The Zero Tem perature Chiral Phase Transition in SU (N) Gauge Theories

Thom as Appelquist Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511

> John Terning Department of Physics, Boston University 590 Commonwealth Ave., Boston, MA 02215

L C R . W ijewardhana Department of Physics, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221

M ay 28, 1996

Abstract

We investigate the zero temperature chiral phase transition in an SU (N) gauge theory as the number of ferm ions N $_{\rm f}$ is varied. We argue that there exists a critical number of ferm ions N $_{\rm f}^{\rm c}$, above which there is no chiral symmetry breaking or con nement, and below which both chiral symmetry breaking and con nement set in. We estimate N $_{\rm f}^{\rm c}$ and discuss the nature of the phase transition.

An SU (N) gauge theory, even at zero tem perature, can exist in di erent phases depending on the num ber of massless ferm ions N_f in the theory. The phases are dened by whether or not chiral symmetry breaking takes place. For QCD with two or three light quarks, chiral symmetry breaking and connement occur at roughly the same scale. By contrast, in any SU (N) gauge theory, asymptotic freedom (and hence chiral symmetry breaking and connement) is lost if the num ber of ferm ions is larger than a certain value (= 11N = 2 for ferm ions in the fundamental representation).

If the number of ferm ions N_f is reduced to just below 11N = 2, an infrared xed point will appear, determ ined by the rst two terms in the beta function. By taking the large N lim it or by continuing to non-integer values of N_f [1], the value of the coupling at the xed point can be made arbitrarily sm all, making a perturbative analysis reliable. Such a theory with a perturbative xed point is a massless conform al theory. There is no chiral symmetry breaking and no con nement.

A sN_f is reduced further, chiral sym m etry breaking and con nem ent will set in. There have been lattice M onte C arlo studies of the N_f dependence of chiral sym m etry breaking [2]. For example, K ogut and Sinclair [2] found that for N = 3 and N_f = 12 there is no chiral sym m etry breaking, while B rown et. al. [2] have found chiral sym m etry breaking for N = 3 and N_f = 8. In this paper we will estimate the critical value N_f^c at which this transition occurs. We then investigate the properties of the phase transition for N_f N_f^c.

O ur discussion will parallel an analysis of the chiral phase transition in QED 3 and QCD 3 [3, 4]. In a large N_f expansion it was found that an appropriate e ective coupling has an infrared xed point with strength proportional to 1=N_f, and that as N_f is lowered, the value of the xed point exceeds the critical value necessary to produce spontaneous chiral sym m etry breaking. It was argued that this critical value is large enough to make the 1=N_f expansion reliable.

An N_f dependence similar to the one we describe here has been found in N = 1 supersymmetric QCD [5]. This theory is not asymptotically free for large enough N_f, and has an infrared, conformal xed point for a range of N_f below a certain value.

The Lagrangian of an SU (N) gauge theory is:

$$L = (i \Theta + g() A ^{a}T^{a}) + \frac{1}{4}F^{a}F^{a}$$
(1)

where is a set of N $_{\rm f}$ 4-component spinors, the T $^{\rm a}$ are the generators of SU (N), and g() is the gauge coupling renorm alized at som e scale . The renorm alization group (RG) equation for the running coupling is:

$$\frac{\varrho}{\varrho} () = () \qquad b^{2}() \qquad c^{3}() \qquad d^{4}() \qquad :::; \qquad (2)$$

where () = g^2 ()=4 . W ith the N $_f$ ferm ions in the fundam ental representation, the rst two coe cients are given by

$$b = \frac{1}{6} (11N \quad 2N_f)$$
 (3)

$$c = \frac{1}{24^{2}} \quad 34N^{2} \quad 10N N_{f} \quad 3\frac{N^{2} \quad 1}{N}N_{f} \quad : \tag{4}$$

The theory is asymptotically free if b > 0 (N_f < $\frac{11}{2}$ N). At two bops, the theory has an infrared stable, non-trivial xed point if b > 0 and c < 0. In this case the xed point is at

$$= \frac{b}{c}:$$
(5)

Recall that the coe cients b and c are scheme-independent [6], while the higher-order coe cients are scheme-dependent. In fact one can always choose a renorm alization scheme such that all the higher order coe cients are zero, i.e. they can be removed by a rede nition of the coupling (change of renorm alization scheme) $g^0 = g + G_1g^3 + G_2g^5 + \dots$. Thus if a zero, , of the function exists at two loops, it exists to any order in perturbation theory [6]. Of course if the value of is large enough, there could be in portant higher order corrections to the G reen's functions

of physical interest. Indeed, their perturbation expansion m ight not converge at all. In addition, non-perturbative e ects, such as spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking, could eliminate even the existence of the xed point. If the quarks develop a dynamical mass, for example, then below this scale only gluons will contribute to the function, and the perturbative xed point turns out to be only an approximate description, relevant above the chiral symmetry breaking scale.

For N_f su ciently close to 11N = 2, the value of the coupling at the infrared xed point can be made arbitrarily sm all. The RG equation for the running coupling can be written as

$$b \log \frac{q}{r} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{r} \log \frac{r}{r} \frac{r}{r} \log \frac{r}{r} (r)$$
 (6)

where = (q). For , () < we can introduce a scale de ned by

$$= \exp \frac{1}{b} \log \frac{()}{()} \frac{1}{b()};$$
 (7)

so that

$$\frac{1}{-} = b \log \frac{q}{-} + \frac{1}{-} \log \frac{q}{-}$$
 (8)

Then for q the running coupling displays the usual perturbative behavior:

$$\frac{1}{b \log \frac{q}{q}};$$
(9)

while for q it approaches the xed point :

$$\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{e}} \frac{q}{e} b \qquad (10)$$

As N $_{\rm f}$ is decreased, the infrared xed point increases. W e will suggest here that the breakdown of perturbation theory, described above, rst happens

due to the spontaneous breaking of chiral sym m etry, and that the phase transition can be described by an RG in proved ladder approximation of the CJT [7] e ective potential. It is wellknown [8] that in vector-like gauge theories the two-loop e ective potential expressed as a functional of the quark self-energy becomes unstable to chiral sym m etry breaking when the gauge coupling exceeds a critical value¹:

$$_{\rm C} \quad \frac{2 \, \rm N}{3 \, \rm C_2 \, (R)} = \frac{2 \, \rm N}{3 \, (N^2 - 1)} \, ; \tag{11}$$

where $C_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the quadratic C asim ir of the the representation \mathbb{R} . Thus we would expect that when N_f is decreased below the value N_f^c at which = ____, the theory undergoes a transition to a phase where chiral sym metry is spontaneously broken. The critical value N_f^c is given by

$$N_{f}^{c} = N = \frac{100N^{2} - 66}{25N^{2} - 15}$$
 (12)

For large N, N $_{\rm f}^{\rm c}$ approaches 4N, while for N = 3, N $_{\rm f}^{\rm c}$ is just below 12. Note that this is consistent with lattice QCD results [2], which suggest that 8 < N $_{\rm f}^{\rm c}$ 12.

Is this simple analysis reliable? A fter all, it could be that when is as large as _c the perturbative expansion for the CJT potential has broken down. To address this question we provide a crude estimate of the higher order corrections to the CJT potential. An explicit computation of the next-to-leading term (or equivalently the next-to-leading term in the gap equation) [10] for _c, produces an additional factor of approximately $= \frac{cN}{4}$. This is the factor remaining after the appropriate renormalizations are absorbed into the denition of the coupling constant. From

 $^{^{1}}$ A more general de nition of the critical coupling is that the anomalous dimension of becomes 1 [9].

equation (11) we see that

$$= \frac{1}{6 \ 1 \ \frac{1}{N^2}} :$$
 (13)

For QCD, 0:19. If higher orders in the computation produce approximately this factor, the perturbative expansion of the CJT potential may be reliable². The same may be true of the various G reen's functions encountered in the skeleton expansion of the CJT potential.

We next explore the nature of the chiral phase transition at $N_f = N_f^c$ and its relation to con nement. It is useful to consider nst the behavior in the broken phase $N_f < N_f^c$ (> _c). Here each quark develops a dynamical mass (p). For N_f ! N_f^c from below (! _c from above), (p) can be determined by solving a linearized Schwinger-Dyson gap equation in ladder approximation. For momenta sm all compared to , the elective coupling strength is _, while form omenta above it falls according to equation (9). The resulting solution for (0) is [15]

The behavior of (p) as a function of p will be discussed shortly.

Once the dynamical mass (p) is formed, the fermions decouple below this scale, leaving the pure gauge theory behind. One might worry that this would invalidate the above gap equation analysis since it relies on the xed point which only exists when the fermions contribute to the function. This is not a problem, however, since it can be shown that when (0) the dominant momentum

 $^{^{2}}$ It is worth noting that in condensed m atter physics one can often (though not always) obtain useful inform ation from the W ilson-F isher expansion in a parameter that is set to one at the end of the calculation.

range in the gap equation, leading to the exponential behavior of equation (14), is (0)
does appear to be approaching an infrared xed point. Note that the condition (0) is indeed satis ed for N $_{\rm f}$ su ciently close to N $_{\rm f}^{\rm c}$.

Below the scale (0) the quarks can be integrated out; thus the e ective function has no xed point and the gluons are con ned. The con nem ent scale can be estimated by noting that at the quark decoupling scale (0), the e ective coupling constant is of order $_{c}$. A simple estimate using equations (2)-(4) then reveals that the con nem ent scale is roughly the sam e order of magnitude as the chiral symmetry breaking scale. When N f is reduced su ciently below N $_{f}^{c}$ so that

is not close to $_{\rm c}$, both (0) and the con nem ent scale become of order . The linear approximation to the gap equation will then no longer be valid, and it will probably no longer be the case that higher order contributions to the elective potential can be argued to be small.

It is interesting to compare the behavior of the broken phase for N_f near N_f^c to the walking technicolor gauge theories discussed recently in the literature [13]. We have argued here that for N_f just below N_f^c, the dynam ical breaking is governed by a linearized ladder gap equation with a coupling just above _c. As the momentum p increases, (p) stays near (it \walks") until p becomes of order , and only falls above this scale. It can then be seen [14] that the dynam ical mass (p) falls like 1=p (i.e. the anom alous dimension of <u>is</u> 1) for (0) 2</sup>) at larger momenta. This is precisely the walking behavior employed in technicolor theories and referred to there as high momentum enhancement. In that case, how ever, there was no R xed point to

keep the function near zero and slow the running of the coupling. It was noted instead that the same e ect would emerge if the function was small at each order by virtue of partial cancelations between ferm ions and bosons.

From the smooth behavior of the order parameter (0) (equation (14)), if would naively appear that the chiral phase transition at $N_f = N_f^c$ (= c) is second order. In this paper we will use the phrase \second order" to refer exclusively to a phase transition where the correlation length diverges as the critical point is approached from either side. In other words, there is a light excitation coupling to the order parameter that becomes massless at the critical point. In the broken phase, this mode would be present along with the massless G oldstone modes. In the symmetric phase, all these modes would form a light, degenerate multiplet, becoming massless at the critical point [11].

We exam ine the correlation length by working in the symmetric phase and searching for poles in the (avor and color-singlet) quark-antiquark scattering am – plitude, computed in the same (RG in proved, ladder) approximation leading to equation (14). The analysis is similar to that carried out for QED 3 [4]. If the transition is second-order, then at least one pole should move to zero momentum as we approach the critical point (i.e. the correlation length should diverge). We take the incoming (Euclidean) momentum of the initial quark and antiquark to be q=2, but keep a non-zero momentum transfer by assigning outgoing momenta q=2 p for the nal quark and antiquark. Any light scalar resonances should make their presence known by producing a pole in the scattering am plitude (when continued to M inkow ski q^2).

If the D irac indices of the initial quark and antiquark are and , and those

of the nal state quark and antiquark are and , then the scattering am plitude can be written (for small q) as T (p;q) = T(p;q)=p² + :::, where the ... indicates pseudoscalar, vector, axial-vector, and tensor components, and we have factored out 1=p² to make T(p;q) dimensionless. We contract D irac indices so that we obtain the Bethe-Salpeter equation for the the scalar s-channel scattering am plitude T(p;q), containing only t-channel gluon exchanges. If p² q², then q² will simply act as an infrared cuto in the loop integrations. The Bethe-Salpeter equation in the scalar channel for p is:

$$T (p;q) = -\frac{2}{c} + \frac{Z_{p^2}}{4_c} dk^2 T (k;q) \frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{Z_{p^2}}{4_c} dk^2 T (k;q) \frac{p^2}{k^4}; \quad (15)$$

where is the scale introduced in equation (7). (Note that contributions from the integration region $k^2 > 2$ are suppressed by a factor $p^2 = 2$, and a falling (k).) The rst term in equation (15) is simply one gluon exchange. We have used Landau gauge (= 1) where the quark wavefunction renormalization vanishes to lowest order. Because of the existence of the xed point, it is a good approximation to have replaced (p) and (p k) by at momentum scales below .

For m on enta $p^2 > q^2$, equation (15) can be converted to a di erential equation with appropriate boundary conditions. The solutions have the form

T (p;q) = A (q)
$$\frac{p^2}{2}^{!\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}$$
 + B (q) $\frac{p^2}{2}^{!\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}$; (16)

where $= p \overline{1} = c$. The coe cients A and B can be determined by substituting this solution back into equation (15). This gives:

$$A = \frac{2^{2} (1)^{2}}{(1+)} \frac{\frac{q^{2}}{2}}{1} \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} ; \qquad (17)$$

and

$$B = \frac{2^{2} (1)}{\frac{1}{1+1}} \frac{\frac{q^{2}}{2}}{\frac{q^{2}}{2}} :$$
(18)

Note that there is an infrared divergence in the lim it q^2 ! 0 in both equations (17) and (18). That this is an infrared divergence rather than a pole corresponding to a bound state can be seen from the fact that the divergence exists for arbitrarily weak coupling (! 0). In fact, it can already be seen at order ² in the one-loop (two gluon exchange) diagram . As required by the KLN theorem [12], this infrared divergence will be cancelled in a physical scattering process by the em ission of soft quanta.

If we denote the location of the poles of the functions A and B in the complex q^2 plane by q_0^2 , we have

$$\dot{g}_{0}^{2} j = \frac{2}{1} \frac{1+1}{1} = \frac{2}{1}$$
 (19)

We see that there is no pole that approaches the origin $q_0^2 = 0$ as ! c. Thus the correlation length does not diverge, and the transition is not second order (It is not conventionally rst order either since the order parameter vanishes continuously at the critical point.). Note that the behavior of the zero tem perature chiral phase transition is di erent from the nite tem perature case due to the presence of long-range gauge forces. At nite tem peratures, gluons are screened, and thus there are only short-range forces present and only conventional rst or second order transitions are possible.

To conclude, we have argued that as the number of quark avors, N_f, is reduced, QCD -like theories in four dimensions undergo a chiral phase transition at a critical value N_f^c (equation (12)). For N_f < N_f^c, chiral symmetries are spontaneously broken, while they are unbroken for $N_f > N_f^c$. We have explored the nature of the chiral phase transition, arguing that it can be described using the QCD gap equation in ladder approximation (equivalently the two-loop approximation to the CJT potential). We have also argued that even though the order parameter vanishes at the critical point, the correlation length does not diverge (i.e. the phase transition is not second order). The critical behavior described here is similar to that found in QED 3 and QCD 3 [3, 4]. We have, of course, not proven that higher order corrections to our computation are small. Further study of this question as well as lattice M onte C arlo studies of the zero tem perature phase transition would help to con rm or disprove our conclusions.

A cknow ledgem ents

We thank S. Chivukula, A. Cohen, P. Dam gaard, S. Hsu, M. Luty, and R. Sundrum for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the Department of Energy under contracts # DE-FG 02-92ER 40704 and # DE-FG 02-91ER 40676, # DE-FG -02-84ER 40153.

References

- [1] T.Banks and A.Zaks, Nucl. Phys. B 196 (1982) 189.
- [2] J.B.Kogut and D.K.Sinclair, Nucl. Phys. B 295 [FS21] (1988) 465, F.Brown et.al, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 5655.
- [3] T. Appelquist, D. Nash, and L.C. R. W ijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2575
 (1988); D. Nash Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 3024; T. Appelquist and D. Nash,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 721 (1990).

- [4] T. Appelquist, J. Teming, and L.C.R.W ijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 2081.
- [5] For a recent review see K. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, hep-th/9509066.
- [6] D.J.G ross, in Les Houches 1975, Proceedings, M ethods In Field Theory, (Am sterdam 1976) 141, see page 178.
- [7] JM. Comwall, R. Jackiw, and E. Tom boulis, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 2428.
- [8] T. Maskawa and H. Nakajima, Prog Theor. Phys 52 (1974) 1326; 54 (1976)
 860; R. Fukuda and T. Kugo Nucl. Phys. B 117 (1976) 250; P.J. Fom in and
 V A. Miransky, Phys. Lett. B 64 (1976) 166.
- [9] H.Georgiand A.Cohen, Nucl. Phys. B 314 (1989) 7.
- [10] T.Appelquist, K. Lane, and U. Mahanta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 1553.
- [11] Y.Nambu and G.Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. 122, 345 (1961).
- [12] T.K inoshita, J.M ath Phys. 3 (1962) 650; T.D.Lee and M.Nauenberg, Phys. Rev. 133 (1964) B1549.
- [13] B.Holdom, Phys. Rev D 24 (1981) 1441; B.Holdom, Phys. Lett. B 150 (1985)
 301; K.Yam awaki, M.Bando, and K.Matum oto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986)
 1335; T.Appelquist, D.Karabali, and LCR.W ijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett.
 57 (1986) 957; T.Appelquist and LCR.W ijewardhana, Phys. Rev D 35 (1987)
 774; T.Appelquist and LCR.W ijewardhana, Phys. Rev D 36 (1987) 568.

- [14] T. Appelquist, in Particles and Fields (Mexican School) JL. Lucio and A. Zepeda eds. (World Scientic, 1992)
- [15] V A. M iransky Il Nuovo C im ento 90A (1985) 149; V A. M iransky and P.I. Fom in, Sov. J. Part. Nucl. 16 (1985) 203.