#### NONPERTURBATIVE DISSIPATION IN QCD JETS

A  ${\tt N}$  . Leonidov  $^1$  and D  ${\tt M}$  . O strovsky  $^2$ 

Theoretical Physics Department, P. N. Lebedev Physics Institute 117924 Leninsky pr. 53, Moscow, Russia

#### A bstract

The phenom enological energy dissipation in QCD jet evolution taking into account the colour coherence is considered and the corresponding modi ed parton multiplicity and distribution function are computed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>e-m ail address leonidov@td.lpiac.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>e-m ail address ostrov@ td.lpiac.ru

#### 1 Introduction

Physics of QCD jets is one of the most important testing grounds for the theory of strong interactions. The perturbative evolution of a quark-gluon jet is at present well understood (see, e.g., [1]). The known details include in particular the fam ous colour coherence phenom enon which leads to a probabilistic picture of timelike jet evolution where the daughter partons are emitted into a gradually shrinking cones. The nonperturbative aspects of a jet evolution are much less understood. From the experience of a sum rule approach applied to the analysis of heavy resonance properties [2] it is clear, that when the virtuality of a daughter parton is of order of 1 GeV one has to take into account the nonperturbative corrections due to the nonperturbative vacuum elds giving rise to the QCD vacuum condensates. A nother situation in which one deals with dissipative e ects is a jet propagation in, e.g., nuclear medium. A lithough physically these situations are very dierent, we expect the corresponding theoretical form alism to be the same.

In both cases the new interaction vertices lead to the appearance of new dimension fulparam eters. This means in turn that a scaling description of jet evolution where the interaction vertices are determined only by dimensionless quantities (energy or virtuality ratios) is no longer valid. Physically what happens is a beginning of a string form ation taking energy from the perturbative component and converting it to nonperturbative degrees of freedom. The rst model description of a jet evolution taking into account the nonperturbative energy loss was proposed long ago by D rem in [3]. It was based on the analogy with the physics oh high energy electrom agnetic showers in the medium, where apart from a scale-invariant evolution due to a photon bremm strahlung and pair creation there appears a scale-noninvariant energy dissipation due to the ionization of the atom s in the medium [4]. Later the corresponding modi ed evolution equations were analytically solved in [5], where the expressions for the parton multiplicatives in quark and gluon jets and the energy loss by the perturbative component were computed. A discussion of this approach and also of the latest M onte-C arlo calculations, [6] where the nonperturbative component was described by QCD e ective lagrangeans can be found in the recent review [7]. A serious drawback of Drem in equations [3] is, however, a disregard of the kinematical and color interference e ects in the jet evolution which are known to be of crucial importance for describing the jet characteristics. The m ain goal of the present paper is to introduce a form alism providing a possibility of an analytical treatm ent of the jet evolution taking into account both color interference and nonperturbative energy loss.

Before writing down the modi ed evolution equations let us recall, that color coherence in QCD jets can be described using various approximations. The simplest is a leading logarithm ic one (DLA, see [1]). The calculations in this approximation are relatively simple, but the energy of the jet is not conserved. This is due to the eikonal description used in this approximation, when the energy loss of the projectile is considered to be negligible. A more rened analysis is made within a modi ed leading logarithm ic approximation (MLLA), where the total energy is conserved. The

D rem in equations [3] correspond to a case, where the energy is perturbatively conserved. Thus to calculate an absolute value of the dissipative energy loss one has to modify an M LLA form alism. The corresponding equations can be written (see Appendix A), but unfortunately we were not able to not their solutions. Thus in what follows we shall concentrate on a simpler DLA case, where the energy nonconservation is built in already at the level of perturbation theory. In this case one can not calculate the absolute energy loss, but the relative one is fairly well-de ned.

### 2 DLA Equation for the Generating Functional

Let us turn to a description of a formalism which generalizes the known elective methods of describing the QCD jet evolution including color coherence elect [1] by providing a possibility of considering the new possible nonperturbative sources of energy dissipation.

Technically it is most convenient to use a generating functional. G (fug) from which one can calculate both an exclusive cross section

$$d_{N}^{\text{excl}} = \int_{i=1}^{N} d^{3}k_{i} \frac{!}{u(k_{i})} G (\text{fug})_{u=0} d_{0}:$$
 (1)

and an inclusive one

$$d_{N}^{incl} = \int_{i=1}^{N} d^{3}k_{i} \frac{!}{u(k_{i})} G (fug)_{u=1} d_{0}:$$
 (2)

In the following we shall use the notations  $dK = \frac{2 \, dk}{0 \, k} \, \frac{d^2 \, k_2}{2 \, k_2^2}$  for the usual DLA integration measure and (p; ) for the possible gluon emission phase space domain, where p is an initial gluon energy and is an opening angle of a jet. Let us remind, that in DLA approximation the generating functional G (p; ; fug) satisfies the following master equation

G (p; ;fug) = u (p)e  $^{w(p;)}+$ 

$$\frac{dk}{(p;)} \frac{d^2k_?}{k^2} \frac{2C_V}{k_?^2} = e^{w(p;)+w(p;_k)} G(p;_k; fug)G(k;_k; fug);$$
(3)

w here

$$w (p; ) = \sum_{(p; )}^{Z} dK$$

is a total probability of an em ission of a gluon having an energy p into the cone having an opening angle , and exp(w(p; )) is a form factor giving a probability of a non-em ission of the same gluon. Then a sandard procedure ([1]) leads to the following equation on the generating functional:

$$Z$$
 !

 $G (p; ;fug) = u (p) exp$   $dK [G (k; k;fug) 1] : (4)$ 

Let us now discuss the possible nonperturbative modi cations of the equations for the generating functional. The aim is to describe a partial convertion of the perturbative degrees of freedom

(gluons) and their energy into the nonperturbative ones by the process presum ably analogous to the ionization in the case of electromagnetic showers discussed in the Introduction. Physically the picture for the jet is that the dissipation pumps some energy from the cone corresponding to the perturbative jet evolution reducing the gluon multiplicity and energy inside it. Thus we have som e additional nonperturbative vertex coupling the perturbative gluons with the nonperturbative vacuum or nuclear medium. The presence of such a coupling can be related to reducing a probability of an emission of a perturbative gluon by taking away a certain portion of its energy before the perturbative em ission. Techically it is convenient to introduce a following modi cation of the gluon em ission probability:

$$w(p; ; ) = {\begin{array}{*{20}{c}} & Z \\ & (p; ; ) \\ & (p; ; ) \\ \end{array}}$$
 (5)

where the constant describes the additional damping of a perturbative gluon emission due to a dissipative interaction with the nonperturbative vacuum uctuations or nuclear medium introduced by compressing a possible phase space domain for the gluon emission from (p; ) to (p ; ). The corresponding modication of the master equation (3) reads

G (p; ;fug) = u (p)e 
$$v(p; i)$$
+

$$\frac{dk}{k} \frac{d^{2}k_{2}}{k_{2}} \frac{2C_{V}}{k_{2}} = v(p; i) + w(p; i) + w($$

Then instead of the Eq. (4) we get

where the initial conditions for this equation read G (p; ; fug)  $\dot{q}_{i=1} = 1$ .

W e suggest that p for all physically meaningful values of energy and in the following we shall consider the term s of the rst order in the small parameter " = =p. In Appendix B we illustrate the thus arising perturbation theory by deriving the di erential equation on the leading correction to the generating functional.

# M ean multiplicity

Let us begin this section by looking at the simplest jet characteristic, a mean multiplicity of partons in a jet (in the following we are considering only gluons). Following the standard steps we obtain a following integral equation on the mean multiplicity n (p; ):

In the following it will be convenient to rewrite the integration over the phase space as

w here

$$y = \ln \frac{p}{Q_0}; y^0 = \ln \frac{k_k}{Q_0}; = \ln \frac{k}{Q_0}:$$

Working in the rst order in "we can write

$$n(p; ) = n_0(y) + "n_1(y):$$

The equations for the functions  $n_0(y)$  and  $n_1(y)$  take the form

$$n_0 (y) = 1 + \int_0^{Z^y} dy^0 \int_0^2 n_0 (y^0)$$
 (10)

and

$$n_{1}(y) = dy^{0} dy^{0} dy^{0} (y^{0}) (e^{y} y^{0}) (1)$$

$$dy^{0} dy^{0} dy^{0} (y^{0})$$
(11)

The rst one has a well known solution

$$n_0(y) = ch(_0y);$$

and the second one can be rewritten in the dierential form

$$n_1^{(0)}(y)$$
  $n_1^{(0)}(y) = {}_0^2 n_1(y) + {}_0^2(ch(y))$  (12)

with the initial conditions n(0) = 0;  $n^0(0) = {0 \choose 0}$ : The solution reads

$$n_1 = {}_{0}^{2} ch (_{0}y) \qquad _{0}sh (_{0}y) + + _{0} \frac{_{2}e^{_{1}y} - _{1}e^{_{2}y}}{1 + _{4}^{2}} :$$
 (13)

In the  $\lim it$  of (y 1; 0) we have

n 
$$\frac{1}{2}e^{0Y}(1 \quad \mathbf{u}_0 \quad 2\mathbf{u}_0^3 e^Y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q}{Q_0} \quad 1 \quad _0 \frac{1}{p} \quad 2\frac{3}{Q_0}$$
 (14)

The above result is in accord with the expectation based on physical reasoning. Namely, the dissipation should lead to a decrease in perturbative multiplicity. Let us note, that from Eq. (14) it is clear, that in order for perturbation theory to be applicable one should have p=0 and  $Q_0=\frac{3}{0}$ . This means that apart from the expected expansion parameter "there appears a new one "0=2  $\frac{2}{0}$   $\frac{1}{0}$ . An interesting feature of the above answer is a multiplicative combination of the dissipation scale and a perturbative coupling constant hidden in 0.

## 4 Energy distrubution of particles in a jet

Let us now turn to a computation of a one-particle energy distribution function D (k; ). It can be obtained from the generating functional in the following way:

D (k; ) = 
$$k - \frac{u(k)}{u(k)} G(p; ; fug)_{u=1};$$
 (15)

Making use of (7) and (3) we get

$$D (1;y) = (1) + d1^{0} dy^{0} {}_{0}^{2}D (1^{0};y^{0});$$

$$0 0$$
(16)

where  $l = \ln (p=k) = \ln (1=x)$  and  $l^0 = \ln (k^0=k)$ . The integration is performed over  $k^0$  and  $k^0$ . Let us stress that in the above equation (16) the distrubution function D is also —dependent. Expanding it in the small parameter "

$$D(1;y) = D_0(1;y) + "D_1(1;y)$$

we rewrite (16) in the form

$$D_{0}(1;y) + "D_{1}(1;y) = (1) + d1^{0} dy^{0}_{0}(D_{0}(1;y) + "e^{1})^{0}D_{1}(1;y)):$$
(17)

Considering the zero and stronger in "we have

$$D_{0}(l_{i}y) = (l) + dl^{0} dy^{0} dy^{0} D_{0}(l_{i}y^{0}):$$

$$0 0$$
(18)

$$D_{1}(\mathbf{l};\mathbf{y}) = \int_{0}^{\mathbf{Z}^{1}} d\mathbf{y}^{0} d\mathbf{y}^{0$$

The solution of equation (18) reads

$$D_{0}(1;y) = (1) + {}_{0}\frac{y}{1}I_{1}(2 {}_{0}y - y1)$$
 (20)

and that for D  $_1$  is (for details see Appendix C):

$$D_{1}(1;y) = y_{0}^{2}(1) \qquad {}_{0}^{2}(e^{1}+1)\frac{y}{1}I_{2}(2_{0}^{p}\overline{y1}) + {}_{0}^{3}\frac{y}{1}^{3=2}(e^{1}-1)I_{3}(2_{0}^{p}\overline{y1}); \qquad (21)$$

The plot of the resulting distribution for the jet energy 20 GeV is given at Fig. 1. We see that, as anticipated, the elect of dissipation shows itself through the noticeable reduction of distribution function. Let us also mention that here we also have a multiplicative dependence on both perturbative and nonperturbative factors.

#### 5 Conclusions

In this paper we have proposed a phenom enological nonperturbative modi cation of the equations describing the evolution of QCD jets and taking into account the crucial feature of the colour coherence of the QCD cascades by accounting for nonperturbative energy dissipation. The corresponding modi cation of the MLLA and DLA form alism exploiting the generating functional was proposed. The calculation of simplest jet characteristics such as mean multiplicity and energy spectrum of particles in a jet in a DLA approximation has demonstrated an expected decrease in multiplicity and corresponding changes in the energy distribution.

An interesting feature of the result is an unusual perturbative damping of the introduced non-perturbative energy dissipation appearing in the multiplicative dependance on some power of the QCD coupling constant times the dissipative scale. It is tempting to relate this feature with the successes of the soft blanshing hypothesis, where it is assumed that the nonperturbative elects are not crucially essential for the jet characteristics. It is interesting to see, whether such damping is present within a more realistic MLLA description. Work in this direction is in progress.

### 6 A cknow ledgem ents

We are grateful to IM.Drem in and IV.Andreev for the useful discussions. A L. is grateful to P.V.Ruuskanen for kind hospitality in the University of Helsinki, where part of this work was done. The research was supported by Russian Fund for Fundam ental Research, Grant 93-02-3815.

#### R eferences

- [1] Yu L. Dokshitzer, V A. Khose, A H. Mueller and S.I. Troyan Basics of Perturbative QCD (France: Gif-sur-Yvette, Edition Frontiers, 1991)
- [2] M A. Shifman, A. I. Vainstein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 147 (1979), 385
- [3] IM.Dremin, Pismav ZHETP 31 (1980), 201
- [4] S.Z.Belenky, Shower Processes in Cosmic Rays, M., Gostehizdat, 1948
- [5] IM.Dremin, A.V.Leonidov Yad.Fiz.35 (1980), 430
- [6] J.Ellis, K.Geiger, Preprint CERN CERN-TH 95-34, 95-35 (1995)
- [7] IM.Dremin, A.V.Leonidov Usp. Fiz. Nauk 7 (1995), 759

## Appendix A

Let us start with the proposed general modication of the equation for the generating functional (we are closely following the standard notations of [1]):

$$G_{A} (p; ; fug) = u (p) e^{w_{A} (p; )} + dK_{(p; )}^{2} dK_{(p; )}^{2} (k_{?}) e^{w_{A} (p; ) + w_{A} (p; k)}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} K_{A}^{BC} (\frac{k}{p}) G_{B} (k; k_{;} fug) G_{C} (p k; k_{;} fug)$$

$$I_{A}^{B} (k; p) G_{B} (k; k_{;} fug) :$$
(A.1)

Here  $L_A^B$  (k;p) is a phenom enologically introduced vertex responsible for the nonperturbative energy dissipation. It is convenient to rewrite the above equation in the form:

$$G_{A} (p; ; fug) e^{W_{A} (p; )} = u(p) + \int_{(p; )}^{Z} dK \left( \frac{2}{0} (k_{?}) e^{W_{A} (p; k)} \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} K_{A}^{BC} \left( \frac{k}{p} \right) G_{B} (k; k; fug) G_{C} (p k; k; fug)$$

$$I_{A}^{B} (k; p) G_{B} (k; k; fug) :$$
(A 2)

This equation has to be supplied by the boundary condition  $G_A = 1$  at u = 1. This gives an equation of the form factor  $w_A$  (p; ):

$$e^{W_{A}(p; )} = 1 + \sum_{(p; )}^{Z} dK \sum_{0}^{2} (k_{2}) e^{W_{A}(p; k)} \frac{4}{2} \sum_{B; C}^{X} K_{A}^{BC} (\frac{k}{p}) \sum_{B}^{X} L_{A}^{B} (k; p)^{5};$$

where  $z=\frac{k}{p}$  . Standard procedure leads us to the following master equation:

Let us now consider a pure qluodynam ics and a speci c form of the nonperturbative vertex  $L_A^B$  (z p;p) =  $(1 \frac{0}{p} z)$ . Then the master equation takes the form

$$\frac{\text{@G}}{\text{@ ln}} = \int_{0}^{\text{Z1}} dz \, \int_{0}^{2} (k_{?}) \, \frac{1}{2} K \, (z) \, fG \, (zp; \,) \, G \, ((1 \, z)p; \,) \, G \, (p; \,)g$$

In the interesting case of pwe get

$$G(p) = {}^{0}; G(p); O(e^{-1})$$

and

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \ln x} = \int_{0}^{Z^{1}} dz \int_{0}^{2} (k_{2}) \frac{1}{2} K(z) \text{ fG (zp; ) G ((1 z)p; )} G(p; )g+ \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p}; \qquad (A.4)$$

The above equation contains a characteristic derivative over energy (the last term). This term is responsible for the energy dissipation and has previously been used for describing the dissipative energy losses in the electrom agnetic showers [4] and QCD cascades [3], [5].

## Appendix B

In this Appendix we derive an equation for the struction to the generating functional. Let us start with the basic equation

with the initial condition G (p; ; fug)  $j_{i=1} = 1$ . Expanding the generating functional we get in the zeorth and rst orders in ":

$$G (p; ;fug) = G_0 (y;u) + "G_1 (y;u);$$
 (B 2)

where  $y = \ln (p = Q_0)$ ,  $G_0(y;1) = 1$ ;  $G_1(y;1) = 0$  and " = =p:

An argument of the exponent in (B.1) is

A fter expanding this exponent to the st order in "we arrive at

and

or in a simpler form

$$G_0^0(y) = G_0(y) \quad dy^0_0^2(G_0(y^0)) \quad 1)$$
 (B.6)

and

$$G_1(y) = G_0(y) dy^0 dy^0 (e^{y y^0} 1)G_1(y^0) G_0(y)$$
 (B.7)

The further simplication can be achieved by introducing a new function H (y) by

$$H (y) = \int_{0}^{Z^{y}} dy^{0} (e^{y} y^{0}) \quad 1)G_{1}(y^{0}) \quad G_{1}(y) = H^{0}(y) \quad H^{0}(y)$$
(B.8)

A fter the simple calculation we obtain the following dierential equation on the leading correction to the generating functional

$$H^{(0)}(y) H^{(0)}(y) = {}^{2}_{0}G_{0}(y)H(y) G_{0}^{(0)}(y)$$
 (B.9)

The solutions of the above equation could be used as a starting point for the analysis of the dissipative corrections to the scaling behaviour of the m om ents and, in general, to the KNO property of the leading approximation.

# Appendix C

In this Appendix we shall give some details on the computation of the correction to the distribution function D (1;y). We start with the equaitons Eqs. (18), (19):

$$D (1;y) = D_0(1;y) + "D_1(1;y)$$

$$Z^1 Z^y$$

$$D_0(1;y) = (1) + d1^0 dy^0_0 D_0(1^0;y^0): \qquad (C.1)$$

$$D_{1}(l;y) = \int_{0}^{Z^{1}} dl^{0} dy^{0} d$$

The solution of equation (18) is performed by substituting

$$D_0(1;y) = (1) + {}^{X} C_{m:n}^{(0)} 1^m y^n;$$

giving

$$C_{m,m}^{(0)} = {}_{m,m+1} \frac{{}_{2,m+1}}{m!(m+1)!}$$

and

$$D_0(1;y) = (1) + {r \over y} I_1(2_0^p y1)$$
 (C.3)

Let us look for the solution for D  $_{\rm 1}$  in the form

$$D_1 = y_0^2$$
 (1) +  $e^{1}$   $C_{m,n}^{(1)}$   $1^m y^n$ :

The solution for C (1) reads

$$C_{m,n}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} & & & \\ &$$

Thus we obtain the correction for the energy distribution

$$D_{1}(1;y) = y_{0}^{2}(1) \qquad e^{4} \frac{y}{1} I_{2}(2_{0}^{p} y 1) + X_{m,n} (y_{m}^{n+n} \frac{2n}{m} \frac{x}{n} \frac{y}{1}) = C_{m}^{m} \frac{n+2}{p} I^{m} y^{n} 5; \qquad (C.5)$$

which can nally be be written in a compact analytical form Eq. (21):

$$D_{1}(1;y) = y_{0}^{2}(1) \quad {}_{0}^{2}(e^{1}+1)\frac{y}{1}I_{2}(2_{0}^{p}\overline{y1}) + {}_{0}^{3}\frac{y}{1}^{3=2}(e^{1}-1)I_{3}(2_{0}^{p}\overline{y1}): \quad (C.6)$$