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ABSTRACT
$C$ ertain hadronic two-body decays of $B m$ esons are dom inated by penguin diagram S . The ratios of rates for several such decays, including $\left(B^{0}\right.$ ! $\left.\bar{K}^{0} K^{0}\right)=\left(B^{0}\right.$ ! $\left.\quad K^{0}\right),\left(B^{0}\right.$ ! $\left.\bar{K}^{0} K^{0}\right)=\left(B^{0}\right.$ ! $\left.\quad K^{0}\right)$, $\quad\left(B^{+}\right.$! $\left.\bar{K}^{0} K^{+}\right)=\left(B^{+}!K^{+}\right)$, and $\left(B^{+}\right.$! $\left.\bar{K}^{0} K^{+}\right)=\left(B^{+}\right.$! $\left.K^{+}\right)$, can provide inform ation on the ratio of Cabibbo-K obayashiM askawa (CKM) elem ents $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{td}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ts}} \mathrm{j}$ in a m anner com plem entary to other proposed determ inations. SU (3) breaking e ects cancel in som e ratios. T he cases of neutral $B$ decays are free of corrections from sm all annihilation term $s$.
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[^0]The charge-changing weak interactions of quarks are described in the electrow eak
 $T$ he elem ents of this $m$ atrix are fundam ental quantities in the theory. A $m$ a jor task of future experim ents is to im prove our present know ledge of these param eters. B physics experim ents, from which very usefiul inform ation on two of the couplings, $V_{c b}$ and $V_{u b}$, was obtained [i了了], are expected to play in the future a crucial role tow ards this goal. $M$ easurem ent of $m$, the nonstrange neutral $B$ eson $m$ ass di erence, has provided through the box diagram $m$ echanism the strongest constraint at present on $V_{t d}$ [in, '디 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: 12<\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{td}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cb}} \mathrm{j}<0: 36: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his range is consistent $w$ ith unitarity of the CKM m atrix and with the observed CP violation in the neutral K m eson system. A nother prom ising way to determ ine $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{td}}$, or at least to further constrain it $\left[\frac{\bar{\sigma}}{1}\right]$, is through $m$ easurem ent of radiative penguin decays, $B^{0}!{ }^{0}, B^{0}!$ ! by com paring these rates to the $m$ easured rate of $B^{0}$ ! $K{ }^{0}$
 the strange neutralB $m$ eson $m$ ass di erence, or by a $m$ easurem ent of $K^{+}$! + - ! [ $\left./ 8\right]$.

In this Letter we propose a $m$ ethod ofm easuring $V_{t d}$ which is based on com paring rates ofstrangeness-conserving and strangeness-changing tw o-body and quasi-tw o-body hadronic $B$ decays to noncharm ed $m$ esons. For this punpose we consider decays which are dom inated by QCD-penguin and by electrow eak penguin operators. These operators, denoted respectively by $Q_{36}$ and $Q_{710}$ [9] $\left.\overline{1}\right]$, appear in the e ective $H$ am iltonian for charm-conserving hadronic $B$ decays. The CKM coe cients of these operators, dom inated by the $t$ quark contributions, are given by $V_{t a} V_{t b}$ and $V_{t s} V_{t b}$, corresponding to $S=0$ and j $\mathrm{S} j=1$ decays, respectively. Thus, up to $S U$ (3) breaking corrections, the ratios of corresponding decay rates is given approxim ately by $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{td}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ts}}{ }^{3}$ and could provide new $m$ easurem ents of $V_{t d}$.

P revious studies of penguin-dom inated hadronic B decays [1] calculated rates assum ing factorization of penguin am plitudes, taking speci c form factors and using representative values for the $m$ agnitude of CKM elem ents. These m odel-dependent calculations can serve as rough estim ates. O ur purpose is di erent. W e will treat this class of processes in a m odel-independent $m$ anner, and $w$ ill take ratios of $S=0$ to j $S j=1$ rates, in which a major part of the $m$ odel-dependence is expected to cancel.

In order to perform a generalanalysis oftw o-and quasi-tw o-body penguin-dom inated $B$ decays, let us focus rst on decays to two light pseudoscalars, generically denoted by B ! P P. A ssum ing, at a rst stage, avour SU (3) for the strong interactions, it is very convenient [i] $\overline{1}]$ to replace the ve SU (3) invariant am plitudes describing these
 [1̄2̄], which we denote by $T$ (tree), C (colour-suppressed), P (QCD-penguin), E (exchange), A (annihilation) and P A (penguin annihilation). The last three am plitudes, in which the spectator quark enters into the decay $H$ am iltonian, are expected to be suppressed by $f_{B}=m_{B}$ ( $f_{B} \quad 180 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ ) and $m$ ay be neglected to a good approxim ation. The presence of higher-order electrow eak penguin contributions introduces no
new SU (3) am plitudes, and in term s of quark graphs merely leads to a substitution [2̄0̄]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}!\mathrm{t} T+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{EW}}^{\mathrm{C}} ; \mathrm{C}!\mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{EW}} ; \mathrm{P}!\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{P} \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{EW}}^{\mathrm{C}} \text {; } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{E W}$ and $P_{E W}^{C}$ are colour-favored and colour-suppressed electrow eak penguin am plitudes. To im prove the precision of the analysis, one can then introduce rstorder SU (3) breaking corrections in the am plitudes. In Ref. $\left[\underline{2} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ we showed that this $m$ ay be achieved in a $m$ ost generalm anner through $m$ ass insertions in the above quark diagram s.

W ew illuse the above analysis to write the am plitudes for the few processes of type B ! PP which obtain contributions from $Q C D$-and electroweak penguin term $s$ (in the combination $(\underline{Q})$ ) w thout any contributions from tree ( $T$ ) and colour-suppressed (C ) term s. For generality we include at this point sm aller annihilation-like term s and SU (3) breaking term s . $\mathrm{S}=0 \mathrm{am}$ plitudes are denoted by unprim ed quantities and
 [2]ī] :

$$
\begin{gather*}
A\left(B^{+}!K^{+} \bar{K}^{0}\right)=p+p_{3}+A ; \\
A\left(B^{0}!K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}\right)=p+p_{3}+P A ; \\
A\left(B^{+}!\quad{ }^{+} K^{0}\right)=p^{0}+p_{1}^{0}+A^{0} ; \\
A\left(B_{s}!K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}\right)=p^{0}+p_{1}^{0}+p_{2}^{0}+P A^{0}: \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $p$ and $p^{0}$ are SU (3)-invariant am plitudes. The three SU (3)-breaking corrections [ַ̄긱 $p_{1}^{0} ; p_{2}^{0} ; p_{3}$ are due, respectively, to a b! $s$ (rather than ab! d) transition, an $s$ (rather than $\mathrm{a} u$ or a d) spectator quark, and ss (rather than ūu or d $\bar{d}$ ) pair creation. $T$ hese term $s m$ ay be intenpreted as form-factor and/or decay-constant corrections if one assum es factorization for penguin am plitudes. W e neglect SU (3) breaking in the sm aller A; PA ( $A^{0}$; PA ${ }^{0}$ ) amplitudes.

The penguin am plitudes p; PA and $p^{0} ; P^{0}{ }^{0}$ are dom inated by the $t$ quark contribution. W e w ill neglect sm allu and c quark term $s$. They can a ect the magnitude of $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}^{0}[\underline{[2} \overline{2}]$ by as $m$ uch as $30 \%$ for the $s m$ allest allow ed values of $\mathrm{JV}_{\mathrm{td}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ts}} \dot{j}$, but typically by at $m$ ost $10 \%$ over $m$ ost of the allowed range. The ratios $p=p^{0}$ and ( $p+P A$ ) $=\left(p^{0}+P A A^{0}\right)$ are then given sim ply in term s of the ratio of corresponding CKM m atrix elem ents:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{PA}}{\mathrm{p}^{0}+\mathrm{PA}^{0}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{p}^{0}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{td}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{tb}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ts}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{tb}}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{td}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ts}}}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the ratio $A=A^{0}$ is given by a di erent CKM factor $A=A{ }^{0}=V_{u d}=V_{u s}$.
N eglecting annihilation-like am plitudes and SU (3) breaking term s leads to the approxim ate relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
A\left(B^{+}!K^{+} \bar{K}^{0}\right) \quad A\left(B^{0}!K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}\right) ;  \tag{5}\\
A\left(B^{+}!{ }^{+} K^{0}\right) \quad A\left(B_{s}!K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}\right) ;  \tag{6}\\
\frac{A\left(B^{0}!K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}\right)}{A\left(B_{s}!K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}\right)} \quad \frac{V_{t d}}{V_{t s}} ; \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A\left(B^{+}!K^{+} \bar{K}^{0}\right)}{A\left(B^{+}!{ }^{+} K^{0}\right)} \quad \frac{V_{t d}}{V_{t s}}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The am plitude equality $(\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{W}})$ for $S=0$ transitions, which follow sfrom the $I=1=2$ property of the b! d penguin operator, can be used to test the m agnitude of the sm all annihilation term swhidh were neglected. Eq. ( $\overline{-1})$, for $j S j=1$ amplitudes, is expected to be m ore sensitive to the $S U(3)$ breaking term $p_{2}^{0}$. In Eq. ( $\left.\overline{\bar{T}_{1}}\right)$, relating nonstrange and strange neutralB decay am plitudes, we neglect only SU (3) breaking term S , while in Eq. ( $(\underset{\theta}{-1})$ for charged B m esons also $A$; $A^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be neglected.

To evaluate the precision of the relations $\left(\frac{1}{1},\left\{\frac{1}{1} \overline{1}\right)\right.$, one $m$ ust estim ate the relative contributions of the neglected term s . T his can be done in a m odel-dependent $m$ anner, for instance by assum ing factorization and speci c models for form factors [1] [1]. A rough estim ate of the $A$; $A^{0}$ term $s$ based on their $f_{B}=m$ suppression was obtained in Ref. $[\underline{L} \overline{1} 1]$ : $A=p=O(1=5) ; A^{0}=p^{0}=O\left((1=5)^{3}\right)$. The rst ratio m ay be an overestim ate if the annihilation am plitude A is further suppressed, for instance by a helicity argum ent.

SU (3) breaking term $s$ in penguin am plitudes are generally expected to lead to no $m$ ore than $30 \%$ corrections. In the ratios of amplitudes ( $\bar{\eta}_{1}$ ) and $\left(\bar{q}_{1}\right)$, the num erators and denom inators contain di erent types of SU (3) breaking term s and it is di cult to argue for cancellation e ects in a m odel-independent $m$ anner. A swillbe show $n$ below, such a cancellation occurs in $B$ decays involving vector $m$ esons in the nal state.

Let us consider quasi-two-body decays of the type B! P V and B! VV, where $V$ stands for a charm less vector $m$ eson. For com pleteness, and in order to treat these processes in the above SU (3) breaking fram ew ork using quark diagram $s$, we digress at this point to discuss the equivalence betw een a description of these processes in term $s$ of SU (3) reduced am plitudes and quark graphs.

In the weak $H$ am ittonian goveming $B$ m eson decays to pairs of charm less nal
 avour SU (3)] and tw o light antiquarks (3), leading to operators transform ing as 3 ; 6 and 15 . Penguin operators $w$ th the $S U(3)$ structure $b!d$ and $b!s$ transform only as 3 . The independent am plitudes for sym $m$ etric and antisym $m$ etric nal states [ī1] com posed of two avour octets are sum m arized in Table 1.
$T$ he decays of (spinless) B m esons to pairs of avour octet pseudoscalar m esons $P$ are characterized by the reduced amplitudes in the left-hand (sym metric) colum $n$ of Table 1, since the $m$ esons are produced in an $S-w$ ave and the nal state is sym m etric under the interchange of the two nalm esons. D ecays to one pseudoscalar octet and one vector ( $V$ ) octet involve both colum ns. D ecays to two vector $m$ esons involve symm etric am plitudes for $S$ - and D wave nal states and antisym m etric am plitudes for $P$ wave nalstates.

The relation betw een graphs for B! P P decays and the reduced m atrix elem ents in the lefthand colum $n$ of $T a b l e 1$ was noted in the A ppendix of $R$ ef. $\left.[1]{ }_{1}^{0}\right]$. A corresponding expansion is possible for $B$ ! $P V$ and $B$ ! VV amplitudes. The need for both colum ns of Table 1 in describing B ! PV decays arises from the distinction betw een processes in which the spectator quark enters either $P$ or $V$.

T he neglect of graphs in which the spectator quark participates in the weak inter-

Table 1: Reduced am plitudes for hadronic decays of $B m$ esons to pairs of charm less nal states.

| Sym m etric | A ntisym $m$ etric |
| :---: | :---: |
| h27j15 j3i | h10 ji15 j3i |
|  | - |
|  | h10 ${ }^{\text {ji }} 6$ |
|  |  |
| h 1 ग3 3 ji | h8 $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{j}^{3} \mathrm{j} 3 \mathrm{j}$ |

action was show $n$ in $R$ ef. [ī̄] $\overline{-1}$ to be equivalent to two relations betw een reduced $m$ atrix elem ents:

T he reduced am plitudes which are related to one another have the sam e avour structure of the e ective weak H am ittonian. T he neglect of spectator interactions is equivalent to forbidding contractions between the SU (3) index of the initial spectator quark and the indioes associated w ith the weak H am iltonian. O ne m ust necessarily get relations am ong nal-state am plitudes involving the sam e H am iltonian structure.

A corresponding set of relations can be seen for the antisym $m$ etric am plitudes:

A s for the sym $m$ etric am plitudes, three independent reduced $m$ atrix elem ents rem ain when interactions w ith the spectator quark are neglected. In the graphical approach, these correspond to tree, colour-suppressed, and penguin am plitudes.

W hen considering vector $m$ esons we shall need to discuss the , an octet-singlet $m$ ixture. A side from the special case of $B_{s}$ ! , which we discuss separately, all additionalam plitudes of interest arising from the singlet com ponent of the willinvolve
 the prime denotes an am plitude involving one nal-state singlet and one nal-state octet $m$ eson.

W e expect processes such as $B^{+}$! ${ }^{+}$to be highly suppressed since the must be connected to the rest of the diagram by at least three ghons, a photon, a Z , or a W ${ }^{+}$W pair. The last three (oolour-favored electrow eak penguin) processes $[1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1]$, to which we shall retum, lead to about 10\% corrections to the Q CD -dom inated penguin am plitudes to be considered here. If the three-gluon and colour-favored electrow eak penguin processes are assum ed to be zero (a good approxim ation), one obtains relations betw een each of the three $8^{0}$ amplitudes noted above and those in Table 1 involving the sam e H am iltonian structure.

In the case of $B_{s}$ ! , a new amplitude of the form $h 1^{0} j 3$ j3im ay be related to the others by the condition that both 's should be connected by quark lines either to
one another (as in the \penguin annihilation" diagram s of Ref. be sm all), or to the rest of the diagram .
$T o$ form all the penguin-dom inated processes of the type $B!P V$ and $B!V V$, we $m$ ake the follow ing observations:

1) In b ! d transitions, one m ust consider only those decays in which an ss pair is produced from the vacuum. The production of a uu pair leads to an e ective transition b ! duu which can also arise from tree-type processes. The production of a dd pair can lead to $m$ esons containing dd which are im possible to distinguish from those containing uu (and hence which can be produced by colour-suppressed tree-type processes.)
2) In b! s transitions, one can consider production of an ss pair or a dd pair from the vacuum. A uu pair leads again to an e ective transition which can also arise from tree-type processes.
3) In decaysproducing an ssm eson, we dem and that it.be the, since the appears to be com posed $m$ ostly of ss and its couplings appear to approxim ately respect the O kubo-Zweig-Iizuka (O Z I) rule forbidding disconnected quark diagram s. This rule is less likely to hold for processes involving and ${ }^{0}$ (which in any case are not pure ss) た2

U sing these sim ple rules it is straightforw ard to $w$ rite expressions sim ilar to ( $(\underset{1}{-1})$ for all $P V$ and $V V$ penguin-dom inated decay $m$ odes. $H$ ere again charged $B$ decay am plitudes involve corrections from A and $A^{0}$ term s, while the likely sm aller PA and PA ${ }^{0}$ am plitudes contribute to nonstrange and strange neutral $B$ decays. $W$ hen neglecting these term s one obtains a set of equalities between $B^{0}$ and $B^{+}$amplitudes. These relations, including Eq. (ডָ) , are given in Table 2. T he am plitude equalities, which are free ofSU (3) breaking corrections, follow from $I=1=2$ and $I=0$ selection rules of b! d and b! s transitions, respectively. N ote that in all cases an ss pair is created in the vacuum. The equalities in $T$ able 2 can be used to test the sm all m agnitude of annihilation term swich were neglected. In Table 2 and subsequently, we shall distinguish between $B!P V$ and $B!V P$ decays by adopting the convention that the second $m$ eson is the one containing the spectator quark. In Refs. $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 1,1,1 \overline{2}]$ the $B!P V$ penguin am plitudes are found to be very sm all as a result ofm odel-dependent dynam ical cancellations. In these cases the colour-favored electrow eak penguin contributions involving production by the neutral weak current are no longer negligible.

O ne $m$ ay obtain a set of relations involving $B^{0}$ and $B_{s} b!d$ and $b!s$ transitions, which determ ine $V_{t d}=V_{t s}$. These relations, given in Table 3 and containing Eq. (īi), do not require neglect of annihilation term $s$. They $m$ ay be a ected, how ever, by SU (3) breaking e ects which are im plicit in the table. The qq pair created out of the vacuum in each process is indicated in the table and represents a possible SU (3) breaking correction term of type $p_{3}\left(p_{3}^{0}\right)$. (A $n$ exam ple of appreciable form-factor effects in com paring dd and ss production is given in Ref. [1]ī].) O ther SU (3) breaking corrections are a type $-p_{1}^{0}$ term which occurs in b! stransitions and a type-p $\left(p_{2}^{0}\right)$ which contributes to $B_{s}$ decays. $W$ e note that the type- $p_{3}\left(p_{3}^{0}\right)$ term $s$ cancel in ratios of $S=0$ and $j S j=1$ amplitudes, such as $A\left(B^{0}!\bar{K}^{0} K^{0}\right)=A\left(B^{0}!K^{0}\right)$ and $A\left(B^{0}!\bar{K}^{0} K{ }^{0}\right)=A\left(B^{0}!\quad K{ }^{0}\right)$, which are therefore expected to provide a better

Table 2: Relations involying $B^{0}$ and $B^{+}$decays dictated by selection rules associated $w$ ith dom inance of penguin am plitudes.

| $\mathrm{B}^{0}$ decay | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$decay |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{~K}^{0}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{~K}^{+}$ |
| $\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{~K} 0 \mathrm{O}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{~K}+\mathrm{a}$ |
| $\overline{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}{ }^{0}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}^{+}$ |
| $\overline{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{~K} 0$ | $\overline{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}$ |
| K ${ }^{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ |
|  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Sm all am plitude in som e m odels.
m easure of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{td}}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {ts }}$ than other ratios.
$T$ he last line of $T$ able 3 involves the decay $B_{s}!\quad$, which can occur only in even partial waves (S and D). O ne m ust then separate even from odd partial waves the other decays if one $w$ ants to com pare them $w$ th the $B_{s}!$ rate. O ne can then w rite, for exam ple, taking account of identical particle e ects,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim\left(B_{s}!\quad\right)=2^{\sim}\left(B^{0}!\quad K^{0}\right) \dot{J}_{+D} \quad ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ~ $\quad=$ (phase space). A ll other relations am ong $V \mathrm{~V}$ decays hold separately for each partialwave.

For $m$ any of the $B^{0}$ and $B_{s}$ decays, the avour of the decaying $m$ eson cannot be ascertained from the nal state because it is observed in a CP eigenstate or can be produced from both the neutralB and its charge-con jugate (via $m$ ixing). In all cases aside from $B^{0}$ ! $K^{0}$ and $B_{s}!\bar{K}^{0}$ useful inform ation on $J_{t d}=V_{t s} j$ still $m$ ay be obtained from tim e-integrated decay rates, sum $m$ ed over a process and its chargeconjugate. O ne can isolate the process $\mathrm{B}^{0}!\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{~K}^{0}$, which is to be com pared w ith $B^{0}$ ! $K^{0}$, by identifying the avour of the intial $B$ by tagging and that of the decaying one by the charge of the kaon in $\bar{K}^{0}!K^{+}$, and taking account of the known am ount of $B{ }^{0} \bar{B}^{0} m$ ixing. The rate for $B_{s}!\bar{K}^{0}$, which requires observing tim e-dependent $B_{s} \quad \bar{B}_{s}$ oscillations, is one of those found to be sm all and susceptible to colour-favored electrow eak penguin term $s$ in som em odels.
$F$ inally, another set of relations can be obtained for $\mathrm{B}^{+}$decays, in which the ratio
 are sum $m$ arized in Table 4 which also labels the quark pair produced from the vacuum. A gain, SU (3) breaking is $m$ ore likely to a ect those relations in which the quark pair produced is not the sam e in the b! d and b! stransitions. In this respect, the am plitude ratios $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{B}^{+}!\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{~K}^{+}\right)=\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{B}^{+}!\mathrm{K}^{+}\right)$and $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{B}^{+}!\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{~K}^{+}\right)=\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{B}^{+}\right.$!
$K^{+}$) are expected to give $m$ ore precise inform ation on $\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{td}}=V_{\mathrm{ts}} j$ than other ratios. $T$ hese relationsm ay be slightly a ected by contributions from annihilation am plitudes.

Table 3: Sum m ary ofam plitude relations between b! d and b! s penguin-dom inated $B^{0}$ and $B_{s}$ decays. R atios ofb! $d$ and b! s processes are given by $V_{t d}=V_{t s}$. The pair produced out of the vacuum in each class of decay is indicated. Entries indicate nal states. R ow s indicate am plitudes related to one another.

| $\mathrm{B}^{0}$ decays |  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}$ decays |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b! d | b! s | b! d | b ! | s |
| ss pair | ss pair | ss pair | dd pair | ss pair |
| $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{~K}^{0} \\ \overline{\mathrm{~K}}^{0} \mathrm{~K}^{0}{ }^{0} \mathrm{a} \\ \overline{\mathrm{~K}}^{0} \mathrm{~K}^{0} \\ \overline{\mathrm{~K}}^{0} \mathrm{~K}^{0} \end{gathered}$ | K K ${ }^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \quad \mathrm{a} \\ & \overline{\mathrm{~K}}^{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline K^{0} \overline{\mathrm{~K}}^{0} \\ \mathrm{~K}^{0} \overline{\mathrm{~K}}^{0}{ }^{2} \\ \mathrm{~K}^{0} \overline{\mathrm{~K}}^{0} \\ \mathrm{~K}^{0}{ }^{0}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{0} \end{gathered}$ |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Sm all am plifude in som emodels.

Table 4: Sum m ary ofam plitude relations between b! dand b! s penguin-dom inated $B^{+}$decays. Ratios of $b$ ! d and b! s processes are given by $V_{t d}=V_{t s}$. The pair produced out of the vacuum in each class of decay is indicated. Entries indicate nal states. R ow s indicate am plitudes related to one another.

| $b!d$ | $b!$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ss pair | dd pair | ss pair |
| $\bar{K}^{0} K^{+}$ | $K^{0}+$ |  |
| $\bar{K}^{0} K^{+}+a$ | $K^{0}+a$ |  |
| $\bar{K}^{0} K^{+}$ | $K^{0}+$ | $K^{+}$ |
| $\bar{K}^{0} K^{+}$ | $K^{0}+$ | $K^{+}$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Sm all am plitude in som emodels.

In order to get a feeling for the levelat whidh (type-p ${ }_{1}$ ) SU (3) correctionsm ay a ect these relations, let us refer to a speci c model [1] for a calculation of the above two ratios of $B^{+}$am plitudes. A ssum ing factorization of penguin am plitudes, the authors nd the follow ing dependence on the coupling and mass:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(B^{+}!K^{+}\right)^{\prime} C \text { onst: } V_{t s}(g=m) ; A\left(B^{+}!K^{+}\right)^{\prime} C \text { onst: } V_{t s} g \text {; } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coupling $g$ is obtained from the ! $e^{+} e$ rate. C orrespondingly, we then obtain in this model (cancelling form factors which are equal to a couple of percent)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A\left(B^{+}!\bar{K}^{0} K^{+}\right)}{A\left(B^{+}!K^{+}\right)}, \frac{V_{t d}}{V_{t s}} \frac{g_{K}=m_{k}}{g=m} ; \frac{A\left(B^{+}!\bar{K}^{0} K^{+}\right)}{A\left(B^{+}!K^{+}\right)}, \frac{V_{t d}}{V_{t s}} \frac{g_{k}}{g} ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the $K$ weak decay constant $g_{K}$ is obtained from the rate of ! K . U sing the measured ! $e^{+} e$ and ! $K$ rates, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A\left(B^{+}!\bar{K}^{0} K^{+}\right)}{A\left(B^{+}!K^{+}\right)}=0: 97 \frac{V_{\mathrm{td}}}{V_{t s}} ; \quad \frac{A\left(B^{+}!\bar{K}^{0} K^{+}\right)}{A\left(B^{+}!K^{+}\right)}=0: 85 \frac{V_{\mathrm{td}}}{V_{t s}}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inclusion of electrow eak penguin e ects [ī the neutral current raises the two coe cients in ( $1-14)$ by about 10\%. That is, in this particular m odel-calculation, these tw o ratios ofb! d and b! s am plitudes m easure $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{td}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ts}} j$ to w ithin better than 10\%.

The branching ratios for the b ! s m odes are expected to be typically of order
 the B ! P V processes (the second line in Tables $2\{4$ ), whose rates are unpredictably sm allin som em odels.] C orresponding b! d branching ratios are expected to be about a factor of 8 to 70 sm aller, depending on where in the range ( $\overline{1}$ (1) $\mathrm{JV}_{\mathrm{td}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ts}} \mathrm{j}$ lies. A few tim es $10^{7}$ B's are thus expected to provide usefill inform ation on this ratio of C K M elem ents.

In sum $m$ ary, we have presented a general analysis of penguin-dom inated two-and quasi-twołbody hadronic $B$ decays to noncharm ed pseudoscalar and vector mesons. The ratios of rates for corresponding strangeness-conserving and strangeness-changing processesm easure the C K M ratio ofelem ents $J_{t d}=V_{t s} j$. W e have show $n$ that corrections from annihilation graphs are absent in neutralB decays, and certain $S U$ (3) -breaking e ects can be avoided in som e cases. This m ethod of determ ining $J_{\mathrm{td}} j$ is com plem entary to other $m$ ethods proposed in the past, and contains num erous possibilities for estim ating corrections in view of the large num ber of relations which $m$ ay be studied.
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