

SUSY model with R-parity violation, longlived charged slepton and quasistable supermatter

N.V. Krasnikov

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow 117312

January, 1996

Abstract

We construct two SUSY $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ electroweak models with superweak R-parity violation. The scale of R-parity violation in one of the models is determined by the Majorana mass of neutrino and it is very small that leads to the existence of longlived ($\tau \sim 10^{-4}$ sec) lightest superparticle. If lightest superparticle is righthanded charged slepton that can be realized within gaugino dominated scenario then the phenomenology of such model differs in a drastic way from the standard SUSY phenomenology, in particular, longlived charged sleptons can form bound states with ordinary matter – quasistable supermatter (SUSY analogs of mu-atoms and muonium). We discuss possible manifestations of the existence of such longlived charged particle at LEP2, TEVATRON and LHC. We also construct $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ model with Majorana mass and superweak R-parity violation.

Preprint INR 96/0916

Supersymmetric electroweak models offer the simplest solution of the gauge hierarchy problem [1]–[4]. In real life supersymmetry has to be broken and the masses of superparticles have to be lighter than $O(1)\text{TeV}$ provided the supersymmetry solves the gauge hierarchy problem [4]. Supergravity gives natural explanation of the supersymmetry breaking, namely, an account of the supergravity breaking in hidden sector leads to soft supersymmetry breaking in observable sector [4]. For the supersymmetric extension of the Weinberg-Salam model soft supersymmetry breaking terms usually consist of the gaugino mass terms, squark and slepton mass terms with the same mass at Planck scale and trilinear soft scalar terms proportional to the superpotential [4]. Other standard assumption is that the "Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model" (MSSM) conserves R -parity [4]. In the MSSM the superpartners of the standard model states are R -odd while all standard model states are R -even. As a consequence of R -parity conservation the new supersymmetric states can only be produced in pairs and supersymmetric states can't decay into ordinary particles so the lightest superparticle (LSP) is stable. This has very important effect on the supersymmetry search. Namely, experimental searches for new supersymmetric particles are based on pair production of superparticles and the typical SUSY signature involves missing p_T momentum as a signal for SUSY particles production. However R need not to be conserved in supersymmetric extensions of the Weinberg-Salam model. Moreover the most general form for the renormalized superpotential in MSSM contains terms which explicitly violate R that leads in general to the nonconservation of lepton number and proton decay. The phenomenology of the models with R -violation has been discussed in refs.[5]–[13] in the assumption that the lightest superparticle is electrically neutral ($U(1)$ gaugino or neutral Higgsino). For longlived gaugino missing p_T momentum signature disappears, however typically such models predict the excess of multileptons due to superparticle decays that allows to discover SUSY even for the case of R -parity breaking. For the lightest superparticle decaying outside the detector the phenomenology coincides with the standard one.

In this paper we construct two SUSY $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ models with R -parity violation. In the first model the R -parity violation is due to the exchange of the heavy

singlet super eld. In the second model the scale of R-breaking is determined by the neutrino Majorana mass and as a consequence the lightest superparticle is longlived $T_{\text{ls}} \sim 10^{-4}$ sek. We assume that the lightest superparticle is charged righthanded slepton. The situation when the lightest superparticle is righthanded slepton is realized within supergravity motivated models for the case of gaugino dominated scenario (at GUT scale slepton and squark masses are small compared to gaugino masses). Longlived charged slepton can form bound states with ordinary leptons and nuclei – quasistable supermatter (analogs of muonium and mu-atoms). We discuss the manifestations of the existence of quasistable charged slepton at LEP2, TEVATRON and LHC. For the case when the lightest superparticle is neutralino the phenomenology coincides with the standard one that is not very interesting. We also construct $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ model with naturally small R-violation.

Let us add to the minimal supersymmetric $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ model two singlet super elds ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 . The superpotential of the model has the form (here we restricted ourselves to the third generation)

$$W = W_m + W_t + W_b; \quad (1)$$

$$W_m = h_t Q H_1 t + h_b Q H_2 b + h_L L H_2; \quad (2)$$

$$W = \phi_1 H_1 H_2 + \phi_2 L H_1 + M \phi_1 \phi_2; \quad (3)$$

$$W = H_1 H_2 \quad (4)$$

Here $Q = (t; b)_L$, $L = (\nu; e)_L$, $H_1 = (H_{11}; H_{12})$, $H_2 = (H_{21}; H_{22})$, $t = t_R^c$, $b = b_R^c$, $\nu = \nu_R^c$, $H_1 H_2 = \epsilon^{ij} H_{1i} H_{2j}$. The superpotentials W_m and W are invariant under the transformations

$$H_1 \rightarrow \exp(i\alpha_1) H_1; \quad (5)$$

$$H_2 \rightarrow \exp(i\alpha_2) H_2; \quad (6)$$

$$L \rightarrow \exp(-i\alpha_1 - 2i\alpha_2) L; \quad (7)$$

$$Q \rightarrow \exp(i\alpha_4) Q; \quad (8)$$

$$t = \exp(-i_4 - i_1)t; \quad (9)$$

$$b = \exp(-i_2 - i_4)b; \quad (10)$$

$$1 = \exp(2i_1); \quad (11)$$

while the term W breaks the symmetry (5-6) in a soft way. The symmetry (5-11) prohibits renormalizable R-parity violating terms

$$W = \sum_{ijk} L_i L_j l_k + \sum_{ijk}^0 L_i Q_j d_k + \sum_{ijk}^\infty u_i d_j d_k \quad (12)$$

Here L_i, l_j, Q_j, u_j, d_k are lepton doublets, charged lepton singlets, quark doublets, up quark singlets, down quark singlets respectively. After integration over the heavy superfields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 we find an effective superpotential violating R-parity

$$W_R = k H_1 H_2 L H_1; \quad (13)$$

where

$$k = \frac{1}{M^2} \quad (14)$$

The smallness of R-parity violation in considered model is due to assumed big mass M of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 singlets.

Consider now electroweak $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ supersymmetric model with righthanded neutrino. The superpotential of the model for the third generation has the form

$$W = W_m + W_1; \quad (15)$$

$$W_m = h_t Q H_1 t + h_b Q H_2 b + h_1 L H_1 + h_2 L H_2; \quad (16)$$

$$W_1 = -H_1 H_2 + \frac{M}{2} H_1 H_2 \quad (17)$$

Here $\mu = \frac{c}{R}$. The superpotential W_m conserves R-parity while the term $-H_1 H_2$ in the superpotential W_1 violates R-parity. After integration over neutrino superfield we find an effective R-parity violating superpotential (13) with coefficient

$$k = \frac{h}{M} \quad (18)$$

The smallness of R-parity violation is due to big neutrino Majorana mass M . We shall consider the most interesting case when the righthanded $\bar{\nu}$ -slepton is the lightest superparticle. Such situation takes place in the so called gaugino dominated scenario [14]–[16]. Really, in supergravity motivated models standard assumption is that at GUT scale soft supersymmetry breaking terms have very simple structure: all squark and slepton masses coincide, gaugino masses also coincide and the trilinear soft supersymmetry breaking term is proportional to the superpotential. In such scenario the lightest superpartner of quarks and sleptons is the righthanded slepton [17]–[18]. For the case when Yukawa coupling constants of leptons are negligible all righthanded sleptons are degenerate in mass. In terms of soft supersymmetry breaking parameters m_0 (common squark and slepton mass at GUT scale) and $m_{1=2}$ (common gaugino mass) righthanded slepton mass is given by the formula [18]

$$m_{E_R}^2 = m_0^2 + 0.14m_{1=2}^2 - 0.22 \cos(2\beta) M_Z^2 \quad (19)$$

For the case of the third generation the $\bar{\nu}$ -lepton Yukawa coupling is the biggest one among leptons and an account of nonzero Yukawa coupling effects leads to the decrease of the corresponding righthanded slepton masses [18], so the righthanded $\bar{\nu}$ -slepton is the lightest particle among squarks and sleptons. For gaugino masses an account of evolution from GUT scale to observable electroweak scale leads to the formula [18]

$$M_i = \frac{g_i(M_Z)}{g_{\text{GUT}}} m_{1=2} \quad (20)$$

Gaugino associated with U(1) gauge group is the lightest particle among gauginos and numerically its mass is given by the formula

$$M_1 = 0.43 m_{1=2} \quad (21)$$

Comparing formulae (15) and (21) we find that for gaugino dominated scenario [14]–[16] ($m_0 = m_{1=2}$, to be precise for $m_0 = 0.17 m_{1=2}$) the righthanded $\bar{\nu}$ -slepton is the lightest superparticle. In principle the higgsino can be the lightest superparticle. However if we require that radiative corrections to the tree level effective potential give the correct electroweak vacuum then it is possible to determine numerically the higgsino mass in

terms of m_0 and $m_{1=2}$. We have checked that for the gaugino dominated scenario the righthanded slepton is really the lightest superparticle. It should be noted that gaugino dominated scenario allows to solve [19] the problem with flavor changing neutral currents arising due to nonuniversal squark mass matrix. For the case $m_0 = 0.17m_{1=2}$ we reproduce standard and not very interesting for us case when $U(1)$ gaugino (its mixture with other neutralino) is the lightest superparticle. We shall assume in this paper that the lightest superparticle is the charged righthanded \tilde{e} -slepton. For the model with exact R -parity the existence of stable electrically charged particle contradicts to the experimental data on abundances of anomalous superheavy isotopes [20]–[22], however for models with explicitly broken R -parity the existence of longlived charged superparticle does not contradict to arguments based on abundances of heavy isotopes.

In considered models righthanded \tilde{e} -slepton will decay into lefthanded \tilde{e} -lepton and $\tilde{\nu}_e$ -neutrino. After electroweak symmetry breaking ($\langle H_1 \rangle \neq 0$, $\langle H_2 \rangle \neq 0$) we find that the effective Lagrangian describing the righthanded \tilde{e} -slepton decay into neutrino and \tilde{e} -lepton has the form

$$\mathcal{L} \supset = h \tilde{\chi}_R^+ \tilde{e}_L \tilde{e}_L + h.c.; \quad (22)$$

where

$$h = \frac{kh \langle H_1 \rangle \langle H_2 \rangle}{M} \quad (23)$$

In the second model the smallness of the neutrino mass is due to see-saw mechanism [23], namely

$$m_\nu = \frac{(\langle H_1 \rangle h)^2}{M} \quad (24)$$

So the coupling constant h can be rewritten in the form

$$h = \frac{m_\nu}{m_D}; \quad (25)$$

where $m_\nu = h \langle H_2 \rangle$ and $m_D = h \langle H_1 \rangle$. For the Lagrangian (22) the decay width of the righthanded \tilde{e} -slepton into \tilde{e} -lepton and $\tilde{\nu}_e$ -neutrino is determined by the formula

$$\Gamma(\tilde{\chi}_R \rightarrow \tilde{e} \tilde{\nu}_e) = \frac{h^2 M_\nu}{16} \quad (26)$$

From upper cosmological bound $m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} < 10$ eV on neutrino mass in the assumption that $m_D = m$ and $m = 100$ GeV we find that the lifetime of the righthanded $\tilde{\nu}_R$ -slepton is

$$T_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \approx 0.1 (m/100 \text{ GeV})^2 \text{ sec} \quad (27)$$

For the first model in the suggestion that $\tilde{g}_1 = 1$, $\tilde{g}_2 = 1$ and the \tilde{g}_1 and \tilde{g}_2 supersinglet mass is determined by GUT scale $M = M_{\text{GUT}} = 10^6$ GeV. we find that righthanded slepton lifetime is $T_{\text{lspl}} \approx$ several years.

Therefore we find that in constructed models the lightest superparticle is longlived charged particle (it is not decay within detector) that leads to the different strategy for the search for supersymmetry at supercolliders. Such longlived charged particles can form boundary states with nuclei and electrons - quasistable supermatter (analogs of mu-atoms and muonium). If neutralino is the lightest superparticle then it is also longlived and decays outside the detector so the supercollider phenomenology coincides with the standard one that is not very interesting.

Consider briefly the phenomenology of such longlived particles. At LEP 2 the cross section of the reaction

$$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_R^+ \tilde{\nu}_R \quad (28)$$

is well known [24]-[25] and it is equal to 0.064 pb (0.033 pb) for $M_{\tilde{\nu}} = 85$ GeV (90 GeV). For the Luminosity $L = 500 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ we expect 32 events for $M_{\tilde{\nu}} = 85$ GeV and 16 events for $M_{\tilde{\nu}} = 90$ GeV. It is not difficult to distinguish the reaction (28) from the standard reaction $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$. By the measurement of the momentum p of the charged particle in magnetic field it is possible to determine its mass using the standard formula $M = \frac{q}{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{s}{4} - p^2}$. So we conclude that LEP 2 will be able to discover longlived righthanded $\tilde{\nu}$ -sleptons with the masses up to 90 GeV. At TEVATRON and LHC due to the smallness of R-parity violating interaction (13) SUSY particles will produce in pairs like in standard scenario. In the final states (after decays of squarks, gluino, wino, sleptons and neutralino into ordinary particles and righthanded $\tilde{\nu}$ -slepton) we shall have two longlived charged particles. Again it is possible to distinguish such particles from muons by the measurement of their momentum, as a consequence of non zero mass of righthanded slepton we shall have

missing E_T if we misidentify righthanded slepton as muon.¹ In our second model (15) with explicit R-violation we put "by hands" possible renormalizable R-violating terms (12). In general it is not clear why such terms are absent and R-violation is small.

To overcome this shortcoming consider $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ generalization of our $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ model (15) with righthanded neutrino. Superquark multiplets and superlepton multiplets have the following transformation rules under $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ gauge group:

$$Q_L = (2; 1; 1=3); Q_R = (1; 2; -1=3); \quad (29)$$

$$L_L = (2; 1; -1); L_R = (1; 2; 1) \quad (30)$$

The superhiggs sector of the model consists of a superhiggs bidoublet $(2; 2; 0)$ which corresponds to two superhiggs doublets H_1 and H_2 of the standard $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ model. In the minimal left-right model [26]–[27] superhiggs sector consists also of superhiggs triplets $L_L(3; 1; 2)$, $L_R(3; 1; -2)$ and $R_L(1; 3; 2)$, $R_R(1; 3; -2)$. Nonzero vacuum expectation values of supermultiplets $R_L(1; 3; 2)$, $R_R(1; 3; -2)$ lead to $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1) \rightarrow SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ breaking, whereas nonzero vacuum expectation values of superhiggs bidoublet $(2; 2; 0)$ are responsible for $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ breaking and nonzero fermion masses. However for such superhiggs structure it is impossible to write down gauge invariant R-violating terms in the superpotential containing an odd number of supermatter superfields, so the R-parity for such superhiggs structure is conserved automatically, that is not interesting for us. To break $SU(2)_R$ gauge group we shall use superhiggs doublets $H_R = (1; 2; -1)$, $H_R = (1; 2; 1)$ and $H_L = (2; 1; -1)$, $H_L = (2; 1; 1)$. Nonzero vacuum expectation value of the superhiggs $H_R = H_R$ leads to $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1) \rightarrow SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ breaking. The terms in the superpotential responsible for nonzero neutrino Majorana mass and R-violation read

$$W_1 = \lambda_1 R H_R' + \lambda_2 L' + \frac{M_1'^2}{2} \quad (31)$$

¹ Detailed discussion of the possibility to detect charged longlived particles at LEP2, TEVATRON and LHC will be given elsewhere

Here ψ is $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ singlet super eld. The superpotential (31) and supermatter terms $M \psi \psi \psi (Q_L; Q_R; L; R)$ are invariant under the discrete transformations

$$\psi \rightarrow \exp(i\frac{\pi}{2}) \psi; \quad (32)$$

$$\psi \rightarrow -\psi; \quad (33)$$

$$(H_R; H_R) \rightarrow \exp(i\frac{3\pi}{4}) (H_R; H_R); \quad (34)$$

$$M \rightarrow \exp(i\frac{\pi}{4}) M; \quad (35)$$

After integration over heavy super eld ψ we find the effective superpotential

$$W_1^{(0)} = \frac{(\lambda_1 H_R + \lambda_2)^2}{M_1} \quad (36)$$

describing R-parity violation. After $SU(2)_R$ gauge symmetry breaking neutrino acquires nonzero Majorana mass $M_1 = \frac{2\lambda_1^2 \langle H_R \rangle^2}{M_1}$. The term $H_1 H_2$ in the superpotential (17) originates from the superpotential (36) with the coefficient $\lambda_2 = \frac{2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \langle H_R \rangle}{M_1}$. So we see that $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ generalization of the standard $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ electroweak gauge group allows to obtain the smallness of the R-violation in a natural way as a consequence of big neutrino Majorana mass.

To conclude, we proposed two $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ models with small R-violation. In gaugino dominated scenario the lightest superparticle is the charged righthanded $\tilde{\nu}$ -slepton and its lifetime is $\sim 10^{-4}$ sec (for the model with righthanded neutrino). It means that such longlived charged particle can form bound states with ordinary nuclei and electrons – quasistable supermatter (analog of mu-atoms and muonium). The supercollider phenomenology differs from the standard one in a drastic way, namely, in such model in final states there are two righthanded $\tilde{\nu}$ -sleptons coming from squark, gluino, chargino, neutralino and slepton decays. $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ generalization of the standard $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ electroweak gauge group allows to obtain naturally very small R-violation as a consequence of big neutrino Majorana mass.

I thank CERN TH Department for the hospitality during my stay at CERN where this paper has been started. I am indebted to the collaborators of the INR theoretical

department and especially to V. A. Matveev and V. A. Rubakov for discussions and critical comments. The research described in this publication was made possible by Grant 94-02-04474-a of the Russian Scientific Foundation.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] S D im opoulos and S Raby, NuclPhysB 192 (1981)353.
- [2] E W itten, NuclPhysB 185 (1981)513.
- [3] S D im opoulos, S Raby and F W ilczek, PhysRevD 24 (1981)1681.
- [4] For review s and references, see H P N illes, PhysRep.110 (1984)3.
- [5] S D im opoulos and L J H all, PhysLettB 207 (1987)210.
- [6] H E Haber and G L Kane, PhysRev.117 (1985)75.
- [7] L J H all and M .Suzuki, NuclPhysB 231 (1984)419.
- [8] H D reiner and G G Ross, NuclPhysB 365 (1991)597.
- [9] S D im opoulos et al., PhysRevD 41 (1990)2099.
- [10] J C Rom ao, J R osiek and J W .F .Valle, Phys.Lett.B 351 (1995) 497.
- [11] A M asiero and J W .F .Valle, Phys.Lett.B 251 (1990)273.
- [12] I U m em ura and K .Yam am oto, NuclPhysB 423 (1991)27.
- [13] T Banks, Y G rossm an, E N ardi and Y N ir, PhysRevD 52 (1995)5319.
- [14] J E llis, G Kounnas and D .V N anopoulos, NuclPhysB 241 (1984)406.
- [15] J E llis, A B Lahanas, D .V N anopoulos and K .Tam vakis, PhysLett.B 134 (1984)429.
- [16] J E llis, K Enqvist, D .V N anopoulos and K .Tam vakis, Phys.Lett. B 155 (1985)381.
- [17] K .Inoue, A K akuto, H K omatsu and S.Takeshita, Progr.TheorPhys.67 (1982)1889.
- [18] L E lbanez and G Lopez, NuclPhysB 233 (1984)511; NuclPhysB 256 (1985)218.
- [19] M D ine, A Kagan and S Samuel, PhysLett.B 243 (1990)250; Y N ir and N Seiberg, PhysLettB 309 (1993)340.

- [20] F F Smith et al, NuclPhysB 206 (1982)333.
- [21] S W olfram , PhysLettB 82 (1979)65.
- [22] C B Dover, T K Gaisser and G Stegman, PhysRevLett 52 (1979)1117.
- [23] M GellMann, P Ramond and R Slansky, in "Supergravity" ed. D . Friedman and P.V N ieuwenhuizen, North Holland (1979).
- [24] M Chen, C Dionisi, M Martinez and X Tata, PhysRep 159 (1988)201.
- [25] H Baer et al, Low Energy Supersymmetry Phenomenology, CERN-Pre/94-45.
- [26] R N Mohapatra and G Senjanovic, PhysRevLett. 44 (1980)912.
- [27] R N Mohapatra and G Senjanovic, PhysRevD 23 (1981)165.