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## A bstract

W e calculate the cross section for the production of tw $\circ$ jets $w$ ith large transverse $m$ om enta $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ in D IS di ractive dissociation for both transverse and longitudinally polarized photons. The scale which de nes the hardness of the $P$ om eron is found to be $k^{2} \frac{Q^{2}+M^{2}}{M^{2}}$. We present analytic expressions and discuss num erical results relevant for the di ractive dissociation at HERA.
1.) The di ractive dissociation of the photon in deep inelastic ep-scattering at HERA has recently attracted much interest. As for the inclusive di ractive cross section attention has been given to the question whether the Pom eron which describes the energy dependence of this process is closer to the soft $P$ om eron which describes the high energy behavior of hadron-hadron scattering or whether it resem bles the hard Pom eron observed in the proton structure fiunction at sm all $x$.
 [3] presents evidence for a strong adm ixture of the hard P om eron. A natural way to gain further clari cation is a m ore detailed analysis of the di ractive nal state. Electroproduction of vector $m$ esons and photoproduction of $J=$ have been analysed [ $\overline{[1}]$ and com pared $[\sqrt{-1}]$ w th both the soft and the hard P om eron, and they seem to favor a harder P om eron. A new class of nalstates which
 sim plest con guration are tw o-jet events $w$ th the jets originating from qq pairs; for larger invariant $m$ asses $M$ one expects events $w$ ith additional production of ghon jets to becom e dom inant.

[^0]In this paper we consider the sim pler case qq jet production, restricting ourselves to the kine$m$ atic region where the hard $P$ om eron can be expected to dom inate ( $g .1$ ). Starting from the D IS di ractive cross section form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{e p}}{d y d Q^{2} d t d M^{2} d k^{2}}=\frac{e m}{y^{2}} \frac{1+(1 \quad y)^{2}}{2} \frac{d_{T}^{p}}{d t d M^{2} d k^{2}}+(1 \quad y)_{d t d M^{2} d k^{2}}^{d^{p}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we calculate the di erential cross section for the subprocess $+p!q q+p$ for very sm all $t$ and consider both the transverse and longitudinal cross section.
2.) $W$ e assum e the totalenergy $s=(p+q)^{2}$ to bem uch larger than the photon virtuality $Q^{2}=q^{2}$ as well as the invariant $m$ ass of the quark anti-quark pair $M^{2}=\left(q+x_{P} p\right)^{2}$, i.e. the $P$ om eron $m o-$
 introduce the variable which is de ned as $=Q^{2}=\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)$. For our analytic calculation we set the $m$ om entum transfer $t$ to zero, since the cross section strongly peaks at $t=0$. In order to com pare w ith data we w ill add the t-dependence later on by hand.
$T$ he new elem ent in our approach is the xed transverse $m$ om entum $k$ of the (anti-)quark which enters as a second hard scale in our calculation and allow s to treat the Pom eron perturbatively (hard Pom eron). For large $s$ ( $s m$ all $x_{P}$ ) the am plitude of the process is dom inated by two-ghon exchange, and follow ing the $k$-factorization theorem $\left[\bar{g}_{1}\right]$ we express the am plitude with leading$\log \left(1=x_{P}\right)$ accuracy through the unintegrated gluon distribution of the proton. The two ghons have to couple to the outgoing quarks in all possible combinations in order to preserve gauge invariance.
$T$ he quark phase space is usually param eterized in term $s$ of the transverse $m$ om entum $k$ and the longitudinal $m$ om entum fraction according to the Sudakov decomposition: $k+x_{p} p=q^{0}+$ $k^{2}=(s) p+k$ (the anti-quark $m$ om entum consequently has the param etrization: $q \quad k=(1 \quad) \quad q^{+}$ $\left.\mathrm{k}^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}(1 & )\end{array}\right] \mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{k}\right) \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathcal{q}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{xp}$. The invariant m ass can now easily be expressed in term s of and $k^{2}: M^{2}=2(q \quad k) \quad\left(k+{ }_{p} X p\right)=k^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}(1)\end{array}\right)$. In the quark anti-quark CM $S$ one nds for $=(1 \cos ())=2$ where denotes the angle between the quark and the proton. From the expression $k^{2}=(1 \quad) M^{2}$ it becom es clear that keeping the $m$ ass $M$ xed (of the order of Q) and taking $k^{2}$ to be sm all also m eans that and becomesmall. A $s \mathrm{w}$ ill be seen below the cross section is dom inated by $s m$ all $k^{2}$, i.e. the jets appear $m$ ost frequently at $s m$ all angles or phrased in di erent w ords the jets are 'aligned' (see A ligned Jet M odel [1]O्1]).

The cross section for the qq production has the follow ing form (for details see refs. [1,


$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d L}{d M^{2} d t d k^{2}}=0=X_{f=0}^{2} \frac{e m{ }^{2} \frac{2}{s}}{3} \frac{4}{Q^{2} M^{2}} \frac{k^{2}}{M^{2}} \frac{1}{1 \frac{4 k^{2}}{M^{2}}} I_{L}\left(Q^{2} ; M^{2} ; k^{2}\right)^{i_{2}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{T}\left(Q^{2} ; M^{2} ; k^{2}\right)=\quad \frac{Z}{l^{2}} F_{G}\left(x_{P} ; l^{2}\right) 4 \frac{M^{2}}{M^{2}+Q^{2}}+\frac{Q^{2}}{\left.\left(l^{2}+\frac{k^{2}}{M^{2}\left(Q^{2}\right.} M^{2} M^{2}\right)\right)^{2}+4 k^{4} \frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}} 5 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
I_{L}\left(Q^{2} ; M^{2} ; k^{2}\right)=\quad Z \quad \frac{d l^{2}}{L^{2}} F_{G}\left(x_{P} ; l^{2}\right)^{4} \frac{Q^{2}}{\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)} \frac{k^{2} Q^{2}}{M^{2} \frac{1}{\left(l^{2}+\frac{k^{2}}{M^{2}}\left(Q^{2} M^{2}\right)\right)^{2}+4 k^{4} \frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}}}{ }^{5}(5)
$$

$w$ here $F_{G}$ represents the unintegrated ghon distribution of the proton

$$
{ }^{Z} Q^{2} d^{2} F_{G}\left(x_{P} ; l^{2}\right)=x_{P} G\left(x_{P} ; Q^{2}\right):
$$

$T$ his identi cation of the $P$ om eron $w$ th the ghon structure function, how ever, has to be taken w ith care. Strictly speaking the function which appears in eq. (ī) is not exactly the sam e gluon structure function as in D IS. N am ely the longitudinal com ponent of the two ghon lines in $g .1$, even at $t=0$, have a typical -value of the order of $x_{P}$ and their di erence is exactly $x_{P}$. In the leading-log $\left(1=x_{P}\right)$ approxim ation where we cannot distinguish $\log \left(1=x_{P}\right)$ and $\log \left(2=x_{P}\right)$ the function $G$ from ( $\bar{G}$ ) has the sam $e x_{P}$-dependence as the ghon structure function. But in the HERA regim e we cannot exclude a di erence in the absolute nom alization. In the follow ing we shall identify our function $G$ w th the ghoo structure function, but in a $m$ ore ambitious analysis one could think of calculating the nonforw ard gluon structure function using the generalized gluon splitting function of $[\overline{1} \overline{6}]$ ].
$N$ ote the extra $Q^{2}$ in the denom inator of the longitudinal cross section in (3) which re ects the fact that this is a higher tw ist contribution. The term $\left[:::=1=1^{2}\right.$ in the integrand of eq. ( $\overline{4}$ ) is roughly constant for $l^{2}$ sm aller than $k^{2}\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=M^{2}$ and falls o like $1=l^{2}$ for larger $l^{2}$. The unintegrated gluon structure function $F_{G}$ also falls like $1=l^{2}$ (m odi ed by logarithm s) so that the dom inant contribution in the integration com es from the region $l^{2}<k^{2}\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=M{ }^{2}$. We approxim ate the integrand here by taking the $\lim$ it $l^{2}=0$ for $\left[:::=l^{2}\right.$ and integrate over $F_{G}$ w ith $k^{2}\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=M^{2}$ as the upper lim it. The latter results in $x_{P} G\left(x_{P} ; k^{2}\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=M{ }^{2}\right)$, i.e. we have extracted the leading $\log \left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}+\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ contribution. The caveat, how ever, is that this approxim ation underestim ates the region of large $M^{2}$. This becom es clear by rst taking the lim it $M^{2}!1$ and then perform ing the integration over $l^{2}$. In this lim it [:::] equals 2 ( $l^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}$ ), i.e. there is no contribution at low $l^{2}$. The integrand at large $l^{2}$ is roughly falling like $1=l^{4}$ and the integral is determ ined by its lower lim it $k^{2}$ which approxim ately leads to $F_{G}\left(x_{P} ; k^{2}\right)=k^{2}$, i.e. the nal result also contains the unintegrated structure function. In the next section we present the correction for any $M$-value which unfortunately cannot be derived from sim ple argum ents as given here. Physically the scale $k^{2}\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=M^{2}$ corresponds to the virtuality of the softer of the two quarks, into which the photon dissociates. $W$ ith the approxim ation described above one obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{T} & =\frac{4 Q^{2} M^{4}}{k^{2}\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{3}}+b_{t} \frac{@}{@ k^{2}} x_{P} G\left(x_{P} ; k^{2} \frac{Q^{2}+M^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)  \tag{7}\\
I_{L} & =\frac{Q^{2} M^{2}\left(Q^{2} M^{2}\right)}{k^{2}\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{3}}+b_{1} \frac{@}{Q k^{2}} x_{P} G\left(x_{P} ; k^{2} \frac{Q^{2}+M^{2}}{M^{2}}\right): \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $b_{t}$ and $b_{1}$ are functions of $M^{2}$ and $Q^{2}$ and $w i l l$ be given in (11) and (12). Let us discuss the $m$ ain properties of this result. It is seen that the transverse $m$ om entum of the outgoing quark pair sets the scale of the ghuon structure function. This m eans that the e ective slope of the hard $P$ om eron, which determ ines the rise of the cross section at $s m$ all $x_{P}$ should increase $w$ ith increas ing transverse $m$ om entum. The e ect is even strengthened by the additional factor $\left(Q^{2}+M^{2}\right)=M^{2}$ which enters the scale and is of the order of two for the $Q^{2} ; \mathrm{M}^{2}$-range considered here. From the known behavior of the ghion structure function we can furtherm ore conclude that the power rise
of the cross section as a function of $k^{2}$ is dam ped for $k^{2} s m$ all, but still in a range where the perturbative approach is legitim ate. N ote that (7) and (8) cannot be extrapolated dow n to $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0$. $T$ he fall-o $w$ th increasing $M^{2}$ is identical for both polarizations. A rem arkable property of the rst term of the longitudinal cross section in (8) is its zero for $Q^{2}=M^{2}$. A num erical evaluation of the above form ulas $w$ ill be perform ed in part 4.).
3.) Thus far we have discussed the cross section in the double-leading-log approxim ation. O ne can in principle do better because the $k$-factorization form ulas eq. ( for an evaluation $w$ thout the leading-log ( $Q^{2}$ )-approxim ation. This is equivalent to using the BFKL Pom eron [i] $[1]$ for the unintegrated ghon structure function. W ew ill show that the characteristic m om entum scale which was identi ed in the previous section em erges in this $m$ odel without any approxim ation and that we essentially reproduce the form ulas given there, provided this scale is large enough.

The use of the BFKL Pom eron requires a nonperturbative input distribution which cannot be determ ined theoretically. U sing only the most general param eterization we nd for the BFKL Pom eron the follow ing expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{G}\left(x_{P} ; I^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}_{0}^{z}{ }_{1}^{+1} \frac{d}{2}{\frac{l^{2}}{2}}_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} i} \quad \text { ( ) exp } \quad \text { ( ) } \log \frac{1}{x_{P}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith ${ }_{0}^{2}$ being a nonperturbative scale ( ${ }_{0}^{2}, 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ ), ( ) a slow ly varying function of and ( ) = $N_{C-s}[2$ (1) $\quad(1=2+i) \quad(1=2 \quad i)]$ the characteristic eigenfunction of the BFKL pom eron. Now we insert the above expression in our basic equations ( $l^{2}$-integration exactly. T h is leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{T}={\frac{2 k^{2}}{4}}_{0}^{2}{ }_{1}^{+1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{2}{\frac{{ }_{0}^{2} M^{2}}{k^{2}\left(Q^{2}+M^{2}\right)}}^{\# \frac{3}{2}+i} \quad \text { ( ) } \exp \quad \text { ( ) } \log \frac{1}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{P}}} \\
& \left.\left.\frac{3}{2}+i\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} \quad i\right) F \frac{3}{2}+i ; \frac{1}{2} \quad i ; 2 \frac{M^{2}}{M^{2}+Q^{2}}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& I_{L}={\frac{k^{2} Q^{2}}{M^{2}{ }_{0}^{4}}{ }_{1}^{+1} \frac{d}{2}{\frac{{ }_{0}^{2} M^{2}}{k^{2}\left(Q^{2}+M^{2}\right)}}^{\# \frac{3}{2}+i} \quad \text { ( ) } \exp \quad \text { ( ) } \log \frac{1}{x_{P}}}^{x^{2}} \\
& \left.\frac{1}{2}+i\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { i )F } \frac{3}{2}+i ; \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { i } ; 1 \frac{M^{2}}{M^{2}+Q^{2}}\right) ; \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F$ is the hypergeom etric function. The rem aining -integral can be safely evaluated nu$m$ erically but here we restrict ourselves to the discussion of som e lim iting cases. O ne observes im $m$ ediately that the scale $k^{2}\left(Q^{2}+M^{2}\right)=M^{2}$ is the relevant param eter, which determ ines the location of the saddle point of the integral. As long as $N_{c} s^{=} \quad \log 1 \overline{\mathrm{~F}} \mathrm{x}$ is much larger than
$\left.=\log k^{2}\left(Q^{2}+M^{2}\right)=\left(M^{2}{ }_{0}^{2}\right)\right]$ we are in the BFKL-lim it and the saddle point lies at $\quad$, In this case one nds the usualBFKL results $w$ th a steep rise of the cross section for sm all $x_{P}$.

If, on the other hand, is much larger than $\ln 1=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{P}}$, we are in the double logarithm ic lim it and the -contour has to be shifted close to $=\quad \mathrm{i}=2$. The saddle point is located at $i_{\mathrm{s}}=$ $1=2 \quad \overline{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{Cs}}=(\quad) \ln \left(1=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)}$. Evaluating the -integral we obtain

$$
\frac{d_{T}}{d M^{2} d^{2} d k^{2}}{ }_{t=0}^{X} e_{f}^{2} \frac{\left.e^{2}{ }^{2} S_{S}^{(1} \frac{2 k^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)}{1 \frac{4 k^{2}}{M^{2}}} \frac{M^{4}}{\left.M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{4}} \frac{2 Q^{2}}{k^{2}\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{M^{2} Q^{2}}{M^{2}+Q^{2}} \frac{2 Q^{2}}{M^{2}+Q^{2}} \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}+Q^{2}}{ }^{\prime \prime} \frac{Q^{\prime}}{Q^{2}} \quad x_{P} G\left(x_{P} ; k^{2} \frac{Q^{2}+M^{2}}{M^{2}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{2 M^{2}}{M^{2}+Q^{2}}+\frac{M^{2} Q^{2}}{M^{2}+Q^{2}} \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}+Q^{2}} \stackrel{@}{\left(k^{2}\right.} \quad x_{P} G\left(x_{P} ; k^{2} \frac{Q^{2}+M^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)(13) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the double leading log approxim ation of eq. ( ('G)

Ifwe com pare these results w ith those obtained in the previous section, we see that an im provem ent has been achieved in the prefactor of the unintegrated structure function. It is $m$ ore accurate in the transverse case and we have found a correction for the longitudinal part, too, where the zero for $Q^{2}=M^{2}$ disappears. The leading term, how ever, which contains the structure function itself is the sam e as before. $W$ e should stress here again that the scale of the ghon structure function $k^{2}\left(Q^{2}+M^{2}\right)=M^{2}$ already appeared in the single logarithm ic approxim ation and tumed out to be the $m$ ost im portant param eter in this process.

Finally, let us com $m$ ent on the region of $s m a l l k^{2}$. The expressions given in (10) and (11) can be continued dow $n$ to $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0$ and the integral gives a nite answer. For the transverse cross section a detailed saddle point analysis show $s$ that the $m$ ain contribution com es from the sm all $k^{2}$ region and thus agrees w th the A ligned Jet M odel. For the longitudinal cross section on the other hand, the additional factor $\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ akes the sm all $\mathrm{k}^{2}$-region less dom inant. H ence, for the $\mathrm{k}^{2}$-integrated transverse cross section the BFKL m odel gets a too big nonpertunbative contribution and thus is not reliable. T he longitudinal cross section has less nonperturbative contributions.
$T$ he situation becom es better, if $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ is constrained to be large. This was show n for di ractive jet production in a num erical study contained in [1] above analysis, for both cases, is on a solid theoretical basis if only , which is the logarithm of the relevant scale here, is large. H ence we regard the eqs. ( $\overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{1})$, (1] $\overline{1} \overline{1})$ as a $m$ prediction, up to a nom alization uncertainty, in a range, where $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ is at least of the order of $1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and where the rise of the gluon density as a function of $x$ is visible, the latter condition ensuring that we are in the sm all-x lim it.
 culations which m ay apply to HERA data. Throughout our calculations we have integrated over $t$ by taking our cross section expression evaluated at $t=0$ and $m u l t i p l y i n g w i t h$ the form factor whidh w as given by D onnachie and Landsho [1] stant w ith the scale being given by the scale of the ghon structure function. F irst we have looked into the $m$ ost striking feature of the cross section form ula, the rise of the ghon structure function at sm all $x_{P} . F i g .2 a$ and 2 b show the $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{P}}$-dependence of the transverse and the longitudinal cross sections, keeping and $Q^{2}$ xed at $2=3$ and $50 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, respectively, and integrating over $\mathrm{k}^{2}>2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. W e have considered di erent param eterizations for the ghon structure function: the G RV leading order and next-to-leading-order param eterizations [2] $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$, and the hybrid m odel of one of us (M.N.) $[\overline{1} \overline{4}]$ ]. For com parison we also show a prediction of the soft $P$ om eron $\left.\bar{T}_{1}\right]$. A s expected, the hard

Pom eron rises $m$ uch stronger at $s m$ all $x_{P}$ than the soft Pom eron. The upper three curves show the overall uncertainty in both $x_{P}$-shape and overall nom alization of the perturbative approach. In order to nd the loptim all version we have calculated the inclusive structure function $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, by coupling the gluon to the quark loop and doing the sam e type of approxim ations as for the qq cross section described in the previous section (here we have assum ed that in the kinem atic region which we are considering the gluon structure function dom inates). Figs. 3a-d show the results of this com putation in com parison w ith data $\overline{[ } \overline{1}=1]$, and we feel that the next-to-leading order G RV param eterization gives the best description. A fter having in this way \selected $\backslash$ which param eterization for the ghon structure function is the best one for our purposes, we retum to eqs. (12 $\overline{1} 2$ ) and (1] 13 ) and calculate the $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{P}}$-dependence, varying now the m om entum scale $\mathrm{k}^{2}\left(Q^{2}+\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{M}{ }^{2}$ which determ ines the \hardness' of the P om eron structure function. A s it can be seen from g.2c, there is a clear variation in the slope (num erical values for the slopes are given in the gure), but in the HERA range this variation is not very strong. So the $m$ ain experim ental signal, for the beginning, $m$ ay be the observation of a rise in eqs. (12 1
$N$ ext we have used our form ulae for estim ating the ep-integrated cross section for the production oftwo jetsw ith large transversem om enta. W e de netwo cuts; (a) $\mathrm{k}^{2}>2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and (b) $\mathrm{k}^{2}>5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, and we then integrate over allother variables in the region $Q^{2}>10 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{P}}<10^{2}$ and $50 \mathrm{GeV}<$ $\mathrm{W}<220 \mathrm{GeV}\left(\mathrm{W}^{2}=(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q})^{2}\right)$. The results for the transverse and the longitudinal cross sections are given in Table 1. Typically the longitudinal cross section is of the order 10\% of the transverse cross section. The other conclusion that $m$ ay be draw $n$ from this table concems the dependence upon the $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ cut: m oving from the cut (a) to cut (b), one loses about $75 \%$ of the cross section. In $g .4$ we show the dependence on $\mathrm{k}^{2}$, keeping and $Q^{2}$ xed. On the whole one notices that

|  | $\mathrm{k}_{0}^{2}=2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{k}_{0}^{2}=5 \mathrm{GeV}{ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GRV (LO) | GRV (NLO) | GRV (LO) | G RV (NLO) |
| ${ }_{\text {T }}{ }_{\text {P }}$ | 193 | 108 | 29 | 19 |
| ${ }_{\text {L }}^{\text {ep }}$ | 15 | 9 | 2 | 1 |
| $\underline{i=T ; L}$ | 208 | 117 | 31 | 20 |

Table 1: Results for totalep-cross sections (in pbam) ofdi ractive dijet production for tw o di erent param eterizations of the ghon density and two di erent cuts on the transverse $m$ om entum of the jets.
the longitudinal cross section ( gs. $4 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}$ ) decreases less rapidly than the transverse one ( gs. 4 a,c). A ccording to our form ulae, the transverse cross section falls approxim ately as $1=\mathrm{k}^{4}$, whereas the longiludinal one decreases m ore like $1=\mathrm{k}^{2}$. This sim ple power behavior is, how ever, slightly $m$ odied by the $k^{2}$-dependence of the ghuon structure function (num erical values for the slopes are given in the gures). In the region of sm aller $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ the cross sections start to becom e som ew hat atter. This is in agreem ent w th what we have already discussed after eq. (ब्(1)). The m ost striking variation is seen in $g .4 \mathrm{~b}$ : in the double leading log approxim ation the longitudinal cross section has a zero at $Q^{2}=M^{2}$ : due to the correction term in (13), this zero is som ew hat shifted tow ards sm aller . W hat we see in $g .4 \mathrm{~b}$ is the rem ainder of this zero.

A nother quantity of interest is the -spectrum for jets $w$ ith $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ larger than $2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ (g.5). As to the transverse cross section, in com parison w ith the inclusive di ractive cross for qq production which show sthe ( ) -dependence we now see a maxim um which at not too large $Q^{2}$ lies below
$=0: 5$. In the longitudinal cross section which again is sm aller than the transverse cross section by a factor of 10 we see the dip which hasm oved from the value $=1=2$ (uncorrected cross section) to $=0: 4$ or less.
5.) In this paper we have collected evidence that the observation of two jets with high transverse m om entum m ay provide a valuable way of analysing, in the D IS D i ractive D issociation, the hard Pom eron. A fter having established that the scale for the hardness of the Pom eron is not sim ply $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ but the combination $\mathrm{k}^{2}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}+\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{M}{ }^{2}$ we have illustrated several signals of this hard Pom eron. In our num erical estim ates we have found that it is not enough to consider that part of the phase space where the transverse $m$ om enta are strongly ordered. The $m$ ain signal of the hard Pom eron is, $m$ ost likely, the stronger rise in $1=x_{P}$. But there are also other, $m$ ore subtle details which are typical for the hard Pom eron. A m ore careful com parison w th the predictions of the soft $P$ om eron seem $s$ very im portant.

P roduction of two jets clearly represents the sim plest case of jet production in D IS Di ractive Scattering. In the next step one has to com pute higher order corrections to two jet production and to generalize to the production of of extra gluon jets which are expected to becom e im portant especially in the large $M$ region. A nother point of interest is the $t$-dependence. $W$ hereas the soft $P$ om eron predicts the typical shrinkage for the $t$-slope, the hard $P$ om eron is expected, at least for $t$ of the order of $1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, to have a $m$ uch w eaker energy dependence of the $t$-slope. B oth these issues have to be analysed in $m$ ore detail in order to reach a $m$ ore com plete understanding of the hard Pom eron in Di ractive D IS .
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## Figure C aptions

Fig. $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$ : A contribution to the amplitude for the process $+\mathrm{p}!\mathrm{qq}+\mathrm{p}$. T he shaded blob represents the unintegrated ghon structure function. For the full am plitude the gluons have to be coupled to the quarks in all possible ways.

Fig. are for $Q^{2}=50 \mathrm{GeV}^{2},=2=3$ and $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ integrated from $2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ up to the kinem atical lim it. Results are show $n$ for the soft $P$ om eron exchange $m$ odel of $\left[\underline{T}_{1}\right]$, the perturbative hybrid $m$ odel of $[1] \overline{4} \overline{-1}]$ and the $m$ odelpresented in th is paper $w$ th the G RV leading order and next-to-leading order ghon distribution $\left.\underline{2} \underline{2}^{-1}\right]$.


Fig. ${ }^{1} \overline{2} \overline{2}-1 \quad T$ he $x_{P}$-dependence of the cross section $1=N \quad d \quad \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{d}$ for transverse photons using eq. (12 $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ ) and the G RV (NLO )-param eterization for three di erent kinem atical situations: $\mathrm{Q}^{2}=80 \mathrm{GeV}^{2},=2=3, \mathrm{k}^{2}$ integrated from $2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ to $4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ (solid line), $\mathrm{Q}^{2}=80 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, $=2=3, \mathrm{k}^{2}$ integrated from $4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ to $8 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ (dotted line) and $\mathrm{Q}^{2}=80 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, \quad=5=6, \mathrm{k}^{2}$ integrated from $2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ to $4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ (dashed line). The numbers in the gure give the slope of each curve. The norm alization $N$ is the integral of the cross section over the $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{P}}$-range displayed.
 sam e type of approxim ation as described in section 3.) and two di erent param eterizations

$F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime}$ "Aad : The ${ }^{2}$-dependence of the di erential p-cross section for transverse photons according to eq. (12). Values for the kinem atical param eters are $x_{P}=5 \quad 1 \mathcal{O}^{2}, \quad=2=3$, and $Q^{2}$ is varied betw een $15 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $45 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. The quantity gives the e ective slope of the curves, obtained from a num erical to a power behaviour $\left(k^{2}\right)$.



 for $x_{P}=5 \quad 10$ and three di erent values of $Q^{2}$.
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