

Possible tests of neutrino maximal mixing and comments on matter effects

S.M. Bilenky

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia, and
 SISSA-ISA S, Trieste, Italy.

C. Giunti

INFN, Sezione di Torino and Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Torino,
 Via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy.

C.W. Kim

Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Johns Hopkins University,
 Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA.
 (March 25, 2024)

Abstract

We show in a simple and general way that matter effects do not contribute to the averaged value of the probabilities of transition of solar ν_e 's into other states in the case of maximal mixing of any number of massive neutrinos. We also show that future solar neutrino experiments (Super-Kamiokande and SNO) will allow to test the model with maximal mixing of three massive neutrinos in a way that does not depend on the initial solar neutrino flux.

The hypothesis of maximal neutrino mixing was proposed many years ago [13]. Recently the interest in this hypothesis is increased in connection with the atmospheric neutrino anomaly [4,6], whose explanation requires neutrino oscillations with large mixing. There are some theoretical arguments in favor of maximal mixing based on the seesaw mechanism [7,8] and on the hypothesis of permutation symmetry between generations at the unification scale [9]. In Refs. [10,11] the data of the experiments on the detection of solar and atmospheric neutrinos were analyzed under the assumption of maximal mixing of three neutrino fields with masses $m_1 = m_2 = m_3$. It was shown that the atmospheric neutrino data are well described in this model with $m_{31}^2 \sim 10^{-2} \text{ eV}^2$. The data of all four solar neutrino experiments [12-15] cannot be described in this model with an acceptable value of χ^2 . If only the data of the Kamioke, GALLEX and SAGE experiments [13-15] are taken into account, it is possible to obtain a good fit in the case of maximal mixing with $m_{21}^2 < 10^{-12} \text{ eV}^2$.

In the recent paper [16] it was shown that in the case of maximal mixing matter effects do not modify the vacuum oscillation probability of solar ν_e 's to survive. This was shown with a numerical solution of the evolution equation of neutrinos in matter for the case of two and three generations. In the first part of this note we will show in a simple and general way that the averaged transition probabilities of solar ν_e 's into any final state do not depend on the presence of matter in the case of the maximal mixing.

Let us consider first the very well known case of vacuum oscillations (see, for example, Ref. [17]). The averaged probability of the transition of solar ν_e 's into any state in the general case of N massive neutrinos is given by

$$P_{e \rightarrow i} = \sum_{j=1}^N |U_{ji}|^2 |U_{ej}|^2 ; \quad (1)$$

where U_{ji} are the elements of the mixing matrix. In Eq.(1) we have assumed that, due to averaging over the neutrino spectrum and over the regions where neutrinos are produced and detected, all the interference terms in the transition probabilities disappear. This takes place if all the neutrino mass-squared differences satisfy the following inequalities

$$m_{jk}^2 \ll 10^{10} \text{ eV}^2 \quad (j \neq k) ; \quad (2)$$

where $m_{jk}^2 = m_j^2 - m_k^2$. In the case of maximal mixing [13]

$$|U_{ji}|^2 = \frac{1}{N} \quad (3)$$

and we obtain the well known result [18]

$$P_{e \rightarrow i} = \frac{1}{N} ; \quad (4)$$

Let us notice that this is the minimum value for the averaged probability of solar ν_e 's to survive. Using this expression (in the case $N = 2$) many years ago B. Pontecorvo [19] for the first time noticed that the detected flux of solar ν_e 's can be as small as half of the expected ux.

Let us now consider solar ν_e 's that are produced in the core of the sun with energy E . As a result of neutrino propagation in the interior of the sun the neutrino state on the surface of the sun has the following form

$$|j\rangle_i = \sum_k A^e_k(E) |j\rangle_k; \quad (5)$$

where $|j\rangle_i$ is any neutrino state (active or sterile). The amplitudes $A^e_k(E)$ are determined by the evolution equation of neutrinos in matter. The values of these amplitudes are not important here. We will use only the normalization condition

$$\sum_k |A^e_k(E)|^2 = 1; \quad (6)$$

Let us stress that the amplitudes A^e_k can depend on the neutrino energy E .

The neutrino state on the earth is given by

$$|j\rangle_i = \sum_k A^e_k U_{jk} e^{iE_{jk}T} U_{kj} |j\rangle_k; \quad (7)$$

where $T = R/v$, R being the distance between the surface of the sun and the earth.

The probability of transitions of solar ν_e 's into any state $|i\rangle$ is given by

$$P_{e \rightarrow i} = \sum_{j,k} A^e_j A^e_k U_{ji} U_{kj} U_{jk} U_{kj} \exp\left[-i \frac{m_{jk}^2}{2E} R\right]; \quad (8)$$

If we assume that the squared mass differences satisfy Eq.(2), all the interference terms disappear in the expression for the measurable averaged probability, which is given by

$$\langle P_{e \rightarrow i} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^N |U_{ji}|^2 \sum_k |A^e_k U_{kj}|^2; \quad (9)$$

In the case of maximal mixing $\sum_j |U_{ji}|^2 = 1/N$ and from Eq.(9), using the unitarity of the mixing matrix and the normalization condition (6), we obtain

$$\langle P_{e \rightarrow i} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_k |A^e_k U_{kj}|^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k |A^e_k|^2 = \frac{1}{N}; \quad (10)$$

Thus, in the case of maximal mixing, if the condition (2) is satisfied, the probability of transitions of solar ν_e 's into any state $|i\rangle$, active or sterile, is equal to $1/N$. This probability does not depend on the values of the amplitudes A^e_k , which means that the matter effect is not observable in the case of maximal mixing. In Ref. [16] this result was obtained for the cases $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ with a numerical solution of the evolution equation of neutrinos in matter.

Let us notice that the result given in Eq.(10) can also be obtained by writing the neutrino state on the surface of the sun as a superposition of mass eigenstates

$$j \rightarrow i = \sum_{j=1}^N A_j^e j \rightarrow i ; \text{ with } A_j^e = \sum A^e U_{j\alpha} : \quad (11)$$

In general the amplitudes A_j^e , which are normalized by $\sum_j A_j^e{}^2 = 1$, depend on the neutrino energy and there is more than one A_j^e different from zero. For example, in the case of three generations of neutrinos with $(m_{21}^2/E) < 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2 \text{ MeV}^{-1}$ an electron neutrino produced in the core of the sun is the following superposition¹ of effective mass eigenstates ν_2^M and ν_3^M

$$\nu_e^M = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \nu_2^M + \frac{1}{3} \nu_3^M : \quad (12)$$

In this case, if the propagation of the neutrino in the interior of the sun is adiabatic, only A_2^e and A_3^e are different from zero. If the propagation of the neutrino in the interior of the sun is non-adiabatic, transitions from ν_2^M and ν_3^M to ν_1^M are possible and all three A_1^e , A_2^e and A_3^e are different from zero. From Eq.(11), for the measurable averaged probability of $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_i$ transitions, in which all the interference terms disappear, we have

$$P_{e \rightarrow i} = \sum_{j=1}^N A_j^e{}^2 j \rightarrow i^2 = \frac{1}{N} : \quad (13)$$

It is instructive to present another derivation of this result. If all the oscillating terms in the expression for the averaged probability of $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_i$ transitions disappear, we have (see Ref. [20,21])

$$P_{e \rightarrow i} = \sum_{jk} U_{ej}^0{}^2 P_{kj} : \quad (14)$$

Here U_j^0 are the elements of the mixing matrix at the point where the neutrino is produced and P_{kj} is the transition probability from the state with energy E_j in the production point to the state with energy E_k on the earth. Using the unitarity relations

$$\sum_k P_{kj} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_j U_{ej}^0{}^2 = 1 ; \quad (15)$$

in the case of maximal mixing we obtain Eq.(4).

Let us notice that in the case of maximal mixing of two massive neutrino fields there is no MSW [22] resonance in matter. In the case of maximal mixing of three massive neutrino fields, if m_{31}^2 is large (say, $m_{31}^2 \gg 10^{-2} \text{ eV}^2$) the resonance condition has the form

¹ This equation can be obtained from Eqs.(2.66) and (2.69) of Ref. [20] with the mixing angles $\theta_{12}, \theta_{13}, \theta_{23}$ and the phase δ such that $\sin \theta_{12} = \sin \theta_{13} = 1/\sqrt{2}$, $\sin \theta_{23} = 1/\sqrt{3}$ and $\delta = \pi/4$, which correspond to maximal mixing.

$$\frac{P_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e}}{2 G_F E N_e} = \frac{m_{21}^2 \cos 2\theta}{\cos^2 \theta} ; \quad (16)$$

where N_e is the electron density and the Mikheyev-Smirnov-Menshikov (MSW) parameterization of the mixing matrix has been used (see Ref. [20]). In the case of maximal mixing the right-hand side of Eq.(16) is equal to zero and there is no MSW resonance in matter.

In Refs. [10,11] it has been shown that the atmospheric neutrino data and the data of the Kamokande, GALLEX and SAGE experiments [13-15] are well described in the case of maximal mixing of three neutrinos with $m_{31}^2 \sim 10^{-2} \text{ eV}^2$ and $m_{21}^2 < 10^{-12} \text{ eV}^2$. In this case the value of $P_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e}$ does not depend on energy and is equal to 5/9. We will discuss now the possibilities for the solar neutrino experiments of the next generation to check this model.

During 1996 two new solar neutrino experiments will start, Super-Kamokande (S-K) [23] and SNO [24]. In the S-K experiment solar neutrinos will be detected through the observation of elastic scattering (ES) of neutrinos on electrons,

$$\nu_e + e \rightarrow \nu_e + e ; \quad (17)$$

In the SNO experiment solar neutrinos will be detected through the observation of the charged-current (CC) and neutral current (NC) processes

$$\nu_e + d \rightarrow \nu_e + p + p ; \quad (18)$$

$$\nu_e + d \rightarrow \nu_e + p + n ; \quad (19)$$

and also the ES process (17).

In the SNO experiment the spectrum of electrons in the CC process (18) will be measured and the flux of solar ν_e 's on the earth as a function of neutrino energy E will be determined [24].

In both the S-K and SNO experiments, due to the high energy thresholds (about 5 MeV for CC and ES and 2.2 MeV for NC) only neutrinos coming from ${}^8\text{B}$ decay will be detected. The energy spectrum of the initial ${}^8\text{B}$ ν_e 's can be written as

$$\Phi_B(E) = \Phi_B X(E) ; \quad (20)$$

Here $X(E)$ is a known normalized function determined mainly by the phase space factor of the decay ${}^8\text{B} \rightarrow {}^8\text{Be} + e^+ + \nu_e$ (see Ref. [25]), and Φ_B is the total flux of initial ${}^8\text{B}$ solar ν_e 's. In the following we will not make any assumption about the value of Φ_B .

In the maximal mixing model under consideration the survival probability of solar ν_e 's has the constant value 5/9 and the shape of the spectrum of ν_e 's on the earth is given by $X(E)$. In the high-statistic S-K experiment the spectrum of recoil electrons will be measured with high accuracy. In the model under consideration the spectrum of the recoil electrons is given by

$$n^{ES}(T) = \frac{5}{9} \Phi_B \int_{E_m(T)}^Z \frac{d\sigma_{\nu_e e}(E; T)}{dT} + \frac{4}{5} \frac{d\sigma_{\nu_e e}(E; T)}{dT} X(E) dE ; \quad (21)$$

where T is the electron kinetic energy, $d\sigma_{\nu_e e} = dT$ is the differential cross section of the ES process $\nu_e + e \rightarrow \nu_e + e$ (with $\nu = e; \mu$) and $E_m(T) = (1 + \sqrt{1 + 2m_e T}) T = 2$. Let us notice that

the main contribution to $n^{ES}(T)$ comes from the first term in the integral. A comparison of the shape of the CC and ES spectra with the SNO and S-K data will be a test for the scheme with maximal mixing. Furthermore, this model allows to predict the ratios of different observables independently from the value of the total ^8B neutrino flux. In fact, for the ratio of the total ES and CC event rates $R_{CC}^{ES} = N^{ES}/N^{CC}$ we have

$$R_{CC}^{ES} = \frac{\int_{E_{th}^{ES}}^Z \left[\sigma_{ee}^{ES}(E) + \frac{4}{5} \sigma_e^{ES}(E) \right] X(E) dE}{\int_{E_{th}^{CC}}^Z \sigma_{ed}^{CC}(E) X(E) dE} ; \quad (22)$$

where $\sigma_{ed}^{CC}(E)$ is the cross section for the CC process (18) and E_{th}^{ES} and E_{th}^{CC} are the neutrino energy thresholds. The result of our calculation of R_{CC}^{ES} is presented in Table I, where it is compared with the corresponding values calculated in the usual model with mixing of two massive neutrino fields and mixing parameters $m^2, \sin^2 2\theta$ obtained from the analysis of the solar neutrino data (in Ref. [26] for MSW transitions and in Ref. [27] for vacuum oscillations). We used the cross section $\sigma_{ed}^{CC}(E)$ given in Ref. [28] and an electron kinetic energy threshold of 4.5 MeV.

Let us consider now the ratio of the recoil electron spectrum in the ES process and the total CC event rate $r_{CC}^{ES}(T) = n^{ES}(T)/N^{CC}$. We have

$$r_{CC}^{ES}(T) = \frac{\int_{E_m(T)}^Z \left[\frac{d\sigma_{ee}^{ES}(E; T)}{dT} + \frac{4}{5} \frac{d\sigma_e^{ES}(E; T)}{dT} \right] X(E) dE}{\int_{E_{th}^{CC}}^Z \sigma_{ed}^{CC}(E) X(E) dE} ; \quad (23)$$

In Fig.1 we have plotted this ratio as a function of T in the interval 4.5 MeV $\leq T \leq 14$ MeV. In Fig.1 we have also plotted the ratio $r_{CC}^{ES}(T)$ calculated in the usual model with mixing of two massive neutrinos for the cases of MSW transitions and vacuum oscillations. We have used the values of the mixing parameters $m^2, \sin^2 2\theta$ given in Table I. It can be seen from Fig.1 that the investigation of the dependence of $r_{CC}^{ES}(T)$ on T in the region of small T ($T < 7$ MeV) will allow to distinguish the case of maximal mixing of three neutrinos from the usual large mixing angle MSW solution and from vacuum oscillations of two neutrinos.

For the ratio of the total CC and NC event rates $R_{NC}^{CC} = N^{CC}/N^{NC}$ we have

$$R_{NC}^{CC} = \frac{\int_{E_{th}^{CC}}^Z \sigma_{ed}^{CC}(E) X(E) dE}{\int_{E_{th}^{NC}}^Z \sigma_d^{NC}(E) X(E) dE} ; \quad (24)$$

where $\sigma_d^{NC}(E)$ and $E_{th}^{NC} = 2.2$ MeV are the cross section and the energy threshold of the NC process (19). The result of our calculation of R_{NC}^{CC} is presented in Table I. We used the cross section $\sigma_d^{NC}(E)$ given in Ref. [28].

Finally, for the ratio of the total ES and NC event rates $R_{NC}^{ES} = N^{ES}/N^{NC}$ we have

$$R_{NC}^{ES} = \frac{\int_{E_{th}^{ES}}^Z \left[\sigma_{ee}^{ES}(E) + \frac{4}{5} \sigma_e^{ES}(E) \right] X(E) dE}{\int_{E_{th}^{NC}}^Z \sigma_d^{NC}(E) X(E) dE} ; \quad (25)$$

The result of our calculation of R_{NC}^{ES} is presented in Table I. It can be seen from Table I that the measurement of the ratios R_{CC}^{ES} , R_{NC}^{CC} and R_{NC}^{ES} will allow to distinguish the case of maximal mixing of three neutrinos from all the usual two-neutrinos solutions of the solar neutrino problem.

The comparison of the relations (21)-(25) with the data of the S-K and SNO experiments will be a crucial test of the maximal mixing model of Refs. [10,11].

In conclusion, we have presented simple and general arguments based on the unitarity of the mixing matrix which show that matter effects do not contribute to the values of the averaged transition probabilities of solar ν_e 's into other states in the case of maximal mixing. We have also shown that the future solar neutrino experiments (Super-Kamiokande and SNO), in which solar 8B neutrinos will be detected through CC and NC reactions, will allow to check the model with maximal mixing of three massive neutrino fields that describes the atmospheric neutrino anomaly and the data of the Kamiokande, GALLEX and SAGE experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Serguey Petcov for useful discussions. S.B. would like to acknowledge the kind hospitality of the Department of Theoretical Physics of the University of Torino and the Elementary Particle Sector of SISSA, where this work has been done.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Gribov and B. Pontecorvo, Phys. Lett. 28B, 493 (1969).
- [2] S. Nussinov, Phys. Lett. 63B, 201 (1976).
- [3] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D 18, 958 (1978).
- [4] Y. Fukuda et al., Phys. Lett. B 335, 237 (1994).
- [5] R. Becker-Szendy et al., Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 38, 331 (1995).
- [6] M. Goodman, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 38, 337 (1995).
- [7] A. Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D 48, 3264 (1993).
- [8] C. W. Kim, in Proceedings of the 3rd Nestor International Workshop, October 1993, Pylos, Greece, edited by L. K. Resvanis.
- [9] P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B 333, 471 (1994).
- [10] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B 349, 137 (1995).
- [11] C. Giunti, C. W. Kim and J. D. Kim, Phys. Lett. B 352, 357 (1995).
- [12] R. Davis Jr., Proc. of the 6th International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes, Venezia, March 1994, edited by M. Baldo Ceolin.
- [13] Y. Suzuki, Proc. of the 6th International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes, Venezia, March 1994, edited by M. Baldo Ceolin.
- [14] GALLEX Coll., Phys. Lett. B 342, 440 (1995).
- [15] J. N. Abdurashitov et al., Talk presented at the 27th Int. Conf. on High Energy Physics, Glasgow, July 1994.
- [16] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins and W. G. Scott, preprint RAL-TR-95-078 (hep-ph/9601346), to be published in Phys. Lett. B.
- [17] S. M. Bilenky and B. Pontecorvo, Phys. Rep. 41, 225 (1978); S. M. Bilenky and S. T. Petcov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 59, 671 (1987).
- [18] B. Pontecorvo, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 13, 281 (1971) [JETP Lett. 13, 199 (1971)].
- [19] B. Pontecorvo, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 53, 1717 (1967) [Sov. Phys. JETP 26, 984 (1968)].
- [20] T. K. Kuo and J. Pantaleone, Rev. Mod. Phys. 61, 937 (1989).
- [21] A. Baldini and G. F. Giudice, Phys. Lett. B 186, 211 (1987).
- [22] S. P. Mikheyev and A. Yu. Smirnov, Yad. Fiz. 42, 1441 (1985) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 42, 913 (1985)]; Il Nuovo Cimento C 9, 17 (1986); L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D 17, 2369 (1978); Phys. Rev. D 20, 2634 (1979).
- [23] C. B. Bratton et al., Proposal to Participate in the Super-Kamiokande Project, Dec. 1992.
- [24] G. T. Ewan, Proc. of the 4th International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes, Venezia, March 1992, edited by M. Baldo Ceolin.
- [25] J. N. Bahcall et al., preprint IASSNS-AST 95/47 (nucl-th/9601044), to be published in Phys. Rev. C.
- [26] N. Hata and P. G. Langacker, Phys. Rev. D 50, 632 (1994).
- [27] P. I. Krastev and S. T. Petcov, Nucl. Phys. B 449, 605 (1995).
- [28] K. Kubodera and S. Nozawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 3, 101 (1994).

TABLES

TABLE I. Results of the calculation of the ratios R_{CC}^{ES} , R_{NC}^{CC} and R_{NC}^{ES} in the model with maximal mixing of three massive neutrino fields (see Eqs.(22), (24) and (25)). The values of these ratio calculated in the usual model with mixing of two massive neutrinos are also presented. The values of the mixing parameters m^2 , $\sin^2 2$ have been obtained from the analysis of the solar neutrino data in Ref. [26] for the case of MSW transitions and in Ref. [27] for the case of vacuum oscillations.

$\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ (%)	m^2 (eV ²)	$\sin^2 2$	R_{CC}^{ES}	R_{NC}^{CC}	R_{NC}^{ES}
MAXIMAL MIXING			$2.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	1.33	$2.8 \cdot 10^2$
SMALL MIX .MSW	$6.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$6.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.79	$1.9 \cdot 10^2$
LARGE MIX .MSW	$9.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.62	$3.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.46	$1.4 \cdot 10^2$
VACUUM OSC.	$8.0 \cdot 10^{-11}$	0.80	$2.7 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.68	$1.9 \cdot 10^2$

FIGURES

FIG .1. Results of the calculation of the ratio $r_{CC}^{ES}(T)$ as a function of the electron recoil kinetic energy T (see Eq.(23)). The curves of $r_{CC}^{ES}(T)$ calculated in the usual model with mixing of two massive neutrinos are also presented. The values of the mixing parameters $\theta^2, \sin^2 2\theta$ are given in Table I.




Figure 1