# P ow er C orrections in Q C D : A M atter of Energy R esolution. 

R.A khoury and V.I. Zakharov<br>The Randall Laboratory of $P$ hysics<br>U niversity of $M$ ichigan<br>Ann A rbor, M I 48109-1120

A bstract
W e consider pow er-like corrections in QCD which can be view ed as pow er surpressed in frared singularities. W e argue that the presence of these singularities depends crucially on the energy resolution. In case of poor energy resolution, i.e., inclusive cross sections, there are constraints on infrared singularities expressed by the $K$ inoshita-Lee N auenberg (K LN) theorem. W e rew rite the theorem in covariant notations and argue that the K LN theorem im plies the extension of the $B$ loch -N ordsieck cancellation of logarithm ic singularities to the case of linear corrections.

PACS num bers: $11.15 \mathrm{Bt}, 12.38 \mathrm{Aw}, 12.38 \mathrm{Bx}$

The em ission of soft particles in gauge theories exhibits rem arkable regularities. In particular, the spectrum of infrared photons is universally proportionalto $d!=!$. Such a spectrum im plies a logarithm ic divergence upon integration over the photon energy ! . M oreover, the divergence is cancelled if the em ission cross section is sum $m$ ed up with radiative corrections to the process w thout a soft photon; the fam ous B loch -N ordsiedk cancellation ${ }_{[1 \mathrm{IN}}^{1 \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{]}$. A s a result the physical cross section can contain only the log of the physical energy resolution, E.

The general nature of this cancellation is revealed by a quantum $m$ echanical theorem, due to $K$ inoshita, Lee and $N$ auenberg (K LN ) [E], according to which all infrared singularities are canceled provided that sum $m$ ation over alldegenerate in energy states is perform ed. A $n$ im portant point is that sum $m$ ation over both initial and nal states is required:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { x } \\
& \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{i}!} \mathrm{f}{ }^{\rho} \mathrm{f} \quad \text { free of singularities }  \tag{1}\\
& \text { i;f }
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $S_{i!}$ f are the elem ents of the $S$ - $m$ atrix. Since the sum $m$ ation over in itialstates does not correspond to an experim ental resolution, infrared singularities persist, generally speaking, in physical cross sections. From this point of view the $B \mathrm{loch}-\mathrm{N}$ ordsieck cancellation, upon the sum $m$ ation over nal states alone, looks as an exception rather than a rule. The reason for this exception is that in the lim it ofvanishing photon energy, the em ission and absorption of a photon are indistinguishable.

In Q CD , the problem of infrared singularities is com pounded due to the essential presence of collinear singularities, and as such, infrared sensitive quantities are a ected by con ne$m$ ent and cannot be calculated reliably. O ne is therefore led to consider observables that are infrared safe or those in which the long distance e ects can be isolated into a universal factor [ into phenom enological structure functions $f_{a}^{h}(x)$ where $x$ is the $m$ om entum fraction of the parent hadron $h$ carried by parton $a$. In particular, the cross section for the $D$ rell-Y an (D Y )
process $h_{1}+h_{2}!+\quad+X$ is given by［⿶凵⿱龴⿵⺆⿻二丨冂刂 1 ：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d Q^{2}}\left(; Q^{2}\right)=\sum_{a ; b}^{x^{Z}} 0_{0}^{Z_{1}}{d x_{1}}_{Z_{1}}^{Z_{1}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x\left(x_{1} x_{2} x\right) f_{a}^{h_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{b}^{h_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)\left({ }_{0} W_{a b}\left(x ; Q^{2}\right)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where，

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{4 \sum_{Q E D}^{2}}{9 Q^{4}}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S ; Q^{2}$ are squares of the hadronic and leptonic invariant $m$ asses，respectively，$=Q^{2}=S$ and $W_{a b}\left(x ; Q^{2}\right)$ is the appropriately norm alized（hard）cross section for $a+b!+\quad+$ partons， and $x=Q^{2}=s$ w ith $s$ the invariant $m$ ass squared of the partons $a ; b$ ．The structure functions can be deduced from another process，say，deep inelastic scattering．A $s$ an exam ple of the rst approach，one is led to the construction of infrared safe variables such as thrust $T$ in $e^{+} e$ annihilation：

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=m a x_{n} \frac{\left(p_{i} n\right)}{\dot{p}_{i} j}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $p_{i}$ are the $m$ om enta of particles while $n$ is a unit vector．
$M$ ost recently，the presence of linear term $S, \quad Q C D=Q$ where $Q$ is a largem assparam eter， has attracted attention observables but provide usw ith a m easure of their infrared sensitivity．C onsider，forexam ple， the em ission of a soft ghon in the case of thrust：

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & T \tag{5}
\end{array}\right)_{\text {soft }} \quad \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{d!}{!} \frac{!}{Q} s\left({ }_{Q C D}^{2}\right) \quad \frac{Q C D}{Q}
$$

where QCD is an infrared cut $O$ such that $s(Q C D) \quad 1, Q$ is the total energy and the
 of linear term $s$ in thrust and that they arise due to soft ghons．This is a general feature

 divergencies．The statem ent on the universality of linear corrections is form ulated，how ever，

use of resum $m$ ed cross sections $[\underline{[4}$, ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { he }{ }^{(1 \mathrm{~T})} \mathrm{i}_{1=Q}=e^{E} \mathrm{Esoft}^{\text {sin }} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here is a (large) param eter and the universal quantity $E$ soft is expressed in term $s$ if the cusp anom alous dim ension eik :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\text {soft }}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{Q}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dk}_{?}^{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{?}^{2}} \text { eik }\left(\mathrm{s}\left(\mathrm{k}_{?}\right)\right) \mathrm{k}_{?}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The anom alous dim ension eik controls various hard cross sections and is calculable perturbatively
 the technical com plications being due to the non-abelian nature of gluons.

In this note we w ill present argum ents that linear term $s$ and soft logarithm ic divergencies share not only the property of universality but in som e cases, a B loch N ordsiedk type of cancellation as well. N am ely, if one considers an inclusive cross section, that is, a case of poor energy resolution, the linear term scancel. If, on the other hand, the accuracy ofm easure$m$ ents on the nal state is of the order of an infrared param eter, then linear term s survive. As an exam ple of an inclusive cross section we will consider the D Y process. Observables which assum e precision $m$ easurem ents are exem pli ed by thrust. $W$ e have explicitly veri ed our argum ents for one-and two-loop abelian ghons and details $w$ ill be presented elsew here [1751. W e believe that our strategy and techniques can be generalized to a form al all orders proof. O ur search for a B loch-N ordsieck type of cancellation was stim ulated in fact by the results of R ef. [ $[1 \overline{1} 1 \mathrm{i}]$ where it was shown that if one uses a photon $m$ ass as an infrared regulator then linear in term scancel from the D Y cross section at the one-loop level. W e are establishing a general principle behind this apparently accidental one-loop cancellation. A s for observables which assum e precision $m$ easurem ents like thrust, the presence of $1=Q$ term $s$ in these hasbeen con m ed and the K LN based argum ents presented below are inapplicable.
$T$ hus, we w illnext consider the $1=Q$ corrections to the $D Y$ crossection for large , ( ! 1), for which the dom inant partonic process is qq annihilation and in which the em itted gluons
are soft w ith thier energy bounded by Q (1). O ur analysis hinges crucially on the K LN theorem. To be precise, consider the quantity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m n}=\frac{1}{m!n!}_{i ; f}^{x} M_{m n} J \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $M_{m n}$ is the amplitude for the process $q+q+m$ gluons! $+n$ gluons. In general, $P_{m n}$ contains contributions from disconnected diagram $s$ of $M_{m n} . W$ ew ill refer to $P_{m n}$ as the Lee $N$ auenberg probabilities. The assertion of the K LN theorem is that the quantity $P$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=X_{m ; n}^{X} P_{m n} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

contains no infrared sensitivity. In particular, and what is relevant for our discussion, it does not contain term $s$ linear in an infrared cut o (which am ong other possibilities,can be ( $\left.k_{\text {? }}\right)_{m \text { in }}$,or the $m$ ass of a $U(1)$ gauge boson).
$K$ eeping in $m$ ind that the linear term soriginate due to soft radiation, let us consider the case of single soft ghon em ission in the D Y process. W e can have, therefore, the diagram s w ith the soft ghon in the nal state, see ig. 1 (b). A rrow s on the gauge boson line denote the direction of $m$ om entum ow and we have also indicated the unitarity cut. The open circles denote the interaction w the which is not explicitly considered. The set of all such diagram swill be denoted by $\mathrm{P}_{01}$. M oreover, according to the K LN prescription for degenerate states, we m ust consider diagram swhere the soft ghon is absorbed in the initial state. Thus we are led to consider in $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m} \mathrm{n}}$ of eq ( (8, $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ ) absonption am plitudes squared which correspond to to the digram $s$ in $F$ ig. 1 (c). $W$ e denote the set of all such diagram $s$ by $\mathrm{P}_{10}$. $N$ ote that super cially, $P_{10}$ and $P_{01}$ look sim ilar, how ever, the energy $m$ om entum constraints are di erent for the two sets. Finally, we have diagram $s$ w th virtual gluons alone. In fact, we can include the hard interactions as well as virtual ghons into a single blob and we w ill follow this notation (hatched region in the gures). Thus the diagram in Fig. 1 (a) will be denoted by $\mathrm{P}_{00}$ and includes the contribution of the virtual gluons.

In addition to the above, we also have the contribution of the disconnected diagram s .

From the interference between the connected and disconnected diagram s, we can get contributions to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m} \mathrm{n}}$ from sets of the type in Figs. 1 (d), 1 (e). These sets will be denoted generically by $P_{11}^{(1)}$. N otice that the quark and antiquark propagators are the sam e in $P_{00}$ as in the corresponding $P_{11}^{(1)}$. In fact it is easy to check that $P_{11}^{(1)}$ can be obtained from $P_{00}$ by the replacm ent of the propagator of the virtual gluon by $2 \quad\left(k^{2}\right)$. The contribution from disconnected diagram s do not end here. In fact an in nite num ber of disconnected gluon lines can be added w thout changing the order of perturbation theory. An analysis show S , and this is one of our $m$ ain results, that after a suitable rearrangem ent of the perturbation series, the contribution of the disconnected pieces can be multiplicatively factored out:


Here, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{m} \quad ; \mathrm{n} \quad$ ) denotes the disconnected G reen's function w ith ( $\mathrm{m} \quad$ ) ingoing and ( n ) outgoing ghons. M oreover, $\mathrm{P}_{00}$ is identical to $\mathrm{P}_{00}$ in all respect but one. N am ely, the ghon propagator of $\mathrm{P}_{00}$ is replaced by its com plex conjugate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(k^{2}\right)+\frac{i}{k^{2}+i}=\frac{i}{k^{2} \quad i}=\frac{i}{k^{2}+i}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $P_{00}$ cannot be evaluated by applying the standard Feynm an rules.
N ow, from the $\mathrm{K} L \mathrm{~N}$ theorem we know that the sum $\left(\mathrm{P}_{00}+\mathrm{P}_{01}+\mathrm{P}_{10}+\mathrm{P}_{00}\right)$ does not contain any $\mathbb{R}$ sensitive term s . $N$ ote that the physical B loch N ordsiedk crossection corresponds to the sum $\mathrm{P}_{00}+\mathrm{P}_{01}$. Let us rst brie y consider the soft logarithm ic divergences. It is easy to see that in the leading order as the gluon energy vanishes,then for the log divergent term $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{P}_{01} \quad \mathrm{P}_{10}$ and for the virtual corrections to this accuracy we m ay replace the ghon propagator in both $P_{00}$ and $P_{00}$ by the $\left(k^{2}\right)$ piece. Thus from the $K$ LN theorem we conclude that $\mathrm{P}_{00}+\mathrm{P}_{01}$ is free of soft logarithm ic divergences, which is just the statem ent of the B lochN ordsieck cancellation at this accuracy. W e would like to extend this argum ent to the linear term $s$ in the D Y crossection as well.

Speci cally, we identify the soft com ponent of ${ }_{0} W{ }_{q q}(x)$ in eq. (ī) for large $w$ ith the inclusive probabilities $\mathrm{P}_{00}+\mathrm{P}_{01}$ above. Then we take the m om ents w th respect to of eq. ( $(\overline{2})$ ), upon which, it reduces to a product of $m$ om ents of the structure functions and of ${ }_{0} \mathrm{~W}$ qq. The m om ents of this latter quantity are w ith respect to x , which in tum is related to the energy of the em itted gluon in the soft region (large $m$ om ents) by ${ }_{\text {g/ }}^{1}$ ]

$$
!=Q\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \tag{12}
\end{array}\right)=2:
$$

If, for exam ple,we denote the transverse $m$ om entum cuto of the em itted ghon by then $x$ is bounded by ( $1 \quad 2=Q$ ). Thus, linear term $s$ in the cut-o do not arise from the virtual corrections in DY. Then we see from eq $(\underline{1} 0 \overline{0})$ ) that the quantity $P_{01}+P_{10}$ does not contain term $s$ linear in (upon taking $m$ om ents, which is henceforth understood).

W e will next show that it follows from the Low theorem [ī2̄] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{01} \quad P_{10}=O\left({ }^{2}\right)+f \text { in ite term } s \text { independent of : } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then since the virtual ghon diagram s cannot contribute linear term $s$ in it follow from the above that $\mathrm{P}_{01}$ ) has infrared sensitivity of $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$.

W e can show using the Low theorem that to linear accuracy:
$w$ here, $p_{1} ; p_{2}$ are the $m$ om enta of the quark (antiquark) rspectively and $T$ is the radiationless am plitude,i.e., for the process $q+q!!\quad l^{+} 1$. To see this, we note that the am plitude $M_{01}$ can be expressed upto term $s!^{0}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{01}=g_{s} \frac{\left(2 p_{2} k\right)}{2 p_{2} k k^{T}} \quad g_{s} \frac{\left(2 p_{1} k\right)}{2 p_{1} k \quad k} T+g_{s}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) \quad k \frac{p_{1}}{p_{1} k} \frac{p_{2}}{p_{2}} k \frac{@ T}{@ s} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $s \quad p_{1} \quad p$ and $g_{s}^{2}=(4)=\mathrm{s}$. N ext, in calculating ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{M}_{01}$ f we keep term $\mathrm{SO}\left(1=\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)$ and $O(1=k)$ and in this way arrive at the expression given above. Sim ilar expressions can be obtained for $\mathrm{P}_{10}$ and we nd thier $m$ om ents to be equal for a $x e d Q^{2}$, to this accuracy.

Thus, we conclude that the D Y cross section, has no term s linear in the infrared cut o
. The universal term is cancelled from the inclusive cross section as was observed rst by an explicit calculation in Ref [ī1].

The approach of the present paper can be generalized to higher orders. W e have checked explicitly $[1]$ generalize. In particular, the observation ( $(\underline{1} 0 \overline{-1})$ that the K LN sum ( $\overline{-1})$ involves in fact both standard and com plex con jugated ghon propagators rem ains true and evolves into a pattem which can be readily generalized to any order. Thus, the expression for the probability $P$ for the case of two ghons again assum es a form in which the disconnected pieces $m$ ay be factorized out, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}_{00}+\mathrm{P}_{01}+\mathrm{P}_{02}+\mathrm{P}_{10}+\mathrm{P}_{20}+\mathrm{P}_{00}+\mathrm{P}_{11}^{(2)}+\mathrm{P}_{10}+\mathrm{P}_{01}+\mathrm{P}_{00} ; \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where, to this order, $\mathrm{P}_{01}$ contains the square of the am plitude w ith one virtual and one real em itted ghon, and $\mathrm{P}_{01}$ is obtained from the form er by sym $m$ etrically replacing the virtual ghon line in the am plitude by its com plex conjugate. Sim ilarly for $\mathrm{P}_{10}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{10}$ whidh apply for the absorbed gluon. $\widetilde{P_{00}}$ is obtained from $P_{00}$ by replacing both the virtual gluon lines in the corresponding am plitude by thier com plex conjugates, whereas $\mathrm{P}_{00}$ is obtained by sym m etrically replacing only one. $\mathrm{P}_{02}$ refers to the probability for the em ission of tw o ghons and $P_{20}$ to the corresponding absorbtion amplitude $P_{11}^{(2)}$ is the probability for the em ission of one ghon and the absorbtion of another. Next the Low theorem $m$ ay be generalized to the case of tw o abelian soft ghons and this can be used to relate the corresponding em ission and absorbtion probabilities to linear accuracy. Proceeding in a m anner analogous to the one gluon case, we can then show again that the K LN theorem im plies the B loch -N ordsiedk cancellation of term s both logarithm ic and linear in . M oreover, at least in the theory with abelian ghons, the factorization of the linear term s as well, in the inclusive probabilities is m anifested $[1 \overline{1} \overline{4} \overline{4}]$. D etails w ill be given elsew here

To sum $m$ arize, we have suggested a criteria for the presence of $1=\mathrm{Q}$ corrections in observables. For those having poor energy resolution we have presented argum ents that com bining the K LN and Low theorem sprovides a pow erfulm eans of proving a B loch -N ordsieck type of cancellation valid to linear approxim ation in an infrared cut o . Let us also mention that the K LN theorem allow s for a com pact derivation of the cancellation of power-like corrections in inclusive weak decays found explicitly in Ref [i] in the one loop order. M oreover, the generalization to higher loops is straightforw ard
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Figure 1: C ut diagram s representing (a) $\mathrm{P}_{00}$, (b) $\mathrm{P}_{01}$, (c) $\mathrm{P}_{10}$, and (d) $+(\mathrm{e}) \mathrm{P}_{11}^{(1)}$.

