# Production of pseudoscalar Higgs-bosons in e collisions

## Duane A.Dicus

C enter for Particle Physics and Department of Physics
University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712

Wayne W.Repko

D epartm ent of Physics and Astronom y

Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824

(M arch 26, 2022)

# A bstract

We investigate the production of a pseudoscalar Higgs-boson  $A^0$  using the reaction  $e^-$ !  $eA^0$  at an ee collider with center of mass energy of 500 GeV. Supersym metric contributions are included and provide a substantial enhancement to the cross section for most values of the sym metry breaking parameters. We not that, despite the penalty incurred in converting one of the beams into a source of backscattered photons, the e-process is a promising channel for the detection of the  $A^0$ .

### I. IN TRODUCTION

The Higgs sector in supersymmetric extensions of the standard model contains charged Higgs-bosons as well as additional neutral Higgs-bosons [1]. Among the latter is a pseudoscalar particle usually denoted  $A^0$ . In this paper, we calculate the production cross section for the  $A^0$  in the process  $e^+$  e $A^0$ . Contributions to this process arise from triangle and box diagrams. The triangle contributions consist of diagrams in which the  $A^0$  and photon are on-shell external particles and the remaining particle is a virtual photon or  $Z^0$  in the t-channel. Since t=0 is in the physical region, the photon pole contribution dominates the  $Z^0$  pole contribution in this set of diagrams [2]. Moreover, because of the o-diagonal structure of the  $A^0$  couplings to other bosons, the particles in the loop are either quarks, leptons or charginos. Here, we present the top quark, bottom quark, tau lepton and the two chargino contributions to the photon pole amplitude.

The box diagram s have a m ore complex particle structure, with leptons, charginos, neutralinos and scalar leptons in the loops. Like the  $Z^0$  pole, these diagram s are non-singular at t=0, and should not contribute a sizable correction to the photon pole term s. They are not included in the present calculation.

# II. THE CROSS SECTION FOR A DPRODUCTION

The amplitude for the production of an  $A^0$  of momentum  $k^0$  and an e of momentum  $p^0$  in the collision of an e of momentum p and a of momentum k and polarization " (k) by the exchange of a in the t-channel is

$$M = \frac{4i^{2}}{\sin_{W} m_{W}} u(p^{0}) u(p) \frac{A(t)}{t} " (k)(p p^{0}) k;$$
 (1)

where  $t = (p p^0)^2$ , and

A (t) = 
$$3 \frac{2}{3} m_{t}^{2} \cot C_{0} (t; m_{A}^{2}; m_{t}^{2}) 3 \frac{1}{3} m_{b}^{2} \tan C_{0} (t; m_{A}^{2}; m_{b}^{2})$$
 (2)

$$(1)^2$$
m  $^2$  tan  $C_0$  (t;m  $_A^2$ ;m  $^2$ ) + 2m  $_W$  m  $_1$ g $_{11}$ C  $_0$  (t;m  $_A^2$ ;m  $_1^2$ ) + 2m  $_W$  m  $_2$ g $_{22}$ C  $_0$  (t;m  $_A^2$ ;m  $_2^2$ ) ;

Here, m  $_{\rm t}$  and m  $_{\rm b}$  are the top and bottom quark m asses, m  $_{\rm t}$  is the tau lepton m ass, m  $_{\rm t}$  and m  $_{\rm t}$  are the chargino m asses, m  $_{\rm t}$  is the W m ass and tan  $_{\rm t}$  is a ratio of vacuum expectation values [1]. The chargino coupling constants  $g_{11}$  and  $g_{22}$  depend on the elements of two 2  $_{\rm t}$  unitary m atrices U and V which diagonalize the chargino m ass m atrix X , where [3]

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} B & M & P & 1 \\ E & P & M & \sin & C \\ E & P & \cos & A \end{bmatrix};$$
 (3)

and are chosen to ensure that m  $_1$  and m  $_2$  are positive. For illustrative purposes, we assume that the sym m etry breaking parameters M and are real and consider two cases: M > m  $_W^2$  sin 2 and M < m  $_W^2$  sin 2. The couplings in these cases are

$$g_{11} = \frac{m_W}{m_1^2 m_2^2} (m_2 + m_1 \sin 2); \quad g_{22} = \frac{m_W}{m_1^2 m_2^2} (m_1 + m_2 \sin 2); \quad (4)$$

for  $M > m_W^2 \sin 2$ , and

$$g_{11} = \frac{m_W}{m_1^2 m_2^2} (m_2 + m_1 \sin 2); \quad g_{22} = \frac{m_W}{m_1^2 m_2^2} (m_1 + m_2 \sin 2);$$
 (5)

for M < m $_{\rm W}^2$  sin 2 . Notice that, these couplings are sym metric in m $_1$ , m $_2$  and, unlike the A $^0$ -top coupling, there is no enhancement factor of m $_{1;2}$ =m $_{\rm W}$  [4]. We take m $_1$  > m $_2$ . Due to the reality of M and , m $_1$  and m $_2$  in Eqs. (4,5) are subject to certain constraints discussed below [5]. The scalar function  $C_0$  (t; m $_A^2$ ; m $_A^2$ ) is [6]

$$C_0 (t; m_A^2; m^2) = \frac{1}{i^2} d^4 q \frac{1}{(q^2 + m^2) ((q + p - p^0)^2 + m^2) ((q + p - p^0 + k)^2 + m^2)} : (6)$$

Since one of the external particles is a photon, this function can be expressed in terms of inverse trignom etric or hyperbolic functions [1,7] as

$$C_0 (t; m_A^2; m^2) = \frac{1}{(t - m_A^2)} C(\frac{m_A^2}{m^2}) C(\frac{t}{m^2});$$
 (7)

w here

$$C() = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} \frac{dx}{x} \ln 1 & x(1 + x) & i'' \\ \frac{8}{x} + \frac{x}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{x}{2} + \frac{x}{2$$

The cross section is given by

$$\frac{d (e ! eA_0)}{d(t)} = \frac{1}{64 s^2} \sum_{spin}^{X} M \dot{f};$$
 (10)

and we have

where  $s=(p+k)^2$  and  $u=(p^0-k)^2$ . The presence of the 1=t in Eq.(11) means it is necessary to introduce a cuto in the calculation of the total cross section. One approach to obtaining a nite cross section is use the e-ective photon or W eizsacker-W illiams approximation for the exchanged photon [8]. Here, we integrate the exact amplitude and impose an angular cuto. The expression for the total cross section is

$$e ! eA \circ (s) = \frac{4}{64 \sin^2 w m_w^2} \frac{Z (s m_A^2)}{(s m_A^2)} \frac{dy}{y} 2 2 \frac{(m_A^2 + y)}{s} + \frac{(m_A^2 + y)^2}{s^2}$$
  $\mathring{A} (y) \mathring{J}; (12)$ 

where is an angular cuto . We investigated the e ect of varying =  $\sin^2(m_{in}=2)$  by comparing the standard model cross section with and without the Z  $^0$  exchange. For  $_{min}$  as large as =6, the Z  $^0$  contribution is only 3% -4% of the total. The result scales approximately as the logarithm of , and we use =  $10^{-5}$  in the gures.

To complete the calculation of the cross section for the exprocess, it is necessary to fold the cross section, Eq. (12), with the distribution F (x) of backscattered photons having momentum fraction x [9] to obtain

$$T = \frac{1}{S} \int_{m_{h}^{2}}^{Z} dSF \left(\frac{S}{S}\right) = e^{1 eA \cdot S} (S);$$
 (13)

with \$ = xs. Here, we have taken the usual upper  $\lim$  it on the allowed x value, x = 0.83.

This cross section is plotted in Fig. (1) for M  $> m_W^2 \sin 2$  and in Fig. (2) for M  $< m_W^2 \sin 2$ . The dashed line in each panel is the contribution from the top and bottom quarks and the tau lepton. For large tan , the tau contribution is important. This is illustrated in the tan = 20 panel of Fig. (1), where the dot-dashed line is the contribution from the top and bottom quarks. In Fig. (1), the solid lines are  $m_1 = 250$  GeV and  $m_2$  the largest value consistent with the constraint  $(m_1 - m_2) - m_W^2 = 2(1 + \sin 2)$ , which is needed to ensure that M and are real. Similarly, in Fig. (2), the solid lines correspond to  $m_1 = 250$  GeV and  $m_2$  the largest value consistent with  $(m_1 - m_2) - m_W^2 = 2(1 - \sin 2)$ . Unlike the M  $> m_W^2 - \sin 2$  case, when M  $< m_W^2 - \sin 2$  it is possible for  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  to be equal for tan = 1 provided the  $m_1$ ;  $m_2 - m_W^2$ . These values of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are within the range of chargino m asses found in studies of minimal supersymmetric models [10]. In most cases, the inclusion of the chargino contribution leads to a signicant increase in the cross section, especially for the larger values of tan

To assess the observability of this process, we assume that the dominant  $A_0$  decay is  $A_0$ ! bb. For  $m_A < 2m_t$ , this ignores some contribution from chargino pair decay, but this is relatively small since in all but one of the examples we consider the lowest chargino mass exceeds 120 GeV. Even above the top threshold, bb decay dominates when tan 20 [11]. The dotted lines in Figures (1) and (2) are the cross section for the direct production of a background bb of invariant mass  $m_A$  in ecollisions subject to an angular cut on the b and b direction relative to the that of the incident photon in the ecoenter of mass. We not that an angular cut of jobs j< 98 on both the b and the b reduces the background bb cross section by about a factor of 10 while leaving the bb signal from  $A^0$  decay essentially unchanged. More restrictive cuts on the b and b angles can further suppress the background, but at the expense of a significant decrease in the signal [12]. The cut shown appears to be

optim al.

### III.D ISCUSSION

We would like to point out that the express sections calculated here are very likely to be much larger than those of the related process ee!  $A^0$  at 500 GeV. We have checked this for the production of the standard model Higgs-boson using the complete (standard model) calculation of ee!  $H^0$  [7] and the photon pole contribution to e!  $e^0$ . At an eecenter of mass energy of 500 GeV, we not the cross section (ee!  $e^0$ ) for the production of a 200 GeV  $e^0$  is 0.08 fb, whereas (e!  $e^0$ ) = 5:9 fb for the same Higgs-boson mass.

This enhancement is implicit in a previous calculation of scalar H iggs-boson production [8]. In Ref. [8], the W eizsacker-W illiams approximation is used for the t channel photon together with the on-shell H! amplitude. This is essentially equivalent to setting y=0 in the parentheses of Eq. (12) and using  $m_e^2$  as the cuto in the remaining integral [13]. Our comparison of the approximate results of Ref. [8] with an exact calculation suggests that the W eizsacker-W illiams approach tends to overestimate the cross section. Apart from minor variations depending on how the calculation is performed, it is nevertheless true that the thannel cross section is substantially larger than its schannel counterpart.

The production of  $A^0$  has also been investigated in collisions [14]. In this case, the background arises from the process ! bb and it is electively suppressed by imposing an angular cut. With our choice of chargino masses, a comparison of the tan = 20 cross sections in eleproduction and production [14] reveals a larger signal in the elem ode. Both channels are likely to be important in searches for the  $A^0$ .

To the extent that the photon pole contribution can be isolated, this m ethod of searching for the  $A^0$  has the advantage that the contributions from supersym m etry are signi cant and lim ited to one type supersym m etric particle. Should one observe a cross section larger than any standard m odel prediction, the case for the presence of chargino contributions is rather

strong.

# ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

We would like to acknow ledge conversations with C.P.Yuan and X.Tata. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant PHY-93-07980 and by the United States Department of Energy under contract DE-FG 013-93ER 40757.

# REFERENCES

- [1] The Higgs Hunter's Guide, J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, G. Kane and S. Dawson, Addision (Wesley Publishing Company, 1990.
- [2] The same thing can be said about the production of scalar H iggs-bosons in the reaction  $e \cdot eH^0$ .
- [3] J.F.Gunion and H.W. Haber, Nuc. Phys. B 272, 1 (1986).
- [4] H. Baer, A. Bartl, D. Karatas, W. Majerotto, and X. Tata, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 4, 4111 (1989) Appendix B.
- [5] X. Tata and D.A. Dicus, Phys. Rev. D 35, 2110 (1987).
- [6] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, Nuc. Phys. B 153, 365 (1979).
- [7] A. Abbasabadi, D. Bowser-Chao, D. A. Dicus and W. W. Repko, Phys. Rev D 52, 3919 (1995).
- [8] O.J.P. Eboli, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and S.F. Novaes, Phys. Rev. D 49, 91 (1994).
- [9] I.F.G inzburg, G.L.Kotkin, S.L.Pan l, V.G.Serbo, and V.I.Telnov, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 219, 5 (1984).
- [10] G.L.Kane, C.Kolda, L.Roszkowski, and J.D.Wells, Phys.Rev.D 49, 6173 (1994).
- [11] V. Barger, K. Chung, R. J. N. Phillips, and A. L. Strange, Phys. Rev. D 46, 4914 (1992).
- [12] If the  $A^0$  m ass should turn out to be very close to the Z m ass, there could be a substantial background from e! Ze! bbe. We have not included this in our background calculation.
- [13] To see this in detail, compare the simplied Eq. (12) with Eq. (4) of Ref. [8].
- [14] J.F.Gunion and H.E.Haber, Phys. Rev. D 48, 5109 (1993).

## FIGURES

FIG. 1. Cross sections for the production of  $A^0$  are shown for various values of tan and an ee center of mass energy of 500 GeV when M > m $_W^2$  sin 2. In each case, the solid line corresponds to chargino masses  $m_1 = 250$  GeV and  $m_2$  the largest value consistent with the restriction ( $m_1$   $m_2$ )  $m_W^p = 2(1 + \sin 2)$ . The dashed line is the standard two Higgs doublet contribution without charginos, and the dot-dashed line in the tan = 20 panel is the two Higgs doublet result without the contribution. The dotted lines are the cross section for the production of a background bb with invariant mass  $m_A$ . In these graphs, the angular cuto is taken to be  $10^{-5}$ .

FIG.2. Same as Fig.(1) for M < m  $_{\rm W}^2$  sin 2 . In this case, m  $_{\rm 1}$  and m  $_{\rm 2}$  satisfy the condition (m  $_{\rm 1}$  m  $_{\rm 2}$ ) m  $_{\rm W}$   $^{\rm p}$   $\frac{}{2\,(1-\sin 2)}$ .



