SHEP 96-06
February 1996

arXiv:hep-ph/9602275v2 13 Feb 1996

How to Run the Coupling in the Dipole Approach to the BFKL Equation

K.D. Anderson

D.A. Ross

and

M.G. Sotiropoulos

Physics Department, University of Southampton
Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ, U.K.

ABSTRACT

We use the dipole expansion to provide a systematic way of including the running coupling into the BFKL equation. In terms of a Borel representation, we obtain an expression for the kernel of the BFKL equation.

E-mail: kda@hep.phys.soton.ac.uk
dar@hep.phys.soton.ac.uk
mgs@hep.phys.soton.ac.uk

It was first pointed out by Lipatov [1] that the running of the coupling plays an important role in the BFKL equation [2]. When this is taken into account the eigenfunctions $\phi_i(\vec{k})$ of the BFKL kernel $\mathcal{K}$, given by

$$\int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k'}\,\alpha_s\,\mathcal{K}(\vec{k},\vec{k'})\,\phi_i(\vec{k'}) = \lambda_i\,\phi_i(\vec{k}), \tag{1}$$

cease to oscillate beyond a certain critical value of the transverse momentum $\vec{k}$ of the t-channel gluons in the "ladder". Above this critical value the eigenfunctions decay exponentially and this decay can be matched to the oscillations below the critical value. This phase matching, together with a phase fixing for small values of the transverse momentum provided by the infrared dynamics of QCD, yields two boundary conditions which constrain the corresponding eigenvalues λ_i to take discrete values. This results in an isolated pole for the QCD Pomeron as opposed to the cut obtained for fixed α_s.

The necessity of introducing the running of the coupling is at first sight surprising since the BFKL kernel is infrared finite. This means that if the transverse momentum of the t-channel gluons at one end of the exchanged ladder is fixed by the impact factor describing its coupling to the scattering hadron, then the typical transverse momenta of the gluons in the subsequent sections of the ladder will be of the same order of magnitude. However, as explained in ref.[1], the diffusion in transverse momentum along the ladder means that a wider range of transverse momenta contributes to the BFKL amplitude, so that the amplitude is indeed sensitive to the argument of the running coupling at each rung of the ladder.

On the other hand, the precise mechanism used to encode the running of the coupling has little effect on the behaviour of the eigenfunctions at the critical value of transverse momentum, where the function changes from oscillation to exponential decay. In other words, it makes little difference whether the argument of the coupling at a particular rung is set equal to the transverse momentum above or below that rung. In terms of the integral equation this means that one has the freedom to set the argument of the coupling equal to the external (unintegrated) value, $\vec{k}$, rather than the integrated one $\vec{k'}$, and write eq.(1) as

$$\alpha_s(\vec{k}^2)\int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k'}\,\mathcal{K}(\vec{k},\vec{k'})\,\phi_i(\vec{k'}) = \lambda_i\,\phi_i(\vec{k}). \tag{2}$$

The reason for this is that although far enough along the ladder we expect to have to consider transverse momenta whose magnitude differs substantially from the value set by the impact factors, the transverse momenta of two adjacent sections

of the ladder are indeed of the same order. As far as (renormalisation group improved) perturbation theory is concerned, therefore, a discussion about which transverse momentum should be used in the running of the coupling will only affect the solutions to the BFKL equation at the subleading logarithm level.

However, if one wishes to consider in more detail the infrared contributions to BFKL and run the coupling down to the region of the Landau pole, where small changes in the argument lead to substantial changes in the value of the coupling, one no longer has the above freedom and a study of the precise prescription for running the coupling becomes pertinent. Such a precise prescription is necessary for an analysis of the renormalon structure of the BFKL amplitude aimed at identifying non-perturbative power corrections to the amplitude which are likely to play a crucial role in the reconciliation of the perturbative QCD Pomeron with the phenomenological "soft" Pomeron.

In a recent publication [3] Levin has conjectured that the correct prescription for the coupling is

$$\frac{\alpha_s(\vec{k'}^2)\,\alpha_s((\vec{k}-\vec{k'})^2)}{\alpha_s(\vec{k}^2)}.$$

His analysis, however, was based on the idea of considering the running of the coupling at one rung of the ladder only, whilst keeping the coupling fixed throughout the rest of the ladder. A systematic treatment requires a prescription which can be extended to the entire ladder. In this letter we propose just such a systematic treatment in order to arrive at a well-defined algorithm for the introduction of the running of the coupling.

Our starting point is not the gluon ladder approach of BFKL [2] but rather the dipole expansion of Mueller [4] and Nikolaev and Zakharov [5]. The difference between the two approaches lies in the description of the *same* high energy (or small x) physical process. In the BFKL approach the process occurs via the exchange of a ladder of reggeized gluons in the t-channel. The leading logarithmic corrections in the energy are generated by the increasing number of rungs along the gluon ladder. The exchanged gluons, though, cannot be interpreted in a probabilistic fashion as emanating from a specific hadron involved in the process. The gluon ladder does not have a straightforward parton model interpretation with an identifiable hard scattering section. In the dipole approach the process occurs via the sequential emission of colour dipoles in the s-channel by the participating hadrons. The leading logarithmic corrections in the energy are generated *radiatively* by the increasing number of emitted dipoles. This dipole cascade can be interpreted in the appropriate gauge (light cone gauge) as a component of the




Figure 1: Graphs for the emission of a soft gluon with transverse momentum $\vec{k_1}$ (impact parameter $\vec{y_1}$) from a dipole with momentum p, in which the quark has transverse momentum $\vec{q}$ (impact parameter $\vec{x}$).

hadronic wavefunction. The hard subprocess is encoded in the dipole cross section that describes the scattering of two dipoles for onium-onium elastic scattering, or the absorption by the nucleon target of a dipole emitted by the virtual photon for small x D.I.S. In impact parameter space, where transverse separations $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{x}'$ replace the transverse momenta $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{k}'$, the factorization of the dipole cross section from the squared wave function for dipole emission takes a particularly simple form.

The equivalence of the two approaches for fixed α_s and for inclusive observables such as onium-onium total cross section and F_2 in small x D.I.S. has been shown in refs. [6] and [7] respectively. For a systematic inclusion of the running coupling we find the dipole approach more suitable exactly because of its radiative nature. Our method is the following. The emission of a daughter dipole by a parent dipole in momentum space occurs via the radiation of a soft gluon with transverse momentum $\vec{k_1}$ as shown in fig.1. We take the argument of the running coupling in this case to be equal to $\vec{k_1}^2$, in accordance with the treatment of soft gluon radiation in the case of timelike cascades [8]. We note that the relevant kinematic region for the momentum of the soft gluon is the Glauber region where its virtuality is determined by its transverse momentum, i.e. $k_1^2 \approx -\vec{k_1}^2$. To translate this running into impact parameter space representation we require that the Borel transformed probability for emission of a soft gluon with impact parameter $\vec{y_1}$ from the parent dipole with size $\vec{x}$ should be equal to the expression obtained by first Borel and Fourier transforming the two graphs of fig.1 and then squaring their sum. Since this procedure correctly reproduces the singularity structure in the Borel plane, it provides a prescription for the coupling that allows us to run down towards the Landau pole. It turns out that the argument of the running of the coupling cannot be expressed simply as a function of $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{y_1}$ only, but the expression we obtain nevertheless has a convenient integral representation.

To this end we consider the emission of a soft gluon with momentum k_1^μ, colour a, polarization ϵ and longitudinal momentum fraction z_1, from a fast moving dipole

with total momentum p^μ, in which the quark and antiquark have momenta q^μ and $(p - k_1 - q)^\mu$ and m_q is the quark mass,

$$q^\mu = (p\,z, \frac{m_q^2 + \vec{q^2}}{p\,z}, \vec{q}).$$

Leading logarithmic contributions in the energy are obtained in the kinematic region of strongly ordered rapidities $z_1 \ll z$. The amplitude for such a process with running coupling as described above is given by [4]

$$\psi^{(1)a}(\vec{q}, \vec{k_1}, z, z_1) = -2g(\vec{k_1}^2)T^a \left[\psi^{(0)}(\vec{k_1}, z) - \psi^{(0)}((\vec{k_1} + \vec{q}), z)\right] \frac{\vec{k_1} \cdot \epsilon}{\vec{k_1}^2}, \tag{3}$$

where $\psi^{(0)}$ is the wavefunction for the dipole without gluon emission and T^a is a colour generator in the adjoint representation. We now introduce the Borel transformed amplitude $\tilde{\psi}^{(1)a}$ defined by

$$\psi^{(1)a}(\vec{q}, \vec{k_1}, z, z_1) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}b\, \tilde{\psi}^{(1)a}(\vec{q}, \vec{k_1}, z, z_1, b)\, e^{-b/\alpha_s(M^2)}. \tag{4}$$

Note that the Borel dual variables are b and $\alpha_s(M^2)$, where M^2 is an overall external momentum scale to be determined once the dipoles are considered in the context a specific process. For D.I.S. at small x, for instance, M^2 is the spacelike virtuality Q^2 of the photon $\gamma^\star$, which fluctuates into the dipole cascade before the interaction with the nucleon target. The Borel transform of the wavefunction in eq.(3) is

$$\tilde{\psi}^{(1)a}(\vec{q}, \vec{k_1}, z, z_1, b) = -2\tilde{g}(\vec{k_1}^2, b)T^a \left[\psi^{(0)}(\vec{k_1}, z) - \psi^{(0)}((\vec{k_1} + \vec{q}), z)\right] \frac{\vec{k_1} \cdot \epsilon}{\vec{k_1}^2}, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\tilde{g}(\vec{k_1}^2, b) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{b}} \left(\frac{\vec{k_1}^2}{M^2}\right)^{-b\beta_0}, \tag{6}$$

and $\beta_0 = (1/4\pi)((11/3)N_c - (2/3)N_f)$. The Borel transformed $\tilde{g}$ is determined by the requirement that the "square" of $\tilde{g}(\vec{k_1}^2, b)$ in the sense of Borel convolution

with itself results in the Borel transform of $4\pi\alpha_s(\vec{k_1}^2)$, i.e.

$$4\pi\tilde{\alpha}_s(\vec{k_1}^2, b) = \left(\frac{\vec{k_1}^2}{M^2}\right)^{-b\beta_0} = \tilde{g}(\vec{k_1}^2, b) \otimes \tilde{g}(\vec{k_1}^2, b) \equiv \int_0^b \mathrm{d}b'\, \tilde{g}(\vec{k_1}^2, b')\tilde{g}(\vec{k_1}^2, (b-b')). \quad (7)$$

Taking the Fourier transform of eq.(5) we obtain the wavefunction as a function of impact parameters $\vec{x}$, $\vec{y_1}$ conjugate to $\vec{q}$, $\vec{k_1}$ respectively

$$\tilde{\psi}^{(1)a}(\vec{x}, \vec{y_1}, z, z_1, b) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\frac{2\mathrm{i}}{\pi}T^a\psi^{(0)}(\vec{x}, z)\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\tau\, \mathrm{J}_1(\tau) \times \left[\left(\frac{M^2\vec{y_1}^2}{\tau^2}\right)^{b\beta_0}\frac{\epsilon\cdot\vec{y_1}}{\vec{y_1}^2} + \left(\frac{M^2(\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})^2}{\tau^2}\right)^{b\beta_0}\frac{\epsilon\cdot(\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})}{(\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})^2}\right], \quad (8)$$

where J_1 is the first order Bessel function. The Borel transform, $\tilde{\Phi}(\vec{x}, z, b)$, of the total probability for the emission of a gluon from a dipole separated by impact parameter $\vec{x}$ in which one of the fermions carries a fraction of longitudinal momentum z, is obtained by taking the "square" of the amplitude given by eq.(8). By "square" we mean convolution of $\tilde{\psi}^{(1)a}$ in b with itself (as defined in eq.(7)) and integration over the phase space of the outgoing gluon. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}(\vec{x}, z, b) &= \frac{C_F}{\pi^3}\int^z \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1}{z_1}\int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{y_1}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}\tau'\mathrm{J}_1(\tau)\mathrm{J}_1(\tau')\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right)^{\omega b\beta_0}\left(\frac{1}{\tau'^2}\right)^{(1-\omega)b\beta_0}\frac{(M^2)^{b\beta_0}}{\vec{y_1}^2(\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})^2} \\ &\times \Big[(\vec{y_1}^2)^{b\beta_0}(\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})^2 + ((\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})^2)^{b\beta_0}\vec{y_1}^2 \\ &\quad +2\vec{y_1}\cdot(\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})(\vec{y_1}^2)^{\omega b\beta_0}((\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})^2)^{(1-\omega)b\beta_0}\Big]\Phi^{(0)}(\vec{x}, z), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\Phi^{(0)}$ is the probability for the dipole without gluon emission. The integration over the variables τ, τ' can be readily performed. However if we choose *not* to do this then each term in the integrand can be identified as the Borel transform of a running coupling with a suitable argument. Thus we define

$$\vec{r_1}^2(\omega) = (\vec{y_1}^2)^\omega((\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})^2)^{(1-\omega)}$$

$$\lambda^2(\tau, \tau'\omega) = (\tau^2)^\omega(\tau'^2)^{(1-\omega)},$$

and take the inverse Borel transform of eq.(9) to obtain the following expression for the probability for gluon emission with running coupling

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{(1)}(\vec{x},z) &= \frac{C_F}{\pi^3}\ln(z)\int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{y_1}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}\tau' \mathrm{J}_1(\tau)\mathrm{J}_1(\tau')\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\times \frac{1}{\vec{y_1}^2(\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})^2}\left[\alpha_s(\lambda^2/\vec{r_1}^2)\vec{x}^2 + \left(\alpha_s(\lambda^2/\vec{y_1}^2)-\alpha_s(\lambda^2/\vec{r_1}^2)\right)(\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})^2\right. \\ &\left. + \left(\alpha_s(\lambda^2/(\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})^2)-\alpha_s(\lambda^2/\vec{r_1}^2)\right)\vec{y_1}^2\right]\Phi^{(0)}(\vec{x},z). \qquad (10)\end{aligned}$$

In the limit where the coupling is fixed the integrations over τ, τ' , ω give a factor of π and the first term on the R.H.S. of eq.(10) coincides with the expression obtained in the usual treatment of dipole radiation (see, for example eq.(10) of ref.[4]) whereas the other two terms vanish. For running couplings we are unambiguously led to the prescription of eq.(10), namely that the kernel has three terms each of which has a different running coupling and for each of these terms the argument of the running coupling is *not* expressed simply in terms of the impact parameters $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{y}$, but also in terms of three further parameters, τ, τ', ω, the emission probability being a weighted integral over these parameters. It is worth noting that the weight function peaks when $\lambda(\tau,\tau',\omega)$ is equal to unity, so that although one has to integrate over all possible arguments for the running coupling, the integral is highly peaked in the region where the running depends only on $\vec{y_1}^2$ or $(\vec{x}-\vec{y_1})^2$ or some mean of the two. We note that had we taken the Fourier transform of the wavefunction $\psi^{(1)a}$ in eq.(3) and squared it to construct $\Phi^{(1)}$ as in eq.(10), we would have ended up with a product of the form $g(\tau/\vec{y}^2)g(\tau'/(\vec{x}-\vec{y})^2)$. It is the use of the Borel representation that enables us to express this product in terms of running α_s of a single argument. This then is a consistent and systematic way to introduce the running of the coupling, valid to all orders in perturbation theory.

So far we have dealt with the wavefunction squared Φ without considering its coupling to some hard scattering subprocess. Such a coupling was considered in ref.[6] where, through the use of time ordered perturbation theory, it was shown that final state interactions cancel and are therefore not included in the dipole kernel. Consequently, final state interactions will not affect the method we suggest for the running of the coupling.

Returning to the Borel transformed $\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}$, in eq.(9), the integrations over $\vec{y_1}$, τ, τ', ω may be performed to yield

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}(\vec{x},z,b) = -\frac{2C_F}{\pi}\ln(z)\frac{\Gamma(-b\beta_0)}{\Gamma(1+b\beta_0)}\left(\frac{M^2\vec{x}^2}{4}\right)^{b\beta_0}\Phi^{(0)}(\vec{x},z), \qquad (11)$$

which has the usual infrared renormalon poles for positive integer values of $b\beta_0$ [9]. The poles at $b = 0$ and for negative integer values of $b\beta_0$ are ultraviolet renormalon poles and are eliminated if a short distance cutoff is introduced for the integration over $\vec{y_1}$. Unitarity tells us that the one gluon exchange virtual contribution is $-\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}(\vec{x}, z, b)$.

If we square the amplitude for single gluon emission calculated in momentum space, take the Borel transform of the running coupling and then take a Fourier transform in the transverse momentum $\vec{q}$ of the fermion *only* we get upon integrating over all phase-space for the outgoing gluon

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}(\vec{x}, z, b) = \frac{C_F}{\pi^2}\ln(z)\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k_1}}{\vec{k_1}^2}\left(\frac{M^2}{\vec{k_1}^2}\right)^{b\beta_0}\left[2 - e^{i\vec{k_1}\cdot\vec{x}} - e^{-i\vec{k_1}\cdot\vec{x}}\right]\Phi^{(0)}(\vec{x}, z)\,, \qquad (12)$$

which also yields eq.(11) when the integral over $\vec{k_1}$ is performed. This is not surprising but it serves as a check and confirms that one is at liberty to commute Fourier and Borel transforms.

In exact analogy with the treatment of ref.[4] the process can be iterated to account for the emission of any number of soft gluons. To this end we define the generating functional $\tilde{Z}\left(\vec{x}, \vec{0}, z, j(\vec{y}', z'), b\right)$ to be the quantity whose n^{th} functional derivative with respect to the source, $j(\vec{y}', z')$, generates the Borel transform of the probability for the emission of n gluons. Due to the exponentiation of soft gluon radiation, virtual corrections can be accounted for by the factor $\exp\left(-\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}(\vec{x}, z, b)\right)$ [8]. Then the generating functional $\tilde{Z}$ obeys the Bethe-Salpeter equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Z}\left(\vec{x}, \vec{0}, z, j, b\right) &= \exp\left(\frac{2C_F}{\pi}\ln(z)\frac{\Gamma(-b\beta_0)}{\Gamma(1+b\beta_0)}\left(\frac{M^2\vec{x}^2}{4}\right)^{b\beta_0}\right) \\
&+\frac{C_F}{\pi^3}\int^z \frac{\mathrm{d}z'}{z'}\exp\left(\frac{2C_F}{\pi}\ln(z/z')\frac{\Gamma(-b\beta_0)}{\Gamma(1+b\beta_0)}\left(\frac{M^2\vec{x}^2}{4}\right)^{b\beta_0}\right) \\
&\otimes\int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{y}'\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}\tau'\mathrm{J}_1(\tau)\mathrm{J}_1(\tau')\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right)^{\omega b\beta_0}\left(\frac{1}{\tau'^2}\right)^{(1-\omega)b\beta_0} \\
&\frac{M^{2b\beta_0}}{\vec{y}'^{\,2}(\vec{x}-\vec{y}')^2}\Big[(\vec{y}'^2)^{b\beta_0}(\vec{x}-\vec{y}')^2 + ((\vec{x}-\vec{y}')^2)^{b\beta_0}\vec{y}'^2 \\
&\qquad +2\vec{y}'\cdot(\vec{x}-\vec{y}')(\vec{y}'^2)^{\omega b\beta_0}(\vec{x}-\vec{y}')^2)^{(1-\omega)b\beta_0}\Big] \\
&\qquad \otimes\tilde{Z}\left(\vec{y}', \vec{x}, z', j, b\right)\otimes\tilde{Z}\left(\vec{y}', \vec{0}, z', j, b\right)\, j(\vec{y}', z'), \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where the exponentials of functions of b are to be understood in the sense of their Taylor expansion with products replaced by convolutions, i.e.

$$\exp\left(f(b)\right) = \delta(b) + f(b) + \frac{1}{2!} f(b) \otimes f(b) + \cdots$$

The n^{th} functional derivative of eq.(13) with respect to the source j is equivalent to the n^{th} iteration of the integral equation

$$z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\tilde{\Phi}(x,z,b) = z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Phi^{(0)}(x,z)\delta(b) + \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x' \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(x,x',b) \otimes \tilde{\Phi}(x',z,b), \tag{14}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ is the Borel transformed dipole kernel and a change of variables has been affected from the two dimensional vector $\vec{y}'$ to its modulus y' and the modulus, x', of $\vec{x}' = (\vec{x} - \vec{y}')$. The Jacobian for this change of variables can be written as [4]

$$\mathrm{d}^2\vec{y}' = 2\pi\, y'\, x' \mathrm{d}y' \mathrm{d}x' \int_0^\infty \kappa \mathrm{d}\kappa \mathrm{J}_0(\kappa x)\, \mathrm{J}_0(\kappa x')\, \mathrm{J}_0(\kappa y'), \tag{15}$$

where J_0 are Bessel functions of zeroth order. Extracting $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ from eq.(13) and integrating over y' and κ, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(x,x',b) \;\; = \;\; & -\frac{C_A}{\pi}\left(\frac{M^2x^2}{4}\right)^{b\beta_0} \frac{\Gamma(-b\beta_0)}{\Gamma(1+b\beta_0)}\delta(x-x') \\
& + \frac{C_A}{\pi^2}\frac{1}{x'}\left(\frac{M^2x'^2}{4}\right)^{b\beta_0} \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(1-(1-\omega)b\beta_0)\;\Gamma(1-\omega b\beta_0)}{\Gamma(1+(1-\omega)b\beta_0)\Gamma(1+\omega b\beta_0)} \\
& \times \left\{ \left(\frac{x_>^2}{x'^2}\right)^{b\beta_0-1} \mathrm{F}\left(1-b\beta_0, 1-b\beta_0; 1; \frac{x_<^2}{x_>^2}\right) \right. \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{x^2-x'^2}{x_>^2}\right)\left(\frac{x_>^2}{x'^2}\right)^{\omega b\beta_0} \mathrm{F}\left(1-\omega b\beta_0, 1-\omega b\beta_0; 1; \frac{x_<^2}{x_>^2}\right) \\
& \quad \left. - \left(\frac{x_>^2}{x'^2}\right)^{\omega b\beta_0} \mathrm{F}\left(-\omega b\beta_0, -\omega b\beta_0; 1; \frac{x_<^2}{x_>^2}\right) \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $x_< = \min(x,x')$, $x_> = \max(x,x')$ and F is the Gauss hypergeometric function. We have replaced C_F by $\frac{1}{2}C_A$, which is the colour factor occurring in the BFKL equation and agrees with C_F in the leading $1/N_c$ approximation. The

first term of eq.(16) describes the virtual corrections and it is proportional to $\delta(x-x')$ due to the completeness of the Bessel functions.

The eigenfunctions, $\tilde{\phi}(x,b)$ of this kernel are also functions of b so that the action of the kernel is also to be understood in the sense of a convolution, i.e. the eigenvalue equation is

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x' \int_0^b \mathrm{d}b' \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(x,x',b') \tilde{\phi}_i(x',(b-b')) = \lambda_i(b)\, \tilde{\phi}_i(x,b). \tag{17}$$

The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of this kernel must be found in order to investigate the analyticity structure of the Borel transform of the BFKL amplitude. Clearly eq.(17) does not lend itself to a simple analytic solution. The eigenfunctions of the kernel will have to be examined by numerical methods in order to locate their singularities. The location of these singularities will give us information about the non-perturbative contributions to the BFKL amplitude. We shall report on the results of such an analysis in a forthcoming publication.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank A. H. Mueller for insightful discussions on the dipole approach. Two of us (KDA, MGS) would like to thank PPARC for their financial support.

References

[1] L. N. Lipatov, Sov. Phys. JETP 63 (1986) 904

[2] E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov and V. S. Fadin, Sov. Phys. JETP 45 (1977) 199
Ya. Ya. Balitsky and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 28 (1978) 822

[3] E. Levin, Nucl. Phys. B453 (1995) 303

[4] A. H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B415 (1994) 373

[5] N. N. Nikolaev and B. G. Zakharov, Z. Phys. C64 (1994) 631

[6] Z. Chen and A. H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B451 (1995) 579

[7] N. N. Nikolaev, B. G. Zakharov and V. R. Zoller, Sov. Phys. JETP Lett. 59 (1994) 6

[8] Yu. L. Dokshitzer, V. A. Khoze, A. H. Mueller and S. I. Troyan, " Basics of Perturbative QCD", ed. Frontières, Gif-sur-Yvette, 1991

[9] A. H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B250 (1985) 327
G. P. Korchemsky and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B437 (1995) 415
M. Beneke and V. M. Braun, Nucl. Phys. B454 (1995) 253