## Relations between the SNO and the SuperKam iokande solar neutrino rates

W aikwok Kwong and S.P.Rosen Department of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, Texas 76019-0059 (February 12, 1996)

## Abstract

By comparing the neutrino spectram easured by SNO and SuperK am iokande, we obtain inequalities between the ratios of observed rate to SSM rate for the two experiments. These inequalities apply to a possibly energy-dependent reduction of the SSM ux and to the case of neutrino oscillations. We use them to examine the relationship between the two experiments expected for the MSW and Just-So" oscillation scenarios.

96.60 K x, 12.15 M m, 14.60 Pq

Two high statistics solar neutrino experiments will be coming on line in the near future. Super K am iokande (SK) [1] expects to begin taking data in April of 1996, and the Sudbury N eutrino O bærvatory (SNO) [2] in N ovem ber 1996. Both experiments will obærve only the <sup>8</sup>B neutrinos, and they expect about ten events a day instead of the one event seen every few days in current experiments. Thus they will provide accurate determ inations of the solar neutrino interaction rates and of the spectral shape of the nal state electrons [3].

Here we will use a method we devised earlier [4] to compare the neutrino spectra that are actually being measured by the two experiments, and to derive relations between their total rates.

SuperK am iokande can detect allthree avors of neutrinos through elastic scattering with atom ic electrons, e! e. In principle it is sensitive to neutrinos of the lowest energy, but in practice it is limited because of backgrounds from natural radioactivity. The correlation between the electron and the neutrino energy is poor because high energy neutrinos can produce soft scattered electrons.

One of the principle reactions at SNO is the charged-current process  $_{\rm e}$  d! ppe, which is sensitive only to  $_{\rm e}$ . The correlation between the electron and neutrino energy is much better than that of the elastic scattering | since the two-proton system is relatively heavy, the electron tends to carry o most of the neutrino energy. However, this reaction has a threshold of 1.442 M eV, and so it is not sensitive to very low energy neutrinos.

A general expression for total rates can be written in terms of the  ${}^8B$  ux (E) from the standard solar model (SSM), an electron-neutrino \survival probability" P (E), and an experimental cross section as

$$R = P(E) (E) (E) dE$$
 : (1)

The function P (E) parameterizes any, possibly energy-dependent, di erences between the SSM ux and the one that is actually measured on Earth. These include overall reduction of neutrino uxes due to solar physics and energy-dependent loss of ux due to oscillations into sterile neutrinos. All experimental parameters are hidden in the cross section which involves a convolution over an energy resolution function, a detection e ciency, and the theoretical cross section. The electron energy resolution for SNO is rather close to that of Super K am iokande, E = E at 10 M eV is about 10{12%. The detection e ciency above trigger threshold is very close to 100% for both experiments. In this analysis we will use the same parameters for both experiments: 11% for the energy resolution and a perfect e ciency with a 5 M eV trigger threshold. For the  $_{\rm e}$ d theoretical cross section, we use the result of R ef. [5].

Since the functions (E) are known quantities in both experiments, we compare their shapes by dening

$$f_{SK} (E) = \frac{(_ee;E)}{(_ee;E) dE};$$

$$f_{SNO} (E) = \frac{(SNO;E)}{(SNO;E) dE};$$
(2)

which are plotted in Fig. 1. Now, let us write

TABLE I. Dependence of on the energy resolution of SNO and SuperKamiokande.

		SNO resolution		
		0:10	0:11	0:12
SK	0:10	0:569	0 <b>:</b> 575 0 <b>:</b> 572	0:581
resolution	0:11	0:566	0 <b>:</b> 572	0 <b>:</b> 578
	0:12	0 <b>:</b> 563	0:569	0 <b>:</b> 575

$$f_{SK}(E) = f_{SNO}(E) + r(E);$$
 (3)

and maximize the constant subject to the condition that the remainder function r(E) be everywhere positive. The value obtained, = 0.57, is mainly controlled by the behavior of the cross sections at the upper end of the <sup>8</sup>B spectrum : the cross section for elastic e scattering rises linearly with the neutrino energy, but that for the charged-current interaction at SNO rises much more quickly. A consequence of this behavior is that variations at the low energy end, such as changes in the trigger thresholds and e ciencies, have no e ect on

to rst order; they a ect only indirectly through a small change in the normalization of

. This can be seen in Table 1, where we have listed the values of for di erent energy resolutions for the two experiments.

Now, we drop the term r(E), multiply both sides of (3) with P(E), and integrate over E. This gives us an inequality between the total rates of the two experiments. Recognizing the denom inators in (2) to be the respective SSM rates, we express the inequality in terms of the ratios of observed to SSM event rates for either oscillations of solar  $_{\rm e}$  into sterile neutrinos, or for an energy dependent reduction of the solar  $_{\rm e}$  ux. We de ne for Super K am iokande and SNO

$$y = \frac{R (SK)}{R_{SSM} (SK)}; \qquad x = \frac{R (SNO)}{R_{SSM} (SNO)}; \qquad (4)$$

and obtain our rst inequality:

(I)

y x (5)

Next, let us consider the case of oscillations of  $_{\rm e}$  into an active neutrino, i.e., or . The rate for SNO remains unchanged, but that for Super K am iokande must be modiled by the additional neutral-current scattering contributions coming from and :

$$R (SK) = P (_{e}e;E) + (1 P) (e;E) dE ;$$

$$= [0:85P(E) + 0:15] (_{e}e;E) dE ; (6)$$

where, (e;E) is the common cross section for e and e scattering. To obtain the second line, we have made the substitution (e;E) = 0.15 ( $_{e}e;E$ ), which is a very good approximation in the energy range under consideration [6]. It allows us to write the ratio of the actual Super K am jokande rate to the SSM prediction in the general form

$$y = (1) P(E) f_{SK}(E) dE +$$
 (7)

$$= \begin{array}{cc} 0; & \text{oscillation into sterile neutrinos} \\ 0:15; & \text{oscillation into active neutrinos} \end{array}$$
(8)

Making use of Eq. (3) we nd the general inequality

which includes Eq. (5) when = 0, and gives us our second inequality

(II) 
$$y \ 0.85 \ x + 0.15$$
 (10)

for oscillations into active neutrino species when = 0.15.

The inequalities (I) and (II) are represented graphically in Fig. 2 with the ratio y as ordinate and the ratio x as abscissa. Combinations of observations from the two experiments can be represented by points in the diagram; when experimental errors are taken into account, the points become regions.

Inequality (I) requires that all observed regions lie above the line y = x; ( = 0.57). Since this inequality has been derived under general conditions with few assumptions regarding solar or neutrino physics, all points below the line are unphysical. Put another way, experimental observations falling below the line would imply a fundamental error in present theories of the sun and solar neutrinos.

Inequality (II) de nes a region above the line  $y = 0.85 \times + 0.15$ ; ( = 0.57). which is displaced vertically above y = x by 0.15 and has a 15% smaller slope. All points above this line are consistent with all solutions to the solar neutrino problem, solar physics and oscillations into active or sterile neutrinos. Points lying between the two lines are consistent with solar physics and oscillations into sterile neutrinos; therefore should the results from Super K am iokande and SNO fall within this region, we will be able to rule out oscillations into active neutrinos and predict a smaller neutral-current signal in SNO than expected in the SSM .

W e can represent the present m easurements from K am iokande II, namely,  $y = 0.51 \quad 0.07$  as a horizontal band in the diagram. W ithin statistical uctuations, the observations from Super K am iokande are expected to fall inside this band.

There are various ts [7{11] to the existing solar neutrino data based upon the M SW mechanism and the Just-So oscillations, and it is useful to see how they are represented in our plot. The \sm all angle" M SW solution can be characterized by an electron survival probability

$$P(E) = e^{C = E};$$
 (11)

where the constant C is proportional to the product of  $\sin^2 2$  times m<sup>2</sup> and is close to 10 M eV in magnitude. In the standard m<sup>2</sup> { $\sin^2 2$  oscillation parameter space, the allowed sm all-angle region can be represented in a log-log plot of constant-probability (or constant-rate) contours by a series of parallel lines each corresponding to a di erent value for the product m<sup>2</sup> sin<sup>2</sup> 2; in our Super K am iokande vs SNO rate plot, each of these lines maps

into a single point in the x-y rate-space, which represents a speci c rate for each experiment. A swe move from one line to another in the parameter space, the single points in rate-space map out a line.

To determ ine the equation for this line, we consider sm all changes in the parameter C around the value  $C_0 = 10 \text{ MeV}$ . The survival probability can then be written

$$P(E) = e^{(C_0 + C) = E}$$
 (1  $C = E$ ) $e^{C_0 = E}$ ; (12)

where C is assumed to be much smaller than E . Both y and x are now linear in C, which can be eliminated to give a straight line

$$y = (1 ) B x + (1 ) A + ;$$
 (13)

where A and B are calculable constants:

$$B = B_{SK} = B_{SNO}; A = A_{SK} A_{SNO}B;$$

$$A_{SK;SNO} = e^{C_0 = E} f_{SK;SNO}(E) dE$$

$$B_{SK;SNO} = \frac{1}{E} e^{C_0 = E} f_{SK;SNO}(E) dE : (14)$$

The appropriate lines evaluated using (11) instead of its linear approximation (12) are shown in Fig. 2 as this solid lines passing through the point (1,1), as required. The upper line (=0.15) is for oscillation in to active neutrinos and the lower line (=0) is for oscillation in to sterile neutrinos. These two lines are only very slightly curved, indicating that the approximation (12) is valid for a wide range of values for C.

The \large angle" M SW solution has an electron-neutrino survival probability

$$P(E) = \sin^2$$
(15)

which is independent of energy and m<sup>2</sup>. Thus it maps vertical lines in parameter space into single points in rate-space, and as we move from one line to another the points in rate-space trace a line. Using the above survival probability in the expression for x and y, we obtain the equation of the line as

$$y = (1 )x + :$$
 (16)

It is a straight line that always passes through the point (1,1) corresponding to no oscillations, and becomes y = x in the sterile case (= 0). It is plotted in Fig. 2 as the dot-dashed lines for the active and sterile cases.

In the Just-So solution, the electron neutrino survival probability is given by

P(E) = 
$$1 \sin^2 2 \sin^2 \frac{m^2 L}{4E}$$
 (17)

The value of m<sup>2</sup> must be chosen to yield an oscillation length of the same order as the Earth (Sun distance L. Thus, for some energy  $E_0$  within the spectrum of solar neutrinos

$$\frac{m^{2}L}{4E_{0}} = (n + \frac{1}{2}) \quad : \tag{18}$$

Letting m  $^2$  = A  $\,$  10  $^{11}$  eV  $^2$  and m easuring E  $\,$  in M eV , we can express the y and x coordinates as

$$y = 1$$
 (1)  $\sin^2 2 \sin^2 \frac{1.90A}{E} f_{SK} dE$  (19)

$$x = 1 \sin^2 2 \sin^2 \frac{1.90A}{E} f_{SNO} dE$$
 (20)

For speci c values of m<sup>2</sup>, or A, the two integrals can be integrated numerically. Again, eliminating  $\sin^2 2$  from the two equations gives us a linear relationship between x and y. As  $\sin^2 2$  is varied from 0 to 1, the point (x;y) traces a straight line starting from (1;1) and ends at a point (x<sub>0</sub>;y<sub>0</sub>) with x<sub>0</sub> > 0 and dependent on the value of m<sup>2</sup>. By varying also m<sup>2</sup>, the entire parameter space is mapped into nite regions in Fig. 3: oscillations into active neutrinos give rise to the area enclosed by the solid curve and oscillations into sterile neutrinos give rise to the one enclosed by the dotted curve. A point falling outside these two regions cannot be explained using the Just-So oscillations.

So far, these lines and closed regions we have discussed represent the entire parameter space within the individual approximations. Existing data from K am iokande II, the Chlorine experiment, and the two gallium experiments GALLEX and SAGE favor certain ranges of the oscillation parameters. For this we use the global t of Ref. [9] for the small- and large-angle M SW solutions (the large-angle solution for sterile neutrinos has been ruled out according to this t) and the result of Ref. [10] for the Just-So solution (depending on the how the tting is done, the sterile case can also be rule out here, see [10] for details). Both analyses took into consideration theoretical uncertainties. The allowed regions at 95% con dence from these constraints on the SNO and Super K am iokande rates are shown in both Fig.2 and 3 as heavy black lines and shaded patches.

By considering the overlap of the regions corresponding to di erent solar neutrino solutions, we can anticipate the implications of measurements to be made by SNO and Super K am iokande.

Our ist observation is that the Just-So solutions occupy the largest area in the rate-space of the two experiments and are therefore the most dicult ones to rule out. From the total rates of SNO and Super K am iokande alone, it would be practically impossible to rule out the Just-So oscillations without also ruling out both the small- and large-angle M SW solutions. There is only a very small window with x < 0.16 and 0.15 y < 0.25 for active neutrinos, or 0 y < 0.15 for sterile neutrinos, in which this is possible. In contrast, the large- and small-angle M SW solutions occupy zero area in rate-space. This makes them extrem ely sensitive to the Super K am iokande and SNO measurements: the data point must falls right on top of one of the lines in Fig. 2, to within experimental uncertainty.

Our second observation is that from the total rates alone, it would be di cult to distinguish between the large-and sm all-angle M SW solutions at the 3- level. The solar neutrino rate of Super K am iokande is about 50 tim es that of K am iokande II; in about ve years Super K am iokande will have accum ulated 50 tim es as much data as the present K am iokande II. This translates into a factor of seven in the statistical uncertainty so that the ratio of observed to SSM rate for Super K am iokande will have a 1 uncertainty of 0.01 (instead of 0.07 for K am iokande II), provided that it is not limited by system atic uncertainties. On the other hand, Fig. 2 shows that the maximum distance in the y direction between the large- and sm all-angle lines are only about 0.02. It would be easier to distinguish between the sterile and the active case of the M SW solutions, especially if both experiments yield rates that are no larger than about half their SSM values.

W ith the help from the four existing experiments, some of these diculties may be overcome. For example, depending on where the future data point falls, we may be able to distinguish the large-angle M SW solution for active neutrinos (the short heavy black line in Fig. 2 and 3) from the small-angle one but probably not from the Just-So solution.

In plications obtained from rate measurements can be tested by examining the spectra of recoil electrons observed in both SuperK am jokande and SNO. A lthough the di erences tend to be rather subtle, the combination of high statistics and the \normalized spectral ratio" method [3] should enable us to distinguish between active and sterile neutrinos. In addition, the Just-So solution is much more sensitive to the BOREXINO experiment [12] than to either SuperK am jokande or SNO because of the monenergetic <sup>7</sup>Be lines. This should help us separate the Just-So oscillations from the MSW solutions.

This work was supported in part by the U S.D epartm ent of Energy G rant No.D E-FG 03-96ER 40943. The authors would like to thank G ene B eier and H ank Sobel for providing the experim ental parameters for SNO and Super K am iokande. One of the authors (SPR) would like to thank G eo rey W est and the Los A lam os N ational Laboratory for their hospitality at the 1995 Summer W orkshop and P lam en K rastev for a conversation which initiated this work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Totsuka, \Super K am iokande," Univ. of Tokyo Report No.ICRR-227-90-20, 1990 (unpublished).
- [2] G. T. Ewan et al., \Sudbury Neutrino Observatory Proposal," Report NoSNO-87-12, 1897 (unpublished); "Scienti c and TechnicalD escription of the Mark IISNO D etector," edited by E.W. Beier and D. Sinclair, Report No.SNO-89-15, 1989 (unpublished).
- [3] W aikwok Kwong and S.P.Rosen, Phys. Rev.D 51, 6159 (1995).
- [4] W aikwok Kwong and S.P.Rosen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 369 (1994).
- [5] F.J.Kelly and H.Uberall, Phys. Rev. Lett. 16, 145 (1966); S.D. Ellis and J.N. Bahcall, Nucl. Phys. A 114, 636 (1968).
- [6] See, e.g., J. N. Bahcall, Neutrino Astrophysics (Cambridge University Press, 1989), Sec. 82, p. 214{243.
- [7] GALLEX Collaboration, P. Anselm ann et al., Phys. Lett. B 285, 390 (1992).
- [8] V.Barger, R.J.N.Phillips, and K.W hisnant, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 3135 (1992).
- [9] N. Hata and P. Langacker, Phys. Rev. D 50, 632 (1994).
- [10] P.I.K rastev and S.T. Petcov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1960 (1994).
- [11] J.N.Bahcalland P.I.K rastev, Institute of A dvanced Studies Report No.IA SSN S 95-56, (hep-ph/9512378).
- [12] C.A presella et al., \The BOREXINO Proposals," vol. 1 and 2, edited by G.Bellini et al. (University of Milano Report, 1992); R.S.Raghavan, Science 267, 45 (1995).

FIG.1. The normalized shapes of for SNO and SuperKamiokande.

FIG.2. The MSW solutions in the Super K am iokande-SNO rate-space. The inequalities (I) and (II) divide the rate-space into three regions labeled \allowed by (I) and (II)", (I) only", and (forbidden". The small-angle MSW solution must lie on the solid thin lines and the large-angle solution must lie on the dot-dashed lines. The upper pair is for oscillation into active neutrinos and the lower pair for sterile neutrinos. Bounds from existing data are represented by the heavy black lines. The patches of shaded areas are bounds from the Just-So solution, shown here for comparison.

FIG.3. The Just-So solutions in the SuperK am iokande-SNO rate-space. The region bounded by the thin solid and dotted curves are the solution spaces for Just-So oscillations into active and sterile neutrinos respectively. See also Fig.2.





