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#### Abstract

$N$ eutrinos propagating in $m$ atter acquire an e ective electrom agnetic vertex induced by their weak interactions $w$ th the charged particles in the background. In the presence of an extemal $m$ agnetic eld the induced vertex a ects the avor transform ations of $m$ ixed neutrinos in a way that, in contrast to the oscillations driven by an intrinsic $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent interaction, preserve chirality. $W$ e derive the evolution equation for this case and discuss som e of the physical consequences in environm ents such as a supemova. For sm all values of the square $m$ ass di erence the resonance for neutrinos and antineutrinos occur w ithin regions which are close. In that case, the resonance condition becom es independent of the vacuum param eters and is approxim ately the sam e for both.


A s is now well know n, under certain favorable conditions, large transfor$m$ ations from one neutrino avor into another $m$ ay take place in a $m$ edium, even for sm all neutrino m ixing in vacuum [i్1]. The $m$ atter e ects on the oscillations are taken into account by $m$ eans of a potential energy or an index of refraction for each neutrino avor, which can be calculated from the background contributions to the neutrino selfenergy tē, 'ī-1,'ī]. In addition to the energy $m$ om entum relation of the neutrinos, also their electrom agnetic
 the general expressions for the electrom agnetic form factors of a neutrino in an electron gas were calculated and, as an speci c application, the correction to the index of refraction of a single neutrino in the presence of an extemal $m$ agnetic eld was determ ined. In this paper we extend the study of Ref. [-6] [1] by considering the com bined e ects ofneutrino $m$ ixing and the extemalm agnetic eld. $W$ e derive the equation goveming the avor evolution under such conditions and exam ine the possible e ects of strong $m$ agnetic elds on the neutrino oscillations induced by their e ective electrom agnetic interaction.

It is worth stressing the follow ing. The possibility that neutrinos $m$ ay have electrom agnetic dipole $m$ om ent interactions which change left-handed neutrinos into right-handed ones can have im portant consequences in the context of the solar-neutrino puzzle $[\overline{1} \overline{\underline{q}}]$, and the combined e ect of $m$ atter and $m$ agnetic elds on neutrino avor oscillations and spin precession has been studied $\left.[\underline{1} 1]_{1}^{1}\right]$. H ow ever, it is well know $n$ that the values of the neutrino m agnetic m om ents that are estim ated in the Standard M odel fall well below the values for which their e ect can be appreciable. W hile there are schem es in which the values of the neutrino $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents lie in the relevant range, they require ingredients that are not contained in the standard $E$ lectrow eak $T$ heory. O $n$ the contrary, the e ect we are considering is quite di erent. The neutrino electrom agnetic form factors calculated in Ref. ${ }_{-1,1}^{1}$ preserve chirality and are present even in the Standard M odelw ith $m$ assless left-handed neutrinos. Those induced term s arise because of the interactions of neutrinos w ith the particles in the badkground. In the presence of an extemalm agnetic eld the induced form factors, instead of producing spin ip transitions, contribute to the index of refraction and modify the resonance condition for neutrino oscillations in $m$ atter.

For sim plicity we restrict ourselves to the case of $m$ ixing between only two generations, but the same approach can be applied to $m$ ore general cases. O ur starting point is the self-energy of the neutrinos, w ith m om entum $k$, in the presence of a uniform $m$ agnetic eld. A s shown in Ref. [6] $]$ it can
be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { eff }=(a k+b \not b+d \text { ext }) L ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $u$ is the velocity four-vector of the medium, $L=(1 \quad 5)=2$, and $B_{\text {ext }}=1=2 u F$, where $F$ is the electrom agnetic eld tensor. In what follows we work in the rest fram e of the background, where $u=(1 ; 0)$ $\mathrm{k}=(!; \sim)$, and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ext}}=(0 ; \mathrm{B}) \mathrm{w}$ ith B being the extemalm agnetic eld.
$T$ he coe cients $a, b$, and $c$ are $m$ atrices in the neutrino intemal space and, in a norm alm atter gas, com posed of electrons, nucleons and their antiparticles, they are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}=0 ; \\
& \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{P} \overline{2}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Z}}+\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{e}} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{P} \overline{2}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{0}+\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{e}} \\
0
\end{array} \\
& 0
\end{align*}
$$

where, to order $G_{F}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{e}=p \overline{2}_{F}\left(n_{e} n_{\theta}\right) \\
& c_{e}=2^{p} \overline{2 e G}_{F} \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3} 2 E} \frac{d}{d E}(f \quad \quad f) ; \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith e being the electron charge $(\mathrm{e}<0)_{\underset{-1}{I_{1}}}^{\mathrm{I}_{1}} \mathrm{~W}$ e have introduced the electron and positron distributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(p)=\frac{1}{\left.\mathrm{e}^{(E(p)}\right)+1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding num ber densities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}, \overline{\mathrm{e}}}=2^{\mathrm{z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{p}}{(2)^{3}} \mathrm{f} \quad(\mathrm{p}): \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. ( the $Z$-diagram, which are the sam e for all avors and, in a norm alm atter background, are irrelevant for oscillations. H ow ever, in environm ents like the
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Figure 1: D iagram (a) gives the dom inant contribution (of order $1=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}$ ) to the neutrino selfenergy term of order $B^{2}$, arising from the $W$ exchange interaction. In the locallm it of the $W$ propagator, this diagram is equivalent to $D$ iagram (b).
early universe or the core of a supemova, where the neutrinos represent an appreciable fraction of the totaldensity, the neutral-current contributions to the potential energy arising from the - scattering are not in general proportional to the unit $m$ atrix and should be inchuded in the analysis of the resonant avor transform ations[1]21]. Several approaches exist to describe the neutrino oscillations under such conditions, including a Boltzm ann-type kinetic approach [ $[\underline{3} \overline{3}]$ based on a density $m$ atrix form alism [1] $\overline{1} 4]$, and a treatm ent based on the FTFT m ethods[ī1]. W hile we are aw are that the extra contributions from the - background interactions must in generalbe taken into account in a carefiul num erical study, in what follow s we will not consider them further since they can be added at any stage. Of course, they will be im portant in the particular context of the supemova, for exam ple[I] $\overline{-1}]$.

In general, the coe cients a;b and care functions ofB. The calculation of Ref. $[-\overline{-}]$ corresponds to retaining only the contribution to the coe cients that is independent of $B$. Since we are envisaging a situation where $c B$ could be com parable to b , it is pertinent to ask whether the second and higher order term sin B are im portant or not. In order to answer that, consider the contribution to the neutrino selfenergy arising from the diagram in which tw o extemalB lines are attached to the electron line ofthe $W$-exchange loop diagram, as shown in $F$ ig.

That gives is an additional contribution to be such that, instead of the
form ula for $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{e}}$ given in Eq. ( $\left(\frac{\overline{3}}{\mathbf{-}}\right)$, the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{e}}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{G_{F}} 2^{\mathrm{z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{p}}{(2)^{3}}(f \quad \mathrm{f})+\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{~B}^{2} \quad ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ is a coe cient independent of $B$. The crucial point now is to note that the term involving the distribution functions $f$ cannot be identi ed w ith the totaldensities as in Eq. (5-1), because the latter quantities $m$ ust now be determ ined to order $B^{2}$ also. The diagram for the $B^{2}$ contribution to the electron current density hè ei, which can also be written as the trace of $S_{F} \quad$ where $S_{F}$ is the electron propagator, is identical to $D$ iagram (b) but w ith the extemal neutrino lines rem oved. T hus, by sim ple inspection of the tw o diagram $s$ it is easy to recognize that the net num ber density is given by precisely the sam e factor that appears in Eq. ( ${\underset{-}{-1}}_{\mathbf{-}}^{)}$),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}_{4}=2^{\mathrm{z}} \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}}\left(f \quad f_{4}\right)+\mathrm{b}_{2} B^{2}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is now the relation that determ ines the chem ical potential in term s of the net num ber density, which is the conserved quantity. If we proceed to elim inate the chem ical potential in favor of $n \quad n_{+}$, the result is that the form ula forbe reduces again to that in Eq. (Зु) , while $C_{e}$ acquires a dependence on $B$ through the implicit dependence of the $f$ on $B$, as a consequence of solving for the chem ical potential in Eq. $\left(\frac{\bar{T}}{\boldsymbol{T}}\right)$. The sam e argum ent applies to higher order term $s$ in $B$. This can be seen easily by considering, for exam ple, diagram $s w$ th $m$ ore $B$ lines attached to the electron, and noting that they are equivalent to the electron loop of $D$ iagram (a) but w ith the additional extemalB lines. Allthis am ounts to the statem ent that, to this order in $G_{F}$, the neutrino selfenergy is given by just hē ei L. Then, according to Eq. $(\underline{i} 1), b_{8}$ is determ ined by he ${ }^{y}$ ei which is just the net num ber density. Thus, to sum $m$ arize, the form ula for $b_{e}$ in $E q$. (3) is, to order $G_{F}$, independent of $B$. O n the other hand, by adopting Eq. (5, ${ }_{5}$ ) as the relation between the chem ical potential and the net num ber density, we are neglecting the dependence of $C_{e}$ on the $m$ agnetic elol ${ }_{1}^{1_{1}^{2}}{ }_{1}^{1}$.

The coe cients b; c in Eq. ( ${ }^{2}$ ) ${ }^{1}$, are w ritten in the avorbasis. In this basis, the equation that determ ines the dispersion relation and wave functions of

[^1]the propagating $m$ odes is
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (K m eff })=0 \text {; } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where,

$$
\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{U} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{m}_{1} & 0 & !  \tag{9}\\
0 & \mathrm{~m}_{2}
\end{array} \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{y}} \text {; }
$$

$w$ th $U$ being the $\underset{P}{m}$ atrix that relates the elds of de nite $m$ ass $L_{i}$ to the avor elds $\mathrm{L}={ }_{i} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Li}\left(=e_{\text {; }}\right)$. In the $W$ eylrepresentation

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{R}}=0^{!} ; \quad 0^{!}= \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eq. ( $(\underset{-}{\mathrm{Q}})$ becom es the set of coupled equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (! } b+\sim \sim \quad \sim \quad B) \quad m=0 \text {; } \\
& \text { (! ~~) m = } \quad \text { : } \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing the second of these to elim inate from the rst one, yields the follow ing equation for

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!\quad b+\sim \quad \sim \quad C B) \quad\left(!+\sim \frac{m^{2}}{!^{2} 2^{2}}=0 ;\right. \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

while is then determ ined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left(!+\sim \frac{m}{!^{2}} 2^{2}:\right. \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e are interested in the solutions of Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{-}$ ) w ith positive energy, corresponding to the (neutrinos) particle solutions. In the absence of the $m$ agnetic eld they correspond to negative helicity spinors of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\Theta_{; 2} \quad ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the Pauli spinor with de nite helicity, that satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sim \wedge)=\quad(=): \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $e_{1 ; 2}$ are vectors in avor space, determ ined by solving the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
H e_{i}=!{ }_{i} e_{i} ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=+\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{2}+\mathrm{b}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expressions for $!_{1 ; 2}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{1 ; 2}$ are given explicitly in Eqs. (A.10) and (A.14) of $R$ ef. [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(!+\sim \quad \frac{m^{2}}{!^{2}}=\frac{m^{2}}{!+} \quad, \frac{m^{2}}{2}\right. \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been $m$ ade.
In the presence of the $m$ agnetic eld, the solutions to Eq. (12i) do not correspond to purely negative helicity spinors any longerbecause them atrioes ~ B and ~ ~ generally do not com mute. Therefore, the solution $m$ ust be sought in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
=x+x^{0}+; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}^{0}$ are two-com ponent vectors in avor space. Substituting Eq. (199) into Eq. (11 12 ) we obtain tw o coupled equations for $x$ and $x^{0}$, and from them is easy to verify that $x^{0}(\mathbb{C} \quad \wedge=) x$. Then, retaining term $s$ that are at $m$ ost linear in the $s m$ allquantities $b ; c ; m^{2}=2$ in the equation for $x$, the dispersion relations $!_{1 ; 2}$ and the corresponding vectors $x=e_{1 ; 2}$ are obtained by solving Eq. (1]- $\overline{\mathrm{G}})$, but w ith the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}=+\mathrm{b} \text { © } \wedge \frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

in place of H .
Im itating the argum ents given in Ref. [ī] [], we then arrive at the follow ing picture: The D irac wave function for a relativistic left-handed neutrino with m om entum $\sim$ propagating in $m$ atter in the presence of an extemalm agnetic eld is, in the $W$ eyl representation,

$$
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \sim \times} \quad 0^{!} \quad \text { (t); }
$$

where, in a hom ogenousm edium, $\quad(t)={ }^{P}{ }_{i=1 ; 2}\left(e_{i}^{y} \quad(0)\right) e_{i} e^{\text {i! } i^{t}}$ : For an inho$m$ ogenous $m$ edium, the avor-space spinor $(t)$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{d}{d t}=H_{B} \quad ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the extension of the M SW equation to the situation we are considering. The components e; of (t) give the am plitude to nd the neutrino in the corresponding state of de nite avor, at a distance $r$ ' from the production point ( $t_{0}=0$ ). In Eq. ( $\overline{2}_{1} 1 \mathbf{1}_{1}$ ) we discarded the right-handed component ${ }_{R}$ of the D irac spinor since it is of order $m=2$ as com pared to the left-handed com ponent, and we also neglect the positive-helicity com ponent $x^{0}+$ since it is of order $\frac{c B}{}$ relative to $x$.

W e now consider the possible relevance of the e ect of the extra term s due to the $m$ agnetic eld on the resonant avor conversion. At each point along the neutrino path, $H_{B}$ can be diagonalized by the unitary transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{m}(r)=\quad \cos _{m} \quad \sin _{m}! \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the m ixing angle in m atter m determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin 2_{m}=\frac{0 \sin 2}{\left(0 \cos 2 v^{2}+{ }_{0}^{2} \sin ^{2} 2\right.} ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)=b_{e}(r) \quad \varepsilon(r) B \quad \wedge \text {; } \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $0 \quad\left(m_{2}^{2} \quad m_{1}^{2}\right)=2$. The denom inator in Eq. (2 $\left.2 \overline{4}\right)$ corresponds to the di erence between the instantaneous energy eigenvalues of the neutrino $m$ odes $!_{2} \quad!_{1}$. For antineutrinos the $b_{e}$ and $c_{e}$ term $s$ change sign and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin 2_{m}=\frac{0 \sin 2}{(0 \cos 2+v)^{2}+{ }_{0}^{2} \sin ^{2} 2}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

A coording to the above form ulas, the $m$ ixing angle in $m$ atter is $m$ odied by the neutrino (antineutrino) interaction $w$ ith the $m$ agnetic eld. As functions ofv, $\sin ^{2} 2 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\sin ^{2} 2 \mathrm{~m}$ exhibit the characteristic form of B reit$W$ igner resonance. For the neutrinos the resonance condition ( $\sin 2 \mathrm{~m}=1$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)=0 \cos 2 ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for the antineutrinos we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}\left(\bar{r}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)=0 \cos 2: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The width of the resonance is given by the length of the interval of values of $v(r)$, centered around $v\left(r_{R}\right)$, such that $\sin ^{2} 2 m \quad \frac{1}{2}$, and sim ilarly for $\sin ^{2} 2 \mathrm{~m}$. In both cases,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=20 \sin 2: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In principle, Eqs. $\left(\underline{2} \overline{\bar{n}_{-}}\right)$and $(\underline{2} \overline{8})$ ) can be veri ed sim ultaneously in a m edium $w$ th $B \in 0$. This is a novel feature that contrasts $w$ th the situation $w$ thout the $m$ agnetic eld, where only neutrinos, but not antineutrinos, can go through a resonant region. For negligible values of ${ }_{0}$ cos 2 both conditions reduce to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{\prime} 0 ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in such case the resonance for neutrinos and antineutrinos occur with in regions which are close. M ore precisely, the above approxim ations will be good to the extent the di erences $j r_{R} \rightsquigarrow j$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{R}} \rightsquigarrow j$, where $v(æ)=0$, are sm all com pared w ith $r_{R}$ itself. In tum, this im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{R} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~V}} \frac{\mathrm{dv}}{d r}_{\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{R}}}^{1} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the characteristic scale length of $v$ at the neutrino resonance, and we have taken into account the fact that ${ }_{R} \quad \frac{1}{2} \dot{j}_{R} \quad \bar{r}_{R} j$. It ispertinent to rem ark that the condition given by Eq. ( $\left.3 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ does not necessarily im ply that the resonance regions of and ${ }^{-}$overlap. Thisw illhappen whenever the separation betw een the tw o resonance points is sm aller than the average spatial extension of the regions. For the neutrinos, the actual spatial extension of the resonance region is given by $==j^{\prime}\left(r_{R}\right) j^{\prime} 2_{R} \tan 2$, where $v^{0}=d v=d r$, with an analogous expression for -. The condition that both regions overlap is $j_{R} \quad \bar{r}_{R} j<\frac{1}{2}(+-)$, and assum ing $v^{0}\left(r_{R}\right)^{\prime} v^{0}\left(\bar{r}_{R}\right)$ it sim pli esto tan $2>1$, which requires large values of the $m$ ixing angle.

If the $m$ agnetic elds are su ciently strong that Eq. ( ${ }_{3} 0 \overline{0} \overline{1}$ can be satis ed, then the phenom enon of resonant oscillations can take place, w thout a severe requirem ent on the $m$ asses and $m$ ixing angles of the neutrinos. Thus, even if the values of these param eters are constrained by the condition for resonant oscillations in the Sun and/or other physical phenom ena, the supemova $m$ ay sim ultaneously support resonant oscillations under the conditions just stated. In order to estim ate the order of $m$ agnitude of the $m$ agnetic eld needed to
satisfy Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{0} \overline{0}$ ), in what follows we evaluate $c_{e}$ in various lim ting cases, which are easily obtained from Eq. (3ī).

D egenerate gas. In the lim it T ! 0 , we have $\mathrm{n}_{\bar{e}}=0$ and
where ${ }_{B}=e=2 m_{e}$ is the Bohrm agneton. Eq. ( $\left.\mathrm{l}_{-1}^{-} \overline{-1}\right)$ then requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{B} B \quad \wedge \xlongequal[m_{e}]{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2=3}} \frac{4}{3}^{!_{1=3}} ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0} \frac{\mathrm{~B}^{\wedge}}{10^{14} \text { gauss }} \mathrm{A}=67{\frac{Y_{e}}{0: 3}}^{2=3}{\underset{0}{!}}_{2=3} \text {; } \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the $m$ ass density, $Y_{e}$ is the fractional number density of electrons and $10^{0} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{cm}^{3}$.

U ltrarrelativistic non-degenerate gas. In the ultrarelativistic lim it, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{e}=\frac{G_{F} e}{\overline{2}^{2}}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pproxim ating the Ferm i-D irac distribution by its classical lim it we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2^{3}}{4}\left(n_{e} \quad r_{-}\right)=\sinh \quad: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for sm all values of $n_{e} \quad n_{e}$ Eq. (īā) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{e}^{\prime} \quad \frac{G_{F}}{2^{P} m_{e}} m^{2}\left(n_{e} \quad n_{\mathrm{A}}\right): \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the condition in Eq. $\left(\underline{3 ̄}_{-0}^{-1}\right)$ translates to

$$
{ }^{0} \frac{\mathrm{~B} \quad \wedge_{1}^{1}}{10^{14} \text { gauss }^{\mathrm{A}}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1: 4 & 10^{3} \tag{39}
\end{array}\right) \frac{\mathrm{T}}{10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}}{ }^{2}:
$$

$N$ on-relativistic Boltzm ann gas. For this case we can borrow the result given in Ref. 禀,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{e}}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{G_{\mathrm{F}}} \text { в } \quad\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{A}}\right) ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eq. ( $3 \overline{0} 01)$ then becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0} \frac{\mathrm{~B} \quad \wedge^{1}}{10^{14} \text { gauss }^{\mathrm{A}}}=17 \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}} \quad: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is notew orthy that, in the classical lim it, the resonance condition becom es approxim ately independent of the num ber densities.

The estim ates given in Eqs. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{\overline{5}} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ and ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1}\right)$ indicate that the phenom enon we have considered $m$ ay be relevant in the study of resonant oscillations in the supemova, where the densities are typically of order $10^{10} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{am}^{3}$, and $m$ agnetic elds of order $10^{14}$ gauss have been considered. O ur results suggest that the e ect is worthy of further attention and detailed num erical studies in order to asses the range of im plications in a concrete way.

The possibility that the induced neutrino electrom agnetic vertex $m$ ay contribute to the index of refraction in the presence of an extemalm agnetic eld has also been recently pointed out include the presence of the $m$ atter term ( $\left(b_{e}\right)$ in the evolution equation, and therefore did not consider the implications of the combined e ect of that term plus the $m$ agnetic eld term ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ) on the resonant oscillations.

A fler this w ork was com pleted and while this $m$ anuscript was being prepared, we received a preprint $[1 \overline{1} 9]$ discussing the sam e e ect that we consider here. H ow ever, the physical outlook and the conclusions reached there regarding the new resonant condition and its implications are di erent from ours.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~W}$ e take the opportunity to stress that in $R$ ef. [i] $]$ the sym bole stands everyw here for the electron charge and not for its $m$ agnitude.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For the purpose of studying this dependence, a better procedure is probably to start from the expression for the neutrino selfenergy in term $s$ of the electron propagator in an extemalm agnetic eld, as recently carried out by E $m$ fors, $G$ rasso and $R$ a elt [1]

