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#### Abstract

W e review techniques form ore e cient com putation ofperturbative scattering am plitudes in gauge theory, in particular tree and one-loop m ulti-parton am plitudes in Q CD .W e em phasize the advantages of (1) using color and helicity inform ation to decom pose am plitudes into sm aller gauge-invariant pieces, and (2) exploiting the analytic properties of these pieces, nam ely their cuts and poles. O ther usefultools include recursion relations, special gauges and supersym $m$ etric rearrangem ents.
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## 1 M otivation

Feynm an rules for covariant perturbation theory have been around for alm ost fty years, and their adaptation to nonabelian gauge theories has been filly developed for alm ost tw enty- ve years. Surely by now every signi cant standard m odel scattering process ought to have been calculated to the experim entallyrequired accuracy. In fact, this is far from the case, especially for QCD, which is the focus of th is schooland of these lectures. M any Q CD cross-sections have been calculated only to leading order ( LO ) in the strong coupling constant s, corresponding to the square of the tree-levelam plitude. Such calculations have very large uncertainties | often a factor of two | which can only be reduced to reasonable levels, say 10\% or so, by including higher-order corrections in s.

C urrently, no quantities have been com puted beyond next-to-next-to-lead-ing-order ( $\mathbb{N} N L O$ ) in s , and the only quantities known at NNLO are totally inclusive quantities such as the total cross-section for $e^{+} e$ annihilation into hadrons, and various sum rules in deep inelastic scattering. M any m ore processes have been calculated at next-to-leading-order ( $\mathbb{N}$ LO), but at present results are still lim ited to where the basic process has four extemal legs, such as a virtual photon or $Z$ decaying to three jets, or production of a pair of jets (or a weak boson plus a jet) in hadronic collisions via qq! gg (qq! W g), etc.
$T$ his is not to say that processes $w$ ith $m$ ore extemal legs are not interesting; they are ofm uch interest, both for testing QCD in di erent settings and as backgrounds to new physics processes. For exam ple, scould be m easured at the largest possible $m$ om entum transfers using the ratio of three-jet events to tw o-jet events at hadron colliders, if only the three-jet process w ere know n at NLO. As another exam ple, QCD is a m ajor background to top quark production in pp collisions. If both t's decay hadronically ( $t$ ! $W$ b! qqib), the background is from six jet production. D espite the fact that the Q CD process starts o at ${ }_{s}^{6}$, it com pletely sw am ps the top signal. If one of the two top quarks decays leptonically ( $t$ ! W b ! , , b), then QCD production of a W plus three or four jets form $s$ the prim ary background. T his background prevented discovery of, the top quark at the Tevatron in this channel, until the advent of b tagging A A lhough the N LO corrections to three-jet production are w ithin sight, we are still far from being able to com pute the top quark backrounds at N LO accuracy; on the other hand, it's good to have long range goals.

These lectures are about am plitudes rather than cross-sections. T he goal of the lectures is to introduce you to e cient techniques for com puting tree
and one-loop am plitudes in QCD, which serve as the input to LO and NLO cross-section calculations. (T he sam e techniques can be applied to $m$ any nonQ CD multi-leg processes as well.) Zoltan K unst will then describe in detail how to combine am plitudes into cross-sections ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$

E cient techniques for com puting tree amplitudes have been available for several years, and an excellent review exists. ${ }^{3}$ O ne-loop calculations are considerably m ore involved | they form an \analyticalbottleneck" to obtaining new NLO results | and bene trom additional techniques. In principle it is straightforw ard to com pute both tree and loop am plitudes by draw ing all Feynm an diagram s and evaluating them, using standard reduction techniques for the loop integrals that are encountered. In practice this $m$ ethod becom es extrem ely ine cient and cum bersom e as the num ber of extemal legs grow s, because there are:

1. too m any diagram $\mathrm{s} \mid \mathrm{m}$ any diagram sare related by gauge invariance.
2. too $m$ any term $s$ in each diagram | nonabelian gauge boson selfinteractions are com plicated.
3. too $m$ any $k$ inem atic variables | allow ing the construction of arbitrarily com plicated expressions.

C onsequently, interm ediate expressions tend to be vastly m ore com plicated than the nal results, when the latter are represented in an appropriate way.

In these lectures we w ill stress the advantages of (1) using color and helicity inform ation to decom pose am plitudes into sm aller (and sim pler) gaugeinvariant pieces, and (2) exploiting the analytic properties of these pieces, nam ely their cuts and poles. In this way one can tam e the size of interm ediate expressions as much as possible on the way to the nal answer. There are $m$ any usefultechnical steps and tricks along the way, but I believe the overall organizational philosophy is just as im portant. A num ber of the techniques can be m otivated by how calculations are organized in string theory ${ }^{415}$ I w ill not attem pt to describe string theory here, but I will m ention som e places where it provides a usefulheuristic guide.

The approach advocated here is quite useful for multi-parton scattering am plitudes. For m ore inclusive processes | for exam ple the $e^{+} e$ ! hadrons total cross-section | where the num ber of kinem atic variables is sm aller, and the real and virtual contributions are on a m ore equal footing, the com putational issues are com pletely di erent, and the philosophy of splitting the problem up into $m$ any pieces $m$ ay actually be counterproductive.

The organizational fram ew ork $m$ entioned above uses all the quantum -num bers of the extemal states (colors and helicity) to decom pose am plitudes into sim pler pieces; thus we $m$ ight dub it \Total $Q$ uantum -num ber $M$ anagem ent". TQM suggests that we:

K eep track of all possible inform ation about extemal particles | nam ely, helicity and color inform ation.

K eep track of quantum phases by com puting the transition am plitude rather than the cross-section.

U se the helicity/color inform ation to decom pose the am plitude into sim pler, gauge-invariant pieces, called sub-am plitudes or partial am plitudes.

In $m$ any cases we $m$ ay also introduce still sim pler auxiliary ob jects, called prim itive am plitudes, out of which the partial am plitudes are buitt.

Exploit the \e ective" supersym metry of QCD tree am plitudes, and use supersym $m$ etry at loop-level to help $m$ anage the spins of particles propagating around the loop.

Square am plitudes to get probabilities, and sum over helicities and colors to obtain unpolarized cross-sections, only at the very end of the calculation.
C arrying out the last step explicitly w ould generate a large analytic expression; how ever, at this stage one would typically $m$ ake the transition to num erical evaluation, in order to com bine the virtual and real corrections. The use of TQM is hardly new, particularly in tree-level applications $\mathbf{3}^{3^{\prime}} \mid$ but it becom es especially usefiul at loop level.

### 2.1 C olor m anagem ent

First we describe the colpr decom position of am plitudes ${ }_{r}^{[/[1] 1}$ and review some diagram $m$ atic techniques ${ }^{9}$ fore ciently carrying out the necessary group theory. The gauge group for QCD is SU (3), but there is no harm in generalizing it to $S U\left(\mathbb{N}_{c}\right)$; indeed this $m$ akes som e of the group theory structure $m$ ore apparent. G luons carry an adjoint color index $a=1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \quad 1$, while quarks and antiquarks carry an $N_{c}$ or $\bar{N}_{c}$ index, $i ; \mid=1 ;::: ; N_{c}$. The generators of $S U\left(N_{c}\right)$ in the fiundam ental representation are traceless herm itian $N_{C} \quad N_{c}$ $m$ atrices, $\left(T^{a}\right)_{i}$. W e nopm alize them according to $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a} T^{b}\right)=a b$ in order to avoid a proliferation of $\overline{2}$ 's in partial am plitudes. (Instead the $\mathrm{P} \overline{2}^{\prime}$ s appear in interm ediate steps such as the color-ordered Feynm an rules in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{1}^{1} 1$. .)

The color factor for a generic Feynm an diagram in Q CD contains a factor of $\left(T^{a}\right)_{i}$ for each gluon-quark-quark vertex, a group theory structure constant $f^{a b c} \mid$ de ned by $\left[T^{a} ; T^{b}\right]=i \overline{2} f^{a b c} T^{c} \mid$ for each pure ghon three-vertex,
and contracted pairs of structure constants $f^{\text {abe }} f^{\text {cde }}$ for each pure gluon fourvertex. The ghon and quark propagators contract $m$ any of the indices together $w$ ith abr $i$ factors. We want to rst identify all the di erent types of color factors (or \color structures") that can appear in a given am plitude, and then nd rules for constructing the kinem atic coe cients of each color structure, which are called sub-am plitudes or partial am plitudes.

The general color structure of the am plitudes can be exposed if we rst elim inate the structure constants $f^{\text {abc }}$ in favor of the $T^{a}$ 's, using

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{a b c}=\frac{P_{\overline{2}}^{i}}{\operatorname{Tr}} T^{a} T^{b} T^{c} \quad \operatorname{Tr} T^{a} T^{c} T^{b} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follow s from the de nition of the structure constants. At this stage we have a large num ber oftraces, $m$ any sharing $T^{a}$ sw ith contracted indices, of the form Tr :::T ${ }^{a}::$ Tr :::T ${ }^{a}:::$ :::Tr :::). If extemal quarks are present, then in addition to the traces there will be som e strings of $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{a}}$ 's term inated by fundam ental indioes, of the form $\left(T^{a_{1}}::: T^{a_{m}}\right)_{i_{2}}{ }^{{ }_{11}}$. To reduce the num ber of traces and strings we $\backslash F$ ierz rearrange" the contracted $T^{2} / \mathrm{s}$, using
where the sum over a is im plicit.
Equation ${ }^{2}{ }_{2}^{2}$ is just the statem ent that the $S U\left(\mathbb{N}{ }_{c}\right)$ generators $T{ }^{\text {a }}$ form the com plete set of traceless herm itian $N_{C} \quad N_{C} m$ atrices. The $1=N_{C}$ term im ple$m$ ents the tracelessness condition. (To see this, contract both sides of Eq. w ith $\left.\right|_{1} ^{\mathrm{I}_{1}}$.) It is often convenient to consider also $U\left(\mathbb{N}_{c}\right)=S U\left(\mathbb{N}_{c}\right) \quad U(1)$ gauge theory. The additional $U$ (1) generator is proportional to the identity m atrix,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{a_{U}(1)}\right)_{i} \left\lvert\,=\frac{1}{p_{\overline{N_{c}}}} i^{l}\right. ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

when this is added back the $U\left(\mathbb{N}_{c}\right)$ generators obey Eq. $\bar{L}_{1}^{1}$ w thout the $1=N_{c}$ term. The auxiliary $U(1)$ gauge eld is often called the photon, because it is colorless (五 com m utes w ith $S U\left(\mathbb{N}_{c}\right), f^{a_{U}(1) b c}=0$, for $a l l \mathrm{~b}$; c ) and therefore it does not couple directly to ghons; how ever, quarks carry charge under it. (Its coupling strength has to be readjusted from QCD to QED strength for it to represent a realphoton.)

T he color algebra can easily be carried out diagram $m$ atically ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ' Starting w ith any given Feynm an diagram, one interprets it as just the color factor for the full diagram, and then $m$ akes the tw o substitutions, Eqs. ${ }_{1} 1$ are represented diagram m atically in Fig. "1n'. In Fig.


Figure 1: D iagram $m$ atic equations for sim plifying $S U\left(N_{c}\right)$ color algebra. C urly lines ( $\backslash$ gluon propagators") represent adjoint indices, oriented solid lines (\quark propagators") represent fundam ental indices, and \quark-gluon vertices" represent the generator $m$ atrices $\left(T^{a}\right)_{i}$.
sim plify a sam ple diagram for ve-ghon scattering at tree level. T he nal line is the diagram $m$ atic representation of a single trace, $\operatorname{Tr} T^{a_{1}} T^{a_{2}} T^{a_{3}} T^{a_{4}} T^{a_{5}}$, plus all possible perm utations. N otice that the $1=N_{c}$ term $s$ in Eq. ${ }_{1} \bar{z}$ do not contribute here, because the photon does not couple to gluons.

It is easy to see that any tree diagram for $n-g h o n$ scattering can be reduced to a sum of \single trace" term s . This observation leads to the color decom position of the the $n-g$ luon tree am plitude ${ }^{6} 1$

$$
\left.A_{n}^{\text {tree }}\left(f k_{i} ; i ; a_{i} g\right)=g^{n} 2_{2 S_{n}=Z_{n}}^{X} \operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a(1)} \quad{ }^{a} T^{\prime}\right) A_{n}^{\text {tree }}\left(1^{1}\right) ;::: ;\left(n^{n}\right)\right):
$$

Here $g$ is the gauge coupling $\left(\frac{g^{2}}{4}=s\right), k_{i} ; i$ are the ghon $m$ om enta and helicities, and $A_{n}^{\text {tree }}\left(1^{1} ;::: ; n^{n}\right)$ are the partial am plitudes, which contain all the kinem atic inform ation. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the set of allperm utations ofn ob jects, while $Z_{n}$ is the subset of cyclic perm utations, which preserves the trace; one sum $s$ over the set $S_{n}=Z_{n}$ in order to Sw eep out all distinct cyclic orderings in the trace. The realwork is still to com e, in calculating the independent partial am plitudes $A_{n}^{\text {tree }}$. H ow ever, the partial am plitudes are sim pler than the fiull am plitude because they are colbr-ordered: they only receive contributions from diagram $s$ w ith a particular cyclic ordering of the gluons. Because of this, the singularities of the partial am plitudes, poles and (in the loop case) cuts, can only occur in a lim ited set ofm om entum channels, those $m$ ade out of sum $s$ of cyclically adjacent $m$ om enta. For exam ple, the ve-point partial am plitudes $A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1{ }^{1} ; 2^{2} ; 3{ }^{3} ; 4{ }^{4} ; 5{ }^{5}\right)$ can only have poles in $s_{12}, S_{23}, S_{34}, S_{45}$, and $s_{51}$, and not in $s_{13}, s_{24}, s_{35}, s_{41}$, or $s_{52}$, where $s_{i j}\left(k_{i}+k_{j}\right)^{2}$.


Figure 2: A sam ple diagram for tree-level ve-gluon scattering, reduced to a single trace.

Sim ilarly, tree am plitudes qqgg $\quad g$ with tw o extemal quarks can be reduced to single strings of $\mathrm{T}^{a} \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\text {tree }}=g^{n} 2_{2 S_{n}}^{X} \quad\left(T^{a(3)} \quad{ }^{a} T^{\prime}\right)_{\dot{i}_{2}}^{I_{1}} A_{n}^{\text {tree }}\left(1_{q^{1}} ;_{q} 2^{2} ;\left(3^{3}\right) ;::: ; \quad\left(n^{n}\right)\right) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here num bers w ithout subscripts refer to gluons.
E xercise: W rite dow $n$ the color decom position for the tree am plitude qqQ $Q \mathrm{~g}$.
C olor decom positions at loop level are equally straightforw ard. In Fig.' we sim plify a sam ple diagram for four-ghon scattering at one loop. A gain the
$1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ term s in Eq. ${ }^{\prime}$, structures are generated, leading to the one-loop color decom position ${ }_{\psi}^{17}$
$A_{n}^{1}$ loop ( $f k_{i} ;{ }_{i} ; a_{i} g$ )
$\left.=g^{n} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{(1)}^{a} \mathrm{~T}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} ; 1}\left(\mathrm{(1}^{1}\right) ;::: ;\left(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)$
$2 S_{n}=Z_{n}$
${ }^{b n} \bar{X}^{2 c+1} \quad \mathrm{X}$
$+\quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a}{ }^{(1)} \quad{ }^{a} T^{1)}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a}{ }^{(c)}{ }^{a} \mathrm{~T}^{\prime}\right)$ $\mathrm{c}=2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{c}}$
where $A_{n ; c}$ are the partial am plitudes, $Z_{n}$ and $S_{n ; c}$ are the subsets of $S_{n}$ that leave the corresponding single and double trace structures invariant, and bxc is the greatest integer less than or equal to x .


Figure 3: A diagram for one-loop four-ghon scattering, reduced to single and double traces.

The $A_{n ; 1}$ are the $m$ ore basic ob jects in Eq. ${ }^{1}$ ín and are called prim itive am plitudes, because:
a. Like the tree partial am plitudes $A_{n}^{\text {tree }}$ in Eq. 'A $\bar{A}_{1}$, they are coler-ordered. b. It tums out that the rem aining $A_{n ; c>1}$ can be generatedin as sum $s$ of perm utations of the $A_{n ; 1}$. (For amplitudes with extemal quarks as well as ghons, the prim itive am plitudes are not a subset of the partial am plitudes; new color-ordered ob jects have to be de nedin)

O ne m ight w orry that the color and helicity decom positions will lead to a huge proliferation in the num ber of prim itive/partial am plitudes that have to be com puted. A ctually it is not too bad, thanks to sym $m$ etries such asparity | which allow s one to sim ultaneously reverse allhelicities in an am plitude | and charge conjugation | which allows one to exchange a quark and anti-quark, or equivalently ip the helicity on a quark line. For exam ple, using parity and cyclic ( $\mathrm{Z}_{5}$ ) sym $m$ etry, the ve-ghon am plitude has only four independent tree-level partial am plitudes:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1^{+} ; 2^{+} ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right) ; & A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2^{+} ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right) ; \\
A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2 ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right) ; & A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2^{+} ; 3 ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right): \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

In fact, we'll see that the rst tw o tree partialam plitudes vanish, and there is a group theory relation betw een the last two, so there is only one independent nonvanishing ob ject to calculate. At one-loop there are four independent objects | Eq. ${ }^{7} 1 \mathrm{l}$, w ith $A_{5}^{\text {tree }}$ replaced by $A_{5 ; 1} \mid$ but only the last tw o contribute to the N LO cross-section, due to the tree-level vanishings.

The group theory relation just $m$ entioned derives from the fact that the tree color decom position, Eq. ${ }^{\prime}$
$\operatorname{SU}\left(\mathbb{N}_{c}\right)$, but any am plitude containing the extra $U(1)$ photon m ust vanish. $H$ ence if we substitute the $U$ (1) generator | the identity $m$ atrix | into the right-hand-side of E q. 'IAl', and collect the term $s$ w ith the sam e rem aining color structure, that linear com bination of partial am plitudes $m$ ust vanish. W e get

$$
\begin{gather*}
0=A_{n}^{\text {tree }}(1 ; 2 ; 3 ;::: ; n)+A_{n}^{\text {tree }}(2 ; 1 ; 3 ;::: ; n)+A_{n}^{\text {tree }}(2 ; 3 ; 1 ;::: ; n) \\
+\quad \hbar_{\hbar}^{\text {tree }}(2 ; 3 ;::: ; 1 ; n) ; \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

 Eq. ${ }^{\prime}$, can be derived from string theory, ak.a. dual theory). In the ve-point case, we can use Eq.", to get

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2^{+} ; 3 ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right)= & A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 3 ; 2^{+} ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right) \\
& A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 3 ; 4^{+} ; 2^{+} ; 5^{+}\right) \\
& A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 3 ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+} ; 2^{+}\right): \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The partial am plitude where the two negative helicities are not adjacent has been expressed in term $s$ of the partial am plitude where they are adjacent, as desired.

Since color is con ned and unobservable, the Q CD -im proved parton m odel cross-sections of interest to us are averaged over initial colons and sum m ed over nal colors. These color sum s can be perform ed very easily using the diagram $m$ atic techniques. For exam ple, Fig. 'Al' ilhustrates the evaluation of the color sum s needed for the tree-level four-gluon cross-section. In this case we can use the much sim pler $U\left(N_{c}\right)$ color algebra, om itting the $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ term in Eq. ${ }^{2} 2,1$, because the $U(1)$ contribution vanishes. (T h is shortcut is not valid for general loop am plitudes, or if extemal quarks are present.) U sing also the re ection identity discussed below, Eq. 1

X

$$
\left[\mathbb{A}_{4}^{\text {tree }} A_{4}^{\text {tree }}\right]=2 g^{4} A_{4}^{\text {tree }}(1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4) \quad A_{4}^{\text {tree }}(1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4)\left(\mathbb{N}_{C}^{4}+N_{C}^{2}\right)
$$

colors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +A_{4}^{\text {tree }}(2 ; 1 ; 3 ; 4)+A_{4}^{\text {tree }}(2 ; 3 ; 1 ; 4)\left(N_{C}^{2}+N_{C}^{2}\right) \\
& +2 \mathrm{~m} \text { ore perm utations }
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the decoupling identity, Eq. ${ }^{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{1}, \mathrm{i}$, in the last step.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hee hed }=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\square \\
\square \\
\text { hee } \\
\text { hee } \\
\text { hee } \\
\text { hee } \\
\text { hed } \\
\text { hed } \\
\square \\
\square
\end{array}=N_{c}^{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 4: D iagram m atic evaluation of color sum s for the tree-level four-ghon cross-section.

B ecause w e have stripped all the color factors out of the partialam plitudes, the color-ordered Feynm an rules for constructing these ob jects are purely kine$m$ atic (no $T^{a}$ 's or $f^{a b c}$ 's are left). The rules are given in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\text {IF }}$, for quantization in LorentzFeynm an gauge. (Later we will discuss altemate gauges.) To com pute a tree partial am plitude, or a color-ordered loop partial am plitude such as $A_{n ; 1}$,

1. D raw all colbr-ordered graphs, i.e. all planar graphs where the cyclic ordering of the extemal legs $m$ atches the ordering of the $T^{a_{i}} m$ atrioes in the corresponding color structure,

Starting w ith the standard Feynm an rules in term sof $f^{\text {abc }}$, etc., you can check that this prescription w orks because:
1) of all possible graphs, only the color-ordered graphs can contribute to the desired color structure, and
2) the color-ordered vertioes are obtained by inserting Eq. ${ }^{1} 11$ into the standard Feynm an rules and extracting a single ordering of the $T^{a}$ s; hence they keep only the portion of a color-ordered graph which does contribute to the correct color structure.
$M$ any partial am plitudes are not color-ordered | for example the $A_{n}$; for c> 1 in Eq.' ${ }^{\prime}$ | and so the above rules do not apply. H ow ever, as m entioned above one can usually express such quantities as sum s over perm utations of color-ordered \prim itive am plitudes" | for example the $A_{n ; 1} \mid$ to which the rules do apply.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\underset{\sim}{2}}_{q^{2} \overbrace{p}^{\rho}}^{\operatorname{Lan}^{2} \sim \sim_{\mu}^{2}}=\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\eta_{\nu \rho}(p-q)_{\mu}+\eta_{\rho \mu}(q-k)_{\nu}+\eta_{\mu \nu}(k-p)_{\rho}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum \sim \sim \sim \sim_{\sqrt{2}}^{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} \quad \stackrel{\mu}{\sim} \sim^{\nu}=-i \frac{\eta_{\mu \nu}}{p^{2}} \\
& \sim \sim \mu=-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma_{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 5: Color-ordered Feynm an rules, in LorentzFeynm an gauge, om itting ghosts. Straight lines represent ferm ions, wavy lines gluons. Allm om enta are taken outgoing.

### 2.2 H elicity N ilty G ritty

 sponsible for the existence of extrem ely com pact representations of tree and loop partialam plitudes in Q CD. It introduces a new set of kinem atic ob jects, spinor products, which neatly capture the collinear behavior of these am plitudes. A (sm all) price to pay is that autom ated sim pli cation of large expressions containing these ob jects is not alw ays straightforw ard, because they obey nonlinear identities. In this section wew ill review the spinor helicity form alism and som e of the key identities.

W e begin $w$ ith $m$ assless ferm ions. Positive and negative energy solutions of the $m$ assless D irac equation are identical up to norm alization conventions. O ne way to see this is to note that the positive and negative energy projection operators, $+(k) \quad u(k) u(k)$ and (k) $v(k) \quad v(k)$, are both proportional to $k$ in the $m$ assless lim it. Thus the solutions of de nite helicity, u $(k)=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad 5) u(k)$ and $v(k)=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad 5) v(k)$, can be chosen to be equal to each other. (For negative energy solutions, the helicity is the negative of the chirality or 5 eigenvalue.) A sim ilar relation holds betw een the con jugate spinors $\left.\overline{u(k)}=\overline{u(k) \frac{1}{2}(1} \quad 5\right)$ and $\overline{v(k)}=\overline{\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{k})} \frac{1}{2}(1 \quad 5)$. Since we w ill be interested in am plitudes $w$ ith a large num ber of $m$ om enta, we label them by
$k_{i}, i=1 ; 2 ;::: ; n$, and use the shorthand notation
\#i
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{u}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$;
hi $j h_{i} j \quad u\left(k_{i}\right)=v\left(k_{i}\right):$

W e de ne the basic spinor products by
hiji
hi $\mathrm{j}^{+} \mathrm{i}=\overline{\mathrm{u}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)} \mathrm{u}_{+}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$;
[ij] $\mathrm{hi}^{+} \ddot{j} \mathrm{i}=\overline{u_{+}\left(k_{i}\right)} \mathrm{u} \quad\left(k_{j}\right)$ :

The helicity projection im plies that products like hi+ $\mathrm{j}^{+}$i vanish.
For num ericalevaluation of the spinor products, it is usefulto have explicit form ulae for them, for som e representation ofthe $D$ irac $m$ atrioes. In the $D$ irac representation,

$$
0=\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0  \tag{13}\\
0 & 1
\end{array} \quad ; \quad i=\begin{array}{lll}
i & i \\
i & 0
\end{array} \quad ; \quad 5=\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array} ;
$$

the $m$ assless spinors can be chosen as follow $s$,
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i^{\prime} k} \quad p \frac{k^{1} i^{2}}{\left(k^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(k^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{k^{1}}{P} \frac{\mathrm{ik}^{2}}{k^{+} k} ; \quad k \quad k^{0} \quad k^{3}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

E xercise: Show that these solutions satisfy the $m$ assless D irac equation $w$ ith the proper chirality.

P lugging Eqs. 1 get explicit form ulae for the case when both energies are positive,

$$
\begin{align*}
& h i j i=q \overline{k_{i} k_{j}^{+}} e^{i^{\prime} k_{i}} \quad q \overline{k_{i}^{+} k_{j}} e^{i^{\prime} k_{j}}=q \overline{\dot{\mathcal{S}}_{i j} \dot{j}^{i}{ }^{i j} ;} \\
& {[i j]=\frac{q}{k_{i} k_{j}^{+}} e^{i^{\prime} k_{i}}+\frac{q}{k_{i}^{+} k_{j}} e^{i^{\prime} k_{j}}=\frac{q}{\left.\overline{\mathcal{S}_{i j}} j^{i\left({ }_{i j}+\right.}\right)} \text {; }} \\
& k_{i}^{0}>0 ; \quad k_{j}^{0}>0 ; \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{where} \mathrm{sij}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{2}=2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{k}$, and

The spinor products are, up to a phase, square roots of Lorentz products. W e'll see that the collinear lim its of $m$ assless gauge am plitudes have this kind of square-root singularity, which explains why spinor products lead to very com pact analytic representations of gauge am plitudes, as well as im proved num erical stability.

W ew ould like the spinor products to have sim ple properties under crossing sym $m$ etry, i.e. as energies becom enegative, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~W}$ e de ne the spinorproduct hi ji by analytic continuation from the positive energy case, using the sam eform ula, Eq. 11 G, but w ith $k_{i}$ replaced by $k_{i}$ if $k_{i}^{0}<0$, and sim ilarly for $k_{j}$; and $w$ ith an extra $m$ ultiplicative factor of i for each negative energy particle. W e de ne [ij] through the identily

W e also have the usefiul identities:
G ordon identity and projection operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hi } j \text { \#̈ } i=2 k_{i} ; \quad \text { \#̈ ihi } j=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad 5) \mathbb{k}_{i} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

antisym $m$ etry :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h j i i=h i j i ; \quad[j i]=\quad[i j] ; \quad h i j i=[i i]=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fierz rearrangem ent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hi}^{+} j \text { j̀ }^{+} i h k^{+} j \quad \mathrm{j}^{+} \mathrm{i}=2 \text { [ik]hlji } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

charge conjugation of current:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hi }^{+} j \text { ji }^{+} i=h j \text { j } \ddot{\operatorname{j}} i \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Schouten identity:
hijihk li = hikihjli+ hilihk ji:

In an $n$-point am plitude, $m$ om entum conservation, ${\underset{i}{n}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{i}=1} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$, provides one $m$ ore identity,

$$
\underbrace{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}}_{\substack{i=1 \\ i 6 j ; k}}[j \mathrm{j}] \text { hiki }=0:
$$

The next step is to introduce a spinor representation for the polarization vector for a m assless gauge boson of de nite helicity 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
"(k ; q)=\frac{h q j k i}{P_{\overline{2} h q i}^{k} i} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $k$ is the vector boson $m$ om entum and $q$ is an auxiliary $m$ assless vector, called the reference $m$ om entum, re ecting the freedom of on-shell gauge tranform ations. W ew ill not m otivate Eq. 2 25, but just show that it has the desired properties. Since $k=0, "(k ; q)$ is transverse to $k$, for any $q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { " }(k ; q) \quad k=0: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om plex con jugation reverses the helicity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left("^{+}\right)=": \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The denom inator gives " the standard norm alization (using Eq. ${ }^{2} \overline{2}_{2}^{1} \mathbf{1}_{1}$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{"}^{+} \quad\left({ }^{(\prime)}="^{+} \quad "=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{hq} j \mathrm{j} \mathrm{khq}^{+} j \mathrm{k}^{+} \mathrm{i}}{\text { hqki[qk] }}=1\right. \text {; } \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

States w ith helicity 1 are produced by " . The easiest way to see this is to consider a rotation around the k axis, and notige that the $\mathrm{k}^{+} \mathrm{i}$ in the denom inator of Eq. ${ }^{2} \overline{5}-1$ picks up the opposite phase from the state $\mathrm{k} ~ \mathrm{i}$ in the num erator; i.e. it doubles the phase from that appropriate for a spinor (helicity $+\frac{1}{2}$ ) to that appropriate for a vector (helicity +1 ). F inally, changing the reference $m$ om entum $q$ does am ount to an on-shell gauge transform ation, since " shifts by an am ount proportional to $k$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\overline{2} \text { hqqi }}^{\text {hakihqki }}}{} \mathrm{k} \text { : } \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

E xercise: Show that the com pleteness relation for these polarization vectors is that of an light-like axial gauge,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}  \tag{30}\\
& =\quad(k ; q)("(k ; q))=\quad+\frac{k q+k q}{k q}:
\end{align*}
$$

A separate reference $m$ om entum $q_{i}$ can be chosen for each ghon $m$ om entum $k_{i}$ in an am plitude. Because it is a gauge choioe, one should be carefiulnot to change the $q_{i} w$ ithin the calculation of a gauge-invariant quantity (such as a partial am plitude). On the other hand, di erent choiges can be $m$ ade $w$ hen
calculating di erent gauge-invariant quantities. A judicious choice of the $q_{i}$ can sim plify a calculation substantially, by $m$ aking $m$ any term $s$ and diagram $s$ vanish, due prim arily to the follow ing identities, where ${ }_{i}(q) \quad "\left(k_{i} ; q_{i}=q\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& "_{i}(q) \quad q=0 ; \\
& "_{i}^{+}(q) \quad{ }_{j}^{\#}(q)="_{i}(q) \quad j_{j}^{\prime \prime}(q)=0 \text {; }  \tag{32}\\
& "_{i}^{+}\left(k_{j}\right) \quad j_{j}^{\prime}(q)="_{i}^{+}(q) \quad j_{j}^{\prime}\left(k_{i}\right)=0 \text {; }  \tag{33}\\
& \boldsymbol{B}_{i}^{+}\left(k_{j}\right) \ddot{j}^{+} i=\boldsymbol{B}_{i}\left(k_{j}\right) \ddot{j} i=0 ;  \tag{34}\\
& h j^{+}{ }_{j} B_{i}\left(k_{j}\right)=h j j B_{i}^{+}\left(k_{j}\right)=0: \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, it is usefulto choose the reference m om enta of like-helicity gluons to be identical, and to equal the extemalm om entum of one of the oppositehelicity set of ghons.

W e can now express any am plitude w th m assless extemal ferm ions and vector bosons in term sof spinor products. Since these products are de ned for both positive- and negative-energy four-m om enta, we can use crossing sym $m$ etry to extract a num ber of scattering am plitudes from the sam e expression, by exchanging which m om enta are outgoing and which incom ing. H ow ever, because the helicity of a positive-energy (negative-energy) $m$ assless spinor has the sam e (opposite) sign as its chirality, the helicities assigned to the particles | bosons as well as ferm ions | depend on whether they are incom ing or outgoing. O ur convention is to label particles with their helicity when they are considered outgoing (positive-energy); if they are incom ing the helicity is reversed.

The spinor-product representation of an am plitude can be related to a m ore conventional one in term s of Lorentz-invariant ob jects, the m om entum invariants $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{k}$ and contractions of the Levi-c ivita tensor " w ith externalm om enta. The spinor products carry around a num ber of phases. Som e of the phases are unphysical because they are associated with extemal-state conventions, such as the de nitions of the spinors ij i. Physical quantities such as cross-sections (or am plitudes from which an overall phase has been rem oved), when constructed out of the spinor products, will be independent of such choices. T hus for each extemalm om entum labeli, if the product hiji appears then its phase should be com pensated by som e [ik] (or equivalently $1=$ hiki $\left.=[i k]=s_{i k}\right)$. If a spinor string appears in a physicalquantity, then it $m$ ust term inate, i.e. it has the form

$$
h i_{1} i_{2} i\left[\begin{array}{lll}
i_{2} & i_{3} \tag{36}
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{i}_{3} \mathrm{i}_{4} i \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}\left[\ddot{i}_{1}\right] ;
$$

for som em. M ultiplying Eq. ${ }^{-1} \overline{3} \overline{6}$, by $1=\left[i_{4} i_{1}\right] h_{1} i_{4} i=S_{i_{1} i_{4}}$, etc., we can break up any spinor string into strings of length two and four; the form er are just
 trace:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { hiji }[j 1] h l m \text { i }[\mathrm{m} \text { i] } & =\operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{2}(1 \quad 5) K_{i} K_{j} K_{m} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} S_{i j} S_{l m} \quad S_{i 1} S_{j m}+S_{i m} S_{j 1} \quad 4 i "(i ; j ; l ; m) ;(37)
\end{aligned}
$$

where " $(i ; j ; 1 ; m)=" \quad k_{i} k_{j} k_{1} k_{m}$. Thus the Levi-C ivita contractions are alw ays accom panied by an i and account for the physicalphases. In practioe, the spinor products o er the $m$ ost com pact representation of helicity am plitudes, but it is useful to know the connection to a m ore conventional representation. E xercise: Verify the Schouten identity, Eq. $2 \cdot \underline{2} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}$, by m ultiplying both sides by [jk] [i] and using Eq. ${ }^{3}$ Z_ to sim plify.

## 3 T ree-level tech $n$ iques

N ow we are ready to attack som e tree am plitudes, beginning w ith direct calculation of som e sim ple exam ples, follow ed by a discussion of recursive techniques for generating $m$ ore com plicated am plitudes, and of the role of supersym $m$ etry and factorization properties in tree-levelQCD .

### 3.1 Sim ple exam ples

 Since all the ghons have the sam e helicity, if we choose all the reference $\mathrm{mo} \mathrm{o}^{-}$ $m$ enta to be the sam e null-vector $q$ we can $m$ ake all the $"_{i}^{+} \quad{ }_{j}^{\dagger}$ term $s$ vanish according to Eq. 1321. W e can't choose $q$ to equal one of the extemalm om enta, because that polarization vector would have a singular denom inator. But we could choose for exam ple the null-vector $q=2 s_{23} k_{1}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}s_{12} & s_{23}\end{array}\right)\left(2 k_{2}+k_{3}\right)$. A ctually we won't need the explicit expression for $q$ here, because when we start to evaluate the various diagram $s$, we nd that they always contain at least one " ${ }_{i}{ }^{j}$ ", and therefore every diagram in this helicity am plitude vanishes identically!
$T$ his result generalizes easily to $m$ ore extemal ghons. Each nonabelian vertex can contribute at $m$ ost one $m$ om entum vector $k_{i}$ to the num erator algebra of the graph, and there are at most $n \quad 2$ vertioes. Thus there are at

[^1]$m$ ost $n \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ om entum vectors available to contract w th the n polarization vectors " ${ }_{i}$ (the am plitude is linear in each " ${ }_{i}$ ). This $m$ eans there $m$ ust be at least one " ${ }_{i} \quad j$ "contraction, and so the tree am plitude $m$ ust vanish whenever we can arrange that all the " ${ }_{i} \quad{ }^{\prime}$ vanish. Obviously this can be arranged for the $n$-ghuon amplitudes $w$ th all helicities the sam $e, A_{n}^{\text {tree }}\left(1^{+} ; 2^{+} ; 3^{+} ;::: ; n^{+}\right)$, by again taking all the reference $m$ om enta to be identical. A nd it can be arranged for $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2^{+} ; 3^{+} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}^{+}\right)$by the reference m om entum choice $q_{2}=$ $q_{3}=\quad \overline{\bar{n}} \mathrm{k}_{1}, q_{1}=k_{\mathrm{n}}$. $T$ hus we have already com puted a large num ber of (zero) am plitudes,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2^{+} ; 3^{+} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}^{+}\right)=0: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

E xercise: U se an analogous argum ent to show that the follow ing qqgg :: :g helicity am plitudes also vanish:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\text {tree }}\left(1_{q} ; 2_{q}^{+} ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+} ;::: ; n^{+}\right)=0: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

W erll see later that an le ective" supersym metryll of tree-level QCD is responsible for all these vanishings.
$N$ ext we tum to the (nonzero) helicity am plitude $A_{4}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2 ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+}\right)$, choosing the reference $m$ om enta $q_{1}=q_{2}=k_{4}, q_{3}=q_{4}=k_{1}$, so that only the contraction $"_{2} \quad{ }_{3}^{\$}$ is nonzero. It is easy to see from the color-ordered rules in F ig. '్ㅣㄴ that only one of the three potential graphs contributes, the one w ith a gluon exchange in the $s_{12}$ channel. W e get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{4}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2 ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+}\right) \\
& =P_{\overline{2}}^{i} \frac{i}{S_{12}} \\
& "_{1} \quad 2^{\prime \prime}\left(k_{1} \quad k_{2}\right)+\left("_{2}\right) "_{1}\left(2 k+k_{1}\right)+\left("_{1}\right) "_{2} \quad\left(2 k k_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{2 i}{S_{12}} "_{2} \quad 3_{3}^{\#} \quad "_{1} \quad k \quad "_{4}^{+} \quad k \\
& =\frac{2 i}{S_{12}} \quad \frac{2}{2} \frac{[43] h 12 i}{[42] h 13 i} \quad \frac{[42] h 21 i}{P} \overline{2}[41] \quad+\frac{h 13 i[34]}{P} \overline{2 h 14 i} \\
& =\quad i \frac{h 12 i[34]^{2}}{[12] h 14 i[14]} \text { : } \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

W e can pretty up the answer a bit, using antisymmetry (Eq.2d), mom entum conservation (Eq. $\mathbf{2 S}_{2}^{4}$ ), and $s_{34}=s_{12}$,

$$
A_{4}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2 ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+}\right)=i \frac{h 12 i(h 23 i[34])([34] h 34 i)}{[12] h 23 i h 34 i h 14 i[14]}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =i \frac{h 12 i(\text { h2 1i [1 4]) ([1 2]h1 2i) }}{[12] h 23 i h 34 i h 41 i[14]} \\
& =i \frac{h 12 i^{3}}{h 23 i h 34 i h 41 i} ; \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{4}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2 ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+}\right)=i \frac{h 12 i^{4}}{h 12 i h 23 i h 34 i h 41 i}: \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rem aining four-gluon helicity amplitude can be obtained from the decoupling identity, Eq. ${ }^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{4}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2^{+} ; 3 ; 4^{+}\right) & =A_{\hat{h}}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 3 ; 2^{+} ; 4^{+}\right) A_{4}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 3 ; 4^{+} ; 2^{+}\right) \\
& =i \frac{h 13 i^{3}}{h 32 i h 24 i h 41 i}+\frac{h 13 i^{3}}{h 34 i h 42 i h 21 i} \\
& =i \frac{h 13 i^{3}(h 12 i h 34 i+h 14 i h 23 i)}{h 12 i h 23 i h 34 i h 41 i h 24 i} ; \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

or using the Schouten identity, Eq. $\mathbf{L}_{2}^{23}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{4}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2^{+} ; 3 ; 4^{+}\right)=i \frac{h 13 i^{4}}{h 12 i h 23 i h 34 i h 41 i}: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

T here are no other four-gluon am plitudes to com pute, because parity allow s one to revense all helicities sim ultaneously, by exchanging hi \$ [] and multiplying by 1 if there are an odd num ber of gluons.
$N$ ote also that the antisym $m$ etry of the color-ordered rules im plies that the partialam plitudes (even w ith extemalquarks) obey a re ection identity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\text {tree }}(1 ; 2 ;::: ; n)=(1)^{n} A_{n}^{\text {tree }}(n ;::: ; 2 ; 1): \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the unpolarized, color-sum $m$ ed cross-section for four-ghon scattering, we insert the nonvanishing helicity am plitudes, Eqs. ${ }_{2}^{2} 2$ Eq.id, and sum over the negative helicity ghons i;j:
X
colors
helicities

$$
\left[\mathbb{A}_{4}^{\text {tree }} A_{4}^{\text {tree }}\right]=g^{4} N_{C}^{2}\left(\mathbb{N}_{C}^{2}\right.
$$

helicities
$X^{4} \quad X$
$i>j=1 \quad 2 S_{3}$


Of course polarized cross-sections can be constructed just as easily from the helicity am plifudes.


Figure 6: T he tw o nonvanishing graphs in the qqggg helicity am plitude calculation.

Next we calculate a sample ve-parton tree am plitude, for tw o quarks and three gluons, $A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1_{q} ; 2_{q}^{+} ; 3 ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right)$, where the $m$ om enta w thout subscripts label the ghons. $W$ e choose the $g h o n$ reference $m$ om enta as $q_{3}=k_{2}, q_{4}=$ $\mathrm{q}_{5}=\mathrm{k}_{1}$, so we can use the vanishing relations, E qs. ${ }^{13} \bar{U}_{1}^{\prime}$ and ${ }^{13} \bar{S}_{1}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}^{+} j \mathrm{~B}_{3}=\mathrm{B}_{4}^{+} \mathfrak{1}^{+} i={B_{5}^{+}}_{\mathfrak{l}^{+}} i=0 \text { : } \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his kills the graphs where gluons 3 and 5 attach directly to the ferm ion line, and the graph w ith a four-gluon vertex, leaving only the tw o graphs show $n$ in Fig. 'G.

G raph 1 evaluates to

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{i}=\frac{h 2^{+} j\left(K_{3} \quad K_{4} \quad K_{5}\right) 1^{+} i}{S_{12} S_{45}}\left("_{3} \quad 5_{5}^{n} n_{4}^{+} \quad k \quad \#_{3} \quad 4_{4}^{n} n_{5}^{+} \quad k\right) \\
= & i \frac{[23] h 31 i}{S_{12} S_{45}} \frac{[25] h 13 i h 15 i[54]}{[23] h 15 i} \frac{h 14 i}{h}+\frac{[24] h 13 i h 14 i[45]}{[23] h 14 i} \frac{h 15 i}{i} \\
= & +i \frac{[23] h 13 i^{2}[45]}{S_{12} S_{45}[23] h 14 i h 15 i} h 15 i[52] \text { h1 4i[42]} \\
= & i \frac{[23] h 13 i^{3}[45]}{S_{12} S_{45} h 14 i h 15 i}: \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

G raph 2 requires a few $m$ ore uses of the spinor product identities (exercise):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { i } \\
& h 2^{+} j\left(\begin{array}{llll}
k_{3} & \left.k_{4}\right) \mathcal{1}^{+} i^{\prime \prime}{ }_{3} & { }_{4}^{\#} n_{5}^{+} & \left(k+k_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\quad=\frac{[2.5] h 13 i^{3}[34]}{s_{12} s_{34} h 14 i h 15 i}: \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

The sum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1_{q} ; 2_{q}^{+} ; 3 ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right)=i \frac{h 13 i^{3}([23] h 34 i \quad[25] h 54 i)}{S_{12} \text { h1 4ih1 5ih3 4ih4 5i }} ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1_{q} ; 2_{q}^{+} ; 3 ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right)=i \frac{h 13 i^{3} h 23 i}{h 12 i h 23 i h 34 i h 45 i h 51 i}: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

O nce again the expression collapses to a single term $\underset{\text { bl }}{\text { b }}$ Spurious singularities associated with the reference mom entum choice | such as $1=h 14 i$ in the above exam ple | are present in individualgraphs but cancelout in the gaugeinvariant sum.

### 3.2 Recursive Techniques

By now you can see that color-ordering, plus the spinor helicity form alism, can vastly reduce the num ber of diagram $s$, and term sper diagram, that have to be evaluated. H ow ever, $w$ th $m$ ore extemal legs the results still get $m$ ore com plex and di cult to carry out by hand. Fortunately, a technique is available for generating tree am plitudes recursively in the number of legsit. Even if one cannot sim plify analytically the expressions obtained in this way, the recursive approach lends itself to e cient num ericalevaluation.

In order to get a tree-level recursion relation, we need to construct an auxiliary quantity $w$ ith one leg o-shell. For the construction of pure-glue am plitudes, we de ne the $\circ$-shell current $J(1 ; 2 ;::: ; n)$ to be the sum of color-ordered ( $n+1$ )-point Feynm an graphs, where legs 1;2;:::;n are on-shell gluons, and leg \" is o -shell, as shown in Fig. $\bar{\eta}_{1}^{1}$. T he uncontracted vector index on theo-shell leg is also denoted by ; theo -shellpropagator is de ned to be included in $J$. Since $J$ is an 0 -shell quantity, it is gauge-dependent. For exam ple, $J$ depends on the reference $m$ om enta for the on-shell ghons, which $m$ ust therefore be kept xed until after one has extracted an on-shell result. O ne can also construct am plitudes w ith extemalquarks recursively, by introducing an 0 -shell quark current ${ }^{5!5}$ as well as the ghon current $J$, but we will not do so here.

[^2]

Figure 7: The o -shell gluon current $J(1 ; 2 ;::: ; n)$. Leg $\backslash$ " is the only o -shell leg.

It is easy to $w$ rite dow $n$ a recursion relation for $J$, by follow ing the $0-$ shell line back into the diagram. O ne rst encounters either a three-ghon vertex or a four-gluon vertex. Each of the o -shell lines branching out from this vertex attaches to a sm aller num ber of on-shell gluons, thus we have the


$$
\begin{align*}
J(1 ;::: ; n)= & \frac{i^{P_{1 ; n}^{2}}}{X^{1}} V_{3} \quad\left(P_{1 ; i} ; P_{i+1 ; n}\right) J(1 ;::: ; i) J(i+1 ;::: ; n) \\
& +{ }_{j=i+1 i=1}^{X^{1} X^{2}} V_{4} \quad J(1 ;::: ; i) J(i+1 ;::: ; j) J(j+1 ;::: ; n) ;
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the $V_{i}$ are just the color-ordered ghon self-interactions,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{V}_{3}(P ; Q) & =\frac{i}{2}(\quad(P \quad Q)+2 \quad Q \quad 2 \quad P) ; \\
V_{4} & =\frac{i}{2}(2 \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i ; j} \quad k_{i}+k_{i+1}+\quad j: k \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $J$ satisfy the photon decoupling relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(1 ; 2 ; 3 ;::: ; n)+J(2 ; 1 ; 3 ;::: ; n)+\quad+(1 ; 3 ;::: ; n ; 1)=0 ; \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

the re ection identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { J }(1 ; 2 ; 3 ;::: ; n)=(1)^{n+1} J \quad(n ;::: ; 3 ; 2 ; 1) ; \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 8: The recursion relation for the 0 -shell gluon current $J(1 ; 2 ;::: ; n)$.
and current conservation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{1 ; \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~J}(1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{n})=0: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

In som e cases, the recursion relations can be solved in closed form sim plest case is (as expected) when all on-shell gluons have the sam e helicity, for which we choose the com $m$ on reference $m$ om entum $q$, and then

Let's verify that th is expression solves Eq. ${ }^{\prime} 5$ not contribute at all, nor the rst term in $V_{3}$, because after $F$ ierzing we get a factor of hqqi $=0$. Thus the righthand side ofEq. 5 2in becom es (using hqqi $=0$ to com $m$ ute and rearrange term $s$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hq } j \bar{B}_{i+1 ; n} \dot{q}^{+} \text {ihq } j \bar{B}_{i+1 ; n} \mathcal{B}_{1 ; i} \dot{\mathcal{M}}^{+} i \\
& \text { hq } j \bar{B}_{1 ; i} \dot{q}^{+} \text {ihg } j \bar{B}_{1 ; i} B_{i+1 ; n} \dot{\mathcal{M}}^{+} i
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{i=1}^{1} \frac{h i ; i+1 i}{\text { hiqihq; i+ 1i } h q \quad j B_{i+1 ; n} \quad B_{1 ; n} \dot{\mathcal{M}}^{+} i: ~} \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing the identity
we get the desired result, Eq. $\overline{5} \mathbf{L}$ g.
E xercise: P rove the identity, Eq. 6 " q , by rst proving the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i=j}^{1} \frac{h i ; i+1 i}{\text { hiqihq; i+ 1i}}=\frac{h j k i}{\text { hjqihqki }} \text { : } \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The \eikonal" identity, Eq. ${ }^{6} 611$ In also plays a role in understanding the structure of the soft singularities of E E am plitudes, when these are obtained from QCD partial am plitudes by the replacem ent $\mathrm{T}^{a}$ ! 1 (see Sections 3.4 and 3.5).

The current where the rst on-shell gluon has negative helicity can be obtained sim ilarly,
$w$ here the reference $m$ om entum choige is $q_{1}=k_{2}, q_{2}=\quad \overline{\bar{n}}=q k_{1}$. Exercise: Show this.

Amplitudes $w$ ith $(n+1)$ legs are obtained from the currents $J(1 ; 2 ;::: ; n)$ by am putating the o-shellpropagator ( $m$ ultiplying by iP ${ }_{1 ; n}^{2}$ ), contracting the
index $w$ ith the appropriate on-shell polarization vector ${ }_{n+1}$, and taking $P_{1 ; \mathrm{n}}^{2}=k_{n+1}^{2}!0$. In the case of $J\left(1^{+} ; 2^{+} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}^{+}\right)$, there is no $\mathrm{P}_{1 ; \mathrm{n}}^{2}$ pole in the current, so the am plitude $m$ ust vanish for both helicities of ghon ( $n+$ 1), in accord w th Eq. ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{1} 8_{1}^{\prime}$. In the case of $J\left(1 ; 2^{+} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}^{+}\right)$, the pole term
 $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$ for ${ }_{\mathrm{n}+1}$, we obtain (replacing $\mathrm{B}_{1 ; \mathrm{n}}$ ! $\mathbb{K}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$, etc.),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n+1}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2^{+} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}^{+} ;(\mathrm{n}+1)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\quad i \frac{h 1 ; n+1 i}{h 12 i} \frac{h n+1 ; 1 i h 1 n i[n ; n+1] h n+1 ; 1 i}{\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1}} \text {; } \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2 ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+} ;::: ; n^{+}\right)=i \frac{h 12 i^{4}}{h 12 i} \quad \mathrm{hn} i \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pplying the decoupling identity, Eq. ${ }^{1} \overline{1}, 1$, and the spinor identity, Eq. ${ }^{1} \overline{6} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$, it is easy_to obtain the rem aining $m$ axim ally helicity violating (MHV) or ParkeTaylortill helicity am plitudes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j k}^{\text {tree m HV }} \quad A_{n}^{\text {tree }}\left(1^{+} ;::: ; j ;::: ; k ;::: ; n^{+}\right)=i \frac{h j k i^{4}}{h 12 i} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{i} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

These rem arkably sim ple am plitudes were rst con jectured by P arke and Tayb $\mathbb{1}^{47}$ - on the basis of their collinear lim its (see below) and photon decqupling relations, and were rigorously proven correct by Berends and G iele ${ }^{-51}$ using the above recursive approach. The other nonvanishing helicity con gurations (beginning at $n=6$ ) are typically $m$ ore com plicated. The $M, H V$ am plitudes can be used as the basis of approxim ation schem es, how even. ${ }^{18}$

### 3.3 Supersym m etry

W hat does supersym $m$ etry have to do $w$ ith a non-supersym $m$ etric theory such, as QCD ? The answer is that tree-levelQ CD is le ectively" supersym metrict ${ }^{1 / 4 .}$ and the \non-supersymm etry" only leaks in at the loop level. To see the supersym $m$ etry of an $n$-ghon tree am plitude is sim ple: It has no loops in it, so it has no ferm ion loops in it. Therefore the ferm ions in the theory $m$ ight as wellbe ghuinos, i.e. at tree-level the theory $m$ ight as well be super $Y$ ang $-M$ ills theory. T ree am plitudes $w$ ith quarks are also supersym $m$ etric, but at the level of partial am plitudes: after the color inform ation has been stripped o, there is nothing to distinguish a quark from a gluino. Supersym $m$ etry leads. to extra relations betw een am plitudes, supersym $m$ etric $W$ ard identities (SW I) ${ }_{4}^{n-9}$ w wich can be quite useful in saving com putational labopi ${ }^{14}$

To derive supersym $m$ etric $W$ ard identitiest supercharge $Q$ annihilates the vacuum (we are considering exactly supersym $m$ etric theories, not spontaneously or softly broken ones!),

W hen the elds i create helicity eigenstates, $m$ any of the $Q$; i] term $s$ can be arranged to vanish. To proceed, we need the precise com $m$ utation relations of the supercharge w th the elds $g$ (k), (k), which create gluon and gluino states ofm om entum $k\left(k^{2}=0\right)$ and helicity $\quad$ W em ultiply Q by a $G$ rassm ann spinor param eter, de ning $Q() \quad Q$, so that $Q()$ com $m$ utes $w$ th the Ferm i elds as well as the B ose elds. The com $m$ utators have the form

$$
Q() ; g(k)=(k ;) \quad(k) ;
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(1) ; \quad(k) \quad=\quad(k ;) g(k) ; \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $k$; ) is linear in , and has its form constrained by the Jacobiidentity for the supersym $m$ etry algebra,

```
O = [Q ( );Q ( )]; (k)]+ [Q ( ); (k)];Q ( )]+ [[ (k);Q( )];Q ( )] ; (68)
```

where (k) is eitherg (k) or (k). Since $Q() ; Q()]=2 i \quad$ B ,we need

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{+}(k ;)(k ;)+(k ;)^{+}(k ;)=2 i k: \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

A solution to Eq. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ '9], whidh also has the correct behavior under rotations around the k axis, is (cf. Eq.'I $\mathbf{I g}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
+(k ;)=u(k) ; \quad(k ;)=u_{+}(k)=u(k): \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

F inally, we choose to be a G rassm ann param eter , multiplied by the spinor for an anbitrary $m$ assless vector $q$, and choose $q$ so as to sim plify the identities ( $m$ uch like the choice of reference $m$ om entum in " (q)). Then (k; ) becom e
${ }^{+}(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{q})=\mathrm{hq} \mathrm{q}^{+} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{i}=[\mathrm{qk}] ; \quad(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{q})=\mathrm{hq} \mathrm{k}^{+} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{hqki}:(71)$
T he sim plest case is the like-helicity one. W e start with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.0=0 j Q((q)) ;{ }_{1}^{+} g_{2}^{+} g_{3}^{+} \quad{ }_{n}^{+}\right]{ }^{+}{ }^{\circ} \\
& =\quad\left(k_{1} ; q\right) A_{n}\left(g_{1}^{+} ; g_{2}^{+} ;::: ; g_{n}^{+}\right)+{ }^{+}\left(k_{2} ; q\right) A_{n}\left({ }_{1}^{+} ;{ }_{2}^{+} ; g_{3}^{+} ;::: ; g_{n}^{+}\right) \\
& +\quad \ddagger\left(k_{n} ; q\right) A_{n}\left({ }_{1}^{+} ; \mathrm{g}_{2}^{+} ;::: ; \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}^{+}{ }_{1} ;{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{+}\right) \text {: } \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $m$ assless gluinos, like quarks, have only helicity-conserving interactions in (super) QCD, all of the am plitudes but the rst in Eq. $\bar{i} \bar{z}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$ ust vanish. Therefore so m ust the like-helicity am plitude $A_{n}\left(g_{1}^{+} ; g_{2}^{+} ;::: ; g_{n}^{+}\right)$. Sim ilarly, w ith one negative helicity we get

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
0 & \left.=0 j Q((q)) ;{ }_{1}^{+} g_{2} g_{3}^{+} \quad \stackrel{+}{n}\right] \dot{q} \dot{0} \\
& = & \left(k_{1} ; q\right) A_{n}\left(g_{1}^{+} ; g_{2} ; g_{3}^{+} ;::: ; g_{n}^{+}\right) & \left(k_{2} ; q\right) A_{n}\left({ }_{1}^{+} ;{ }_{2} ; g_{3}^{+} ;::: ; g_{n}^{+}\right) ; \tag{73}
\end{array}
$$

where we have om itted the vanishing ferm ion-helicity-violating am plitudes. Now we use the freedom to choose $q$, setting $q=k_{1}$ to show the second am plitude vanishes and setting $q=k_{2}$ to show the rst vanishes. Thus we have recovered E qs. ${ }^{3}$

W ith tw o negative helicities, we begin to relate nonzero am plitudes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\quad\left(k_{1} ; q\right) A_{n}\left({ }_{1} ; g_{2} ;{ }_{3}^{+} ;::: ; \mathrm{g}_{n}^{+}\right)+\left(k_{2} ; q\right) A_{n}\left(g_{1} ; 2 ;{ }_{3}^{+} ;::: ; \mathrm{g}_{n}^{+}\right) \\
& \left(k_{3} ; q\right) A_{n}\left(g_{1} ; g_{2} ; g_{3}^{+} ;::: ; g_{n}^{+}\right): \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

Choosing $q=k_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{n}}\left(g_{1} ; g_{2} ; g_{3}^{+} ; g_{4}^{+} ;::: ; g_{n}^{+}\right)=\frac{h 12 i}{h 13 i} A_{n}\left(g_{1} ;{ }_{2} ;{ }_{3}^{+} ; g_{4}^{+} ;::: ; g_{n}^{+}\right): \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

No perturbative approxim ations were $m$ ade in deriving any of the above SW I; thus they hold order-by-order in the loop expansion. T hey apply directly to QCD tree am plitudes, because of their le ective" supersymmetry. But they can also be used to save som e work at the loop level (see below ). Since supersym $m$ etry com $m$ utes $w$ ith color, the SW I apply to each color-ordered partial am plitude separately. Sum $m$ arizing the above $\backslash M H V "$ results (and sim ilar ones including a pair of extemal scalar elds), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\operatorname{SUSY}}\left(1 ; 2^{+} ; 3^{+} ;::: ; n^{+}\right)=0 ; \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A_{n}^{\operatorname{SUSY}}\left(1 ; 2_{\mathrm{P}} ; 3_{\mathrm{P}}^{+} ; 4^{+} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}^{+}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{hl} 2 i^{2 h_{\mathrm{P}} j}}{\mathrm{~h} 13 \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{SUSY}}\left(1 ; 2 ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}^{+}\right)$:

Here no subscript refers to a gluon, while refers to a scalar particle (for which the \helicity" means particle vs. antiparticle), and $P$ refers to a scalar, ferm ion or gluon, w th respective helicity $h_{p}=0 ; \frac{1}{2} ; 1$.

W e can use Eq. $\mathrm{T}^{-} \underset{1}{1}$, at the four-point level to obtain the qqgg am plitudes from the four-ghon ones, Eqs. 421 and 4

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{4}^{\text {tree }}\left(1_{q} ; 2_{\mathrm{q}}^{+} ; 3 ; 4^{+}\right)=i \frac{h 13 i^{3} \mathrm{~h} 23 i}{\mathrm{~h} 12 \mathrm{ih2} 3 \mathrm{ih} 34 i h 41 i} ; \\
& A_{4}^{\text {tree }}\left(1_{\mathrm{q}} ; 2_{\mathrm{q}}^{+} ; 3^{+} ; 4\right)=i \frac{\mathrm{~h} 14 i^{3} \mathrm{~h} 24 i}{\mathrm{~h} 12 i h 23 i h 34 i h 41 i}: \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

E xercise: C heck the $S W$ I at the ve-point level, com paring the qqggg am pli-


### 3.4 Factorization P roperties

A nalytic properties of am plitudes are very usefiul as consistency checks of the correctness of a calculation, but they can also som etim es be used to help construct am plitudes. At tree-level, the principal analytic property is the pole
behavior as kinem atic invariants vanish, due to an alm ost on-shell interm ediate particle. A s m entioned above, color-ordered am plitudes can only have poles in channels corresponding to the sum of a sum of cyclically adjacentm o$m$ enta, i.e. as $P_{i ; j}^{2}!\quad 0$, where $P_{i ; j} \quad\left(k_{i}+k_{i+1}+\quad\right.$ f) $k$. This is because singularities arise from propagators going on-shell, and propagators for colorordered graphs alw ays carry $m$ om enta of the form $P_{i ; j}$. W e refer to channels form ed by three orm ore adjacent $m$ om enta asm ulti-particle channels, and the tw o-particle channels as collinear channels.

In a m ulti-particle channel, a true pole can develop as $\mathrm{P}_{1 ; \mathrm{m}}^{2}!0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\text {tree }}(1 ;::: ; n) \quad X \quad A_{m+1}^{\text {tree }}(1 ;::: ; m ; P) \frac{i}{P_{1 ; m}^{2}} A_{n m+1}^{\text {tree }}(m+1 ;::: ; n ; P \quad) ; \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $P_{1, m}$ is the interm ediate $m$ om entum and denotes the helicity of the interm ediate state $P$. O ur outgoing-particle helicity convention $m$ eans that the interm ediate helicity is reversed in going from one product am plitude to the other.

M ost multi-parton am plitudes have multi-particle poles, but the M HV tree am plitudes do not, due to the vanishing of $A_{n}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2^{+} ;::: ; n^{+}\right)$. W hen we attem pt to factorize an M HV am plitude on a multi-particle pole, as in Fig. 'g (a), we have only three negative helicities (one from the interm ediate ghon) to distribute am ong the tw o product am plitudes. T herefore one of the tw o m ust vanish, so the pole cannot be present. T hus the vanishing SW I also guarantees the simple structure of the nonvanishing M HV tree amplitudes: only collinear (tw o-particle) singularities of adjacent particles are perm itted.

An angular $m$ om entum obstruction suppresses collinear singularities in QCD am plitudes. For exam ple, a helicity +1 ghon cannot split into two precisely collinear helicity 1 ghoons and still conserve angularm om entum along the direction ofm otion. N or can it split into $a+\frac{1}{2}$ ferm ion and $\frac{1}{2}$ antiferm ion. The $1=s_{i ; i+1}$ from the propagator is cancelled by num erator factors, dow $n$ to the square-root of pole, $\frac{1}{s_{i ; i+1}} \frac{1}{\text { hi; i+1i}} \frac{1}{[i ; i+1]}$.T hus the spinorproducts, square roots of Lorentz invariants, are ideal for capturing the collinear behavior in QCD. The general form of the collinear singularities for tree am plitudes is shown in Fig. ${ }^{19}$ (b),

$$
A_{n}^{\text {tree }}\left(::: ; a^{a} ; b^{b} ;:::\right)^{\text {akb }} \mathrm{X} \quad S^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{Sil}^{\text {tree }}\left(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\text {tree }}(::: ; \mathrm{P} ;:::) ;(80
$$

where Split ${ }^{\text {tree }}$ denotes a splitting am plinude, the interm ediate state $P$ has m om entum $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and helicity , and z describes the longitudinalm o$m$ entum sharing, $k_{a} \quad \mathrm{zk}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad(\mathrm{l} \quad \mathrm{z}) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}$. Universality of the m ulti-particle


Figure 9: (a) Factorization of an M HV tree am plitude on a multi-particle pole | one of the tw o product am plitudes alw ays vanishes. (b) G eneral behavior of a tree-level am plitude in the collinear lim it where $k_{a}$ is parallel to $k_{b} ; S$ stands for the splitting am plitude Split ${ }^{\text {tree }}$.
and collinear factorization lim is can be derived in eld theory ${ }^{201}$ or perhaps $m$ ore elegantly in string theory ${ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ which hum ps all the eld theory diagram $s$ on each side of the pole into one string diagram .

An easy way to extract the splitting am plitudes Split ${ }^{\text {tree }}$ in Eq. ${ }^{8} \mathbf{8} \overline{0}_{1}^{\prime}$ is from the collinear lim its of ve-point amplitudes. For example, the lim it of $A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2 ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right)$as $k_{4}$ and $k_{5}$ becom e parallel determ ines the ghoon splitting am plitude Splitt ${ }^{\text {tree }}\left(\mathrm{a}^{+} ; \mathrm{b}^{+}\right)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2 ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right)=i \frac{h 12 i^{4}}{h 12 i h 23 i h 34 i h 45 i h 51 i} \\
& \int_{!}^{4 k 5} \quad \frac{1}{z(1 \quad z) h 45 i} \quad i \frac{h 12 i^{4}}{h 12 i h 23 i h 3 P i h P ~ 1 i}
\end{aligned}
$$

U sing also the 2 k 3 and $5 \mathrm{k}, \mathcal{I}$ lim, its, plus parity, we can infer the full set of g! gg splitting am plitudes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Split }{ }^{\text {tree }}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=0 ; \\
& \text { Split }^{\text {tree }}\left(\mathrm{a}^{+} ; \mathrm{b}^{+}\right)=\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{\mathrm{z(1} \mathrm{\quad z)} \text { ha bi }} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Split}_{+}^{\text {tree }}\left(a^{+} ; b\right)=P \frac{(1 \quad z)^{2}}{z(1 \quad z) \text { ha bi }} ; \\
& \text { Split }{ }^{\text {tree }}\left(a^{+} ; b\right)=P \frac{z^{2}}{z\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z)
\end{array} a b\right]} \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

The $g$ ! qq and q! qg splitting am plitudes are also easy to obtain, from the lim its of Eq. ${ }^{5} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ', etc.

Since the collinear lim its of QCD am plitudes are responsible for parton evolution, it is not surprising that the residue of the collinear pole in the square of a splitting am plinude gives the (color-stripped) polarized A ltarelli$P$ arisisplitting probability ${ }^{22}$
E xercise: Show that the unpolarized g! gg splitting probability, from sum m ing over the term s in $\mathrm{Eq} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{8} \mathbf{2}_{1}$, has the fam iliar form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{g g}(z) / \frac{1+z^{4}+(1 \quad z)^{4}}{z(1 \quad z)} ; \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

neglecting the plus prescription and (1 $\quad$ z) term .
QCD am plitudes also have universalbehavior in the soft lim it, where all com ponents of a ghon $m$ om entum vector $k_{s}$ go to zero. At tree levelone nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\text {tree }}(::: ; a ; s ; b ;:::)^{k_{s}!}!^{0} \text { Soff }^{\text {tree }}(a ; s ; b) A_{n}^{\text {tree }}(::: ; a ; b ;:::): \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he soft or \eikonal" factor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Soft }{ }^{\text {tree }}(a ; s ; b)=\frac{\text { ha bi }}{\text { ha sihs bi }} \text {; } \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

depends on both color-ordered neighbors of the soft gluon $s$, because the sets of graphs where $s$ is radiated from legs $a$ and $b$ are both singular in the soft lim it. On the other hand, the soft behavior is independent ofboth the identity (gluon vs. quark) and the helicity of partons a and b , re ecting the classical origin of soft radiation. (See George, Sterm an's lectures in this volum e for a deeper and $m$ ore generaldiscussion ${ }^{231}$ )
E xercise: Verify the soft behavior, Eq. ${ }^{2} 8 \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}$ ', for any of the above $m$ ultiparton tree am plitudes.

As Zoltan K unszt will explain in more detail ${ }^{7}{ }^{2}$ the univensal soft and collinear behavior of tree am plitudes, and therefore of tree-level cross-sections, $m$ akes possible general procedures for isolating the infrared divergences in the real, brem sstrahlung contribution to an arbitrary N LO cross-section, and cancelling these divergences against corresponding ones in one-loop am plitudes.

But the factorization lim its also strongly constrain the form of tree and loop am plitudes. It is quite possible that they uniquely determ ine a rational function of the $n$-point variables for $n$ 6, given the low er-point am plitudes, but this has not yet been proven.
E xercise: Show that

$$
\frac{"(1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4)}{\text { h1 2ih2 3ih3 4ih4 5ih5 1i }}
$$

provides a counterexam ple to the uniqueness assertion at the ve-point level, because it is nonzero, yet has nonsingular collinear lim its in all channels.

### 3.5 Beyond QCD (brie y)

This school is titled \QCD and Beyond", so let meindicate brie y how the techniques discussed here can be applied beyond pure Q CD. C onsider am plitudes containing a single extemal electrow eak vector boson, $W$, $Z$ or . In term $\operatorname{sof} U\left(\mathbb{N}_{C}\right)=S U\left(\mathbb{N}_{C}\right) \quad U(1)$ group theory, the electrow eak boson generator corresponds to the $U(1)$ generator, proportional to the identily $m$ atrix. $T$ hus the color decom position is identical to that obtained by ignoring the w eak boson. For exam ple, the tree am plitudes qqg $\quad g$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{n}^{\text {tree } ;}\left(1_{q} ; 2_{q} ;(3) ;::: ;\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1) ; n) ;
\end{array}\right.\right. \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{q}$ is the quark charge. Furtherm ore, the partialam plitudes $A_{n}^{\text {tree; } 1}$ can be obtained for free from the partialam plitudes $A_{n}^{\text {tree }}$ for qqg $\quad$. O ne sim ply inserts $T^{a_{n}}=1$ in the color decom position for $A_{n}^{\text {tree }}, \mathrm{Eq} . \underline{I V}_{1}^{1}$, and $m$ atches the color structures w ith Eq. ${ }^{18} \overline{7}_{1} \overline{1}_{1}$. T he result is ${ }^{3}$ 3

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\text {tree } ; 1}\left(1_{\mathrm{q}} ; 2_{\mathrm{q}} ; 3 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{n}\right)= & \left.\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\text {tree }}\left(1_{\mathrm{q}} ; 2_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{n} ; 3 ; 4 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1\right)\right) \\
& \left.+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\text {tree }}\left(1_{\mathrm{q}} ; 2_{\mathrm{q}} ; 3 ; \mathrm{n} ; 4 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1\right)\right) \\
+ & \left.\quad{ }^{\text {tree }}\left(1_{\mathrm{q}} ; 2_{\mathrm{q}} ; 3 ; 4 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{n}\right)\right): \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

Compare this \photon coupling equation" w ith the photon decoupling equation for pure gluon am plitudes, Eq. ${ }^{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{l}$. W hen $m$ ore quark lines are present, one has to pay attention to the $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ term smentioned in Section 2.1, since these distinguish $S U\left(\mathbb{N}{ }_{c}\right)$ from $U(1)$; howewert, sim ilar form ulas can be derived, including also multiple photon em ission

The em ission of a $m$ assive vector particle | a W, Z or virtual photon | would seem to require an extension of the helicity form alism of Section 2.2 .

H ow ever, in $m$ ost cases one is actually interested in processes w here the vector boson \decays" to a pair ofm assless ferm ions. (O ne orm ore of these ferm ions $m$ ay be in the initial state.) $T$ hen the form alism for $m$ assless ferm ions and vectors can stillbe applied, albeit w ith the introduction of one additional (but physical) four-vector. Thus electrow eak processes such as $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ annihilation to four ferm ions $m$ ay be calculated very e ciently using the helicity form alism.
$M$ assive ferm ions do require a serious extension of the form a, $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ism}}$. It is possible to rep resent a m assive spinor in term softw om assless ones ${ }^{2}$; altematively, one can represent $m$ assive spinor outer products in term $s$ of $\backslash$ spin vectors" $L_{2}^{27}$ ? In either case the price is at least one additional four-vector, this tim e an unphysical one. $N$ ot only is the form alism $m$ ore cum bersom $e$ than for $m$ assless ferm ions, but so are the results. Amplitudes with a helicity ip on the quark line no longer vanish; nor do those that were protected by a supersym $m$ etry $W$ ard identity in the $m$ assless case, such as $A_{4}^{\text {tree }}\left(1_{q} ; 2_{q} ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+}\right)$.

## 4 Loop-level tech $n$ iques

In order to increase the precision of QCD predictions, we need to go to next-to-leading-order, and in particular, to have e cient techniques for com puting the one-loop am plitudes which now enter. H ere the algebra gets considerably $m$ ore com plicated, even $w$ ith the use of color-ordering and the helicity for$m$ alism, because there are $m$ ore $o$-shell lines, and $m$ ore nonabelian vertices. Furtherm ore, one has to evaluate loop integrals w ith loop m om enta inserted in the num erator; reducing these integrals often requires the inversion ofm atrioes which can generate a big $m$ ess. A though the helicity and color tools are still very usefiul, we will need additional tools for organizing loop am plitudes in order to m inim ize the grow th of expressions in interm ediate steps.

### 4.1 Supersym $m$ etry and background- eld gauge

At loop level, QCD \know s" it is not supersymm etric. H ow ever, one can still rearrange the sum over intemal spins propagating around the loop, in order to take advantage of supersym $m$ etry. For exam ple, for an am plitude with all extemal ghons, and a gluon circulating around the loop, we can use supersym $m$ etry to trade the intemal ghon loop for a scalar loop. W e rew rite the intemal ghon loop $g$ (and ferm ion loop f) as a supersym $m$ etric contribution plus a com plex scalar loop s,

$$
\begin{align*}
& g=(g+4 f+3 s) \quad 4(f+s)+S=A^{N=4} \quad 4 A^{N=1}+A^{\text {scalar }} ; \\
& f=(f+s) \quad s=A^{N=1} \quad A^{\text {scalar }}: \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere $A^{N=4}$ represents the contribution of the $N=4$ super Yang $M$ ills multiplet, which contains a gluon $g$, four gluinos $f$, and three com plex (six real) scalars s; while $A^{N=1}$ gives the contribution of an $N=1$ chiralm atter superm ultiplet, one ferm ion plus one com plex scalar. The advantages of this decom position are tw offld:
(1) $T$ he supersym $m$ etric term $s$ are $m$ uch sim pler than the nonsupersym $m$ etric ones; not only do they obey SW Is, but we w ill see that they have diagram -bydiagram cancellations built into them .
(2) The scalar loop, while $m$ ore com plicated than the supersym $m$ etric com ponents, is algebraically sim pler than the gluon loop, because a scalar cannot propagate spin inform ation around the loop.
In the context of $T Q M$, this use of supersym $m$ etry could be term ed \intemal spin $m$ anagem ent".

As an exam ple of how this rearrangem ent looks, consider the ve-ghon prim tive amplitude $A_{5 ; 1}\left(1 ; 2 ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right)$, whose com ponents according to Eq. ${ }^{8}{ }^{2}$ din are ${ }^{281}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{N=4}=c A_{\substack{\text { tree }}}^{X^{5}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{S_{j ; j+1}}+\ln \frac{S_{j ; j+1}}{S_{j+1 ; j+2}} \ln \frac{S_{j+2 ; j 2}}{S_{j} 2 ; j 1}+\frac{2}{6}^{\#} \\
& A^{N=1}=c A^{\text {tree }} \frac{1}{-}+\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2}{S_{23}}+\ln \frac{2}{S_{51}}+2 \\
& +\frac{i c}{2} \frac{h 12 i^{2}(\mathrm{~h} 23 i[34] h 41 i+\mathrm{h} 24 i[45] h 51 i)}{\mathrm{h} 2 \text { 3ih3 4ih4 5ih5 1i}} \frac{\ln \frac{\mathrm{s}_{23}}{\mathrm{~S}_{51}}}{\mathrm{~S}_{51} \frac{S_{23}}{}} \\
& A^{\text {scalar }}=\frac{1}{3} A^{N=1}+\frac{2}{9} C A^{\text {tree }} \\
& +\frac{i c}{3} \quad \frac{[34] h 41 i h 24 i[45](h 23 i[34] h 41 i+h 24 i[45] h 51 i)}{h 34 i h 45 i} \\
& \\
& \frac{h 35 i[35]^{3}}{[12][23] h 34 i h 45 i[51]}+\frac{h 12 i[35]^{2}}{[23] h 34 i h 45 i[51]}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{h 12 i[34] h 41 i h 24 i[45]^{\#}}{s_{23} h 34 i h 45 i S_{51}} \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A^{\text {tree }}=A_{5}^{\text {tree }}\left(1 ; 2 ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right)$is given in Eq. ${ }^{-64 \underline{L}^{1}} 1, \quad$ is the renorm alization scale, and

$$
c=\frac{(1+)^{2}(1}{(4)^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{91}
\end{array}\right):
$$

T hese am plitudes contain both infrared and ultraviolet divergences, which have been regulated dim ensionally with $\mathrm{D}=42$, dropping $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}$ ) corrections. W e see that the three com ponents have quite di erent analytic structure, indicating that the rearrangem ent is a natural one. A s prom ised, the $\mathrm{N}=4$ supersym $m$ etric com ponent is the sim plest, follow ed by the $N=1$ com ponent. $T$ he non-supersym $m$ etric scalar com ponent is the $m$ ost com plicated, yet it is still sim pler than the direct gluon calculation, because it does not m ix all three com ponents together.

W e can understand why the supersym $m$ etric decom position w orksby quantizing QCD in a special gauge, background-eld gauge ${ }^{29}$ The color-ordered rules in Fig. '51 were obtained using the Lorentz gauge condition @ $A=0$, where A $\quad A^{a} T^{a}$ w ith $T^{a}$ in the fundam ental representation. A fter perform ing the Faddeev $P$ opov trick to integrate over the gauge- xing condition, one obtains the additional term in the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(@ \text { A })^{2} \text {; } \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we chose the integration weight $=1$ (LorentzFeynm an gauge) in F ig. '5.'T. To quantize in background-eld gauge one splits the gauge eld into a classical.background eld and a uctuating quantum eld, $A=A^{B}+A^{Q}$, and im poses the gauge condition $D^{B} A^{Q}=0$, where $D^{B}=@ \quad P_{\overline{2}} g^{B} A^{B}$ is the background-eld covariant derivative, with $A^{B}$ evaluated in the adjoint representation. N ow the Faddeev $P$ opov integration (for $=1$ ) leads to the additional term, replacing E q. ${ }^{2}$ '2 2 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{D}^{B} A^{Q}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(@ A^{Q} \quad \frac{p^{i}}{2} g\left[A^{B} ; A^{Q}\right]\right)^{2}: \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

For one-loop calculations we require only the term s in the Lagrangian that are quadratic in the quantum eld $A^{l} ; A^{l}$ describes the ghon propagating around the loop, while $A^{B}$ corresponds to the extemalgluons. E xpanding out the classicalLagrangian $\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(F^{2}\right)$ phisEq. 9 ", one nds that the three-ghon (Q Q B ) and four-ghon (Q Q B B ) color-ordered vertices arem odi ed from those shown in Fig.
the rem aining rules rem ain the sam e. In background- eld gauge the interactions of a scalar and of a ghost w th the background eld are identical, and
are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{ssB}} & =\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{p}) \\
\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{ssB} B} & =\frac{i}{2} ; \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

of course a ghost loop has an additional overallm inus sign.
N ow let's use Eqs. ${ }^{1} 94_{1}^{1}$ and $19{ }^{-1}{ }^{-1}$ to com pare the ghon and scalar contributions to an $n$-ghon one-loop am plitude, focusing on the term $s w$ ith the $m$ ost factors of the loop $m$ om entum in the num erator of the Feynm an diagram $s$, because these give rise to the greatest algebraic com plications in explicit com putations (see the next subsection). The loop $m$ om entum only appears in the tri-linear vertices, and only in the rst term in $V Q^{Q B}$, because $q$ is an extemal m om entum. T his term m atches $\mathrm{V}^{\text {ssB }}$ up to the factor. Thus the leading loop-m om entum term $s$ for a gluon loop (including the ghost contribution) are identical to those for a complex scalar loop: $2=2$ in $D=4$. In dim ensional regularization this result is still true if.one uses a schem e such as dim ensional reduction ${ }^{30}$ or four-dim ensional helicitly which leaves the num ber of physicalgluon helicities xed at two. In fact, as we'll see shortly, the di erence betw een a gluon loop and a com plex scalar loop has two few er pow ers of the loop $m$ om entum in the num erator $\mid$ at $m$ ost $m \quad 2$ powers in a diagram $w$ th $m$ propagators in the loop, versus $m$ for the ghon or scalar loop alone. In sum m ary, a ghoon loop is a scalar loop \phis a little bit m ore".

To treat ferm ion loops in the sam e way, it is convenient to use a \secpondorder form alism " where the propagator looks m ore like that of a boson ${ }^{111,32}$ It is not necessary to generate the full Feynm an rules; it su ces to inspect the e ective action (A), which generates the one-particle irreducible (1P I) graphs. Scattering am plitudes are obtained by attaching tree diagram s to the extemal legs of 1P I graphs, but this process does not involve the loop $m$ om entum and is identical for all intemal particle contributions. T he scalar, ferm ion and ghon contributions to the e ective action (the latter in background- eld gauge and including the ghost loop) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{scalar}(\mathrm{A})=\ln \operatorname{det}_{[0]}{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}^{2} ; \\
& \text { ferm ion }(A)=\frac{1}{2} \ln \operatorname{det}_{[1=2]}^{1=2} D^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{~F} \text {; } \tag{96}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D$ is the covariant derivative, $F$ is the extemal eld strength, $\frac{1}{2}$ ( ) is the spin $-\frac{1}{2}($ spin -1$)$ Lorentz generator, and det ${ }_{[J]}$ is the one-loop determ inant
for a particle of spin $J$ in the loop. The ferm ionic contribution has been rew ritten in second-order form using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{det}_{[1=2]}^{1=2}(\square)=\frac{1}{2} \ln \operatorname{det}_{[1=2]}^{1=2} \quad \square^{2} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{b}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} f \square ; \square g+\frac{1}{2}[\square ; \square]=D^{2} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{~F} \quad: \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e want to com pare the leading behavior of each contribution in Eq. $\cdot \overline{196} \overline{\bar{\sigma}}$ for large loop $m$ om entum '. T he leading behavior possible for an $m-p o i n t ~ 1 P ~ I ~$ graph is ${ }^{m}$, aswe saw above in the ghon and scalar cases. T he leading term alw ays com es from the $D^{2}$ term in Eq. ${ }^{1} 9{ }^{1}$, because $F$ contains only the extemal m om enta, not the loop m om entum. $\mathrm{U} \operatorname{sing} \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{r}_{[0]}(1)=1 ; \mathrm{Tr}_{[1=2]}(1)=T r_{[1]}=4$, we see that the $D^{2}$ term cancels between the scalar and ferm ion loop, and between the ferm ion and ghon loop; hence it cancels in any supersym $m$ etric linear com bination. Subleading term $s$ in supersym $m$ etric com binations com $e$ from using one or $m$ ore factors of $F$ in generating a graph; each $F$ costs one power of '. Term sw ith a lone F cancel, thanksto $\operatorname{Tr}=\operatorname{Tr}=0$, so the cancellation for an $m$-point 1P I graph is from ${ }^{m}$ down to ${ }^{m}{ }^{2}$. In a gauge other than background- eld gauge, the cancellations involving the ghon loop would no longer happen diagram by diagram.
E xercise: By com paring the traces ofproducts oftw o and three 's (s), show that for $A^{N=4}$ the cancellation is all the way down to ${ }^{m}{ }^{4}$.
$T$ he loop-m om entum cancellations are responsible for the $m u c h ~ s i m p l e r ~$ structure of the supersym $m$ etric contributions to $A_{5 ; 1}\left(1 ; 2 ; 3^{+} ; 4^{+} ; 5^{+}\right)$in Eq. "90, and sim ilarly for generic n-ghon loop am plitudes. A s we sketch in the next subsection, loop integrals $w$ ith few er pow ers of the loop $m$ om entum in the num erator can be reduced $m$ ore sim ply to \scalar" integrals |integrals with no loop $m$ om enta in the num erator. In the (supersym $m$ etric) case where the $m$-point 1P I graphs have at $m$ ost ${ }^{m}{ }^{2}$ behavior, the set of integrals obtained is so restricted that such an am plitude can be reconstructed directly from its absonptive partsi3 (see Section 4.3).

Sim ilar rearrangem ents can be carried out for one-loop am plitudes with extemal ferm ions ${ }^{33 \prime 2}$. For exam ple, the am plitude with two extemal quarks and the rest gluons has $m$ any diagram $s$ where a ferm ion goes part of the $w$ ay around the loop, and a gluon the rest of the w ay around. It is easy to see that these graphs have an ${ }^{m}{ }^{1}$ behavior. If one now subtracts from each graph the sam e graph where a scalar replaces the gluon in the loop, then
 obeys the \supersym $m$ etric" $m{ }^{2}$ criterion (even though in this case it is not supersym $m$ etric). Subtracting and adding back this scalar contribution is a
rearrangem ent analogous to the $n-g h o n$ supersym $m$ etric rearrangem ent, and does aid practical calculations ${ }^{10}$ -

Finally, these rearrangem ents can be motivated by the $N$ eveu-SchwarzRam ond representation of superstring theory $m$ anifestly space-tim e supersym $m$ etric, but at one loop it corresponds to eld theory in background- eld gauge (for 1P Igraphs) and to a second-order form alism for ferm ions ${ }^{311}$ At tree-level| and at loop-level for the trees that have to be sew $n$ onto 1P I graphs to construct am_plitudes | string theory corresponds to the nonlinear $G$ ervais $-N$ eveu gauge 34131 @ $A \quad P^{\frac{i}{2}} g A A=0$. This gauge choice also simpli es the respective calculations, though we om it the details here. String theory $m$ ay have $m$ ore to teach us about special gauges at the multi-loop level.

### 4.2 Loop Integral Reduction

Even ifone takes advantage of the various techniques already outlined, loop calculations $w$ ith $m$ any extemal legs can stillibe very com plex. M ost of the com plication arises at the stage of doing the loop integrals. T he general one-loop $m$-point integral in 42 dim ensions (for vanishing intemal particle $m$ asses) is

$w h e r e k_{i}, i=1 ;::: ; m$, are the $m$ om enta ow ing out of the loop at leg $i_{1}$ and P (' ) is a polynom ial in the loop $m$ om entum . A s we'll outline, Eq.' ${ }^{\prime} 99_{1}^{\prime}$ can be reduced recursively to a linear combination of scalar integrals $I_{m}$ [1], $w$ here $m=2 ; 3 ; 4$. The problem is that for large $m$ the reduction coe cients can depend on $m$ any kinem atic variables, and are often unw ieldy and contain spurious singularities.

H ere we illustrate one reduction procedure that w orks w ell for largem $m$ 5, then for generic $k$ inem atics $w e$ have at least four independent $m$ om enta, say $p_{1}=k_{1}, p_{2}=k_{1}+k_{2}, p_{3}=k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}, p_{4}=k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}+k_{4}$. We can de ne a set of dualm om enta $v_{i}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{v}_{1}="(; 2 ; 3 ; 4) ; \mathrm{v}_{2}="(1 ; ~ ; 3 ; 4) ; \mathrm{v}_{3}="(1 ; 2 ; ; 4) ; \mathrm{v}_{4}="(1 ; 2 ; 3 ;) ; \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{l}(1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4) \mathrm{ij} ; \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

and expand the loop $m$ om entum in term $s$ of them,

$$
, ~=\frac{1}{"(1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4)}_{i=1}^{X^{4}} v_{i}, ~ p
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2 "(1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4)}{ }_{i=1}^{X^{4}} v_{i}{ }^{2} \quad\left(\checkmark \quad p_{i}\right)^{2}+p_{i}^{2}: \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst step can be veri ed by contracting both sides $w$ ith $p_{j}$. In the second step we rew rite ' in tem s of the propagator denom inators in Eq. ${ }^{19}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{plus}$ a term independent of the loop $m$ om entum. Ifw e insert Eq. 1101 into the degree $p$ polynom ialP (' ) in Eq. 9 tuming an $m$-point loop integral into ( $m$ 1)-point integrals w ith polynom ials of degree $p$ 1, while the latter term rem ains an $m$-point integral, also of degree $p$ 1. Iterating this procedure, $m \rightarrow$ point integrals can be reduced to box integrals $(m=4)$ plus scalarm -point integrals. Equation i101 is only valid for the four-dim ensional com ponents of the loop $m$ om entum, so one has to be carefulwhen applying it to dim ensionally-regulated am plitudes. In practioe, when using the helicity form alism the loop $m$ om enta usually end up contracted w th four-dim ensional extemal m om enta and polarization vectors, in which case ' is already projected into four-dim ensions.
$T$ he strategy of rew riting the loop $m$ om entum polynom ial ( ( ) (which $m$ ay be contracted $w$ th extemalm om enta) in term $s$ of the propagator denom inators ${ }^{2}$, (' $\left.k_{1}\right)^{2}$, etc. is a very general one. In special cases $\mid$ such as the $\mathrm{N}=4$ supersym $m$ etric exam ple in Section $4.4 \mid$ the form of the contracted P (' ) often allow s a rapid reduction w ithout having to invoke the general form alism, and without undue algebra. H ow ever, in other cases one $m$ ay not be so fortunate.

The scalar integrals form-, 6 can be reduced to low er-point scalar integrals by a sim ilar technique ${ }^{303151}$ Form 6 we have a th independent vector, $p_{5}=k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}+k_{4}+k_{5}$. C ontracting Eq.IO1' w ith $p_{5}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{1}{"(1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4)}_{i=1}^{X^{4}} v_{i} \quad B, \quad P ; \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rew ritten as an equality relating a sum of six propagator denom inators to a term independent of the loop m om entum. Inserting this equality into the scalar integral $I_{m}$ [1], we get an expression for $I_{m}$ [1] as a linear com bination of six \daughter" integrals $I_{m}^{(i)}{ }_{1}[1]$, where the index (i) indicates which of the $m$ propagators has been canpelled. A sim ilar form ula reduces the scalar pentagon to a sum of veboxes 6 $m$ enta in the num erator, one $m$ ay em ploy either a standard $P$ assarino-Veltm an reduction ${ }_{4}^{39}$ or one using dual vectors like that discussed abovel ${ }_{4}^{40}{ }_{2}^{251}$ T hese approaches share the property of Eq. 1011 , that in each step the degree of the loop $m$ om entum polynom ialdrops by one. $T$ hus supersym $m$ etric cancellations ofm -point 1P I graphs dow $n$ to $m$ are $m$ aintained under integral reduction.

The nal results for an am plitude $m$ ay therefore be described as a linear com bination of various bubble, triangle and box scalar integrals. T he biggest problem is that the reduction coe cients from the above procedures contain spurious kinem atic singularities, which should cancelat the end of the day, but which can lead to very large interm ediate expressions if one is not careful. For exam ple, although the Levi-C ivita contraction " $(1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4)$ appears in the denom inator of E $q$. 1011 In it has an unphysical singularity w hen the fourm om enta $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ becom e co-planar, so it should not appear in the nal result. D espite this fact, the above approach actually does a good job of keeping the num ber of term s sm all, and the requisite cancellations of " $(1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4)$ denom inator factors are not so hard to obtain.

### 4.3 U nitarity constraints

In Section 3.4 we discussed the analytic behavior of tree am plitudes, nam ely their pole structure. At the loop level, am plitudes have cuts as well as poles. I w on't elaborate on the factorization (pole) structure of one-loop am plitudes, but they do exhibit the sam e kind of universality as tree am plinudes, which


U nitarity of the $S-m$ atrix, $S^{y} S=1$, im plies that the scattering $T \mathrm{~m}$ atrix, de ned by $S=1+i T$, obeys $\left(T T^{Y}\right)=i=T^{Y} T . O$ ne can expand this equation perturbatively in $g$, and recognize the $m$ atrix sum on the right-hand side as including an integration over $m$ om enta of interm ediate states. Thus the im aginary or absonptive parts of loop am plitudes | which contain the branch-cut inform ation | can be_determ ined from phase-space integrals of products of low er-order am plitudes ${ }^{43}$. For one-loop m ulti-parton am plitudes, there are several reasons why this calculation of the cuts is m uch easier than a direct loop calculation:

O ne can sim plify the tree am plitudes before feeding them into the cut calculation.

T he tree am plitudes are usually quite sim ple, because they possess \e ective" supersym m etry, even if the full loop am plitudes do not.

O ne can further use on-shellconditions for the interm ediate legs in evaluating the cuts.

T he catch is that it is not alw ayspossible to reconstruct the full loop am plitude from its cuts. In general there can be an additive \polynom ialam biguity"
besides the usual logarithm s and dilogarithm s of loop am plitudes, one may add polynom ialterm s (actually rational functions) in the kinem atic variables, which cannot be detected by the cuts. This am biguity tums out to be absent in one-loop $m$ assless supersym $m$ etric am plitudes, due to the loop-m om entum


Figure 10: T he possible interm ediate helicities for a cut of a M H V am plitude, when both negative helicity gluons lie on the sam e side of the cut.
cancellations discussed in Section $4.1{ }^{[10]}$. tude, Eq. $\cdot \overline{9} \bar{q}$, all the polynom ialterm $s$ in both $A^{N=4}$ and $A^{N=1}$ are intim ately linked to the logarithm s, while in A scalar they are not linked.
$T$ he polynom ialterm $s$ in non-supersym $m$ etric one-loop am plitudes cannot generally be reconstructed from unitarity cuts evaluated in four-dim ensions. It is possible to use dim ensional analysis to extract the $O\left({ }^{0}\right)$ polynom, ial term s if one has evaluated the cuts to $O()$ in dim ensional regularizatior ${ }_{4}^{44.4}$ but this task is signi cantly harder than evaluation to $O\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$. In practice, polynom ial ambiguities can often be xed, recursively in the num ber of extemal legs, py,


### 4.4 E xam ple

A s an exam ple ofhow sim ple one-loop m ulti-parton cuts can be, we outline here the evaluation of the cuts for an in nite sequence of, $n-g h o n$ am plitudes, the M HV am plitudes in $N=4$ super $-Y$ ang $-M$ ills theory ${ }^{9}$ W e consider the singletrace, leading-color contribution $A_{n ; 1}$, and the case where the two negative helicity gluons lie on the same side of the cut, as shown in Fig. IId. (T he case where they lie on the opposite side of the cut can be quickly reduced to
 interm ediate ferm ions or scalars vanish using the \e ective" supersym $m$ etry

particle num ber, on the right-hand side of the cut. The only contribution is from interm ediate ghons w th the helicity assignm ent shown in $F$ ig.id. The tree am plitudes on either side of the cut are pure-glue M H V tree am plitudes, so using E q. ${ }^{-165}$ the cut takes the sim ple form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z } \\
& \mathrm{dL} \mathbb{P} S\left({ }_{1} ;{ }_{2}\right) \mathrm{A}_{j \mathrm{k}}^{\text {tree } \mathrm{MHV}}\left({ }_{1} ; \mathrm{m}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{m}_{2} ;{ }_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\quad i A_{Z^{\text {k }}}^{\text {tree } M \mathrm{MV}}(1 ; 2 ;::: ; n) \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

where the spinor products are labelled by either loop mom enta ( $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{i}_{2}$ ) or extemal particle labels, and the Lorentz-invariant phase space $m$ easure for the tw o-particle interm ediate state is denoted by $d L \mathbb{P} S\left({ }_{1} ;{ }_{2}\right)$.
$T$ he integral in Eq.inoli can be view ed as a cut hexagon loop integral. (T he four-and ve-point cases are degenerate, since there are not enough extemal $m$ om enta to $m$ ake a genuine hexagon.) To see this, use the on-shell condition $\imath_{1}^{2}=\frac{2}{2}=0$ to rew rite the four spinor product denom inators in Eq.IIO3 as propagators $m$ ultiplied by som e num erator factor, for exam ple

In ${ }_{\mathrm{R}}$ addition to these four propagators, there are tw o cut propagators im plicit in $d L \mathbb{P} S\left({ }_{1} ;^{\prime}\right)_{2}$.
$R$ ather than evaluate the cut hexagon integraldirectly, w e use the Schouten identity, Eq. 2 inator of each term, which will break up the integral into a sum of cut box integrals. W e have
and sim ilarly for the second factor in Eq.IIO3. Four term $s$ are generated, one of which is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ his is the cut box integral $\mathrm{I}_{4}^{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}_{2}}$, where the set ofm om enta ow ing out of its four vertices is $\mathrm{fk}_{\mathrm{m}_{1}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}_{1}+1, \mathrm{~m}_{2}} 1 ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}_{2}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}_{2}+1 ; \mathrm{m}_{1}} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$. T he other three term s sim ilarly give $\mathrm{I}_{4}^{\mathrm{m}} 1 ; \mathrm{m}_{2}, \mathrm{I}_{4}^{\mathrm{m}_{1} ; \mathrm{m}_{2}+1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{4}^{\mathrm{m}_{1}} 1_{1 ; \mathrm{m}_{2}+1}$, allw ith tw o loop m om enta inserted in the num erator.

The 5 -odd part of the trace in Eq. $10-10$ does not contribute, because the box does not have enough independent $m$ om enta to satisfy the Levi-C ivita tensor. The ${ }_{5}$-even part can be reduced by standard $P$ assarino- $V$ eltm an techniques ${ }^{39}$ to scalar box, triangle and bubble integrals. T he coe cient of the scalar box integral $\mathrm{I}_{4}^{\mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{\prime m} 2}[1]$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}_{1 ; m}, ~}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}_{1}+1 ; \mathrm{m}_{2}}^{2} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}_{1 ; m_{2}}^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}_{1}+1 ; \mathrm{m}_{2}}^{2} \quad 1: \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter sum $m$ ing over the fourbox integrals, the triangles and bubbles cancelout. ( $T$ h is could have been anticipated from the exercise in Section 4.1 , show ing that $A^{N=4}$ exhibits loop-m om entum cancellations down to ${ }^{m}{ }^{4}$, plus the general loop integral reduction procedures discussed in Section 42.) Therefore the $\mathrm{N}=4 \mathrm{MHV}$ amplitude which m atches all the cuts is a sum of scalar box integrals, w ith coe cients given by Eq.107.' w hich evaluates explicitly (through $\left.O\left({ }^{0}\right)\right)$ to
$A_{n ; 1}^{N=4}\left(1^{+} ;::: ; j ;::: ; k ;::: n^{+}\right)=\left({ }^{2}\right) \subset A_{j k}^{\text {tree } M H V}(1 ; 2 ;::: ; n) V_{n} ;$
where the universal, cyclically sym $m$ etric function $V_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}={ }^{\mathrm{r} \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{r}} ; \\
& r=1 \quad i=1 \\
& V_{2 m}={ }^{r X}{ }^{2} X^{n} f_{i ; r}+X^{=2} f_{i, m} \quad 1 ; \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i ; r}= & \frac{1}{2} P_{i}^{2}{ }_{1 ; i+r 1}^{2}+P_{i ; i+r}^{2} \quad P_{i ; i+r}^{2} 1
\end{align*} \quad P_{i 1 ; i+r}^{2}
$$



Figure 11: C urrently known one-loop $n-g l u o n$ am plitudes, decom posed into $\mathrm{N}=4$ supersym m etric, $N=1$ chiral, and scalar contributions, as in Eq. 89. The num ber of extemal gluons w ith helicity 1 in the am plitude is denoted by $n$. Parity re ects the gure about the vertical axis. A rrows show how am plitudes ow into each other under collinear lim its.

The dilogarithm is de ned by $L i_{2}(x)=\quad R_{x} d t \ln \left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & t\end{array}\right)=t$, and by convention $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} 1 ; i 11}^{2}\right)=0=0$.

It is rem arkable that a com pact expression for an in nite sequence ofgauge theory loop am plitudes is so easy to obtain. Several other in nite sequences of $n$-gluon one-loop am plitudes have now, been, com puted, using unitarity as wellas collinear and recursive techniques $41: 45$ am plitudes | or rather their com ponents under the supersym $m$ etric decom position discussed in Section $4.1 \mid$ are plotted in $F$ ig. $111_{1}^{1}$ versus the num ber of helicity 1 extemal states $n$. As the gure show s , the supersym m etric com ponents are better know $n$ than the non-supersym $m$ etric scalar term $s$. Polynom ial am biguities in the non-supersym $m$ etric com ponents of one-loop $Q C D$ amplitudes are the $m$ ain obstacle to their e cient evaluation. In the various collinear lim its, helicity am plitudes (including their polynom ialterm s) ow along the arrows in the gure, indicating how the lim its $m$ ay be used to help x the am biguities.

In these lectures we described techniques for e cient analytical calculation of scattering am plitudes in gauge theories, particularly QCD. Tools such as helicity and color decom positions, special gauges, unitarity, factorization lim its and supersym $m$ etric rearrangem ents can lead to $m$ any sim pli cations. Som ef these ideas can be m otivated from string theory, but none requires its detailed know ledge. There is no one \m agic bullet" but rather a com bined arsenal of techniques that w ork well together. At the practical level, som e of these tools have been instrum ental in calculating the one-loop ve-parton am plitudes (ggggg, qqqqg and qqggg) which form the analyticalibqttleneck to N LO cross-sections for three-jet events at hadron colliders. ${ }^{2}: 4$ been used to obtain, in, nite sequences of special one-loop helicity am plitudes in closed form interest rem ain uncalculated at NLO and at higher orders, so there is plenty of room for im provem ent in the eld!
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[^0]:    ${ }^{?}$ R esearch supported by the U S D epartm ent ofE nergy under grant D E -A C 03-76SF 00515.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ A lthough we will refer to the gluons as all having the sam e positive helicity, rem em ber that the helicity of the tw $o$ incom ing gluons (whichever tw o they $m$ ay be) is actually negative. H ence th is scattering process changes the helicity of the gluons by the maxim um possible, $2!+2$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{W}$ e have m ultiplied both graphs here by (1); this extemal state convention m akes the qqggg partial am plitudes equal to the gluino partial am plitudes ggggg, so that the supersym $m$ etry $W$ ard identities below can be applied $w$ ithout extra $m$ inus signs.

