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Following a suggestion due to $B$ ardeen and Pearson, we form ulate an e ective light-front $H$ am iltonian for large-N gauge theory in $(2+1)$-dim ensions. Two space-tim e dim ensions are continuous and the rem ain ing space dim ension is discretised on a lattice. EguchiK aw ai reduction to a $(1+1)$-dim ensional theory takes place. W e investigate the string tension and glueball spectrum, com paring $w$ ith Euclidean Lattice $M$ onte $C$ arlo data.

## 1. T ransverse Lattices

A num ber of years ago $B$ ardeen and $P$ earson [1] [1] form ulated a light-front H am iltonian lattice gauge theory, which $m$ akes use of the fact that two com ponents of the gauge eld are unphysical. In this approach two spacetim e dim ensions are continuous while the rem aining transverse' spatial dim ensions are discretised on a lattice.
 in $(3+1)$-dim ensions produced a rough gheball spectrum, but results w ere inconclusive. In th is exploratory study w e bring $m$ ore recent techniques and ideas to bear on this problem, choosing pure $S U(1)$ Yang-M ills theory in $(2+1)$ dim ensions as a trial. W e shall unasham edly use as a benchm ark the Euclidean Lattice M onte C arlo (E LM C) glueball results of Teper [-3/1]. W e have applied analytic and num ericaltechniques to $m$ easure the transverse string tension and gheball spectrum, as well as m any other observables not described here.

For 2+ 1 dim ensions one leaves longitudinalcoordinates $x^{0}$ and $x^{2}$ and gauge elds $A_{0}$ and $A_{2}$ intact, while $m$ aking the transverse' co-ordinate $x^{1}$ discrete, introducing a $W$ ilson link variable $U$ on each transverse link. Follow ing R ef.[IIT] we w ill assum e that link variables U below a certain lattice scale have been blocked' to yield an N N

[^0]com plex $m$ atrix $M_{x^{1}}$ on the link betw een neighboring sites $x^{1}$ and $x^{1}+$ a ofa sub-lattice. $T$ his is rem iniscent of a $D$ ielectric Lattioe $G$ auge $T$ heory $[\underline{[4}]$, where the $m$ agnitude ofM plays the role ofdielectric constant. In the con ning phase, $\mathrm{M}=0$ should be the prefered vacuum solution. The effective potentialobtained through blocking is difcult to derive analytically, so we w illm odel it in this work. W e choose a Lagrangian density up to 4th order in link elds
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
L= & \frac{1}{2 a^{2} g^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} D M_{x^{1} D} M_{x^{1}}^{y} \\
& \frac{1}{4 g^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} F \quad F \quad V_{x^{1}}(M) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{x^{1}}(\mathbb{M})={ }^{2} \operatorname{Tr} M_{x^{1}} M_{x^{1}}^{y} \\
& +\frac{1}{a N} \underset{n}{\operatorname{Tr} M_{x^{1}} M} \underset{x^{1}}{Y} M_{x^{1}} M_{x^{1}}^{Y}  \tag{2}\\
& +\frac{2}{a N} \operatorname{Tr} M_{x^{1}} M \underset{x^{1}}{Y} M \underset{x^{1}}{y} \quad M_{x^{1}} \quad a
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
D M_{x^{1}}= & @+i A\left(x^{1}\right) M_{x^{1}} \\
& \operatorname{iM}_{x^{1}} A\left(x^{1}+a\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

; $2 \mathrm{fx}^{0} ; \mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{~g}$. L reduces to the usual $2+1$ Yapg M ills density if $V$ is tuned so that $M$ ! $\mathrm{U}=\overline{2 \mathrm{ag}^{2}}$ as a ! 0 . This would seem to require (eventually) ${ }^{2}<0$. Since our quantisation is
restricted to ${ }^{2}>0$, we should access continuum physics by im proving the action/operators. In particular, we im plicitly assume (for now) that higher order term $s$ in ( (e.g. on dim ensional grounds).

In light-front coordinates $x=\left(x^{0} \quad x^{2}\right)={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$, we take $\mathrm{x}^{+}$as canonicaltim e and choose the lightfront gauge $\mathrm{A}=0$. The theory has a conserved current
$J_{x^{1}}^{+}=i M_{x^{1}} @^{\$} M_{x^{1}}^{Y}+M \underset{x^{1}}{y}{ }_{a} @^{\$} M_{x^{1}} \quad$ a $]$
at each transverse lattioe site $x^{1}$. The eld $A_{+}$ is constrained, obeying $@^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{+}=\mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{~J}^{+}=$a at each site. Solving this constraint leaves only physical elds, in term $s$ of which the light-front $m$ om entum and energy are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{+}=2^{Z} d x X_{x^{1}}^{X} \quad \mathrm{Tr} @ M_{x^{1}} @ M_{x^{1}}^{y} \\
& P=d x X_{x^{1}}^{X^{x^{1}}} V_{x^{1}}(M) \quad \frac{g^{2}}{2 a} \operatorname{Tr} J_{x^{1}}^{+} \frac{1}{@^{2}} J_{x^{1}}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

There rem ains residual gauge sym $m$ etry under $x$-independent transform ations at each site $x^{1}$. The zero mode of the $A_{+}$constraint equa$\hbar^{k}$ ion forces the corresponding charge to zero,
$d x J_{x^{1}}^{+}=0$. This gives a H ilbert space at xed $\mathrm{x}^{+}$form ed from allpossible closed $W$ ilson loops of link $m$ atrices $M$ on the transverse lattice (the $x$ co-ordinate of each link eld rem ains arbitrary). Note that at $\mathrm{N}=1$ we do not distinguish $\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{N})$ from $S U(\mathbb{N})$, and the e ective gauge coupling is $g^{2} \mathrm{~N}$. A lso, since the loop-loop coupling constant is $1=\mathrm{N}$, we need not include any m ore than single loops in the $H$ iblbert space. In fact when $P^{1}=0$ we can simply drop the site indices from $M$ and $P$ and the Eguchi-K aw ailarge-N reduction to a one link transverse lattice becom es apparent ['] That is, in this fram e the theory is isom onphic to one de ned on a one-link transverse lattioe with periodic boundary conditions, where $P$ acts on a basis of zero w inding num ber loops.

It is convenient to work in longitudinalm om entum space at $\mathrm{x}^{+}=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{i j}(x)=P_{\frac{1}{4}}^{Z} & \sum_{0}^{1} \frac{d k}{k} f a{ }_{1 ; i j}(k) e^{i k x} \\
& +\left(a_{+1 ; j i}(k)\right)^{y} e^{i k x} g \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the $m$ odes satisfy equal- $x^{+}$com $m$ utators

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{h}_{; i j}(\mathrm{k}) ;\left(\mathrm{a}_{; \mathrm{kl}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{~K}})\right)^{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{ik}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad(\mathrm{k} \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}})  \tag{6}\\
& \stackrel{h}{a_{l ; i j}(k) ; a_{; k 1}(\widetilde{k})^{i}=0} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

 ; $2 \mathrm{f}+1$; 1 g , and $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i} ; j}(k)\right)^{y}=\left(a_{1}^{y}(k)\right)_{j i} \cdot a^{y}{ }_{1}$ creates a link mode with orientation on the lattice. The E guchi-K aw ai reduced states corresponding to $\mathrm{P}^{1}=0$ and xed $\mathrm{P}^{+}$can be written as linear com binations of singlet Fock basis states (sum $m$ ation on repeated indioes im plied)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{P}^{+}} \frac{\mathrm{dk}_{1}}{N^{\mathrm{p}=2}} \mathrm{p} \mathrm{dk} \\
& p=j n j ; j n+2 ;:::^{0} \\
& \text { p> } 0 \\
& X^{p} \quad \text { ! } \\
& P^{+} \quad X^{p} \quad k_{m} \quad f \quad::\left(k_{1} ;::: ; k_{p}\right) \\
& \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~m}=1 \quad \mathrm{o} \\
& \operatorname{Tra}^{\mathrm{Y}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}\right) \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \quad \mathrm{j} 0 \mathrm{i} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where we set the w inding num ber $\mathrm{n}=\quad+\quad+$

+ equal to zero. It rem ains to nd the coe cient functions $f$, cyclically sym $m$ etric in their argum ents, which diagonalise the H am iltonian $P$ and hence the ( $m$ ass $)^{2}$ operator $2 P^{+} P$ w ith eigenvahe $\mathrm{M}^{2}$.


## 2. T he B oundstate $P$ roblem.

The renom alisation of the quantum theory follow sthat of a 2D gauge theory with adjoint $m$ atter, involving only self-energy correction to the propagator through norm al ordering of interactions $\left.\bar{T}_{1}\right]$. To diagonalise $P$ we em ployed both the analytic $m$ ethod of using an ansatz for the $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ and the num erical one of discretising the $\mathrm{mo-}$ $m$ entak (DLCQ [id]).

The theory possesses several discrete sym $m$ etries. C harge conjugation induces the sym $m$ etry $C: a_{+1 ; i j}^{Y} \$ a^{y}{ }_{1 ; j i}$. There are two orthogonal re ection symm etries $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ either of which $m$ ay be used as parity.' If $P_{1}: x^{1}!\quad x^{1}$, we have $P_{1}: a_{+1 ; i j}^{Y}$ \$ $a^{Y}{ }_{1 ; i j} . P_{2}: x^{2}!x^{2}$, is com plicated in light-front form alism. Its explicit operation is known only for free particles, which
we call \H ombostel parity." The latter is nevertheless useful since it is often an approxim ate quantum number and its expectation value can be used to estim ate $P_{2}[]_{1}^{1}$ we can determ ine whether spin $J$ is even or odd using the relation $(1)^{J}=P_{1} P_{2}$. If rotational sym $m$ etry has been restored in the theory, states of spin $J \in 0$ should form degenerate $P_{1}$ dou-
 notation" jJ f ${ }_{1}{ }^{C}$ to classify states.

The param eters ${ }^{2}$, 1 , and 2 of the e ective potential V (M) are unknown functions of the dim ensionless param eter $\mathrm{ag}^{2} \mathrm{~N}=1=$, while $g^{2} \mathrm{~N}$ should set the overall m ass scale. Em pirically they can be $t$ to a spectrum, then some other quantity of interest predicted (e.g. structure functions), or be xed by exam ining Lorentz invariance. To $m$ easure the string tension in the $x^{1}$ direction, we consider a lattice $w$ ith $n$ transverse links and periodic boundary conditions. We construct a basis of P olyakov loops or \w inding m odes" that wind once around this lattice and calculate the lowest eigenvalue $M^{2}$. Because of E guchi-K aw aireduction, this is equivalent to using P olyakov loops of $w$ inding number $n$ on the single-link periodic lattioe (Eq. (\%/i) w ith $\mathrm{n} \in 0$ ).
$(M)=(n) m$ easures the bare string tension. $W$ hile this vanishing would signal restoration of translation invariance, it by no $m$ eans ensures rotational invariance since we have treated the action anisotropically. We can attem pt to ensure rotational invariance by form ing parity doublets in our glueball spectrum for exam ple.

The behavior of the coe cient functions $f$ in Eq. $\left(\overline{8} \mathbf{c}_{1}\right)$ when any one of the argum ents vanishes is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{\mathrm{k}_{1}!0 \mathrm{f}} ; \text {;::; }\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) / \mathrm{k}_{1}^{\mathrm{s}} \\
\frac{2 \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{a}} \operatorname{stan}(\mathrm{~s})=2 \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Specifying also the num ber of nodes of $f$ as a function of $m$ om enta, one can $m$ ake a sensible ansatz. To a rst approxim ation (generic V (M)) an eigenstate (G) has predom inantly a xed num ber of link elds $p$, the $m$ ass increasing $w$ ith $p$ due to the $m$ ass term 2 in $P$. For a given $p$, the energy also tends to increase $w$ ith the num ber of nodes in the wavefunction $f$ due to the
$J(k) J(k)=k^{2}$ term. Thus one expects the low est tw o ghueball eigenstates to be approxim ately

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{P^{+}} \\
& d k f_{+1 ; 1}\left(k ; P^{+} \quad k\right) \\
& \operatorname{Tra}_{+1}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{a}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}^{\left(\mathrm{P}^{+} \quad \mathrm{k}\right)}{ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{jOi} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the lowest state having positive sym $m$ etric $f_{+1 ; 1}\left(k ; P^{+} \quad k\right)$, corresponding to $0^{++}$, and rst excited state having $f_{+1 ;} 1$ antisymmetric w th one zero, corresponding to 0 . The next highest states are either a 4 -link state $w$ ith positive symm etric wavefunctions $f_{+1 ;+1 ; 1 ; 1}$ and $\mathrm{f}_{+1}$; $1 ;+1 ; 1$ or a symmetric $2-$ link state w th $f_{+1 ;}$ having tw o zeros. In the gheballspectrum we identify the latter states as $0^{++}$and $2^{++}$, although actual eigenstates are a $m$ ixture of these.

In our num erical solutions we restrict the num ber of link elds in our basis ( $\overline{\mathbf{8}}$ ) to be $\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax and discretise $m$ om enta by dem anding antiperiodicity of the elds in $x$ ! $x+L$. For $x e d$ integer valued cut-o $K=L P^{+}=(2) \mathrm{m}$ om enta ąre labeled by odd half integers $m=K k_{m}=P^{+}$,
$m \quad m=K . W$ e diagonalise $P$ on a com puter and study the system as $p_{m}$ ax ! 1 and $K$ ! 1 . At xed ( $p_{m a x} ; K$ ) we swept the coupling constant space ofV (M) and show here som e results for the string tension and glueball spectrum .

In general $M^{2}$ vs. $n$ plots for $w$ inding $m$ odes show a good $t$ to the form $M^{2}=A n^{2} B$, in agreem ent w ith the expectations of string theory. Taking into account all inform ation we have gathered, an acceptable theory occurs only in the \wedge shaped region" $1 \quad 2 \quad{ }_{1}=2$. $T$ he renorm alised tra jectory ism ost likely to pass through decreasing ${ }^{2}$ at ${ }_{2}>0$.

In the glueball spectrum Fig. in we label the lowest 2 and second 0 states based on < p > . W e determ ine ( 1$)^{\mathrm{J}}$ based on H ombostel parity; the exception is the $j j^{+}$sector where H ombostelparity gave exactly the opposite of the desired (i.e. Teper's) results. A though Fig. in indicates that qualitative agreem ent can be obtained w th the ELM C data, there is alarm ing discrepancy from the expected degenerate parity doublet $2^{+}$. This discrepancy is responsible for alm ost all of the ${ }^{2}$ error in our tting procedure. There are undoubtedly errors associ-


Figure 1. P aram eters such that the low est M ${ }^{2}$ eigenvalues are equal for $\mathrm{n}=4$ and 5 w inding m odes, where $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{max}}=\mathrm{n}+4$, and $\mathrm{K}=10: 5$ or 11. T his is an estim ate of vanishing bare string tension. A lso shown is a line such that the $\mathrm{M}^{2}$ eigenvalues are approxim ately degenerate for $\mathrm{n}=$ 3,4 , and 5 .


Figure 2. A com parison of our low-lying spectrum with SU (3) ELM C data in units of the physical string tension $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$ for various $j 丁$ 碞 ${ }^{C}$. The param eters $g^{2} \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{a}=3: 44, \mathrm{a}^{2}=0: 2 \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{~N}$, $1=0: 34 \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{~N}$, and $2=1: 27 \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{~N}$ were chosen by a best $t$ to the lattice data, ${ }^{2}=45$, where $p_{m a x}=6$ and $K=14$. O ur error estim ates are solely for the purpose of perform ing the ${ }^{2} t$.
ated w th K and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{max}}$, but we do not feel that they are su cient to account for the di erences. In fact, we have exam ined spectra for $K=10$ and $p_{m a x}=4 ; 6 ; 8$, extrapolating to large $p_{m a x}$, along w ith $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{max}}=6$ and $\mathrm{K}=10 ; 11 ; 12 ; 13 ; 14$, extrapolating to large K. C om paring w ith large N extrapolated E LM C spectra, in either case we saw no great im provem ent in our results. There is another quartic term we could have added, $\frac{1}{N^{2}}\left(\operatorname{Tr} M^{{ }^{Y}}{ }_{M}\right)^{2}$, which gives non-zero contribution only on the link | anti-link Fock state. It im proves the parity degeneracies at the expense of a less good radial excitation spectrum.
C learly it is necessary to check the e ect of higher order term sin thee ective potentialV (M) to see if they are sm all and capable of accounting for the discrepancies betw een our results and Teper's. If agreem ent can be obtained in the clean environm ent of pure ghe in $2+1$ dim ensions, we see no reason why the sam e m ethods cannot be applied in practioe to spectra, form factors, and structure functions in $3+1$ dim ensions.
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