

KUNS-1371
HE (TH)95/21
hep-ph/?????????
January, 1996

Possible Candidates for SUSY E_6 GUT with an Intermediate Scale

Joe Sato¹

Department of Physics, Kyoto University,
Kyoto 606-01, Japan

Abstract

We study the possibility of an intermediate scale existing in supersymmetric E_6 grand unified theories. The intermediate scale is demanded to be around 10^{12} GeV so that neutrinos can obtain masses suitable for explaining the experimental data on the deficit of solar neutrinos with the Mikheev-Smirnov-Wolfenstein solution and the existence of hot dark matter. We require that at the intermediate scale, a certain symmetry breakdown to the Standard Model symmetry. We show that only a few E_6 subgroups are likely to be realized as the intermediate symmetry, though there are many candidates for the intermediate symmetry in E_6 GUT.

¹e-mail: js@gaug.sophys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

When we construct a Grand Unified Theory (GUT) based on $SO(10)$ [1] and E_6 [2], in general, we have many extra fields which are contained in the same multiplets as those of the quarks and leptons. Under the Standard Model (SM) they can have mass terms because they belong to a real representation under the SM symmetry: some of them are singlet fermions under the SM and the others appear with their complex conjugate representation. Singlet fermions may play the role of right-handed neutrinos. Then the scale of the extra fields is expected to be a scale below which the SM is realized².

It is well known that in the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) the present experimental values of gauge couplings are successfully unified at a unification scale $M_U \sim 10^{16}$ GeV [3]. This fact implies that if we would like to consider the gauge unification, it is favorable that the symmetry of the GUT breaks down to that of the SM at the unification scale. In this case the scale of the right-handed neutrinos M_R and that of the other extra fields are expected to be the unification scale M_U . This means also that there is no intermediate scale between the supersymmetry (SUSY) breaking scale and the unification scale.

On the other hand it is said that $M_R \sim 10^{10-12}$ GeV [4]. The experimental data on the deficit of the solar neutrino can be explained by the Mikheyev-Smirnov-Wolfenstein (MSW) solution [5]. According to one of the MSW solutions, the mass of the muon neutrino seems to be $m \sim 10^{-3}$ eV. Such a small mass can occur by the seesaw mechanism [6]: a muon neutrino can acquire a mass of $O(10^{-3})$ eV if the Majorana mass of the right-handed muon neutrino is about 10^{12} GeV.

Then how can the right-handed neutrinos acquire masses of about 10^{12} GeV when we have no scale other than M_U ? There are several possibilities for the right-handed neutrinos to obtain masses of the intermediate scale, $M_R \sim 10^{12}$ GeV. First, radiative correction of GUT scale physics, what we call the Witten mechanism [7], can induce M_R . In a supersymmetric model, however, this mechanism cannot work because the non-renormalization theorem [8] protects induction of terms via radiative corrections which are not contained in the original Lagrangian. The second possibility is that the Yukawa coupling of right-handed Majorana neutrino is so small that the mass may be the intermediate scale even if it originates at the GUT scale. Third, singlet Higgs particles develop a vacuum expectation value at the intermediate scale to supply the mass of M_R to ν_R . In unrenormalizable models such as supergravity these latter two possibilities may be realized.

Our point of view is, however, that it is more natural to consider that one energy scale corresponds to a dynamical phenomenon, for instance a symmetry breaking. Thus we are led to another possibility: that a certain intermediate group breaks down to the standard group at the intermediate scale at which right-handed neutrinos gain mass. This idea is consistent with the survival hypothesis. In previous papers we examined whether it is possible to have an intermediate symmetry in a SUSY $SO(10)$ GUT [9, 10]. We saw

² In the case that E_6 breaks down to the SM symmetry with several scales, the scale of the extra fields may be higher than the scale below which the SM is realized.

that there is a possibility to have a SUSY SO (10) GUT with an intermediate symmetry $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(3)_C \times U(1)_{B-L}$ [9] and actually we can construct such a SUSY SO (10) GUT [10].

In this paper we consider E_6 GUT as an extension of SO (10) GUT. In E_6 GUT one family is embedded into one irreducible multiplet. We examine whether we can have an intermediate symmetry in a SUSY E_6 GUT using the same method of ref. [9].

First we show what groups can be the intermediate symmetry. Next we give a brief review of the method of ref. [9]. Then we give the result. Finally we give a summary and discussion.

2 Intermediate Group

2.1 Matter content

One quark and lepton family is embedded in E_6 27. Then there are 12 extra matter fields in 27. According to their quantum number we denote them as follows. There are two SM singlets. We label them ψ_R . When we need to distinguish them from each other, we use a subscript 1 or 2. Others have the same quantum numbers as those of the right-handed down type quark d^c and its charge conjugate \bar{d}^c and those of lepton doublet l and its charge conjugate \bar{l} . The definition of notation for quarks and leptons is as follows.

E_6	$SU(2)_L$	$SU(3)_C$	$U(1)_Y$	
27	$(2, 3, 1/6)$			q
	$(1, \bar{3}, -2/3)$			u^c
2	$(1, \bar{3}, 1/3)$			$d_1^c; d_2^c$
2	$(2, 1, -1/2)$			$l_1; l_2$
	$(1, 1, 1)$			e^c
	$(1, 3, -1/3)$			\bar{d}^c
	$(2, 1, 1/2)$			\bar{l}
2	$(1, 1, 0)$			$\psi_{R1}; \psi_{R2}$

2.2 Intermediate Group

We have many kinds of $G_{\text{intermediate}}$. We list all of them and show the assignment of quantum numbers. We refer to the regular maximal subgroup of E_6 to find $G_{\text{intermediate}}$. To know the decomposition of representations and subgroups, see ref. [11]. There are three regular maximal subgroups in E_6 :

- A) $SO(10) \times U(1)$
- B) $SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3)$
- C) $SU(2) \times SU(6)$:

$G_{\text{intermediate}}$ must consist of at least three simple groups because at the intermediate scale none of the three gauge couplings coincide with the other. Moreover, one of the simple groups must contain $SU(3)_C$, the color group and one of the other must contain $SU(2)_L$, the weak group.

A) Subgroup contained in $SO(10) \times U(1)_X$

Under $SO(10) \times U(1)_X$, $E_6 27$ becomes $1(4) + 10(-2) + 16(1)$.

By the reason mentioned above, we have to consider the subgroups of $SO(10) \times U(1)_X$: $SO(10) \times U(1)_X \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(4) \times U(1)$. Under this subgroup, $E_6 27$ is decomposed to be $(1,1,1)(4) + (2,2,1)(-2) + (1,1,6)(-2) + (2,1,4)(1) + (1,2,\bar{4})(1)$. One of the $SU(2)$'s must be identified with $SU(2)_L$. We can give two ways of meaning to the other $SU(2)$ according to the definition of the hypercharge: i) One is what we call $SU(2)_R$. ii) The other is the diagonal group to the SM group.

Thus we see subgroups as follows:

- i) 1) $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(4)_{PS} \times U(1)_X$;
- 2) $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_R \times SU(4)_{PS} \times U(1)_X$;
- 3) $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(3)_C \times U(1)_{B-L} \times U(1)_X$
- ii) 4) $SU(2)_L \times SU(4)_{PS^0} \times U(1)_X \times G_4$

$G_4 = SU(2)$ and its subgroups:

In the case i) the $U(1)_X$ is irrelevant to the SM symmetry. Quarks and leptons are contained in $SO(10) 16$. The subgroup is recognized as a direct product of Pati-Salam group $(SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(4)_{PS})$ [13] and $U(1)_X$. There are also subgroups without $U(1)_X$. Such subgroups are $SO(10)$ subgroups and hence when one of those subgroups is realized as the intermediate symmetry, we cannot see any difference from $SO(10)$ GUT [9]. We will not consider these three groups in this paper. The groups 1) - 3) have $U(1)_X$, a reflection of E_6 GUT.

In the case ii) the hypercharge Y is given by $1/4 X - 1/12 PS'$, where PS' is $SU(4) T^{15}$ component charge. $T_4^{15} = \text{diag}(1,1,1,-3)g$. The way of embedding quark and lepton is as follows:

$SU(2)_L$	$SU(4)_{PS^0}$	$U(1)_X$	$SU(2)_L$	$SU(3)_C$	$U(1)_Y$
$(1,1,4)$			$(1,1,1)$		e^c
$(2,1,-2)$			$(2,1,-1/2)$		l
$(1,6,-2)$			$(1,\bar{3},-2/3) + (1,3,-1/3)$		$u^c; \bar{d}^c$
$(2,4,1)$			$(2,3,1/6) + (2,1,1/2)$		$q; \bar{l}$
$(1,\bar{4},1)$			$(1,\bar{3},-2/3) + (1,1,0)$		d^c, \bar{r}

There are other ii-type subgroups with a form of "the SM symmetry" $\times G$ where G are $SU(2) \times U(1)$ and its subgroups. In the case that one of those groups is realized as the intermediate group, we don't have any constraint from the unification condition. The reason is that though there are extra multiplets contained 27 of E_6 in the intermediate

region, these multiplets are identified with 10 of SO (10), an irreducible representation of a simple group. When we add a full multiplet of a simple group like SO (10), the prediction of the gauge unification by MSSM is not spoiled. Thus we don't consider these subgroups here.

B) Subgroups contained in SU (3) SU (3) SU (3)

Under SU (3) SU (3) SU (3), $E_6 27$ becomes $(\bar{3}, 3, 1) + (3, 1, 3) + (1, \bar{3}, \bar{3})$.

In this case one of the SU (3)'s is identified with SU (3)_C and one of the others is identified with SU (3)_L, the group containing SU (2)_L. We have following subgroups here:³

- 5) SU (3)_L SU (3)_R SU (3)_C;
- 6) SU (2)_L SU (3)_R SU (3)_C U (1)_Z;
- 7) SU (3)_L SU (2)_R SU (3)_C U (1)_{Z0};
- 8) SU (3)_L U (1)_{R0} SU (3)_C G₈; G₈ = SU (2) and its subgroups:

The hypercharge Y is given by $1/6 Z - 1/2 T_R^3 + 1/6 Z'$, where Z and Z' are SU (3) T⁸ component charge. $T_3^8 = \text{diag}(1, 1, -2)$. T_R^3 is an SU (2)_R generator and defined by $\text{diag}(1, -1)$. R' is given by $3/2 T_R^3 - 1/2 Z$. The way of embedding quark and lepton is as follows:

SU (3) _L	SU (3)	SU (3) _C	SU (2) _L	SU (3) _C	U (1) _Y	
$(\bar{3}, 3, 1)$			2	$(2, 1, -1/2) + (2, 1, 1/2) + 2$	$(1, 1, 0) + (1, 1, 1)$	$l; \bar{l}; e^c$
$(3, 1, 3)$				$(2, 3, 1/6) + (1, 3, -1/3)$		$q; d^c$
$(1, \bar{3}, \bar{3})$				$(1, \bar{3}, -2/3) + 2$	$(1, 3, 1/3)$	$u^c; d^c$

C) Subgroups contained in SU (2) SU (6)

First we decompose SU (6) into its subgroup because, as mentioned above, there must exist at least three simple groups.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \supseteq \\
 \supseteq \\
 \supseteq
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{l}
 \text{SU (5) U (1);} \\
 \text{SU (2) SU (4) U (1);} \\
 \text{SU (3) SU (3) U (1):}
 \end{array}$$

The second group and its subgroups are same as that appearing in A) (SO (10) case). The third one coincides with B) case.

Then as the subgroup of SU (6) we consider only SU (5) U (1). As mentioned above, the SU (2) is identified with SU (2)_L. SU (5) is identified with SU (5)_C, a group which contains SU (3) color group. Under SU (2)_L SU (5)_C U (1), 27 is decomposed to $(2, \bar{5}, -1)$

³ Exactly, we have the subgroup 3) as the subgroup of SU (3) SU (3) SU (3). We omit such a duplication in the following.

+ (2,1,5) + (1,5,-4) + (1,10,2). We can give two ways of meaning on SU(5)_C according to the definition of the hypercharge.

$$\begin{aligned} 9) & \quad \text{SU}(2)_L \quad \text{SU}(5)_C \quad \text{U}(1)_W ; \\ 10) & \quad \text{SU}(2)_L \quad \text{SU}(5)_{C^0} \quad \text{U}(1)_W : \end{aligned}$$

To give hypercharge first we see SU(2)_R SU(3)_C U(1)_X, the subgroup of SU(5). Under it SU(5) 5 is (2,1,3) + (1,3,-2). Then the hypercharge Y is equal to 2/15 X + 1/10 W in 9) and 1/30 X + 1/2 T_R³ - 1/10 W' in 10). The way of embedding the quarks and leptons is as follows:⁴

$$\begin{array}{llll} 9) & \text{SU}(2)_L & \text{SU}(5)_C & \text{U}(1)_W & \text{SU}(2)_L & \text{SU}(3)_C & \text{U}(1)_Y & \\ & (2, \bar{5}, -1) & & & 2 & (2, 1, -1/2) + (2, \bar{3}, 1/6) & & \bar{l}; q \\ & (2, 1, 5) & & & & (2, 1, 1/2) & & \bar{l} \\ & (1, 5, -4) & & & 2 & (1, 1, 0) + (1, 3, -2/3) & & \bar{e}; \bar{u}^c \\ & (1, 10, 2) & & & & (1, 1, 1) + (1, \bar{3}, -1/3) + 2(1, 3, 1/3) & & e^c; \bar{d}^c; d^c \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{llll} 10) & \text{SU}(2)_L & \text{SU}(5)_C & \text{U}(1)_W & \text{SU}(2)_L & \text{SU}(3)_C & \text{U}(1)_Y & \\ & (2, \bar{5}, -1) & & & & (2, 1, -1/2) + (2, \bar{3}, 1/6) & & \bar{l}; \bar{l}; q \\ & (2, 1, 5) & & & & (2, 1, 1/2) & & l \\ & (1, 5, -4) & & & & (1, 1, 1) + (1, 1, 0) + (1, 3, 1/3) & & e^c; \bar{e}; \bar{d}^c \\ & (1, 10, 2) & & & & (1, 1, 0) + (1, \bar{3}, -1/3) + (1, 3, -2/3) + (1, 3, 1/3) & & \bar{e}; \bar{d}^c; u^c; d^c \end{array}$$

Their subgroups are the same as the groups appearing in A) and omitted here. Thus as the intermediate group we must consider these 10 subgroups.

3 Unification Condition

Here we give a brief review of ref.[9].

The outline of our scenario is

$$E_6 \rightarrow G_{\text{intermediate}} \rightarrow \text{MSSM};$$

where $G_{\text{intermediate}}$ is a subgroup of E_6 and contains the SM symmetry. One quark and lepton family are contained in E_6 27.

We require that there must exist multiplets which can give mass to the right-handed neutrino and also, if they exist, to the other extra fields at the intermediate scale where the intermediate symmetry breaks down to the SM. In general, by introducing such multiplets the gauge unification by MSSM is spoiled. We cannot achieve the gauge unification without introducing several multiplets at the intermediate region between the GUT scale

⁴ In the following table the definition of 3 and $\bar{3}$ is opposite to the standard one.

and the intermediate scale, in addition to ordinary matter, three generations of quarks and leptons and a pair of so-called Higgs doublets.

What condition is required of the multiplets at the intermediate scale to recover the gauge unification? In the following we make an analysis based on the RGE up to one loop. We show the condition on the beta functions at the intermediate scale in order to achieve the unification of gauge couplings. The conditions of the unification are described by

$$\begin{aligned} b_Y^1(M_S) &= b_Y^1(M_U) + \frac{1}{2}b_Y R + \frac{1}{2}b_Y^0(U - R); \\ b_L^1(M_S) &= b_L^1(M_U) + \frac{1}{2}b_L R + \frac{1}{2}b_L^0(U - R); \\ b_C^1(M_S) &= b_C^1(M_U) + \frac{1}{2}b_C R + \frac{1}{2}b_C^0(U - R); \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

b_i ($i = Y; L; C$)'s with dash and without dash denote the beta function in the lower scale and higher scale than the intermediate scale M_R , respectively. M_S is a certain scale which is usually taken to be the SUSY breaking scale. R and U are defined by

$$R = \ln \frac{M_R}{M_S}; \quad U = \ln \frac{M_U}{M_S}; \quad (2)$$

These equations lead to the relation which R and U must satisfy,

$$\begin{aligned} (b_Y - b_L)R + (b_Y^0 - b_L^0)(U - R) &= 2(b_Y^1(M_S) - b_L^1(M_S)); \\ (b_C - b_L)R + (b_C^0 - b_L^0)(U - R) &= 2(b_C^1(M_S) - b_L^1(M_S)); \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here we have assumed that in the lower scale M_{SSM} is realized, so the equation (3) always has a solution $U = R$, which corresponds to the case where there is no intermediate scale physics. Therefore if there is a nontrivial intermediate scale R , the beta functions must satisfy the following condition,

$$(b_Y - b_L)(b_C^0 - b_L^0) - (b_C - b_L)(b_Y^0 - b_L^0) = 0; \quad (4)$$

Since the beta functions in the M_{SSM} are given by

$$b_Y = \frac{33}{5}; \quad b_L = 1; \quad b_C = -3; \quad (5)$$

the beta functions between the intermediate scale M_R and GUT scale M_U must satisfy the equation,

$$5b_Y^0 - 12b_L^0 + 7b_C^0 = 0; \quad (6)$$

which we call "the unification condition".⁵ This is a necessary condition on the gauge coupling unification under the assumption that M_{SSM} is realized in the lower scale. When

⁵Though $b_Y^0 = b_L^0 = b_C^0$ satisfies the unification condition, in this case the condition that all couplings are unified is not fulfilled. Therefore this case is excluded.

the equation (6) is satisfied, M_R becomes an arbitrary parameter. Therefore we introduce an intermediate scale M_R as an input parameter.

Using the unification condition for the beta functions we make an analysis as follows: Taking one combination of matter content on the intermediate physics, we see whether the unification condition is fulfilled or not⁶. If this is the case, we can calculate the unified scale M_U and the gauge coupling $g_U(M_U)$ at the unified scale using following equations,

$$\begin{aligned} M_U &= M_R \exp \left[2 \frac{b_Y^1(M_R) - b_L^1(M_R)}{b_Y^0 - b_L^0} \right]; \\ g_U(M_U) &= g_L^1(M_R) \frac{1}{2} b_L^0(M_R); \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

once M_R and $g_i^1(M_R)$'s are given.

In principle we can calculate $g_i^1(M_R)$'s from low-energy experimental values of g_i^1 's according to the RGE. We choose, however, another way to calculate $g_i^1(M_R)$ in order to avoid ambiguities such as the SUSY breaking scale M_S , the strong coupling g_C , and so on. Because we already know the unification scale M_U^{MSSM} and $g_U^{MSSM}(M_U^{MSSM})$ in $MSSM$ GUT and above the intermediate scale considered in this paper all couplings g_i 's are small enough for one-loop approximation of RGE to work well, we calculate $g_i^1(M_R)$'s from the input parameter $g_U^{MSSM}(M_U^{MSSM})$ at the GUT scale M_U^{MSSM} . We choose input parameters from ref.[3] as follows,

$$M_U^{MSSM} = 10^{16.3} \text{ GeV}; \quad g_U^{MSSM}(M_U^{MSSM}) = 25.7; \quad (8)$$

Then we select the matter content which satisfies the following phenomenological criteria:

1. The unified scale M_U is larger than 10^{16} GeV. This is necessary for suppression of proton decay [12].
2. The intermediate scale is taken at 10^{10} , 10^{11} , 10^{12} , 10^{13} or 10^{14} GeV because of right-handed neutrino masses.
3. A new colored Higgs is not contained in the intermediate physics. This is needed also for suppression of proton decay [12].

A search was made for all possible combinations of matter contents by using a computer. This is actually possible because the number of each matter multiplet which we can take into account simultaneously cannot be very large due to the conditions we have already mentioned; generally, the larger the number of matter contents is, the bigger their contributions to beta functions are and the stronger the corresponding couplings g_i 's become. As a result $g_U(M_U)$ becomes negative below the unification scale M_U .

⁶ Candidates for the matter contents in the intermediate region are multiplets included in representations 27, 78, 351, 351' and 650 of E_6 . This is just an assumption. In general, however, in the models mentioned above it is difficult to include a representation which is contained in a higher representation of E_6 only. See the statement at the end of this section.

4 Result

Here we list the results in order. As matter contents which satisfies the unification condition eq.(6) we show matter content which leads to the smallest unified coupling.

A) Subgroups of $SO(10) \times U(1)$

In the case of i), the results are same as those given in ref.[9] ($SO(10)$ GUT case), because extra $U(1)_X$ is orthogonal to the $SO(10)$. Of course, since there are extra multiplets in the intermediate region as a result of E_6 GUT, the unified couplings are calculated to be smaller.

When $SU(4)$ is realized as the intermediate symmetry, as case 1) and 2), it is hard to have a solution. To give mass to the right-handed neutrino we have to introduce 10 of $SU(4)$. Its contribution to the β function is so big that it makes the inverse of the unification coupling, $g_U^{-1}(M_U)$, much smaller. The details are given in ref.[9].

In case 3) we have many solutions. As an example we show a result which gives the smallest value of $g_U^{-1} = 16.8^7$.

$$g_U^{-1}(M_U) = 16.8; M_U = 10^{16.3} \text{ GeV} \quad M_R = 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$$

Higgs contents

$$\begin{aligned} (1;3;1;6;-2) + h.c & 1 \\ (2;2;1;0;-2) + h.c & 1 \\ (3;1;1;0;0) & 1 \\ (1;1;8;0;0) & 1 \end{aligned}$$

In the case of another M_R , $g_U^{-1}(M_U)$ is slightly varied, though M_U does not change. The notation $(1,3,1,6,-2) + h.c$ indicates that the representation of the Higgs under the subgroup 3) is $(1,3,1,6,-2) + h.c$ and there is a single field. $(1,3,1,6,-2) + h.c$ is responsible for the right-handed neutrino mass. $(2,2,1,0,-2)$ can be regarded as the standard Higgs in the MSSM.

In the case of ii), as mentioned above, since $SU(4)$ is contained in the intermediate symmetry, generally it is difficult to have a solution. In other words the unified coupling is, in general, calculated to be larger. When G_4 is $SU(2)^8$, for example,

$$g_U^{-1}(M_U) = 10.9; M_U = 10^{16.3} \text{ GeV} \quad M_R = 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$$

Higgs contents

⁷ We can add an arbitrarily $(1,1,1,0,x) + h.c$, where x is a charge of $U(1)_X$. For example $(1,1,1,0,4)$ is contained in E_6 27. Such a multiplet is a singlet under the SM and hence it does not contribute to the running of the gauge couplings. Actually we need $(1,1,1,0,4) + h.c$ in addition to $(1,3,1,6,-2) + h.c$ to break the intermediate symmetry down to the SM symmetry.

⁸ We can add any number of $(1,1,0,n)$ where n is an arbitrary representation of G_4 since it is a singlet under the SM gauge group

$$\begin{aligned}
(2;1; 2;2) + h.c & 1 \\
(2;4; 1;1) + h.c & 1 \\
(1;\bar{4};1;2) + h.c & 1 \\
(1;\bar{10};2;1) + h.c & 1 \\
(1;1;4;1) + h.c & 2 \\
(3;1;0;1) & 1
\end{aligned}$$

is a solution⁹. $(1;\bar{10};2;1) + h.c$ gives mass to right-handed neutrino. $(1;\bar{4};1;2) + h.c$ is responsible for mass terms of $d^c \bar{d}^c$ and $\bar{l}l$. $(2,1,-2,2) + h.c$ becomes the Higgs doublet for down type quarks and leptons. $(2,4,-1,1) + h.c$ becomes the Higgs doublet for up type quarks.

In the case that G_4 is $U(1)$ or null

$$U^1(M_U) = 12:4; M_U = 10^{16:3} \text{ GeV} \quad M_R = 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$$

Higgs contents

$$\begin{aligned}
(2;1; 2;1) + h.c & 3 \\
(2;4; 1;0) + h.c & 1 \\
(1;\bar{4};1;1) + h.c & 1 \\
(1;\bar{10};2;0) + h.c & 1 \\
(1;1;4;0) + h.c & 1
\end{aligned}$$

is a solution¹⁰.

B) Subgroups of $SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3)$

There is no solution in chain 5).

In the case that 6) is realized as the intermediate symmetry there are many solutions:

$$U^1(M_U) = 18:3; M_U = 10^{16:3} \text{ GeV} \quad M_R = 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$$

Higgs contents

$$\begin{aligned}
(1;3;1;2) + h.c & 2 \\
(2;3;1; 1) + h.c & 1 \\
(1;3;1; 4) + h.c & 1 \\
(1;1;3;4) + h.c & 2:
\end{aligned}$$

$(2,3,1,-1) + h.c$ become Higgs doublets under the SM. $(1,3,1,2) + h.c$ is needed for the mass term of d^c and \bar{d}^c . It is also necessary for $\bar{l}l$. $(1,3,1,-4) + h.c$ gives mass to R 's.

There are 9 solutions which leads the unified gauge coupling to 1/16.8 and 12 solutions corresponding to the unified gauge coupling 1/15.3. Thus this breaking chain seems to be hopeful.

Next we consider 7). There are many solutions though the unified coupling becomes rather high:¹¹

⁹ $(2,1,-2,2) + h.c$ and $(1,1,4,1) + h.c + (3,1,0,1)$ have same contribution to the unification condition and hence we can replace them with each other.

¹⁰ Two $(2,1,-2,1) + h.c$ can be replaced by $(1,1,4,0) + h.c + (3,1,0,0)$

¹¹ We can replace $(1,3,1,0)$ with $(1,2,1,3) + h.c$, $(1,1,6,-4) + h.c$ with $2 \times (1,3,1,0) + (1,1,6,2) + h.c$ and so on.

$$U^1(M_U) = 7:96; M_U = 10^{16:3} \text{ GeV} \quad M_R = 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$$

Higgs contents

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{(3;2;1;1)} + \text{h.c.} & 1 \\ \bar{(3;1;1; 2)} + \text{h.c.} & 1 \\ (6;2;1;1) + \text{h.c.} & 1 \\ (1;3;1;0) & 1: \\ (1;1;8;0) & 1 \\ (1;1;\bar{3}; 4) + \text{h.c.} & 1 \\ (1;1;6; 4) + \text{h.c.} & 1: \end{aligned}$$

$\bar{(3,2,1,1)} + \text{h.c.}$ and $\bar{(3,1,1,-2)} + \text{h.c.}$ become Higgs doublets under the SM. They are also necessary for the mass term of $d^c \bar{d}^c$ and $\bar{l}l$. $(6,2,1,1) + \text{h.c.}$ gives mass to the R 's.

Finally we give a result of 8). When G_8 is $SU(2)^{12}$,

$$U^1(M_U) = 12:4; M_U = 10^{16:3} \text{ GeV} \quad M_R = 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$$

Higgs contents

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{(3;1;1;2)} + \text{h.c.} & 2 \\ \bar{(3;1; 2;1)} + \text{h.c.} & 1 \\ (6;1; 2;1) + \text{h.c.} & 1 \\ (1;8;0;1) & 2 \end{aligned}$$

is a solution. $\bar{(3,1,1,2)} + \text{h.c.}$ and $\bar{(3,1,-2,1)} + \text{h.c.}$ become Higgs doublets under the SM. $\bar{(3,1,1,2)} + \text{h.c.}$ plays the role of giving the mass terms $d^c \bar{d}^c$ and $\bar{l}l$. $(6,1,-2,1) + \text{h.c.}$ gives mass to the R 's.

If G_8 is $U(1)$ or null, there are other solutions¹³:

$$U^1(M_U) = 12:4; M_U = 10^{16:3} \text{ GeV} \quad M_R = 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$$

Higgs contents

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{(3;1;1;1)} + \text{h.c.} & 1 \\ \bar{(3;1; 2;0)} + \text{h.c.} & 1 \\ (6;1; 2;0) + \text{h.c.} & 1 \\ (1;8;0;0) & 1 \\ (3;\bar{3};1;1) + \text{h.c.} & 1: \end{aligned}$$

C) Subgroups of $SU(2) \times SU(6)$

¹² We can add any number of $(1,1,0,n)$ where n is an arbitrary representation of G_8 since it is singlet under the SM gauge group.

¹³ $(3,\bar{3},1,1) + \text{h.c.}$ can be replaced with $(1,1,3,1) + \text{h.c.} + (3,3,0,0) + \text{h.c.}$

In the case 9) we have solutions, though the unified coupling is too big to believe that the subgroup 9) $SU(2)_L \times SU(5)_C \times U(1)_W$ is realized as the intermediate symmetry.

$$g_U^{-1}(M_U) = 6.48; \quad M_U = 10^{16.3} \text{ GeV} \quad M_R = 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$$

Higgs contents

$(1; 5; -4) + \text{h.c}$	1
$(2; 1; 5) + \text{h.c}$	2
$(2; \bar{5}; 1) + \text{h.c}$	1
$(1; \bar{10}; 8) + \text{h.c}$	1
$(3; 1; 0)$	2
$(1; 24; 0)$	2

$(1, 5, -4) + \text{h.c}$ plays the role of giving the mass term of $d^c \bar{d}^c$ and \bar{l} . $(2, \bar{5}, -1) + \text{h.c}$ become the down type Higgs doublet under the SM. $(2, 1, 5)$ is the up type Higgs doublet. $(1, \bar{10}, 8) + \text{h.c}$ gives mass to the ν_R 's.

On the other hand, there is no solution in 10). The reason is that in this case the representation of the necessary Higgs multiplets becomes very high.

5 Summary

In most case we have solutions which satisfy the unification condition eq.(6). Among them, however, only four intermediate groups can lead to a small unified coupling. 3) $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(3)_C \times U(1)_{B-L} \times U(1)_X$ and 6) $SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_C \times U(1)_Z$ seem possible to be realized as the intermediate group. 4) $SU(2)_L \times SU(4)_{PS^0} \times U(1)_X \times G_4$ and 8) $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_C \times U(1)_Z \times G_8$ may also be possible.

The reason why the unified coupling, in general, becomes rather big is that there are extra multiplets in the intermediate region which are contained in E_6 27. By the intermediate symmetry they cannot acquire mass. They contribute to the running of the gauge couplings. The extra multiplets lead the unified gauge coupling to larger value.

Thus in the case of E_6 GUT it is difficult to have a solution. We can pick up favorable subgroups for the intermediate symmetry, though there are many E_6 subgroups.

Since we have candidates for the intermediate symmetry and the matter content in the intermediate scale, it is possible to construct an E_6 GUT with an intermediate scale. Even in E_6 GUT we can consider the right-handed neutrino mass to be a reaction of symmetry breaking.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wish to acknowledge M. Bando and T. Takahashi for valuable comments and discussion and thanks A. Bordner for proof reading.

References

- [1] H. Georgi, in *Particle and Fields*, edited by C. E. Carlson, (American Institute of Physics, 1975); H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, *Ann. Phys.* 93, 193 (1975)
- [2] F. Gursey, P. Ramond and P. Sikivie, *Phys. Lett. B* 60, 177 (1976); Y. Achiman and B. Stech, *Phys. Lett. B* 77 (1978). *Ann. Phys.* 93, 193 (1975).
- [3] U. Amaldi, W. Boer and H. Furstenau, *Phys. Lett. B* 260, 447 (1991); P. Langacker and M. Luo, *Phys. Rev. D* 44, 817 (1991).
- [4] For a review, M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, in *Physics and Astrophysics of Neutrinos*, edited by M. Fukugita and A. Suzuki, (Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 1994); *Neutrinos* edited by H. V. Klapdor (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1988).
- [5] L. Wolfenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* 17, 2369 (1978); S. P. Mikheev and A. Yu. Smirnov, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 42, 913 (1985)
- [6] T. Yanagida, in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Unified Theory and Baryon Number in the Universe*, edited by A. Sawada and H. Sugawara, (KEK, Thukuba, Japan, 1979); M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, in *Supergravity*, edited by F. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Freedman, (North Holland, 1979).
- [7] E. Witten, *Phys. Lett.* 91B, 81 (1980).
- [8] M. T. Grisaru, M. Rocek and W. Siegel, *Nucl. Phys. B* 159, 429 (1979).
- [9] M. Bando, J. Sato and T. Takahashi, *Phys. Rev. D* 52, 3076 (1995).
- [10] J. Sato, KUNS-1353 (hep-ph/9508269). To appear in *Phys. Rev.*
- [11] R. Slansky, *Phys. Rep.* 79, 1 (1981).
- [12] For a review, W. Lucha, *Comm. Nucl. Part. Phys.* 16, 155 (1986); P. Langacker, *Phys. Rep.* 72, 185 (1981).
- [13] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, *Phys. Rev. D* 10, 275 (1974)