = $9^{-2}G=64$ where G=915::: is C atalan's constant. This value can be compared with the corresponding coupling obtained from the same expressions using the expansion ! 0, which corresponds to the rst-order perturbative QCD result [12]. One nds H $^{\rm pert}$ () = $^{-3}=12$. One may observe a large enhancement factor H (1)=H $^{\rm pert}$ (1) = $\frac{27^{-2}G}{8}$ 30 due to the leading \log (1=x) resum mation which is taken into account in the QCD dipole model. It provides a theoretical hint for the surprisingly large experimentally observed hard-directive cross-section.
- 2. We shall now outline the derivation of the Eq.(2). In order to form ulate the problem of direction dissociation we use the old idea of G ood and Walker (see e.g.[13, 14]), i.e. the expansion of the initial colliding state in the diagonal basis of the eigenstates of absorption. To this end, we observe that the dipole representation corresponds precisely to such a decomposition. Indeed, the amplitude for elastic scattering of two dipoles of transverse size $x_1; x_2;$, is simply given by two-gluon exchange [7, 15], namely:

$$T(x_1; x_2) = 4^{-2} \frac{dl}{l^3} [l - l_0(lx_1)] [l - l_0(lx_2)];$$
 (12)

and this interaction changes neither their transverse size and position nor their rapidities. Using this general fram ework we write the cross-section for single di ractive dissociation ² of a virtual photon on a proton as:

$$\frac{d}{d d^2b} = \int_{0}^{Z} d^2r dz \quad (r;z;Q^2) \quad d(r;b; ;x_p)$$
 (13)

where $\,$ is the probability of the virtual photon to $\,$ uctuate into a qq pair and $\,$ is the single di ractive cross-section in dipole-proton scattering

²An analogous formulation was used in [7].

$$d = \frac{Z}{x} \frac{dx}{x} d^{2}s_{1} ^{1} (b + s_{1}; x;) \frac{Z}{x^{0}} d^{2}s_{2} ^{1} (b + s_{2}; x^{0};)$$

$$\frac{dx_{1}}{x_{1}} \frac{dx_{2}}{x_{2}} {}_{2} (r; s_{1}; x_{1}; s_{2}; x_{2}; x_{B}; = ; x_{P} =) T (x; x_{1}) T (x^{0}; x_{2}) : (14)$$

 $_2$ is the double dipole density in the colliding dipole of transverse size r [7, 15] and $^{\circ}_1$ is the single dipole density in the proton:

2
 1 (b;x;) = d^{2} rdz (r;z) $_{1}$ (r;b;x;) (15)

where is the square of the proton wave function and $_1$ is the single dipole density in a dipole of transverse size r [15]

$$_{1}$$
 (r;b;x;) = $\frac{r}{4xb^{2}}$ (2a()= $\frac{3}{7}$ log b^{2} =rx P e $\frac{a()}{2}$ log 2 (b^{2} =rx) : (16)

We start by computing the Mellin transform

$$\sim_{d} (;b;;x_{P})$$
 drr $^{1}_{d}(r;b;;x_{P})=r^{2}$: (17)

U sing the m ethods developed in [16], one arrives at the following form ula for the M ellin transform of the double-dipole density $_2$:

$$\gamma_{2}(;\mathbf{s}_{1};\mathbf{x}_{1};\mathbf{s}_{2};\mathbf{x}_{2}; _{1}; _{2}) = \frac{2 N_{c}}{\frac{2}{1}} \frac{\frac{! N_{c}}{N_{c}}}{\frac{2}{1}} \frac{1}{1}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}_{1}}{\mathbf{r}_{1}} \frac{d\mathbf{r}_{2}}{\mathbf{r}_{2}} \mathbf{r}_{2} \mathbf{r}_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{b}_{1};\mathbf{x}_{1}; _{2}) \mathbf{r}_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{2};\mathbf{b}_{2};\mathbf{x}_{2}; _{2}) \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2}) \tag{18}$$

where, for $r_1 < r_2$, the symmetric function W $(r_1; r_2)$ is given by [16]:

W
$$(r_1; r_2) = r_2^2 F (1 =2;1 =2;1; (r_2)^2)$$
 (19)

By repeated application of the formula [15]

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}_{1}}{\mathbf{x}_{1}} \frac{d\mathbf{x}_{2}}{\mathbf{x}_{2}} \mathbf{T} (\mathbf{x}_{1}; \mathbf{x}_{2}) d^{2}\mathbf{s} + (\mathbf{r}_{1}; \mathbf{s}; \mathbf{x}_{1}; \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{r}_{2}; \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{s}; \mathbf{x}_{2}; \mathbf{2}) =$$

$$^{2} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{1} \mathbf{r}_{2}}{\mathbf{b}^{2}} (\mathbf{1}_{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\frac{2\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{1}_{2})}{\mathbf{1}_{2}})^{3=2} \log(\frac{\mathbf{b}^{2}}{\mathbf{r}_{1} \mathbf{r}_{2}}) \exp[-\frac{\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{1}_{2})}{2} \log^{2}(\frac{\mathbf{b}^{2}}{\mathbf{r}_{1} \mathbf{r}_{2}})]$$
(20)

one obtains

$$\sim_{d} = \frac{{}^{5}N_{c}}{b^{4}x_{p}^{P}} \qquad N_{c} () = \frac{2a(x_{p})}{b^{4}x_{p}^{P}} dx_{12}dx_{02}W (x_{12};x_{02})D (x_{12})D (x_{02})$$
(21)

w here

D (x) =
$$\frac{z}{d^2 r dz}$$
 (r; z)rln $\frac{b^2}{rx}$ exp [$\frac{a(x_p)}{2} ln^2 \frac{b^2}{rx}$]: (22)

U sing (19) it is possible to perform the integrals in (21) and obtain the following expression for the M ellin transform of $_{\rm d}$

$$\sim_{d} = 32$$
 ${}^{5}N_{c} < x^{2} (b; x_{p}) > {}^{2} \frac{1}{b^{2}} \frac{V()}{}$ ${}^{N_{c}}() = (23)$

w here

As seen from (24), the dimensionless quantity $< x^2 >$ can be interpreted as the density distribution for the average of the square of the transverse sizes of the dipoles inside the proton at a xed impact parameter b. It sum marizes the whole information about the proton wave function which is relevant for the process we consider.

To obtain $_{\rm d}$ from (23) one has to perform the inverse M ellin transform

$$_{d}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{b};;\;;\mathbf{x}_{P}) = \frac{^{Z}_{c+i1}}{_{c}_{i1}} \frac{d}{2} \mathbf{r}^{2} \sim_{d}(\;;\mathbf{b};\;;\mathbf{x}_{P})$$
 (25)

with c > 0. Inserting (23) and (25) into (13) and using [6] (we neglect quark masses and the longitudinal cross-section)

$$(r;z;Q^2) = \frac{N_c \text{ em}}{(2)^2} e_f^2 (z^2 + (1 z^2))\hat{Q}^2 K_1 (\hat{Q}r)$$
 (26)

with $\hat{Q}^2 = z(1 - z)Q^2$ one can perform the integrations over z and r. The result is

$$\frac{d}{d} \frac{d}{d^{2}b} = \frac{32 \text{ em}}{e_{f}^{2}} e_{f}^{2} {}^{5}N_{c}^{2} < x^{2} (b; x_{p}) > {}^{2} (bQ)^{2}$$

$$\frac{Z_{c+i1}}{c_{i1}} \frac{d}{2_{i}} (\frac{bQ}{2}) (2_{c})^{3}H ()^{N_{c}(i)} = (27)$$

Eq.(27) represents our nalresult for the di ractive photon-nucleon crosssection at xed in pact param eter.

The di ractive structure function as de ned, e.g., in [1, 2] is obtained from (27) using the relation

$$F_2^{D(4)}(Q^2; x_P; ; b) = \frac{Q^2}{4^2 e^m} x_P^1 \frac{d}{d d^2 b}$$
: (28)

Since b-dependence of the di ractive structure function is not experim entally accessible, in the following we consider its integral over d^2b which is obviously equal to the integral over the whole range of the momentum transfer t to the target proton and thus measurable 3 . To integrate (27) over d^2b , however, it is necessary to know the form of (x^2) , in the lim it x_p ! 0, and (x, x_p) is not very sensitive to this input and can be approximated as

$$< x^{2} (b; x_{calP}) > = \frac{r_{0}}{4b} x_{P}^{p} (\frac{2a (x_{P})}{2})^{\frac{3}{2}} \log (\frac{b}{r_{0}}) \exp \left[\frac{a (x_{P})}{2} \log^{2} (\frac{b}{r_{0}}) \right];$$
 (29)

w here

$$r_0 = d^2 r dz (r; z) r:$$
 (30)

U sing (29) one can integrate (27) over b. Taking into account (28) we obtain (2).

3. To sum marize, using the QCD dipole fram ework we have calculated the large mass contribution to the process of direction dissociation of the virtual photon at large Q² in the limit of very small x_P . We not that the directive structure function, when integrated over t, takes a particularly simple factorizable form ⁴. However, the resulting "Pomeron ux factor" is modified by logarithmic corrections which lead to an elective intercept substantially lower than the one obtained from the proton structure function. This should have clear experimental consequences.

Our calculation provides an explicit form ula for the triple (hard-)pom eron coupling. In this context we nd a rather large asymptotic (i.e. for = 1)

 $^{^3}$ For structure functions, the relation between b-dependence and t-dependence is not simple and goes beyond the scope of this work.

 $^{^4}$ This is in contrast with the rather complicated behaviour at small t found in [17].

enhancem ent factor as compared to the storder perturbative calculation. This means that the Lipatov resum mation is even more important here than in the total cross-section [12].

Our results in ply that the pattern of scaling violation at small should be similar to that observed for total cross section at small $x_{\rm B~j}$. It is in portant to realize, however, that this conclusion does not apply for large values of (i.e. nite ratio M 2 =Q 2). In this region of the valence qq content of the pomeron should be taken into account (see, e.g., [18] and references quoted there). This goes beyond the scope of the present investigation.

A cknow ledgm ents

We would like to thank Al. Mueller, H. Navelet, Ch. Royon, G. Salam and S. Wallon for many fruitful discussions. This work has been supported by the exchange programme between the Polish and French Academies of Sciences, the KBN grant (NO 2 PO3B 083 08) and by PECO grant from the EEC Programme "Human Capital and Mobility", Network "Physics at High Energy Colliders" (Contract Nr: ERBICIPDCT 940613).

R eferences

- [1] H1 coll., T Ahm ed et al. Phys.Letters B 348 (1995) 681.
- [2] ZEUS coll., M Derrick et al. DESY Preprint 95-093.
- [3] G. Ingelm an and P. Schlein, Phys. Lett. B 152 (1985) 256.
- [4] W Buchmuller and A. Hebecker, Phys. Lett. B 355 (1995) 573; A Edin, JR athsm an and G. Ingelm an, DESY 95-163, TSL/ISV-95-0125.
- [5] A H M ueller, Nucl. Phys. B 415 (1994) 373.
- [6] N N N ikolaev and B G Zakharov, Zeit. fur. Phys. C 49 (1991) 607; ibid. C 64 (1994) 651.
- [7] A. H. M. ueller and B. Patel, Nucl. Phys. B 425 (1994) 471.
- [8] V S Fadin, E A Kuraev and L N Lipatov Phys. lett. B 60 (1975) 50; IJB alitsky and L N Lipatov, Sov J Nucl Phys. 15 (1978) 438.
- [9] ZEUS collab. M. Derrick et al., Phys. Lett. B 316 (1993) 201.

- [10] H 1 collab. IA bt et al., Nucl. Phys. B 407 (1993) 515, Phys. Lett. B 321 (1994) 161.
- [11] H N avelet, R Peschanski and Ch. Royon, hep-ph/9508259, to appear soon in Phys. Lett.
- [12] S.C. atani, M. C. iafaloni and F. Hautmann, Phys. Lett. B 242 (1990) 97, Nucl. Phys. B 366 (1991) 135.
- [13] M L Good and W D W alker, Phys. Rev. 120 (1960) 1857.
- [14] M .T M iettinen and J.Pum plin, Phys.Rev. D 18 (1978) 1696.
- [15] A H M ueller, Nucl. Phys. B 437 (1995) 107.
- [16] A Bialas and R Peschanski, Phys.Lett. B 355 (1995) 301.
- [17] M Braun, Preprint SPbU IP 1995/10, hep-ph/9506245; JBartels, H Lotter and M W ustho, DESY preprint, hep-ph/9501314.
- [18] M Genovese, N N N ikolaev and B G Zakharov, Preprint K FA IK P (Th) 1994-37 (unpublished); K G olec-B iemat and J K w iecinski, Phys. Lett. B 353 (1995) 329.