# Complete Initial State QED Corrections to O—Shell Gauge Boson Pair Production in e<sup>+</sup>e Annihilation

Dim a Bardin<sup>1</sup>, Dietrich Lehner<sup>2</sup>, Tord Riem ann

D eutsches E lektronen-Synchrotron D E SY, Institut für H ochenergiephysik IfH Zeuthen, P latanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, G erm any

#### A bstract

We study Standard M odel four-ferm ion production in e<sup>+</sup>e annihilation at LEP2 energies and above using a sem i-analytical approach. We derive the complete QED initial state corrections (ISR) to the reactions e<sup>+</sup>e ! (Z  $^0$ Z  $^0$ )!  $f_1f_1f_2f_2$  and e<sup>+</sup>e ! (W  $^+$ W )!  $f_1^uf_1^df_2^uf_2^d$  with  $f_1$  &  $f_2$  and  $f_i$  & e ;  $_e^{()}$ . As compared to the well-known universal s-channel ISR, additional complexity arises due to non-universal, process-dependent ISR contributions from t- and u-channel ferm ion exchanges. The full set of form ulae needed to perform numerical calculations is given together with samples of numerical results.

## 1 Introduction

The LEP2 e<sup>+</sup> e accelerator will nally operate at energies between 176 and 205 G eV [1] and thus pass the production thresholds for W and Z pairs. At LEP2, a typical process will be four-ferm ion production which is much more complex than ferm ion pair production as known from LEP1. This complexity shows up at tree level already, because of the many Feynm an diagram s involved in four-ferm ion production. As tree-level amplitudes are not su cient

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On leave of absence from Bogoliubov Theor. Lab., JINR, ul. Joliot-Curie 6, RU – 141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Now at Fakultat fur Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universitat, Hermann-Herder-Str. 3, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

to describe experim ental data, radiative corrections to four-ferm ion production are needed. It would be desirable to derive complete 0 () electroweak and QCD corrections to four-ferm ion production, but this has not yet been achieved, although many partial results were reported in [2{5] and in references quoted therein. In view of the anticipated experim ental precision of LEP2 and future e<sup>+</sup> e colliders, it would be desirable to have theoretical predictions for cross-sections and distributions accurate at the level of half a percent.

In recent years, three major approaches to four-ferm ion production in e<sup>+</sup> e annihilation have been developed, namely Monte Carlo approaches [3], the seminally tical approach [6{13}], and the \deterministic approach" [14]. Monte Carlo and deterministic techniques use numerical integration for all phase space variables. Typically, the seminally tical method performs analytical integrations over the ve (seven, if ISR is included) angular degrees of freedom and uses high precision numerical integration for the remaining two (three, if ISR is included) squared invariant masses. It represents an approach to the high-dimensional, highly singular phase space integration problem inherent in this type of physical problem, which is elegant, fast, and numerically stable. Thus, it may serve as an ideal source of benchmarks for the other two approaches. From LEP1, we know that seminally tical calculations are also relevant to experimentalists.

In this article we will present sem i-analytical results for the gauge boson pair production reactions

```
CC3: e^{+}e^{-}! (W + W ) ! f_{1}^{u}f_{1}^{d}f_{2}^{u}f_{2}^{d}(); f_{1} \notin e^{+}e^{-}! (Z ^{0}Z^{0}) ! f_{1}f_{1}f_{2}f_{2}(); f_{1} \notin f_{2}; f_{1} \notin e^{-}e^{-}! NC8: e^{+}e^{-}! (Z ^{0}Z^{0}; )! f_{1}f_{1}f_{2}f_{2}(); f_{1} \notin f_{2}; f_{1} \notin e^{-}e^{-}e^{-}! (1.1)
```

with complete initial state QED corrections. The three Feynman diagrams for the charged current CC3 process at tree level are given in gure 1. The two or eight diagrams for the neutral current NC2 and NC8 processes are depicted in gure  $2^3$ . Classications of four-ferm ion processes may be found in references [8,15].

Initial state QED corrections (ISR) represent a dom inant correction in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation. Complete ISR to four-ferm ion production separates into a universal, factorizing, process-independent contribution and a non-universal, non-factorizing, process-dependent part. With the index J labelling the CC3, NC2, and NC8 processes, the ISR corrected cross-sections can be generically written as

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Strictly speaking, the NC2 process is well-de ned (i.e. observable) only as on-shell reaction.

Fig. 1. The tree level Feynm an diagram s for o -shell W pair production (the CC3 process). Left: t-channel diagram . Right: s-channel diagram . The particle m om enta are given by the  $k_{\rm i}$  and  $p_{\rm i}$ .

Fig. 2. The tree level Feynm an diagram s for o -shell neutral gauge boson pair production (NC8 process). Left: t-channel. Right: u-channel. The NC2 process is obtained by neglecting diagram s with exchange photons.

$$\frac{d_{JQED}(s)}{ds_{1}ds_{2}} = \frac{Z}{s} \frac{ds^{0h}}{s} G(s^{0}=s) \quad _{J;0}(s^{0};s_{1};s_{2}) + \quad _{JQED}^{\text{non univ}}(s;s^{0};s_{1};s_{2})$$
(1.2)

with invariant boson masses  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , reduced center of mass energy squared  $s^0$ , and tree level four-ferm ion production cross-section  $_{\rm J;0}$ . The factor  $_{\rm G}(s^0=s)$  contains all mass singularities  $\ln (s=m_e^2)$  and incorporates the process-independent ISR radiators as known from s-channel  $e^+e^-$  annihilation [16]. In addition, there is a non-universal contribution  $_{\rm J,QED}^{\rm non-univ}$ . It appears together with t-channel and u-channel amplitudes and is mass singularity free. For pure s-channel contributions it is absent.

Complete initial state QED corrections were shortly communicated for the CC3 process in [7] and for the NC2 and NC8 processes in [11]. While a denition of initial state radiation is straightforward in the neutral current process, there is an arbitrariness for W pair production in its denition. In [7], we restored the U (1)-invariance of the initial state photon emission by adding an auxiliary current. This arbitrariness is characteristic of charged current processes. Of course, it is the sum of the corrections what will be nally observable.

In this paper, the complete analytical formulae for the non-universal corrections, supplemented by a study of their numerical importance, will be presented for the rst time.

For on-shell production,

$$e^{+}e^{-}$$
!  $W^{-}W^{-}$  ();  
 $e^{+}e^{-}$ !  $Z^{0}Z^{0}$  (); (1.3)

the generic cross-section m ay be obtained as follows:

$$J_{QED}(s) = \frac{Z^{s}}{s} \frac{ds^{0}h}{s} G(s^{0}=s) J_{;0}(s^{0};M_{V}^{2};M_{V}^{2}) + \frac{non univ}{J_{QED}}(s;s^{0};M_{V}^{2};M_{V}^{2}) : (1.4)$$

Here, M  $_{\rm V}$  represents the W or Z boson mass. The relation between  $_{\rm J;0}$  and  $_{\rm J;0}$  on one hand and and  $_{\rm J;\Omega\,ED}^{\rm non\ univ}$  and  $_{\rm J;\Omega\,ED}^{\rm non\ univ}$  on the other hand will be discussed in section 2.

For Z pair production, there are no additional QED corrections for the on-shell case, while for W pair production there are nal state corrections and initial-nal interferences. The non-universal initial state QED corrections were not known before as explicit analytical expressions. However, they have been determined as a part of the complete electroweak corrections to the processes (1.3) with numerical integrations in [17].

The outline of this report is as follows. Section 2 presents general features of our approach to the complete initial state QED corrections. In section 3 we give a detailed presentation of the non-universal cross-section contributions. Section 4 contains numerical results and section 5 concluding remarks. In a series of appendices, we give technical details of the performed computations. Some notations are introduced in appendix A.Our phase space parametriza-

tion and all relevant relations between particle four-m omenta and phase space variables are presented in appendix B. In appendix C, the tree level, the real ISR, and the virtual ISR matrix elements are given. These matrix elements represent the starting point for the calculations in this paper. The analytical integrals needed for the integration of the angular phase space variables and, in the case of virtual corrections, loop momenta are collected in appendix D.

# 2 General Structure of Initial State QED Corrections

To include initial state QED corrections (ISR) to (1.1), the ve-particle phase space is required to take into account four nal state ferm ions and a brem strahlung photon with momentum p.We make use of the following parametrization:

$$d_{5} = \frac{1}{(2_{5})^{14}} = \frac{q_{5}(s;s^{0};0)}{8s} = \frac{q_{5}(s^{0};s_{1};s_{2})}{8s} = \frac{q_{5}(s_{1};m_{1}^{2};m_{2}^{2})}{8s_{1}} = \frac{q_{5}(s_{2};m_{3}^{2};m_{4}^{2})}{8s_{2}} = \frac{q_{5}(s_{1};m_{1}^{2};m_{2}^{2})}{8s_{2}} = \frac{q_{5}(s_{1};m_{1}^{2};m_{2}^{2};m_{1}^{2};m_{2}^{2})}{8s_{2}} = \frac{q_{5}(s_{1};m_{1}^{2};m_{2}^{2};m_{1}^{2};m_{2}^{2};m_{2}^{2};m_{2}^{2};m_{1}^{2};m_{2}^{2};m$$

In equation (2.1), the azim uth angle around the beam has already been integrated. We have adopted the usual denition of the function,

(a;b;c) = 
$$a^2 + b^2 + c^2$$
 2ab 2ac 2bc;  
(s;s;s<sub>2</sub>): (2.2)

We use  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  as the initial electron and positron four-momenta, while  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ , and  $p_4$  label the nalstate ferm ion momenta as indicated in gures 1 and 2. The relevant invariant masses are  $^4$ 

$$s = (k_1 + k_2)^2 = (p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 + p_4)^2;$$

$$s^0 = (p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4)^2;$$

$$s_1 = (p_1 + p_2)^2;$$

$$s_2 = (p_1 + p_4)^2;$$
(2.3)

The photon scattering angle is de ned as the angle between p and  $K_2$  in the

In our metric space-like four-vectors k have positive  $k^2$ . Thus  $k^2 = m^2$  for on-shell particles of mass m.




Fig. 3.G eneric two-boson production and decay Feynm an diagram with real photon initial state radiation.

center of mass system. The solid angle

$$d_R = d\cos_R d_R (2.4)$$

represents the production solid angle of the boson three vector  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2$  in the four-ferm ion rest fram  $\mathbf{e} \ \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{p}_4 = 0$ .  $_1 \ [ \ _2 ]$  is the solid angle of  $\mathbf{p}_1$   $[\mathbf{p}_3]$  in the two-ferm ion rest fram  $\mathbf{e} \ \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 = 0$   $[\mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{p}_4 = 0]$ . In this fram  $\mathbf{e}$ , the three-vectors  $\mathbf{p}_1$  and  $\mathbf{p}_2$   $[\mathbf{p}_3]$  and  $\mathbf{p}_4$  are back to back. The polar and azim uthal decay angles in the above two-particle rest fram es are defined via

$$d_{i} = dos_{i} d_{i}; i = 1;2:$$
 (2.5)

Further details of the kinematics and the ve-particle phase space may be found in appendix B.

The processes (1.1) have the generic structure shown in gure 3. Their ISR—corrected cross-sections get two types of contributions, namely universal and non-universal ones. The rst type is universal in the sense that it arises from photonic insertions to any of the basic diagram s and is independent of the details of the subsequent interactions. These universal corrections are, of course, related to the collinear divergences of the (radiating) initial state electrons and positrons and appear to be known, including higher order terms, from, e.g., the study of ISR corrections to the (single) Z line shape. They are exactly those of the s-channel ISR contributions. For diagrams with t- and u-channel exchanges and interferences of these diagrams among them selves and with s-channel diagrams, there are additional corrections which are not logarithm i-cally enhanced and which depend on the details of the interfering amplitudes. These additional corrections are thus non-universal.

ISR-corrected cross-sections for the processes (1.1) with soft photon exponentiation m ay be described by the ansatz

$$\frac{Z}{J} (s) = \frac{Z}{ds_1} \frac{Z}{ds_2} \frac{Z}{s} \frac{ds^0}{s} \frac{X}{s} \frac{d^3 \int_{J}^{(k)} (s; s^0; s_1; s_2)}{ds_1 ds_2 ds^0}$$
(2.6)

with the threefold di erential cross-section

$$\frac{d^{3} \int_{J}^{(k)} (s; s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})}{ds_{1} ds_{2} ds^{0}} = C_{J}^{(k)} (s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2}) \Big|_{e} v^{e} \Big|_{S_{J}^{(k)}} + H_{J}^{(k)}\Big|_{:} (2.7)$$

w here

$$e = \frac{2}{m} \ln \frac{s}{m_e^2} + \frac{s}{m_e^2}$$
 $v = 1 + \frac{s^0}{s}$ : (2.8)

In equation (2.7) we have used several additional notations which will now be explained. The subscript J labels di erent processes, J 2 fCC3; NC2; NC8g and the superscript index k stands for cross-section contributions which stem from squared amplitudes or interferences with distinct Feynman topologies and coupling structures. Using

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
p & & & & & & \\
& & & & \\
J & & & & \\
& & & & \\
& & & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
&$$

the soft+ virtual contributions  $S_J^{(k)}$  and the hard contributions  $H_J^{(k)}$  take the form

$$S_{J}^{(k)}(s;s^{0};s_{1};s_{2}) = \overset{h}{1} + S(s)\overset{i}{\underset{J}{}}(s^{0};s_{1};s_{2}) + \overset{(k)}{\underset{S;J}{}}(s^{0};s_{1};s_{2});$$

$$H_{J}^{(k)}(s;s^{0};s_{1};s_{2}) = \underbrace{H_{J}^{(k)}(s;s^{0})\overset{(k;0)}{\underset{J}{}}(s^{0};s_{1};s_{2})}_{U \text{ niversal P art}} + \underbrace{H_{J}^{(k)}(s;s^{0};s_{1};s_{2})}_{N \text{ on universal P art}}$$
(2.10)

with the well-known soft+ virtual radiator S and the hard radiator H,

$$S(s) = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{2}! + \frac{3}{4} + O(2);$$

$$H(s;s^{0}) = \frac{1}{2} 1 + \frac{s^{0}!}{s} + O(2);$$
(2.11)

Higher order terms [16] may be implemented exactly as described in [2,3,13].

Fig. 4. The amputated s-channel virtual initial state QED Feynm an diagram.




Fig. 5. The amputated s-channel initial state bremsstrahlung Feynman diagrams.

We draw the attention to the de nition of the (dierential) e ective tree level cross-section

$$\frac{d_{J;0}(s^{0};s_{1};s_{2})}{ds_{1}ds_{2}} = \frac{q_{(s^{0};s_{1};s_{2})}}{s^{2}} \times C_{J}^{(k)}(s^{0};s_{1};s_{2})G_{J}^{(k)}(s^{0};s_{1};s_{2}) \qquad (2.12)$$

which is inherent in the universal ISR correction part. Coupling constants and boson propagators are collected in  $C_J^{(k)}$ , while the  $G_J^{(k)}$  represent kinematical functions obtained from the analytical angular integration. Explicit expressions for  $C_J^{(k)}$  and  $G_J^{(k)}$  m ay be found in appendix A . If the index k is associated with s-channele+e annihilation only, non-universal ISR contributions are absent. The s-channel ISR diagram sare generically shown in gures 4 and 5. They contribute to W pair production. The non-universal cross-section contributions originate from the angular dependence of initial state t- and u-channel propagators and contribute both to W - and Z-pair production.

To close the section, we comment on the on-shell cross-section (1.4). With the aid of equation (A.14) it is obtained by the following replacements:

$$_{\text{J;0}} (s; M_{\text{V}}^{2}; M_{\text{V}}^{2}) = \lim_{\text{v! 0}} ds_{1} ds_{2} _{\text{J;0}} (s; s_{1}; s_{2})$$

and

### 3 Non-universal Initial State Corrections

In this section, we present the nal analytical results for the ISR corrected threefold di erential cross-sections for the processes (1.1). The starting point of our calculations are matrix elements of CC3 NC2 and NC8 processes, which are given in Appendix C.W ith the help of the computer algebra packages SCHOONSCHIP, FORM, and Mathematica [18], matrix elements were squared, spin sum mation was performed, the scalar products were expressed in terms of the phase space variables, and algebraic manipulations of the resulting expressions were carried out. All analytical integrations were obtained from hand-made tables of canonical integrals. The kinematical relations needed for the treatment of real bremsstrahlung may be found in appendix B. For the virtual corrections, tree level kinematics may be used as it was explained in appendix B of [13]. In the course of performing the various steps of analytical integrations we proceeded as follows.

The virtual photonic corrections have been treated as a net sum of all contributing diagram s. The infrared singularity was isolated and, as a part of the universal corrections, subtracted from the net correction. Thus, the remaining, non-universal virtual corrections are by construction free of infrared problem  $s^5$ . A fter tensor integration over the nal state angular variables (see appendix D 1), the loop momentum integrations were performed with the aid of the integrals of appendix D 2 and the nal integration over the vector boson production angle # in the center of mass system with the aid of appendix D 3.

Also the real photonic corrections were stintegrated over the nal state

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  The same was done with the realphotonic corrections. Thus, non-universal virtual and real corrections may be treated completely separately. The interested reader may not details in [12].




Fig. 6. The amputated t- and u-channel virtual initial state QED Feynm an diagram s.

angular variables (see again appendix D 1). Then we integrated over the production angles  $_{\rm R}$  and  $_{\rm R}$  of the vector boson in the two-boson rest fram e (for the canonical integrals see appendix D A) and nally over the photon production angle in the center of m ass system (see the table of canonical integrals in appendix D 5).

We have used the ultrarelativistic approximation for nal state fermions and initial state electrons, i.e. the masses of these particles are neglected wherever possible. The various tables of canonical integrals were checked by Fortran programs with a precision of typically  $10^{-10}$ . At the end of our calculations, algebraic manipulations were carried out by hand to yield compactication of our nal results  $^6$  given in equations (3.3), (3.5), (3.8), (3.10), (3.14), (3.16).

In the following two subsections, the non-universal corrections introduced in equation (2.10) will be explicitly given and commented.

#### 3.1 The Neutral-Current Case

In the neutral current case, initial state QED corrections are represented by the Feynm an diagram s of gures 6 and 7.

The non-universal NC8 corrections are

$$\hat{s}_{;NC8}(s; s_1; s_2) = -\frac{s_1 s_2}{8 s^2} \sum_{\substack{\text{non univ} \\ \text{V,NC8}}}^{\text{non univ}}(s; s_1; s_2);$$

$$\hat{h}_{;NC8}(s; s^0; s_1; s_2) = -\frac{s_1 s_2}{s} \sum_{\substack{\text{R,NC8} \\ \text{R,NC8}}}^{\text{non univ}}(s; s^0; s_1; s_2); \tag{3.1}$$

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 6}\,$  The latter was cross-checked against FORM outputs with the aid of auxiliary FORM codes.




Fig. 7. The amputated t- and u-channel initial state bremsstrahlung Feynman diagrams.

where the subindex V stands for virtual and the subindex R for real photonic corrections.

The virtual contribution is a sum of contributions due to the di erent interferences of loop diagram swith tree level graphs:

$$\frac{\text{non univ}}{\text{V}_{i}NC8}(s; s_1; s_2) = \frac{\text{non univ}}{\text{V}_{i}t} + 2 \frac{\text{non univ}}{\text{V}_{i}tu} + \frac{\text{non univ}}{\text{V}_{i}u} :$$
(3.2)

The squared t-and u-channel contributions are equal:

Here, the following notations have been used:

The virtual corrections due to the tu-interferences are:

In equation (3.5), the following additional notations have been used:

$$F = Li_{3} \frac{t_{m ax}}{s} \qquad Li_{3} \frac{t_{m in}}{s};$$

$$F_{d} = Li_{3} \frac{t_{m ax}}{s} \qquad Li_{3} \frac{t_{m in}}{s} + Li_{3} \frac{t_{m ax}}{s} \qquad Li_{3} \frac{t_{m in}}{s};$$

$$F_{t} = Li_{3} \frac{t_{m ax}}{d_{1} t_{m in}} \qquad Li_{3} \frac{t_{m in}}{d_{1} t_{m ax}}$$

$$+ Li_{3} \frac{t_{m ax}}{d_{2} t_{m in}} \qquad Li_{3} \frac{t_{m in}}{d_{2} t_{m ax}} : \qquad (3.6)$$

The non-universal realbrem sstrahlung contribution to the NC8 process is given by:

$$\frac{\text{non univ}}{\text{R ; NC8}} (s; s^0; s_1; s_2) = \frac{\text{non univ}}{\text{R ; tu}} + \frac{\text{non univ}}{\text{R ; tu}} + \frac{\text{non univ}}{\text{R ; tu}} :$$
(3.7)

Again, the squared t- and u-channel contributions are equal:

$$+ \frac{(\mathring{S}) s_{+}^{0}}{4s^{(2)}} D_{1}^{t} + D_{2}^{t} + \frac{s_{+}^{0} \mathring{S}s^{0}}{4s^{(2)}} D_{z1t2} + D_{z2t1} : \qquad (3.8)$$

In the above equation we have introduced the symbols:

$$s^{0}$$
  $s^{0}$   $s^{0$ 

The additional notations are introduced in appendix D 5. For easy reference, the number of each integral corresponding to the enumeration in appendix D 5 is listed in table 1.

The most cum bersom e contribution is due to the tu-interference:

Again, additional notations are introduced and the number of each integral corresponding to the enumeration in appendix D 5 is listed in table 2.

#### 3.2 The Charged-Current Case

It is in order to mention that, for the CC3 process, the separation of initial and nal state radiation is not unique. Since, in the t-channel contribution, there is electric charge ow from the initial state to the nal state, electric current

Table 1 Notations for  $_{R,t}^{non~univ}$  (s;s $^0$ ;s $_1$ ;s $_2$ ). The numbers refer to appendix D .5

N otation	L <sub>c1</sub>	L <sub>c2</sub>	L <sub>c3</sub>	$L_{c4}$	L <sub>c5</sub>	D <sub>1</sub> <sup>t</sup>	D <sub>2</sub> <sup>t</sup>	D t 12	D z1t2	D <sub>z2t1</sub>
IntegralNo	7)	8)	7)	8)	7)	14)	15)	31)	11)	12)

Table 2 Notations for  $_{R;tu}^{non}$  univ (s;s<sup>0</sup>;s<sub>1</sub>;s<sub>2</sub>). The numbers refer to appendix D .5

N otation	L <sub>c6</sub>	$\rm L_{c7}$	L <sub>c8</sub>	D z1t1	D z2t2	D tu a1	D tu
IntegralNo	18)	19)	18)	10)	13)	18)	19)
N otation	D tlu2	D t2u1	D z tlu2	D z t2u1	D a tlu2	D a t2u1	

conservation is violated and one faces the problem to nd a gauge-invariant de nition of initial state radiation. As a solution we proposed in [7] what we call the current splitting technique (CST). In brief, the CST splits the electrically neutral t-channel neutrino ow into two oppositely owing electric charges + 1 and {1.Charge {1 is assigned to the initial state, charge + 1 to the nal state. This enables a gauge invariant de nition of ISR with photon emission and absorption from the t-channel exchange particle. An auxiliary current is added to the naive charged current for this purpose in appendix C. Thus, the CC3 t-channel receives the same ISR corrections as the neutral current t-channel. Of course, when performing a complete calculation, the nal state corrections have to take into account opposite auxiliary terms so that the net auxiliary e ect will vanish.

The non-universal corrections are due to the interferences of the s- and t-channel diagram s of gures 4 and 6 with the corresponding Born diagram s and of the diagram s of gures 5 and 7 am ong them selves. These interferences show less sym metry than the interferences of the t- and u-channel diagram s of the neutral current case. However, as mentioned earlier, the pure s-channel corrections have no non-universal parts:

$$\frac{s}{s';cc3} = \frac{s}{h';cc3} = 0$$
: (3.11)

Further, for the pure t-channel non-universal contributions to the CC3 process one nds, of course, expressions equal to those for the pure t-channel non-universal contributions to the NC8 process, namely

$$\frac{t}{\hat{s};CC3}(s;s_1;s_2) = -\frac{s_1s_2}{8 s^2} \quad \text{non univ } (s;s_1;s_2);$$

$$\frac{t}{\hat{H};CC3}(s;s^0;s_1;s_2) = -\frac{s_1s_2}{s} \quad \text{non univ } (s;s^0;s_1;s_2)$$
(3.12)

with  $v_t^{\text{non univ}}$  from equation (3.3) and  $v_t^{\text{non univ}}$  from equation (3.8).

W hat remains to be calculated in addition are the virtual and real st-interference contributions.

The virtual correction is given by

$$st_{\hat{S},CC3}(s;s_1;s_2) = -\frac{s_1s_2}{8s^2} \quad non \quad univ \quad (s;s_1;s_2)$$
 (3.13)

with

$$\frac{\text{non univ }}{\text{v;st}} \text{ univ } (s; s_1; s_2) = \frac{p_h}{7s + 3} + 1 + 4s + 2 \qquad \frac{1}{2} \text{ I}_{12q}$$

$$\frac{2}{1 + 10^4 5s + 3} \qquad \frac{3^2}{2} \qquad \frac{7^2}{2} + 2s + \frac{2^2}{2} \qquad \frac{1}{4} + s + \frac{1}{2} \qquad \frac{1}{5} : \qquad (3.14)$$

The real brem sstrahlung contribution reads

$$_{\text{ff},CC3}^{\text{st}}(s;s^0;s_1;s_2) = -\frac{s_1s_2}{g} \,_{\text{R,st}}^{\text{non univ}}(s;s^0;s_1;s_2);$$
 (3.15)

with

$$\frac{2}{s} D_{1}^{t} D_{2}^{t} + 2 \frac{s_{1} s_{2} s_{+}^{0}}{s s^{0^{2}}} + \frac{s_{0}}{s} \frac{s_{+}^{0}}{s} D_{1}^{t} + D_{2}^{t}$$

$$2 \frac{s_{1} s_{2} s_{+}^{0}}{s s^{0^{2}}} + \frac{s_{0}}{s^{0}} 1 D_{z1t2} + D_{z2t1} :$$
(3.16)

#### 3.3 Discussion

To close the section we will now discuss some form all features of our results. N on-universal cross-section contributions are analytically rather involved and contain m any di- and trilogarithm s. A lthough expected, it is noteworthy that interferences are more involved than matrix element squared contributions, which is especially true for the tu-interference. Looking at the integrals collected in Appendix D, one sees that some of them are very complicated (in appendix D 5 e.g. the integral 31) for the real t-channel correction and integrals 36), 38), 40) for the real tu-interference). However, the nalanswers for t-, u-, and st-contributions, both virtual and real, are rem arkably compact. The virtual contributions contain only one true dilogarithm, which arises from the three-point scalar integral  $I_{12g}$  (see equation (3.4) and integral 15) in appendix D 2). Both these virtual and real contributions do not contain true trilogarithm s. In contrast, the tu-interferences are rather cum bersom e. This m ay be traced back to the angular integrations involving products of dierent space-like ferm ionic propagators. Virtual tu-interferences contain several true trilogarithms and real bremsstrahlung tu-interference contributions exhibit com plicated com plex-valued dilogarithm s. The latter, however, could indicate that som e sim pli cations were overlooked.

We mention that the four non-universal cross-section contributions to the CC3 process became much more compact after inclusion of the auxiliary terms than without them.

The attentive reader will have noticed that the virtual+ soft non-universal contributions are evaluated at  $s^0$  rather than at s. This is to avoid an unphysical, -distribution-like concentration of non-universal virtual+ soft ISR corrections at zero radiative energy loss.

In equations (3.1), (3.12), (3.13), and (3.15), both reasonable threshold and high-energy properties may be observed. For or or approaching zero, non-universal corrections vanish, which may be veriled by inspection of the explicit expressions. Thus also the ISR corrected cross-section vanishes for the kinematical zero of the tree level or the universally corrected cross-section. At high energies, the screening property may be veriled to hold. By screening

we mean the assurance that cross-sections fall su ciently fast with rising s. For the CC3 case, this is ensured in Born approximation due to an interplay between the s-and t-channel matrix elements and relies a certain relation between the gauge couplings (see e.g. [19]). In the NC2 and NC8 cases, the same is achieved by an interplay between the t- and u-channel amplitudes leading to a cross-section proportional to the screening factor  $s_1 s_2 = s^2$  (the couplings of the two channels are equal) [8]. From the universal QED corrections, no additional problem sarise, because the interplay between the various contributing tree level matrix elements is not disturbed. This is completely dierent for the non-universal corrections. Here, the various interferences get dierent corrections and the interplay between them is destroyed. Fortunately, one may see from the explicit expressions that the screening factor observed in the net NC2 and NC8 tree level cross-sections is rediscovered not only for full cross-sections, but even for individual non-universal contributions. So, unitarity is not violated. We were not able to not the screening property for non-universal CC3 corrections without including the auxiliary corrections. Also in this respect, auxiliary corrections seem to be a quite natural, if not necessary ingredient of the calculation.

## 4 Numerical results

To illustrate the analytical results of sections 2 and 3, we present num erical results [20]. The e ect of ISR on the boson pair production processes NC2 and CC3 is seen from gures 8 and 9 where inclusive cross-sections are presented. From both gures one realizes that, as discussed in section 2, the dom inant part of the initial state QED corrections originates from universal ISR. Universal ISR is typically of 0 (10%). Non-universal ISR on the contrary is suppressed and rises from a few parts per thousand in the LEP2 energy region to 0 (1%) at 1 TeV. For the NC2 process at 1 TeV the non-universal contribution to the cross-section is 2.5%, whereas for CC3 at 1 TeV it is 1.4%. For reference we have given cross-section values for both Z and W pair production in table 3. The num erical precision is better than 10 4. As num erical input for table 3 we have used the standard LEP2 input (table 5 in [3]) which is reproduced in table 4. The weak mixing angle is determined by

$$\sin^2_{W} = \frac{(2M_W)}{\overline{2}G_F M_W^2}$$
 (4.1)

and we use the relation  $G = \frac{p}{2} = g^2 = (8M_W^2)$ .




Fig. 8.The inclusive totalo—shell Z pair production cross-section  $^{\rm Z~Z}_{\rm tot}$  (s). In the inset, the relative deviations of the universally and completely ISR corrected cross-sections from the tree level cross-section are given.

In gure 10, we present, as an example for the NC8 process, the cross-section for

$$e^{+}e^{-}$$
! ( $Z^{0}Z^{0}$ ;  $Z^{0}$ ; )! + bb(): (4.2)

Due to the negative slope of the cross-section curve ISR corrections are always positive. Universal ISR corrections vary between approximately 12% at  $= 130 \, \text{GeV}$  and 21% at 600 GeV. Non-universal corrections rise from 0.9% at 130 GeV to 4.2% at 600 GeV. Thus, compared to the NC2 case, the NC8 non-universal corrections are considerably enhanced.

Finally it is worth mentioning that non-universal ISR tends to be harder than universal ISR, a fact which emerges from a numerical analysis of cross-sections with lower cuts on  $s^0$  [12]. This may be understood as follows:0 () non-universal corrections are infrared nite, whereas the infrared divergent universal corrections are resumed by means of the soft photon exponentiation. Thus the universal corrections contain important soft resumed parts, and




Fig. 9. The inclusive total o -shell W pair production cross-section  $^{W\ W}_{tot}$  (s). In the inset, the relative deviations of the universally and completely ISR corrected cross-sections from the tree level cross-section are given.

the non-universal corrections do not.

### 5 Concluding Remarks

In this paper we have presented the analytical results of the rst complete gauge-invariant calculations of initial state QED corrections to o -shell vector boson pair production in e<sup>+</sup> e annihilation. We have decomposed the corrections into a dominant universal contribution and a numerically smaller non-universal contribution. Non-universal corrections were found to be smoothly behaving around the two boson production threshold and to be explicitly screened from unitarity violations at high energies. As a by-product, the angular distribution of radiated photons is available from intermediate steps of the calculation but has not been presented here.

By construction, we have not taken into account genuine weak corrections [22]

Table 3 Inclusive total o -shell cross-section values for the processes NC2 and CC3 with and without initial state QED corrections

р <u>-</u>		<sub>NC2</sub> [pb]		cc3 [pb]			
	tree NC2	univ NC2	ISR NC2	tree CC3	un iv CC3	ISR CC3	
161	0.0154	0.0127	0.0127	4.8087	3.4521	3.4648	
175	0.0648	0.0506	0.0508	15 <b>.</b> 9168	13.2903	13.3408	
183	0.3811	0.2733	0.2743	17 <b>.</b> 6819	15 <b>.</b> 4036	15.4637	
192	0.9783	0.7788	0.7817	18.4479	16.5958	16 <b>.</b> 6625	
205	1.1833	1.0274	1.0313	18.5078	17.1879	17.2600	
500	0.4097	0.4424	0.4479	7.3731	7 <b>.</b> 9168	7.9810	
800	0.2124	0.2388	0.2435	3 <b>.</b> 9971	4.4501	4.5026	

Table 4
Input param eters for table 3

Q uantity	Value	Q uantity	Value
M <sub>Z</sub>	91:1888 G eV	(0)	1=137:0359895
Z	2 <b>:</b> 4974 G eV	(2M <sub>W</sub> )	1=128:07
M W	80:23 G eV	G	1:16639 10 <sup>5</sup> G eV <sup>2</sup>
W	3G M $_{W}^{3} = (\frac{8}{8})$	V <sub>C K M</sub>	1

or QED corrections related to the <code>nalstate[17].Com</code> pared to ISR, both are known to be smaller but may reach several percent nevertheless. Therefore they are comparable in size to the non-universal corrections calculated here. See also references [2,23]. Special suppressions of the order O ( $_{\rm V}$  =M  $_{\rm V}$ ) are estimated for interference e ects between initial and nal state radiation in resonant boson pair production and inter-bosonic nal state interferences [24]. The complete photonic corrections to four-ferm ion production are not available although in portant steps towards their calculation have been achieved [25].

The presented calculation should be considered in connection with the program of sem i-analytical treatments of complete processes at tree level [8]. It would be quite interesting to compute complete ISR not only for the oshell production of vector boson pairs, but also for true four-ferm ion processes. Simplest are the so-called NC24 [9] and CC11 [13] processes, but also the combination of NC24 with Higgs production [10] should be straightforward. Because in these reactions all background Feynman diagrams, i.e. those diagrams that




Fig. 10. The totalo -shell cross-section for process 4.2. The inset shows how Z and photon pair production contribute to the NC8 cross-section. For the particle masses we used numerical values from [21].

must be added to CC3 [NC8] to get the sets for CC11 [NC24], are s-channel diagram s, there is nothing but universal ISR for the pure background. So the only missing pieces are the non-universal ISR contributions to the interferences between the boson pair signal and the background. The results may be of numerical relevance in the NC24 case below the ZZ production threshold. For CC11 and for the inclusion of Higgs production they will be of mere theoretical interest.

#### A cknow ledgm ents

We would like to thank F. Jegerlehner, A. Leike, A. Okhevski, and U. Muller for helpful discussions. The contribution of M. Bilenky to the calculation of the virtual corrections to the CC3 process in 1992 is gratefully acknowledged. We are indebted to J. Blum lein for carefully reading the manuscript.

A Couplings and Factorizing Kinematical Functions

# A.1 Couplings

The electroweak couplings and neutral boson propagators enter the Born and QED corrected cross-sections in certain combinations.

The NC8 process is described by one coupling function [9]:

$$C_{NC8} = \frac{2}{(6^{2})^{2}} X_{V_{i};V_{j};V_{k};V_{1}=;Z} Re \frac{1}{D_{V_{i}}(s_{1})D_{V_{j}}(s_{2})D_{V_{k}}(s_{1})D_{V_{1}}(s_{2})}$$

$$L(e;V_{i})L(e;V_{k})L(e;V_{j})L(e;V_{1})$$

$$+ R(e;V_{i})R(e;V_{k})R(e;V_{j})R(e;V_{1})$$

$$+ R(f_{1};V_{i})L(f_{1};V_{k})$$

$$+ R(f_{1};V_{i})R(f_{1};V_{k})$$

$$+ R(f_{2};V_{j})L(f_{2};V_{1})$$

$$+ R(f_{2};V_{j})R(f_{2};V_{1})$$

$$+ R(f_{2};V_{1})R(f_{2};V_{1})$$

We have used the left-and right-handed ferm ion-boson couplings

$$L(f; ) = \frac{eQ_f}{2};$$
  $L(f;Z) = \frac{g}{2g_W} I_3^f \xi_W^2 Q_f ;$   $R(f; ) = \frac{eQ_f}{2g_W};$   $R(f;Z) = \frac{g}{2g_W} S_W^2 Q_f (A.2)$ 

where  $Q_f$  is the ferm ion charge in units of the positron charge e,  $I_3^f$  is the ferm ion's weak isospin third component, and  $s_W$  denotes the sine of the weak  $m \sin a$  angle.  $Q_e = 1$  and the ne structure constant is given by  $e = g_W = \frac{1}{4}$ . In addition, equation (A.1) contains boson propagators

$$D_{V}(s) = s M_{V}^{2} + is_{V} = M_{V}$$
 (A.3)

The photon has zero m ass and width, M = 0. The color factor  $N_c(f)$  is

unity for leptons and three for quarks. The phase space factors

$$N_{p}(V_{m};V_{n};m;s) = \begin{cases} 8 \\ \frac{1}{1 - \frac{4m^{2}}{s}} (1 + \frac{2m^{2}}{s}) & \text{for } V_{m} = V_{n} = \end{cases}$$
 (A.4)

in equation  $(A\ 1)$  correctly take into account that ferm ion masses may be neglected if the corresponding ferm ion pair couples to a Z resonance but may not if it only couples to a photon.

The cross-section for the NC2 process is obtained by om itting exchange photons in equation (A.1).

The CC3 process is described by three coupling functions  $C_{\text{CC3}}^{(k)}$ , one for the t-channel, one for the s-channel, and one for the st-interference contribution [6,13]:

$$C_{CC3}^{t} = \frac{2}{(6^{2})^{2}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_{W} (s_{1})^{2} \mathcal{D}_{W} (s_{2})^{2}}$$

$$L^{4} (e; W) L^{2} (f_{1}; W) N_{c} (f_{1}) L^{2} (f_{2}; W) N_{c} (f_{2}); \qquad (A.5)$$

$$C_{CC3}^{s} = \frac{2}{(6^{2})^{2}} \sum_{V_{i};V_{j}=;Z} Re \frac{1}{D_{W} (s_{1})_{J}^{2} D_{W} (s_{2})_{J}^{2} D_{V_{i}} (s)D_{V_{j}} (s)} L (e;V_{i})L (e;V_{j}) + R (e;V_{i})R (e;V_{j})$$

$$g_{i}(V_{i})g_{3}(V_{j})L^{2} (f_{1};W)N_{c}(f_{1})L^{2} (f_{2};W)N_{c}(f_{2}); \qquad (A.6)$$

$$C_{CC3}^{st} = \frac{2}{(6^{2})^{2}} \sum_{V_{i}=;Z}^{X} Re \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_{W} (s_{1})^{2} \mathcal{D}_{W} (s_{2})^{2} \mathcal{D}_{V_{i}} (s)} L^{2} (e;W)$$

$$L (e;V_{i}) q_{3} (V_{i}) L^{2} (f_{1};W) N_{G} (f_{1}) L^{2} (f_{2};W) N_{G} (f_{2}): (A.7)$$

The left-handed couplings  $L\left(f;W\right)$  of a weak isodoublet with the ferm ion f to a W boson are given by

$$L(f;W) = \frac{g}{2^{\frac{g}{2}}}:$$
 (A.8)

We neglect Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa mixing e ects. The right-handed couplings R (f; W) vanish. The couplings  $g_3$  ( $V_i$ ) originate from the three-boson vertices and are

$$g_3() = g s_W;$$

$$g_3(Z) = g G_W :$$
 (A.9)

U sing G =  $^{p}$   $^{-}$  2 =  $g^{2}$ =(8M  $_{W}^{2}$ ) in the C functions, one easily rewrites the cross-sections for heavy boson pair production, namely the processes CC3 and NC2, in terms of B reit-W igner densities

$$_{V}(s_{i}) = \frac{1}{s_{i}} \frac{s_{i} + M_{V}}{s_{i} + is_{i} + is_{i}} BR(i); V = Z;W$$
 (A.10)

with branching fractions BR (i) into the appropriate ferm ion pairs.

For the process NC2 one gets

$$C_{NC2} = \frac{z (s_1) z (s_2)}{s_1 s_2} \frac{(G M_Z^2)^2}{4} 1 2s_W^4 + 2s_W^2 :$$
 (A.11)

For W pair production, the situation is slightly more involved. De ning

$$C_{CC3} = \frac{(G M_W^2)^2}{s_1 s_2}_{W} (s_1)_{W} (s_2);$$
 (A 12)

one obtains by inspection of equations (A.5) { (A.7)

$$C_{CC3}^{t} = C_{CC3} c$$
;  
 $C_{CC3}^{s} = \frac{4}{s^{2}} C_{CC3} (c + c_{z} + c_{zz})$ ;  
 $C_{CC3}^{st} = \frac{1}{s} C_{CC3} (c + c_{z})$  (A.13)

with factors  $c_{ab}$  as de ned in [8] (see also [6]).

For on-shell heavy boson pair production,  $_{\rm V}$  ! 0, B reit-W igner densities are replaced by -distributions,

$$_{V}$$
 (s)  $_{v}^{v}$ ! (s  $_{v}^{2}$ ) BR (i): (A .14)

## A 2 Kinematical Functions for the Factorizing QED Corrections

The kinem atical function for the NC case is [26]:

$$G_{NC2}(s; s_1; s_2) = G_{NC8}(s; s_1; s_2) = s_1 s_2 = \frac{s^2 + (s_1 + s_2)^2}{s + s_2} L = 2 :$$
 (A 15)

For the CC case, one nds [6]:

$$G_{CC3}^{t}(s; s_1; s_2) = \frac{1}{48}^{h} + 12 s (s_1 + s_2) \quad 48 s_2 + 24 (s \quad s_1 \quad s_2) s_1 s_2 L;$$
 (A.16)

$$G_{CC3}^{s}(s; s_1; s_2) = \frac{h}{192} + 12(ss_1 + ss_2 + s_1s_2)^{i};$$
 (A.17)

$$G_{CC3}^{st}(s; s_1; s_2) = \frac{1}{48} (s s_1 s_2)^h + 12(ss_1 + ss_2 + s_1s_2)^i$$

for the kinematical functions. The logarithm L contained in integrated to ru-channel contributions is dened by

$$L = L(s; s_1; s_2) = \frac{1}{p} \ln \frac{s + s + p}{s + s + p} :$$
 (A.19)

#### B The 2! 5 Particle Phase Space

When considering initial state photon brem sstrahlung to a 2! 4 process, a photon with momentum pappears as fith particle in the nal state. The intermediate vector bosons (two-ferm ion systems) have momenta  $v_1 = p_1 + p_2$ ,  $v_2 = p_3 + p_4$ . The ve-particle phase space has eleven kinematical degrees of freedom. It is convenient to parametrize the ve-particle Lorentz invariant phase space by a decomposition into subsequently decaying particles:

$$d_{5} = \frac{1}{(2_{5})^{14}} \frac{q_{5}(s;s^{0};0)}{8s} \frac{q_{5}(s^{0};s_{1};s_{2})}{8s^{0}} \frac{q_{5}(s_{1};m_{1}^{2};m_{2}^{2})}{8s_{1}} \frac{q_{5}(s_{2};m_{3}^{2};m_{4}^{2})}{8s_{2}} \frac{q_{5}(s_{2};m_{3}^{2};m_{4}^{2})}{8s_{2}}$$

$$d_{5}^{0} d_{5} d$$

where m  $_{\rm i}$  is the m ass of the nal state ferm ion with m om entum  $\,p_{\rm i}$  and

- : A zim uth angle around the beam direction.
- : Polar (scattering) angle of the photon with respect to the  $e^t$  direction  $K_2$  in the center of mass frame.
- R : A zim uth angle around the photon direction p.
- Polar angle of  $v_1$  in the  $(v_1 + v_2)$  rest fram e w ith z axis along p.
- : A zim with angle around the  $v_1$  direction.
- : Decay polar angle of  $p_1$  in the  $v_1$  rest fram e with axis along  $v_1$ .
- : A zim wth angle around the  $v_2$  direction.
- : Decay polar angle of  $p_3$  in the  $v_2$  rest fram e with axis along  $v_2$ .
- $s_1$  : Invariant m ass of the nal state ferm ion pair  $f_1f_2$  :  $s_1 = v_1^2$  .
- $s_2$ : Invariant m ass of the nal state ferm ion pair  $f_3f_4$ :  $s_2 = v_2^2$ .
- ${\rm s^0}$  : Reduced center of m ass energy squared. This is the invariant m ass of the nal state four-ferm ion system :

$$s^0 = (y_1 + y_2)^2 = (p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4)^2$$
.

The ranges of the kinem atical variables are

(B 2)

or, alternatively,




Fig.B 1.G raphical representation of a 2! 5 particle reaction. In general, the vectors  $v_1; v_2; p_1; p_2; p_3;$  and  $p_4$  do not lie in the plane of the picture. In the gure, the angle is called to emphasize that it belongs to the direction of the radiated photon.

$$(m_1 + m_2)^2$$
  $q$   $(s m_3 m_4)^2$   
 $(m_3 + m_4)^2$   $q$   $p = p = p = 2$   
 $p = p = p = 2$   
 $p =$ 

An illustration of the 2! 5 particle phase space is given in gure B.1.

For the processes under consideration, the integrations over the nal state ferm ion decay angles  $_1$ ;  $_1$  and  $_2$ ;  $_2$  are separated from the other integrations and are carried out analytically with the help of invariant tensor integration (see appendix D 1). The remaining integrations are nontrivial. We will now explain, how the scalar products appearing in the squared matrix elements can be expressed in the center of mass framewith a Cartesian coordinate system as drawn in gure B 1 using the phase space variables introduced in equation (B 1).

The initial state vectors  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are given by

$$k_1 = k^0$$
; k sin ; 0; k cos ;  
 $k_2 = k^0$ ; k sin ; 0; k cos (B.4)

with

$$k^{0} = \frac{P_{\frac{1}{S}}}{2};$$
  $k = \frac{P_{\frac{1}{S}}}{2};$   $1 = \frac{4m_{e}^{2}}{s}$  (B.5)

where m  $_{\rm e}$  is the electron m ass.

For the momentum of the photon radiated along the z axis one nds in the center of mass system:

$$p = (p^0; 0; 0; p^0)$$
 with  $p^0 = \frac{s}{2^p s}$ : (B.6)

Thus, the invariant products  $k_1k_2$  and  $k_ip$  m ay be expressed easily.

The virtual boson pair recoils from the photon in the center of mass system:

$$(v_1 + v_2) = \frac{s + s^0}{2^p \overline{s}}; 0; 0; \frac{s s^0}{2^p \overline{s}}!$$
 (B.7)

The vectors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in the two-boson rest frame (the R-system with  $v_{1,R} + v_{2,R} = 0$ ) are easily derived:

$$v_{1;R} \qquad p_{12}^{R;0};0;0; P_{R} = \frac{B}{Q} \frac{s^{0} + s_{1}}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2})} C}{2^{P} \overline{s^{0}}}; 0; 0; \frac{q - \frac{1}{(s^{0}; s_{1}; s_{2}$$

Both  $v_{1,R}$  and  $v_{2,R}$  do not depend on angular variables.

We need  $v_i$  in the center ofm ass system . The boost between the center ofm ass frame and the two-boson rest frame R with zero component  $^{(0)}$  and modulus of the spatial components is

$$^{(0)} = \frac{s + s^0}{2 + s s^0}; \qquad = \frac{s}{2 + s s^0}:$$
 (B.9)

Taking into account that the production angles of the virtual vector bosons are not xed, equation (B.8) yields the following center of mass system four-vectors:

$$v_{1} = {}^{(0)} p_{12}^{R;0} \qquad P_{R} \cos_{R}; P_{R} \sin_{R} \cos_{R}; \qquad P_{R} \sin_{R} \sin_{R}; \\ {}^{(0)} P_{R} \cos_{R} \qquad P_{22}^{R;0}; \\ v_{2} = {}^{(0)} p_{34}^{R;0} + P_{R} \cos_{R}; \qquad P_{R} \sin_{R} \cos_{R}; P_{R} \sin_{R} \sin_{R}; \\ {}^{(0)} P_{R} \cos_{R} \qquad P_{34}^{R;0}; \\ {}^{(0)} P_{R} \cos_{R} \qquad P_{34}^{R;0};$$

The sum  $(v_{1,R} + v_{2,R})$  in the R-system is  $\sin p \ln (p_{S_0;0;0;0})$ . The boost into the center of mass system yields  $(v_1 + v_2) = (s_0) (p_{S_0;0;0;0})$ . The boost into the center of mass system yields  $(v_1 + v_2) = (s_0) (p_{S_0;0;0;0})$ , which is exactly (B.7).

The above formulae allow to express the products  $k_iv_j$  and  $pv_j$ . The product  $p_1p_2$  [and analogously  $p_3p_4$ ] is most easily calculated in the center of mass system  $R_1$   $[R_2]$  de ned by  $p_1+p_2=0$   $[p_3+p_4=0]$ . This is explained in equations (B.5) { (B.11) of [13] for the 2! 4 process. The discussion of reference [13] remains valid also with an additional photon in the initial state. For the remaining scalar products  $pp_i$ ;  $k_jp_i$ ;  $v_jp_i$ , and  $p_ip_j$  we used explicit expressions for the vectors  $p_i$  in the center of mass system. The vectors  $p_i$  are obtained from the vectors  $p_{i,R}$  in the R-system by rotating and applying the Lorentz boost (B.9):

The four-momenta  $p_{i,R}$  (s<sup>0</sup>) are exactly the nal state momenta  $p_i$  shown in equation (B 21) of [13], when these are evaluated at s<sup>0</sup> instead of s. The frame R there has to be understood as frame R<sub>1</sub> or R<sub>2</sub> introduced here.

Now, the derivation of all momenta in the center of mass system in terms of the

integration variables is completed for the 2! 5 process and all scalar products appearing in the initial state brem sstrahlung calculation can be expressed in terms of phase space variables.

For the calculation of virtual and soft photon corrections, the 2! 4 phase space is needed together with the corresponding momentum representations. It may be taken over completely from appendix B of [13].

#### C M atrix E lem ents

In this appendix, we will present the matrix elements for the CC3 and NC2 processes. The matrix elements for the NC8 process are easily obtained from the NC2 matrix elements by adding amplitudes where Z couplings (propagators) have been replaced by photon couplings (propagators).

## C.1 Tree LevelAm plitudes

For the calculation of soft and virtual corrections, the tree level matrix elements for the CC3 and NC2 processes are given in term sofs-channel, t-channel, and u-channel mplitudes corresponding to the Feynman diagrams of gures 1 and 2:

$$M_{CC3}^{B} = M_{s;Z}^{B} + M_{s}^{B} + M_{t;W}^{B}; \qquad (C.1)$$

$$M_{NC2}^{B} = M_{t;Z}^{B} + M_{u;Z}^{B}$$
 (C.2)

with

$$M_{s;Z}^{B} = \frac{g \circ}{D_{Z}(s)} \frac{g \circ}{D_{W}(s_{1})} \frac{g \circ}{D_{W}(s_{2})} B_{s;Z}^{0} M_{12;W}^{0} M_{34;W}^{0} g_{3}(Z) T ; (C.3)$$

$$M_{s;}^{B} = \frac{g \circ}{D(s)} \frac{g \circ}{D(s)} \frac{g \circ}{D(s)} \frac{g \circ}{D(s)} B_{s;}^{0} M_{12;W}^{0} M_{34;W}^{0} g_{3}() T ; (C.4)$$

$$M_{t,W}^{B} = \frac{g \circ}{D_{W}(s_{1})} \frac{g \circ}{D_{W}(s_{2})} \frac{1}{q_{t}^{2}} B_{t,W} M_{12,W}^{\circ} M_{34,W}^{\circ}; \qquad (C.5)$$

$$M_{t;Z}^{B} = \frac{g \circ g \circ g \circ g \circ g}{D_{Z}(s_{1})} \frac{g \circ g}{D_{Z}(s_{2})} \frac{1}{q_{1}^{2}} B_{t;Z} M_{12;Z}^{0} M_{34;Z}^{0}; \qquad (C.6)$$

$$M_{u;Z}^{B} = \frac{g \circ}{D_{z} (s_{1})} \frac{g \circ}{D_{z} (s_{2})} \frac{1}{q_{1}^{2}} B_{u;Z} M_{12;Z}^{0} M_{34;Z}^{0} : \qquad (C.7)$$

We have used the vector boson propagator denominators D $_{\rm V}$  dened in equation (A.3), the trilinear coupling  $g_3$  (V $^0$ ) given in equation (A.9), and

$$T = (v_1 \ v_2) g \ 2v_1 g + 2v_2 g : (C.8)$$

In the m assless approximation, the annihilation matrix elements B  $_{\rm s;V}^{\circ}$ , B  $_{\rm t;V}$ , B  $_{\rm t;V}$ , and the decay matrix elements M  $_{\rm i;V}$  are given by:

$$B_{s;V^0} = u(k_2) \quad L(e;V^0) (1 + s) + R(e;V^0) (1 + s) \quad u(k_1); \quad (C.9)$$

$$B_{t;V} = 2u(k_2)$$
  $L^2(e;V)(1+s) + R^2(e;V)(1-s)$   $q_t$   $u(k_1);$  (C.10)

$$B_{u;Z} = 2u(k_2)$$
  $L^2(e;Z)(1+b) + R^2(e;Z)(1-b)$   $g_1$   $u(k_1);$  (C.11)

$$M_{ijV} = u(p_i)$$
  $L(f_i;V)(1+ 5) + R(f_i;V)(1 5) u(p_i)$  (C.12)

with left-and right-handed couplings as de ned in equations (A 2) and (A 8). We have further used

$$q_1 = k_1 q = k_2 + v_2 = \frac{1}{2} (k_1 k_2 q + v_2);$$
 (C.13)

$$q_1 = k_1 v_2 = k_2 + v_1 = \frac{1}{2} (k_1 k_2 + v_1 v_2)$$
: (C.14)

#### C.2 Virtual Initial State Corrections

The amplitudes for virtual initial state corrections are easily obtained from the matrix elements in equations (C.3) to (C.7) by substituting the initial state currents.

The t-channel NC2 virtual QED matrix element M  $_{\mathrm{t;Z}}^{\mathrm{V}}$  is represented by the

Feynm an diagram s of gure 6 and given by

$$M_{t;Z}^{V} = \frac{g_{0}}{D_{Z}(s_{1})} \frac{g_{0}}{D_{Z}(s_{2})} V_{t;Z} M_{12;Z}^{0} M_{34;Z}^{0}$$
 (C.15)

with

$$V_{t;Z} = e^{2} \cdot \frac{C_{t;Z}}{16^{-2}}$$

$$i^{(4 n)} \cdot \frac{Z}{(2)^{n}} \cdot V_{t;vert1} + V_{t;vert2} + V_{t;self} + V_{t;box} = 0$$

$$= 0$$
(C.16)

where  $\dim$  ensional regularization is applied with p being the loop photon momentum, and where

In the on-shell renormalization scheme, the t-channel counterterm part in equation (C.16) originates from the counterterms of two vertex and one electron self-energy loops. It reads

$$C_{t,Z} = \frac{B_{t,Z}}{q_t^2} 2P + 4P^{IR}$$
 (C.18)

with  $B_{t,v}$  from equation (C.10) and the ultraviolet and infrared poles

$$P = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2} + \ln \frac{m_e}{n} = \ln 2^p - \ln 2^p = \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{2} + \ln \frac{m_e}{n} = \frac{1}{2} + \ln$$

Here, E is Euler's constant.

The u-channel virtual QED matrix element M  $_{\mathrm{u},\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{V}}$  is obtained from M  $_{\mathrm{tz}}^{\mathrm{V}}$  by the interchanges

The symmetry (C 21) implies that, after the angular integrations, M  $_{\rm u.z.}^{\rm V}$  is not explicitly needed any more, because the terms corresponding to the interference of M  $^{\text{V}}_{\text{u;Z}}$  with the tree levelm atrix element is obtained from the interference of M  $_{t;Z}^{\text{V}}$  with the tree level m atrix element by interchanging  $s_1$ and  $s_2$ .

The virtual initial state QED matrix element for the CC3 t-channel is:

$$M_{t,W}^{V} = \frac{g_{0}}{D_{W}(s_{1})} \frac{g_{0}}{D_{W}(s_{2})} V_{t,W} M_{12,W}^{0} M_{34,W}^{0}$$
 (C 22)

with

$$V_{t,W} = e^{2} \cdot V_{t;aux}$$
 i  $\cdot (4 n)^{2} \cdot \frac{d^{n}p}{(2 )^{n}} V_{t;box}$  =  $\cdot (C.23)$ 

w here

$$V_{t;aux} = \frac{C_{t;W}}{16^{-2}}$$
 i  $(4^{-n})^{Z} \frac{d^{n}p}{(2^{-})^{n}}$   $V_{t;vert1} + V_{t;vert2} + V_{t;self}$  : (C 24)

The virtual and counter term contributions are those of (C 17) to (C 20) with corresponding Z couplings replaced by W couplings. The electron mass which, in principle, occurs in the NC2 t-channel propagators is absent in the charged current case where a neutrino is exchanged in the t-channel instead. Further, the u-channel diagram s are absent.

The virtual initial state QED matrix element for the s-channel annihilation represented by the amputated Feynman diagram in gure 4 is given by

$$M_{s;V^{0}}^{V} = \frac{g^{0}}{D_{V^{0}}(s)} \frac{g^{0}}{D_{W}(s_{1})} \frac{g^{0}}{D_{W}(s_{2})} V_{s;V^{0}}^{0} M_{12;W}^{0} M_{34;W}^{0} g_{3} (V^{0}) T \qquad (C.25)$$

with

$$V_{s;V^0} = e^2 \cdot \frac{8}{16^2} \cdot \frac{C_{s;V^0}}{16^2} \quad i^{(4 n)} \cdot \frac{d^n p}{(2)^n} V_{s;vert}^0 = 0$$
 (C.26)

and

The s-channel counterterm part is a mere vertex counterterm given by

$$C_{SN}^{0} = B_{SN}^{0} 2P + 4P^{\mathbb{R}}$$
 (C.28)

with  $B_{s;V}^{0}$  from equation (C.9).

# C.3 Initial State Bremsstrahlung Amplitudes

As for the case of virtual initial state QED corrections, initial state brem sstrahlung matrix elements are obtained by altering the amplitudes in equations (C.3) to (C.7). From the Feynman diagrams of gure 7, one deduces the t-channel Brem sstrahlung matrix element for the NC2 case:

$$M_{t;Z}^{R;} = \frac{g \circ}{D_{z} (s_{1})} \frac{g \circ}{D_{z} (s_{2})} M_{12;Z}^{0} M_{34;Z}^{0} eR_{t;Z}^{0} (p)$$
 (C.29)

with

$$R_{t;Z} = u (k_{2}) \frac{\forall z_{1} \quad \forall z_{2}}{t_{2}} \frac{2k_{1} \quad p}{z_{1}} + \frac{\forall z_{2} \quad k_{2}}{t_{2}} \frac{k_{1} \quad \forall z_{1}}{t_{1}} + \frac{p}{z_{2}} \frac{2k_{2}}{z_{2}} \frac{k_{1} \quad \forall z_{1}}{t_{1}}$$

$$^{h}_{2 L^{2} (e;Z) (1+ _{5}) + R^{2} (e;Z) (1 _{5}) u(k_{1}) : (C.30)}$$

An analogous result is obtained for the NC2 u-channel in itial state brem sstrahlung matrix element,

$$M_{u;Z}^{R;} = \frac{g \circ}{D_{Z}(s_{1})} \frac{g \circ}{D_{Z}(s_{2})} M_{12;Z}^{0} M_{34;Z}^{0} eR_{u;Z}^{0} (p)$$

$$R_{u;Z} = u (k_{2}) \frac{\forall_{1} k_{2}}{u_{2}} \frac{2k_{1} p}{z_{1}}$$

$$+ \frac{\forall_{1} k_{2}}{u_{2}} \frac{k_{1} \forall_{2}}{u_{1}}$$

$$+ \frac{p 2k_{2}}{z_{2}} \frac{k_{1} \forall_{2}}{u_{1}}$$

$$h_{2 L^{2}(e;Z)(1+5)} + R^{2}(e;Z)(1-5) u(k_{1}); (C.31)$$

For the s-channel brem sstrahlung matrix element of the CC3 process one has the Feynman diagrams of gure 5 and obtains

$$M_{s;V^{0}}^{R;} = \frac{g^{\circ}}{D_{V^{0}}(s)} \frac{g^{\circ}}{D_{W}(s_{1})} \frac{g^{\circ}}{D_{W}(s_{2})} M_{12;W}^{\circ} M_{34;W}^{\circ} g_{3}(V^{0}) T \qquad eR_{s;V^{0}}^{\circ} (p)$$
(C.32)

with

$$R_{s;V^{0}} = u(k_{2}) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2k_{1} + p}{z_{1}} + \frac{p + 2k_{2}}{z_{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L(e;V^{0})(1 + s_{1}) + R(e;V^{0})(1 + s_{2}) + R(e;V^{0})(1 + s_{3}) + R(e$$

All the initial state currents introduced so far full the condition of U (1) current conservation,

$$p R_{s \cdot V^0} = p R_t = p R_u = 0$$
: (C.34)

There is no CC3 u-channel diagram. For the CC3 t-channel diagram, the situation is slightly more complicated. Naively, only the two left diagrams in gure 7 contribute to the CC3 t-channel ISR corrections. For these two, current conservation is violated and may be established by adding as an auxiliary current the additional Feynm an diagram with radiation from the t-channel propagator [7] (cf. the discussion in section 3.2). Then, the charged current t-channelm atrix element is just given by (C. 29) with Z couplings replaced by W couplings.

In the above matrix elements the radiatively changed Mandelstam variables were introduced. In terms of the ve-particle phase space parametrization from appendix B they are given by

Here m  $_{\rm v}$  = m  $_{\rm e}$  for NC2 and m  $_{\rm v}$  = m  $_{\rm e}$  0 for CC3. In (C .35), the kinem atical param eters

$$a_{1}^{t} = m_{v}^{2} \qquad m_{e}^{2} \qquad s_{1} + \frac{s^{0} + s_{1}^{0}}{4 s^{0}} \quad s_{1}^{0} \qquad s_{2}^{0} \qquad s_{3}^{0} \qquad s_{4}^{0} \qquad s_{5}^{0} \qquad$$

are derived from the representations (B A) and (B 10) in appendix B. The variables ;  $s^0$ ;  $s^0$ ; are introduced in sections 2 and 3.

The two invariants related to radiation from external legs are

$$z_1 = 2 k_1 p = \frac{s^0}{2} 1 + \infty s$$
  $\frac{s^0}{2} z_1;$ 
 $z_2 = 2 k_2 p = \frac{s^0}{2} 1 \infty s$   $\frac{s^0}{2} z_2;$  (C.37)

The equations (C 36) and (C 37) are exact. In (C 37), one has to keep the electron m ass, since it gives rise to m ass singularities. The electron m ass in (C 36) m ay be neglected, but it was retained in some integrals for the sake of numerical stability.

#### D Phase Space Integrals

In this appendix, we collect the analytical solutions of the integrals needed for the sem i-analytical cross-section calculation of processes (1.1). These integrals are necessary to obtain the twofold di erential cross-section  $d^2 = ds_1 ds_2$  in the case of virtual ISR and the threefold di erential cross-section  $d^3 = ds^0 ds_1 ds_2$  for initial state brem sstrahlung. Integrals are presented in the ultrarelativistic approximation, i.e. the electron mass is neglected wherever possible. The integrals have been numerically checked. The azim uth around the beam yields a factor 2. We do not elaborate on the pure s-channel in this appendix, because it is well-known that its initial state radiation is fully covered by the universal ISR corrections.

### D.1 Ferm ion Decay Angle Tensor Integrals

Integrations over nal state ferm ion decay angles are carried out with the help of invariant tensor integration. Using the decay matrix element M  $_{12;V}$  from equation (C 12) one obtains

with L ( $f_1$ ;V) and R ( $f_1$ ;V) from (A 2) and (A 8). An analogous result is valid for the integration of M  $_{34,V}$  M  $_{34,V}$  over d  $_{34}$ . The result of the above decay phase space integral factorizes in the cross-section. Therefore one can use formula (D 1) to integrate the nal state ferm ion decay angles for both the 2! 4 and the initial state brem sstrahlung 2! 5 particle phase space.

## D.2 Loop Integrals

For the computation of the virtual initial state QED corrections to the studied processes, apart from the integration over nal state ferm ion decay angles, two sets of integrals are needed. The rst set is presented in this subsection and consists of the integration over the loop momentum p:

$$[A]_n = {\binom{4 - n}{2}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{d^n p}{(2)^n} A$$
 with  $\dot{p}$  4j 1: (D 2)

The second set, the integration over cos# (in 2! 4 kinematics), is given in section D 3. It should be noticed that we present only the loop integrals for the virtuals—and t-channel graphs, because, in the twofold di erential cross-section, the interferences of the virtual u-channel graphs with the tree level t-and u-channel graphs equal the interferences of the virtual t-channel graphs with the tree level u-channel and t-channel graphs. This is easily veried by sym metry arguments. We use the de nitions

$$\frac{1}{0} = \frac{1}{p^{2} - i^{"}}$$

$$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{(p - k_{1})^{2} + m_{e}^{2} - i^{"}} = \frac{1}{p^{2} - 2pk_{1} - i^{"}}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{(p + k_{2})^{2} + m_{e}^{2} - i^{"}} = \frac{1}{p^{2} + 2pk_{2} - i^{"}}$$

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{(p - q)^{2} - i^{"}}$$
(D.3)

and  $q_t^2 = t$ . For later use we de ne  $q_u^2 = u$ . D in ensional regularization is used to consistently treat divergent loop integrals. The ultraviolet and infrared poles are taken from equations (C 19) and (C 20). For the sake of compact presentation of the subsequent integrals we introduce two symmetry operations, namely

Further we introduce the notations

One obtains the following table of canonical integrals:

1) 
$$\frac{1}{0.1}$$
 =  $\frac{1}{0.2}$  =  $\frac{1}{16^2}$  2P + 2

2) 
$$\frac{p}{0} = U \frac{p}{0} = \frac{1}{16^{2}} P + \frac{1}{2}!$$

3) 
$$\frac{1}{0} = \frac{1}{16^2}$$
 2P the 2

4) 
$$\frac{p}{0} = \frac{q}{2} - \frac{1}{0} = \frac{iq}{16^{2}}$$
  $P = \frac{1}{2} + 1$ 

5) 
$$\frac{1}{16^{2}}$$
 =  $\frac{1}{16^{2}}$  2P <sub>0</sub>l+ 2

6) 
$$\frac{p}{1 + 2 + n} = \frac{(k_1 + k_2)}{2} - \frac{1}{1 + 2 + n} = \frac{1}{16^{-2}} (k_1 + k_2)$$
  $p = \frac{1}{2} + 1$ 

7) 
$$\frac{1}{1}$$
 =  $T^{0}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $A = \frac{1}{16^{2}}$  2P  $_{1}L+ 2$ 

8) 
$$\frac{p}{1 + q} = TU^{0} \frac{p}{2 + q} A = \frac{1}{16^{2}} (q + k_{1}) \qquad P \frac{1}{2} + 1$$

9) 
$$\frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}} = \frac{i}{16} \frac{2}{4} \frac{2 \frac{1}{0}}{s} P^{\mathbb{R}} + \frac{1}{s} \frac{2}{6} \frac{2}{2} \frac{12}{5}$$

10) 
$$\frac{p}{10^{-1}} = \frac{1}{16^{-2}} (k_1 \quad k_2) \frac{l_0}{s}$$

11) 
$$\frac{p p}{0 + 1 + 2} = \frac{i}{16^{-2}} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot g = \frac{1}{2}p + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4}$$

$$+ (k_1 k_1 + k_2 k_2) \cdot \frac{1}{2s} + (k_1 k_2 + k_2 k_1) \cdot \frac{1}{2s} \cdot \frac{1}{2s}$$

12) 
$$\frac{1}{0 + 1 + q} = T \cdot \frac{0}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0 + 2 + q} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0 + q} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0 + q} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0 + q} \cdot \frac{1}{0} \cdot \frac{1}{0}$$

13) 
$$\frac{p}{0 + 1 + q} = TU^{0} + \frac{p}{0 + 2 + q} A$$

$$= \frac{i}{16^{-2}} \frac{1}{t + s_{1}} \stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{\cdot}} q + \frac{1}{t + s_{1}} + \frac{1}{0 + 1 + q} \frac{2t}{t + s_{1}} + \frac{1}{t + s_{1}} \stackrel{9}{\underset{?}{\cdot}} A$$

14) 
$$\frac{p p}{0 \cdot 1 \cdot q} = T U^{0} + \frac{p p}{0 \cdot 2 \cdot q} = T U^{0} + \frac{p p}{0 \cdot 2 \cdot q} = \frac{1}{16^{-2}} \cdot \frac{p p}{3} = \frac{1}{2} P + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4 \cdot (t + s_{1})} t \cdot \frac{1}{t + s_{1}} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4 \cdot (t + s_{1})} t \cdot \frac{1}{t + s_{1}} \cdot \frac{1}{4 \cdot (t + s_{1})} t \cdot \frac{1}{t + s_{1}} \cdot \frac{1}{4 \cdot (t + s_{1})} t \cdot \frac{1}{t + s_{1}} \cdot \frac{1}{t + s_{1}$$

15) 
$$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 9} = \frac{1}{16^{-2}} I_{12q}$$

17) 
$$\frac{p \ p}{1 \ 2 \ q} = \frac{i}{16^{2}} \quad g \quad F_{21} + q \ q \quad F_{22} + k_{1} k_{1} F_{23} + k_{2} k_{2} F_{24}$$

$$+ k_{1} k_{2} + k_{1} k_{2} \quad F_{25} + k_{1} q + k_{1} q \quad F_{26}$$

$$+ k_{2} q + k_{2} q \quad F_{27}$$

$$F_{21} = \frac{1}{4} \stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{\overset{?}{=}}} \frac{1}{4} s_1 s \qquad \ln \frac{s_1}{s} + s_2 s + \ln \frac{s_2}{s} \qquad 2sq s_2 I_{12q} 5$$

$$\stackrel{9}{\underset{\stackrel{}{=}}{\underset{\stackrel{}{=}}}} 2$$

$$2P + 3 \quad 0 \stackrel{1}{\underset{\stackrel{}{=}}{\underset{\stackrel{}{=}}}} \frac{3}{s}$$

$$F_{22} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{cases} 8 & 2 & 3 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 43s + \frac{6ss_1}{3} & \frac{5}{3} \ln \frac{s_1}{s} \\ 2 & 3 & \frac{1}{2} & 43s \\ \frac{1}{2} & 43s & \frac{6ss_2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{s_2}{s} \\ 2 & 3 & \frac{9}{2} \\ + & s^2 & 41 + \frac{6s_1s_2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{cases}$$

$$F_{23} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} 8 & 2 & 3 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1$$

$$F_{24} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{cases} 8 & 3 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3}$$

$$F_{26} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{s}{2} + 4 \frac{s + s_2}{2} + \frac{6 s s_1 s_2}{2} \frac{5 \ln \frac{s_1}{s}}{s}$$

$$\frac{2}{2} \frac{3 s s_2}{2} s \frac{5 \ln \frac{s_2}{s}}{s}$$

$$\frac{2}{2} \frac{3 s s_2}{s} s \frac{5 \ln \frac{s_2}{s}}{s}$$

$$\frac{2}{3 s s_2} \frac{3 s s_1}{s} s \frac{5 \ln \frac{s_2}{s}}{s}$$

$$F_{27} = \frac{1}{\overset{8}{\cdot}} \frac{s}{2} + 4 \frac{s + s_{1}}{2} + \frac{6 \operatorname{ss}_{1} \operatorname{s}_{2}}{5} \ln \frac{\operatorname{s}_{2}}{s}$$

$$\frac{4 \frac{\operatorname{s}_{1}}{2}}{2} + \frac{3 \operatorname{ss}_{1}}{2} + \frac{6 \operatorname{ss}_{1} \operatorname{s}_{2}}{5} \ln \frac{\operatorname{s}_{1}}{s}$$

$$\frac{4 \frac{\operatorname{s}_{1}}{2}}{2} + \frac{3 \operatorname{ss}_{1}}{2} + \frac{3 \operatorname{s}_{2}}{5} + \frac{3 \operatorname{s}_{2}}{5} + \frac{3 \operatorname{s}_{2}}{5}$$

$$\operatorname{ss}_{1} 4 + \frac{3 \operatorname{s}_{2}}{2} + \frac{3 \operatorname{s}_{2}}{5} + \frac{3 \operatorname{s}_$$

## D.3 Virtual Corrections' Phase Space Integrals

A first the integration over the loop m omentum, there is one non-trivial integration left when the virtual initial state corrections to the NC2 or CC3 processes are evaluated. This is the integration over the boson scattering angle # in the center of m ass system. We recall that the below integrals do not cover the interferences of virtual u-channel graphs with tree level t- and u-channel graphs, because these interferences are deduced from the interferences of the virtual t-channel graphs with the tree level u-channel and t-channel graphs by sym metry arguments. We introduce the notation

$$[A]_{V} \qquad \frac{P-z_{1}}{2} \underset{1}{\text{dcos\# A}} = \underset{t_{m \text{ in}}}{\text{dt A}} \qquad (D.7)$$

with  $t_{m \text{ in}}$  and  $t_{m \text{ ax}}$  as de ned in equation (3.4).

Below, we use

and adopt the notations of equations (3.4) and (3.6). The following list of integrals is obtained:

1) 
$$[1]_{v} = P -$$

$$2) [t]_v = \frac{p_-}{2} (s)$$

3) 
$$[t^2]_v = \frac{p-n}{3}$$
 (s  $t^2 = \frac{p-n}{3}$ 

4) 
$$\frac{1}{t_{v}} = \frac{1}{u_{v}} = \ln \frac{s}{s} + \frac{p}{p} = L_{0}$$

5) 
$$\frac{1}{t^2}_{v} = \frac{1}{u^2}_{v} = \frac{p_{-}}{s_1 s_2}$$

6) 
$$\frac{1}{tu}_{v} = \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{t}_{v} + \frac{1}{u}_{v}^{A} = \frac{2}{s} L_{0}$$

The above integrals 1) to 6) are fam iliar from the calculation of the tree level cross-section, while the integrals 7) to 29) genuinely originate from the virtual corrections.

7) 
$$\frac{1}{t_{12}^2} = T = \frac{0}{t_{34}^2} = \frac{p}{ss_1}$$

8) 
$$\frac{1}{t_{12}} = T^{0} \frac{1}{t_{34}} = \ln \frac{p}{s+} = L_{12}$$

9) 
$$[l_{\xi}]_{V} = \frac{s}{2} L_{0} + \frac{p_{-}}{2} L_{S}$$

$$!$$

$$L_{S} = 21 + \ln \frac{s_{1}}{s} + \ln \frac{s_{2}}{s}$$

10) 
$$[t l_t]_v = \frac{(s)^2 2s_2}{4} L_0 + \frac{(s)^2}{4} (L_s)$$

11) 
$$\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{2} L_0 L_S$$

12) 
$$\frac{L_{e}}{u} = \ln \frac{s}{m_{e}^{2}} L_{0}$$
  $L_{e}^{2} \frac{t_{max}}{s} + L_{e}^{2} \frac{t_{min}}{s}$ 

$$13) \frac{\frac{1}{\xi_{12}}}{t_{12}}_{v} = T e^{0} \frac{\frac{1}{\xi_{14}}}{t_{34}} A$$

$$= \frac{L_{12} L_{S}}{2} \frac{L_{0}}{2} \ln \frac{s_{1}}{s} + L_{12} \frac{t_{max}}{s_{1}} L_{2} \frac{t_{min}}{s_{1}}$$

$$14) \frac{1}{\xi_{1}^{2}}_{v} = \frac{1}{2 s_{1} s_{2}} P^{-} (L_{S} + 2) \quad (s ) L_{0}$$

$$15) \frac{\frac{1}{\xi_{12}}}{t_{12}^{2}}_{v} = T e^{0} \frac{\frac{1}{\xi_{14}^{2}}}{t_{34}^{2}}_{v} A = \frac{1}{s_{1}} e^{0} \frac{s_{2}}{2 s} L_{0} L_{12} + \frac{p-1}{2 s} L_{S} A$$

$$16) \frac{1}{\xi_{12}^{2}}_{v} = \frac{s}{2} L_{S} L_{S} A = \frac{1}{s_{1}} e^{0} \frac{s_{2}}{2 s} L_{0} L_{12} + \frac{L_{S}^{2}}{4} L_{12} L_{12} + \frac{1}{2 s} L_{12} L_{12} + \frac{1}{2 s} L_{12} L_{1$$

26) 
$$Re^{Q} = \frac{1}{u} Li_{2} = \frac{t_{12}}{s_{1}} = \frac{i^{w}}{v} = \frac{1}{v} = \frac$$

27) 
$$\frac{1}{t_{12}} \text{Li}_{2} \frac{t_{12} \quad i''}{s_{1}} = T \stackrel{0}{\text{B}} \frac{1}{t_{34}} \text{Li}_{2} \frac{t_{34} \quad i''}{s_{2}} \stackrel{\#}{\text{C}} \stackrel{1}{\text{A}}$$

$$= \text{Li}_{3} 1 + \frac{t_{\text{max}} \quad i''}{s_{1}} \quad \text{Li}_{3} 1 + \frac{t_{\text{min}} \quad i''}{s_{1}}$$

$$28) \frac{1}{t^{2}} \text{Li}_{2} \frac{t_{12} \quad i''}{s_{1}} = T \stackrel{0}{\text{B}} \frac{1}{t^{2}} \text{Li}_{2} \frac{t_{34} \quad i''}{s_{2}} \stackrel{\#}{\text{C}} \stackrel{1}{\text{A}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{t_{\text{max}}} \text{Li}_{2} 1 + \frac{t_{\text{max}} \quad i''}{s_{1}} + \frac{1}{t_{\text{min}}} \text{Li}_{2} 1 + \frac{t_{\text{min}} \quad i''}{s_{1}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{s_{1}} \quad \text{Li}_{2} 1 + \frac{s_{1}}{t_{\text{max}}} \stackrel{\text{I''}}{\text{I''}} \qquad \text{Li}_{2} 1 + \frac{s_{1}}{t_{\text{min}}} \stackrel{\text{I''}}{\text{I''}}$$

29) 
$$\frac{1}{t_{12}^{2}} \text{Li}_{2} \frac{t_{12}}{s_{1}} \frac{i^{"}}{s_{1}} = T \frac{B}{e} \frac{1}{t_{34}^{2}} \text{Li}_{2} \frac{t_{34}}{s_{2}} \frac{i^{"}}{s_{2}} + \frac{c}{A}$$

$$= \frac{1}{s_{1}} {}^{4} \text{L}_{12} \frac{1}{2s} \frac{s_{1} + s_{2}}{2s} \text{L}_{0} + \frac{p_{-}}{2s} \text{(L} 2 i)$$

$$\frac{t_{m \text{ in}} + s_{1}}{s} \text{Li}_{2} 1 + \frac{t_{m \text{ ax}}}{s_{1}} \frac{i^{"}}{s_{1}} + \frac{t_{m \text{ ax}} + s_{1}}{s} \text{Li}_{2} 1 + \frac{t_{m \text{ in}}}{s_{1}} \frac{i^{"}}{s}$$

## D.4 Bremsstrahlung Integrals { First Series

For the calculation of brem sstrahlung to processes (1.1), the phase space was parametrized as exposed in equation (B.3) and appendix B. A fter integration over the nal state ferm ion decay angles, the rst series of brem sstrahlung integrals is over the scattering azim uth and polar angles  $_{\rm R}$  and  $_{\rm R}$  of the boson three-vector  ${\rm v}_1$  in the two-boson rest fram e:

$$[A]_{R} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{2^{2}} d_{R} d_{R} d_{R} d_{R} d_{R} A :$$
 (D.9)

We introduce a third sym metry operation,

Taking into account that the contribution of the brem sstrahlung u-channel matrix element squared to the threefold dierential cross-section equals the contribution of the brem sstrahlung t-channel matrix element, the following brem sstrahlung integrals are needed for the rst series:

1) 
$$[1]_{\mathbb{R}} = 1$$

2) 
$$[\cos_R f(\cos_R)]_R = 0$$

3) 
$$[\cos_R]_R = 0$$

4) 
$$[\cos^2_R]_R = 1=2$$

5) 
$$[\cos^2_R]_R = 1=3$$

7) 
$$\frac{1}{t_{1}}_{R} = \frac{2s^{0}}{p - \frac{1}{0}(s_{+}^{0} - \frac{s^{0} \cos s}{s^{0} \cos s})} \ln \frac{s_{+}^{0}(s_{+}^{0} + \frac{p - \frac{1}{0}}{s^{0} \cos^{0} + \frac{p - \frac{1}{0}}{s^{0} + \frac{p - \frac{1}{0}}{s^{0} + \frac{p - \frac{1}{0}}{s^{0} + \frac{p - \frac{1}{0$$

8) 
$$\frac{1}{t_2}_R = ST \frac{1}{t_1}_R \frac{!}{p \frac{2s^0}{s_{d2}}} t_2$$

9) 
$$\frac{1}{u_1}_{R} = T \frac{1}{t_1}_{R} \frac{2s^0}{s_{d1}} l_{u1}$$

10) 
$$\frac{1}{u_2}_{R} = S \frac{1}{t_1}_{R} \frac{!}{p - 0} S_{d2} l_{u2}$$

11) 
$$\frac{\text{mos}_{R}}{\text{t}_{1}} = \frac{16 \text{ s}^{\text{p}} \text{b}_{1}}{\text{s}_{1}^{2} \text{ s}^{2} \text{d}_{1}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{1}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{1}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{1}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{1}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{1}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{2}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{1}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{2}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{1}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{2}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{2}$$

12) 
$$\frac{\text{"}}{\cos_R} = \frac{16 \text{ s}^{\circ} \text{b}_2}{\text{"}} = \frac{16 \text{ s}^{\circ} \text{b}_2}{\text{"}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_2} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_2} = \frac{1}{\text{R}}$$

13) 
$$\frac{1}{t_{1}^{2}}_{R}^{\#} = \frac{4s^{0}}{s_{1} s_{1} + s_{2}^{0}} + \frac{4s^{0}}{s_{2} c_{3} c_{3} c_{3}} + \frac{4s^{0}}{s_{1} c_{1}^{+} c_{1}}$$

14) 
$$\frac{1}{t_2^2}_{R} = ST \frac{1}{t_1^2}_{R} \frac{4s^0}{s_2 d_2^+ d_2}$$

15) 
$$\frac{1}{t_{1}t_{2}} = \frac{1}{2S_{t_{1}t_{2}}} \ln \frac{A_{1}^{t} A_{2}^{t}}{A_{1}^{t} A_{2}^{t}}! \frac{1}{2S_{t_{1}t_{2}}} 1_{t_{12}}$$

$$S_{t_{1}t_{2}} = \frac{P_{-0}}{8s^{0}} + + s^{0} \cos \qquad q - s \cos \qquad q$$

$$S_{t_{2}t_{2}} = \frac{P_{-0}}{8s^{0}} S_{12}^{t} S_{12}^{t}$$

$$A_{1}^{t} = a_{1} + b_{1} \cos \qquad A_{1}^{t} = a_{1} + b_{1} \cos \qquad A_{1}^{t} = a_{1} + b_{1} \cos \qquad A_{1}^{t} = a_{2} + b_{2} \cos \qquad A_{2}^{t} = a_{2} + b_{2} + b_{2} \cos \qquad A_{2}^{t} = a_{2} + b_{2} + b_{2} \cos \qquad A_{2}^{t} = a_{2} + b_{2} + a_{2}^{t} \cos \qquad A_{2}^{t} = a_{2} + b_{2} + a_{2}^{t} \cos \qquad A_{2}^{t} = a_{2} + b_{2} + a_{2}^{t} \cos \qquad A_{2}^{t} = a_{2} + b_{2}^{t} \cos \qquad A_{2}^{t} = a_{2} + b_{2}^{t} \cos \qquad A_{2}^{t} = a_{2} + b_{2}^{t} \cos \qquad A_{2}^{t} = a_{2}^{t} + b_{2}^{t} \cos \qquad A_{2}^{t} = a_{2}^{$$

16) 
$$\frac{1}{u_{1}u_{2}} = S \frac{1}{t_{1}t_{2}} \frac{1}{R} \frac{1}{2Su_{1}u_{2}} I_{a12}$$

17)  $\frac{1}{t_{1}u_{1}} = \frac{1}{a_{1}^{ut}} \frac{1}{t_{1}} + \frac{1}{u_{1}} \frac{1}{R}$ 

$$a_{1}^{ut} = \frac{1}{2} s_{1}^{0} \quad \hat{S} \cos \frac{a}{2} (1 \text{ boos })$$

$$a = s_{1}^{0} 2 ; \quad b = \frac{s_{2}^{0}}{s_{2}^{0} 2}; \quad 0 \text{ b < } 1$$

18)  $\frac{1}{t_{2}u_{2}} = \frac{1}{a_{2}^{ut}} \frac{1}{t_{2}} + \frac{1}{u_{2}} \frac{1}{R}$ 

$$a_{2}^{ut} = \frac{1}{2} s_{1}^{0} + s^{0} \cos \frac{a}{2} (1 + \text{boos })$$

19)  $\frac{1}{t_{1}u_{2}} = \frac{1}{2^{2} C_{12}} \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{A_{1}}{A_{1}^{+}} \frac{B_{2}^{+}}{B_{2}^{+}} + 2 \ln \frac{a_{s1} + b_{1}}{a_{s1} + b_{1}} \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{2^{2} C_{12}} \frac{1}{t_{2}u_{2}}$ 

$$A_{1} = \frac{P}{C_{12}} b_{1} (a_{1}^{t} + b_{1}) + C_{12} + B_{1}^{t} (a_{s1} + b_{1}) = 2$$

$$A_{1} = \frac{P}{C_{12}} b_{1} (a_{1}^{t} + b_{2}) + C_{12} + B_{1}^{u} (a_{s1} + b_{3}) = 2$$

$$B_{2} = \frac{P}{C_{12}} b_{1} (a_{2}^{u} + b_{2}) + C_{12} + B_{2}^{u} (a_{s1} + b_{3}) = 2$$

$$B_{2} = \frac{P}{C_{12}} b_{2} (a_{2}^{u} + b_{2}) + C_{12} + B_{2}^{u} (a_{s1} + b_{3}) = 2$$

$$C_{12} = \frac{P}{c_{1}^{0}} a_{12} \cos^{2} + B_{12}$$

$$A_{12} = \hat{S}^{2} s_{1} (s_{1} s_{2})$$

$$B_{12} = s^{02} s_{1} (s_{1} s_{2}) + a_{2}^{u} b_{1} + a_{3}^{u} c^{2}$$

$$B_{2}^{u} = a_{1}^{h} a_{1}^{h} b_{2}^{h} + a_{2}^{u} b_{1} + a_{3}^{u} c^{2}$$

$$B_{2}^{u} = a_{1}^{h} a_{1}^{h} b_{2}^{h} + a_{2}^{u} b_{1} + a_{3}^{u} c^{2}$$

$$a_{s1} = a_{1}^{t} + a_{2}^{u} = \frac{s_{0}^{0}}{2s^{0}} (s^{0} + ) \quad 2s$$

$$b_{1} = b_{2} \quad b_{2} = \frac{s_{0}^{2} s_{0}^{0}}{2s^{0}} s^{0}$$

20) 
$$\frac{1}{t_2 u_1} = T \frac{1}{t_1 u_2} \frac{1}{R} \frac{1}{2^F C_{21}} \mathbf{1}_{t_2 u_1}$$

21) 
$$\frac{\cos_R}{t_1^2} = \frac{16 s^2 b_1}{s^2 c_{d1}} = \frac{1}{t_1} = \frac{1}{t_1^2} = \frac{1}{t_1^2$$

22) 
$$\frac{\text{cos}_{R}}{\text{t}_{2}^{2}} = \frac{16 \text{ s}^{\circ} \text{b}_{2}}{\text{s}_{d2}^{2}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{2}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{2}} = \frac{1}{\text{t}_{2}^{2}} = \frac{$$

23) 
$$\frac{\text{"cos}^{2}_{R}}{\text{t}_{1}} = \frac{16 \text{ s}^{\circ 2}}{{}^{\circ}\text{S}_{d1}^{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} a_{1}^{t} + \frac{1}{2} & 2a_{1}^{t^{2}} + c^{2} & \frac{1}{t_{1}} \\ + \frac{384 \text{ s}^{\circ 4}}{{}^{\circ 2}\text{S}_{d1}^{4}} & a_{1}^{t}c^{2} & 1 & a_{1}^{t} & \frac{1}{t_{1}} \\ \end{array} \right)$$

24) 
$$\frac{\cos^2 R}{t_2} = ST^{0} \frac{\cos^2 R}{t_1} = A$$

25) 
$$\frac{1}{t_{1}^{2}t_{2}} = \frac{1}{S_{t_{1}t_{2}}^{2}} ( a_{d}^{t} + a_{1}^{t} a_{1}^{t} a_{d}^{t} b_{d} \frac{1}{t_{1}^{2}}$$

$$+ b_{2} (a_{1}^{t}b_{2} a_{2}^{t}b_{1}) a_{d}^{t} c^{2} \frac{1}{t_{1}t_{2}}$$

$$a_{d}^{t} = a_{2}^{t} a_{1}^{t} = \frac{s^{0}}{2 s^{0}} ( s c s )$$

26) 
$$\frac{1}{t_1 t_2^2}$$
 = ST  $\frac{0}{t_1^2 t_2}$  A

27) 
$$\frac{1}{t_1 t_2 u_1}_{R} = \frac{1}{a_1^{ut}} \frac{1}{t_1 t_2}_{R} + \frac{1}{t_2 u_1}_{R}$$

28) 
$$\frac{1}{t_1 t_2 u_2} = \frac{1}{a_2^{ut}} + \frac{1}{t_1 t_2} + \frac{1}{t_1 u_2}$$

29) 
$$\frac{1}{t_1 u_1 u_2} = \frac{1}{a_1^{\text{ut}}} = \frac{1}{u_1 u_2} + \frac{1}{t_1 u_2}$$

30) 
$$\frac{1}{t_2u_1u_2} = \frac{1}{a_2^{ut}} = \frac{1}{u_1u_2} + \frac{1}{t_2u_1}$$

31) 
$$\frac{1}{t_1 t_2 u_1 u_2} = \frac{1}{a_1^{ut}} \frac{1}{a_2^{ut}} + \frac{1}{t_1 t_2} + \frac{1}{u_1 u_2} + \frac{1}{t_1 u_2} + \frac{1}{t_2 u_1} + \frac{1}{t_2 u_1}$$

# D.5 Bremsstrahlung Integrals { Second Series

Below, the integrals needed for the last analytical integration in the bremsstrahlung case are given. This integration is over the photon scattering angle .U sing the ultrarelativistic approximation and the notation

$$[A] = \frac{1}{2} d\cos A; \qquad (D.11)$$

the following integrals are required for the \second series" of brem sstrahlung integrals:

1) 
$$[1] = 1$$

2) 
$$\frac{1}{z_1} = \frac{1}{z_2} = \frac{1}{2}1$$
 3)  $\frac{m_e^2}{z_1^2} = \frac{m_e^2}{z_2^2} = \frac{s}{4}$ 

4) 
$$\frac{1}{S_{d1}} = \frac{1}{S_{d2}} = \frac{1}{2s^0} \ln \frac{s}{s^0}$$
 5)  $\frac{1}{S_{d1}^2} = \frac{1}{S_{d2}^2} = \frac{1}{4ss^0}$ 

6) 
$$\frac{1}{S_{31}^3} = \frac{1}{S_{32}^3} = \frac{s_+^0}{16 s^2 s^{02}}$$

7) 
$$[L_{c1}] = 1 + \frac{s^{0}}{2s^{0}} \cdot L_{c1} + \frac{p_{-0}}{2s^{0}} \cdot L_{c3} + L_{c5}$$

$$L_{c1} = \ln^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s^{0} + \frac{p_{-0}}{s}) + s^{0}}{s^{0} (s^{0} + \frac{p_{-0}}{s}) + s^{0}} \cdot \frac{s}{s}^{A}$$

$$L_{c3} = \ln 1 + \frac{s^{0} (s)}{s^{0} s_{2}}$$

$$L_{c5} = \ln \frac{s^{0} + \frac{p_{-0}}{s}!}{s^{0}}$$

8) 
$$[\mathbb{L}_{2}] = T \quad [\mathbb{L}_{1}] = 1 + \frac{s^{0} + \frac{1}{2s^{0}}}{2s^{0}} \quad \mathbb{L}_{c2} + \frac{p_{-0}}{2s^{0}} \quad \mathbb{L}_{c4} + \mathbb{L}_{c5}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_{c2} = \ln^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s^{0} + \frac{p_{-0}}{s^{0}}) + s^{0} + s}{s^{0} (s^{0} + \frac{p_{-0}}{s^{0}}) + s^{0} + s} \quad \mathbb{L}_{c4}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_{c4} = \ln 1 + \frac{s^{0} (s + \frac{p_{-0}}{s^{0}})}{s^{0} s_{1}}$$

9) 
$$[L_{12}] = 1 + \frac{s^0}{2s^0}! L_{c1} + 1 + \frac{s^0 + 1}{2s^0}! L_{c2} = \frac{p_{-0}}{2s^0} (L_{c3} + L_{c4})$$

10) 
$$\frac{1}{z_1} l_{t1} = \frac{1}{z_2} l_{t2} = \frac{1}{2} l_{t1} D_{z1t1}$$

$$L_{t1} = L_{c5} L_{t1}$$

$$D_{z1t1} = L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0})}{2s(s^{0}) + s^{0}(s + s^{0})} A L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0})}{2s(s^{0}) + s^{0}(s + s^{0})} A$$

11) 
$$\frac{1}{z_1} l_{t2} = \frac{1}{z_2} l_{t1} = \frac{1}{2} l_{t2} l_{t5} D_{z1t2}$$

$$D_{z1t2} = Li_2^0 \frac{s'(s^0 + \frac{p_{-0}}{s})^1}{s^0(s^0 + \frac{p_{-0}}{s})^2} Li_2^0 \frac{s'(s^0 + \frac{p_{-0}}{s})^1}{s^0(s^0 + \frac{p_{-0}}{s})^2} l_{t2}^2$$

12) 
$$\frac{1}{z_{2}} l_{t1} = \frac{1}{z_{1}} l_{t2} = \frac{1}{2} l$$

13) 
$$\frac{1}{z_2} l_{22} = \frac{1}{z_1} l_{u1} = \frac{1}{2} l_{L_{12}} D_{z2t2}$$

$$L_{t2} = L_{c5} L_{c2}$$

$$D_{z2t2} = L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1}) + s^{0}(p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{0} + p^{-1})}{2s(s^{0} + p^{-1})} L_{i2}^{0} \frac{s^{0} (s + s^{$$

15) 
$$\frac{1}{S_{d2}} I_{t2} = \frac{1}{S_{d1}} I_{u1} = T \frac{1}{S_{d1}} I_{t1} \frac{1}{2 s^0} D_2^t$$

A comment on the treatment of arguments of logarithms and dilogarithms is in order here. The logarithm is undened for negative real values, the dilogarithm has a cut for real numbers larger than 1. This means that, in principle, in nitesimal imaginary parts from the propagator denominators have to be carried along in the whole calculation. This, however, is an unnecessary nuisance, because all integrands are real and regular. If in nitesimal imaginary parts were needed, because logarithm or dilogarithm arguments lay on cuts in nal results, they were attributed to the invariant masses  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ . As integrands are real and regular, this is a correct treatment, because it is then irrelevant for the integrand how an in nitesimal imaginary part is entered. This technique will be used in subsequent integrals without further notice.

16) 
$$\frac{1}{z_1} l_{t12} = \frac{1}{z_2} l_{t12} = \frac{1}{2} l_{t12} = \frac{1}{2} l_{t12} + D_{z1t1} + D_{z1t2}$$

17) 
$$\frac{1}{z_2} l_{12} = \frac{1}{z_1} l_{12} = \frac{1}{2} l_{12} = \frac{1}{2} l_{12} + l_{12} + l_{12}$$

18) 
$$\frac{1}{a_1^{\text{ut}}} \mathbf{l}_{\text{tl2}} = \frac{1}{a_2^{\text{ut}}} \mathbf{l}_{\text{ul2}} = \frac{1}{s^0} \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{L}_{\text{c8}} \mathbf{L}_{\text{c6}} + \mathbf{D}_{\text{a1}}^{\text{tu}}$$

$$L_{c8} = \ln \frac{s}{s^0}$$

$$L_{c6} = \ln \frac{(a_1 \ b+ b_1)(a_{2+} b+ b_{2+})}{(a_{1+} b+ b_{1+})(a_2 \ b+ b_2)}$$

19) 
$$\frac{1}{a_{2}^{\text{ut}}} \mathbf{l}_{12} = \frac{1}{a_{1}^{\text{ut}}} \mathbf{l}_{112} = \frac{1}{s^{0}} \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{L}_{c8} \mathbf{L}_{c7} + \mathbf{D}_{a2}^{\text{tu}}$$

$$L_{c7} = T (L_{c6})$$

$$D_{a2}^{tu} = T (D_{a1}^{tu})$$

20) 
$$\frac{1}{S_{d1}^{2}} = T^{0} + \frac{1}{S_{d2}^{2}} = T^{0} + \frac{1}{S_{d2}^{2}} = T^{0} + \frac{1}{S_{d2}^{2}} = T^{0} + \frac{1}{S_{d2}^{0}} = T^{0} + \frac{1}{S_{d2}^{0}} = T^{0} = T^{0} + \frac{1}{S_{d2}^{0}} = T^{0} = T^{$$

28) 
$$\frac{\text{m}}{S_{12+}^{t} S_{12}^{t}}^{\#} = \frac{1}{4 s^{02}} \ln \frac{s_{1}}{s_{2}} \quad \text{p=L}$$

29) 
$$\frac{1}{(S_{12+}^{t})^2 (S_{12}^{t})^2} = \frac{1}{8 s^0} \frac{1}{p-1} L + \frac{s^0 + \frac{2}{2}!}{2 s^0 s_1 s_2}$$

30) 
$$\frac{\cos}{(S_{12+}^{t})^2 (S_{12}^{t})^2} = \frac{1}{8 s^{02}} + \frac{1}{2 s_1 s_2}!$$

31) 
$$\frac{1}{S_{12+}^{t}} \frac{1}{S_{12}^{t}} = \frac{1}{4 s^{0}} = R e^{4} \qquad L_{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{C_{++}a - e_{+}}{d} + L_{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{C_{+}a - e_{+}}{d} + L_{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac$$

$$\frac{1}{4s^{0}} = D_{12}^{t}$$

$$a = s$$

$$c = s$$

$$e = s^{0}$$

$$d = 8ss_{1}s_{2}$$

32) 
$$\frac{\mathbb{L}_{12}}{(S_{12+}^{t})^{2} (S_{12}^{t})^{2}}^{\#} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbb{L}_{12}}{S_{12+}^{t} S_{12}^{t}} + \frac{\mathbb{L}_{12}}{S_{12+}^{t} S_{12}^{t}} + \frac{\mathbb{L}_{12}}{2 \operatorname{ss}_{1} \operatorname{s}_{2}} \operatorname{L} + \frac{\mathbb{L}_{2}}{2 \operatorname{ss}_{1} \operatorname{s}_{2}} \operatorname{L}_{c1} + \frac{\mathbb{L}_{2}}{2 \operatorname{ss}_{2} \operatorname{s}_{2}} \operatorname{L}_{c2} + \frac{\mathbb{L}_{2}}{2 \operatorname{ss}_{1} \operatorname{s}_{2}} \operatorname{L}_{c1} + \mathbb{L}_{c2}) + \frac{\mathbb{L}_{2}}{4 \operatorname{ss}_{1} \operatorname{s}_{2}} \operatorname{L}_{c2} + \mathbb{L}_{c3} + \mathbb{L}_{c4}) \operatorname{S}_{12}$$

33) 
$$\frac{\cos \frac{1}{2}}{(S_{12+}^{t})^{2}} (S_{12}^{t})^{2} = \frac{\frac{1}{s^{0}} \frac{1_{t12}}{(S_{12+}^{t})^{2} (S_{12}^{t})^{2}}}{\frac{1}{8 s^{0}} \frac{1}{2 s s_{1} s_{2}} \ln \frac{s_{1}}{s_{2}}} + \frac{1}{2 s^{0} s_{1}} L_{c1} + \frac{1}{2 s^{0} s_{2}} L_{c2}} + \frac{1}{8 s^{0}} \frac{s^{0}}{4 s s_{1} s_{2}} (L_{c1} L_{c2}) \frac{p_{-0}}{4 s s_{1} s_{2}} (L_{c3} L_{c4})$$

35) 
$$\frac{\cos \frac{1}{12}}{(S_{12+}^{+})^{3} (S_{12}^{+})^{3}} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\cos \frac{1}{12}}{(S_{12+}^{+})^{2} (S_{12}^{+})^{2}} + \frac{1}{4s^{0}} \frac{\frac{1}{12}}{(S_{12+}^{+})^{2} (S_{12}^{+})^{2}} + \frac{1}{4s^{0}} \frac{\frac{1}{12}}{(S_{12+}^{+})^{2} (S_{12}^{+})^{2}} + \frac{1}{4s^{0}} \frac{\frac{1}{12}}{(S_{12+}^{+})^{2} (S_{12}^{+})^{2}} + \frac{1}{4s^{0}} \frac{\frac{1}{12}}{(S_{12+}^{+})^{2} (S_{12}^{+})^{2}} + \frac{1}{32s^{0}} \frac{1}{2s^{0}} \frac{\frac{1}{12}}{2s^{0}} \frac{\frac{1}{12}}{2s^{0}}{2s^{0}} \frac{\frac{1}{12}}{2s^{0}} \frac$$

 $b_{12} = s_1 (s)$ 

 $<sup>^8</sup>$  Integrals 36) to 41) were not directly calculated from the result of the  $_R$  integration. Instead a Feynm an parametrization was used to \linearize" 1=t\_1u\_2 and 1=t\_2u\_1:1=t\_1u\_2=  $_0^{\rm r}$  d = [t\_1 + u\_2(1 )]  $^2$ . Then,  $_R$ , , and nally the Feynm an parameter were integrated.

$$37) \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}C_{21}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = T \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}C_{12}}}^{\frac{1}{2}C_{12}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = G_4 D_{12u1}$$

$$38) \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}C_{12}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}C_{12}}}^{\frac{1}{2}C_{12}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}C_{12}}}^{\frac{1}{2}C_{12}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}C_{12}}}^{\frac{1}{2}C_{12}^{\frac{1}{2}C_{12}}^{\frac{1}{2}C_{12}^$$

$$a_{12} = \frac{s}{s^{0}}$$

$$t_{1}^{0} = 1 \qquad t_{2}^{0} = 1$$

$$t_{3}^{0} = 2 \qquad t_{4}^{0} = 2$$

$$t_{5}^{0} = 2 \qquad t_{6}^{0} = 2$$

$$t_{7}^{0} = 1 \qquad t_{8}^{0} = 1$$

$$t_{k}^{(i)} = t_{k}^{0} + t_{1}^{(i)} \qquad u^{(i)}(x) = t(x) \qquad t^{(i)}$$

$$t^{(i)} = \frac{ix_{0}}{x_{1}} \qquad t(x) = \frac{ix_{0} + p}{x^{2} + x_{0}^{2}}$$

$$x_{1=2} = \frac{s^{0} s_{1}(s)}{s} \qquad s^{0^{2}} s_{1} \qquad s^{0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1} \frac{4m_{\frac{e}{e}}}{s}$$

39) 
$$\frac{1_{t_{2}u_{1}}}{z_{1}} \stackrel{\#}{=} \frac{1_{t_{2}u_{1}}}{z_{2} 2^{p} \overline{C_{21}}} = T^{0} \frac{1_{t_{1}u_{2}}}{z_{1} 2^{p} \overline{C_{12}}} A$$

$$\frac{s^{0}}{4^{p} \overline{\phantom{a}_{0}} (ss^{0} ss \underline{\phantom{a}_{0}} ss_{2})} 21 (2L_{c5} L_{c2}) + D^{z}_{t2u1}$$

$$40) \frac{1_{t_{1}u_{2}}}{a^{u_{1}t} 2^{p} \overline{C_{12}}} = \frac{1_{t_{1}u_{2}}}{a^{u_{1}t} 2^{p} \overline{C_{12}}} = \frac{s^{0}}{s^{0}} Re X_{0} + X_{1} + X_{2} \frac{s^{0}}{s^{0}} D^{a}_{t1u_{2}}$$

In the calculation of the integrals X  $_{\rm i}$  the following quantities appear:

$$A_{3} = s_{1} (s_{+}^{0} 2)^{2} s^{0}$$

$$B_{3} = s_{1} (s_{+}^{0} 2) (s)$$

$$3 = s_{1}^{0} s_{1}^{0} s_{2}^{0} s_{3}^{0} s_{5}^{0} s_{5}^$$

In principle, depending on the values of  $x_3$  and  $x_4$ , four cases must be

distinguished:

(i) 
$$x_{3=4}$$
 2 R;  $\dot{y}_{4}\dot{j}$   $\dot{y}_{8}\dot{j}$ >
(ii)  $x_{3=4}$  2 R;  $\dot{y}_{4}\dot{j}$ >  $\dot{y}_{8}\dot{j}$ 
(iii)  $x_{3=4}$  2 R;  $\dot{y}_{4}\dot{j}$ >  $\dot{y}_{8}\dot{j}$ 
(iii)  $x_{3=4}$  2 R;  $\dot{y}_{4}\dot{j}$   $\dot{y}_{8}\dot{j}$ 

However, since the integrand is regular, it is suicient to present the solution for case (i). The solutions for the other three cases are then obtained by analytical continuation. In case (iv), which is relevant for only a very small fraction of the phase space, the problem of a numerically correct treatment arises. This problem was solved by computing the integral's value for a very nearby phase space point so that the expression of case (i) could be used. Having in mind that the integral is regular, it is clear that thus only a negligible error is introduced. The expressions  $X_0$ ;  $X_1$ , and  $X_2$  for case (i) are presented below.

$$X_0 = \frac{2}{P - \frac{2}{3}} L_{c8} \stackrel{4}{=} ln - \frac{x_8}{+ x_3} \stackrel{!}{=} ln - \frac{x_4}{+ x_4} \stackrel{5}{=}$$

$$X_{1} = \frac{p \cdot \overline{s_{1}} \cdot s_{+}^{0}}{2^{p} \cdot \overline{s^{0} \cdot 3}} \cdot \frac{x^{4}}{i \cdot 3} \cdot \frac{(1)^{i+1} \cdot (x_{1} \cdot a_{34})}{x_{1}^{2} \cdot x_{0}^{2}}$$

$$X^{8} \cdot (1)^{k+1} \cdot \frac{b}{a} \cdot \ln \frac{t_{k+1}^{(i)}}{t_{k}^{0}} \cdot \ln u_{+}^{(i)} \cdot (+) \cdot \ln u_{+}^{(i)} \cdot (-) \cdot 2 \cdot i \cdot (x_{1})$$

$$\ln \frac{t_{k}^{(i)}}{t_{k}^{0}} \cdot \ln u_{-}^{(i)} \cdot (+) \cdot \ln u_{-}^{(i)} \cdot (-) \cdot 2 \cdot i \cdot (x_{1})$$

$$L_{\frac{1}{2}}^{4} \cdot \frac{u_{+}^{(i)} \cdot (+)}{t_{k+1}^{(i)}} \cdot 5 + L_{\frac{1}{2}}^{4} \cdot \frac{u_{+}^{(i)} \cdot (-)}{t_{k+1}^{(i)}} \cdot 5$$

$$L_{\frac{1}{2}}^{4} \cdot \frac{u_{-}^{(i)} \cdot (+)}{t_{k}^{(i)}} \cdot 5 \cdot L_{\frac{1}{2}}^{4} \cdot \frac{u_{-}^{(i)} \cdot (-)}{t_{k}^{(i)}} \cdot 5 \cdot \frac{c}{h}$$

$$a_{34} = \frac{s}{s_{k}^{0} - 2}$$

$$t_{k}^{(i)} = t_{k}^{0} + t_{k}^{(i)} \qquad u_{k}^{(i)} = t_{k}^{(i)} + t_{k}^{(i)} \qquad u_{k}^{(i)} = t_{k}^{(i)} + t_{k}^{(i)} = t_{k}^{(i)} = t_{k}^{(i)} + t_{k}^{(i)} = t_{k}^{(i)} + t_{k}^{(i)} = t_{k}^{(i)} = t_{k}^{(i)} + t_{k}^{(i)} = t_{k}^{(i)} =$$

$$X_{2} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{2} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{3} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{4} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{5} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{7} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{1} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{2} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{2} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{3} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{2} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{3} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{2} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{3} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{2} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{3} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{2} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{3} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{4} = \frac{1}{2^{p} - 3}$$

$$X_{5} = \frac{1}{2^{$$

41) 
$$\frac{1_{t_{2}u_{1}}}{a_{1}^{ut} 2^{p} \overline{C_{21}}} = \frac{1_{t_{2}u_{1}}}{a_{2}^{ut} 2^{p} \overline{C_{21}}} = T^{0} \frac{1_{t_{1}u_{2}}}{a_{1}^{ut} 2^{p} \overline{C_{12}}} A \frac{s^{0}}{s^{0}} D_{t_{2}u_{1}}^{a}$$

## R eferences

- [1] G. Altarelli, T. Sjostrand and F. Zwimer, eds., Report of the Workshop on Physics at LEP2, CERN 96-01 (1996).
- [2] W.Beenakker et al., Report of the Working Group on WW Cross-sections and Distributions, in [1].
- [3] D. Bardin et al., Report of the W orking Group on Event Generators for W W Physics, in [1].
- [4] F.Boudjem a et al., Report of the Working Group on Standard Model Processes, in [1].
- [5] M L.M angano et al, Report of the W orking Group on Event Generators for D iscovery Physics, in [1].
- [6] T.Muta, R.Najima and S.Wakaizumi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 1 (1986) 203.
- [7] D.Bardin, M.Bilenky, A.Olchevski and T.Riemann, Phys. Lett. B 308 (1993) 403; E:Phys. Lett. B 357 (1995) 725.
- [8] D. Bardin, M. Bilenky, D. Lehner, A. Olchevski and T. Riemann, Semianalytical approach to four-fermion production in e<sup>+</sup>e annihilation, in: T. Riemann and J. Blum lein, eds., Proc. of the Zeuthen Workshop on Elementary Particle Theory \Physics at LEP200 and Beyond", Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 37B (1994), 148-157.
- [9] D.Bardin, A. Leike and T. Riem ann, Phys. Lett. B 344 (1995) 383.
- [10] D. Bardin, A. Leike and T. Riemann, Phys. Lett. B 353 (1995) 513.
- [11] D. Bardin, D. Lehner and T. Riemann, Complete initial state radiation to o-shell Z pair production in e<sup>+</sup>e annihilation, in: B.B. Levtchenko, ed., Proceedings of the IX International W orkshop on High Energy Physics and Quantum Field Theory (Moscow Univ. Publ. House, 1995) 221-226.
- [12] D. Lehner, Ph.D. thesis, Humboldt-Universitat zu Berlin, Germany (1995), Internal Report DESY-Zeuthen 95-07, [hep-ph/9512301] and references therein.
- [13] D. Bardin and T. Riemann, preprint DESY 95-167 (1995), [hep-ph/9509341], to appear in Nucl. Phys. B.
- [14] G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, G. Passarino and F. Piccinini, Phys. Lett. B 348 (1995) 178;
  G. Passarino, Univ. Torino preprint, [hep-ph/9602302] (1996), submitted to Comp. Phys. Comm.
- [15] F.A. Berends, R. Pittau and R. Kleiss, Nucl. Phys. B 424 (1994) 308.
- [16] F A . B erends, G J.H . Burgers and W L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B 297 (1988)
  429, E : B 304 (1988) 921;
  B A . K niehl, M . K raw czyk, J.H . K uhn and R . Stuart, Phys. Lett. B 209 (1988)
  337.

- [17] W. Beenakker, K. Kolodziej and T. Sack, Phys. Lett. B 258 (1991) 469;
  W. Beenakker, F. A. Berends and T. Sack, Nucl. Phys. B 367 (1991) 287;
  J. Fleischer, K. Kolodziej and F. Jegerlehner, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 830;
  J. Fleischer, F. Jegerlehner, K. Kolodziej and G. J. van Oldenborgh, Comp. Phys. Comm. 85 (1995) 29, [hep-ph/9405380].
- [18] M . Veltm an, \SCHOONSCHIP { A Program for Sym bol H and ling", 1989; H . Strubbe, C om p. Phys. C om m . 8 (1974) 1;
  - J. Verm aseren, \Symbolic Manipulations with FORM" (Computer Algebra Nederland, Amsterdam, 1991);
  - M J.Abelland J.P.Braselton, \The Mathematica Handbook" (A cadem ic Press, San Diego, CA, 1992);
  - N.B lachm an, \Mathematica: A Practical Approach" (Prentice Hall, Englewood Clis, N.J., 1992).
- [19] W . A lles, Ch. Boyer and A. Buras, Nucl. Phys. B 119 (1977) 125.
- [20] D Bardin, M. Bilenky, D. Lehner, A. Leike, A. Olchevski and T. Riemann, Fortran programs gentle\_4fan.f and gentle\_nc\_qed.f. The codes are available from the authors upon E-Mailrequestorvia W W W:http://www.ifh.de/~bardin/gentle\_4fan.uu and http://www.ifh.de/~lehner/gentle\_nc\_qed.uu.Ashort description may be found in [3], p.68.
- [21] Particle Data Group (L. Montanet et al.), \Review of Particle Properties", Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994).
- [22] M .Bohm , A .Denner, T .Sack, W .Beenakker, F A .Berends and H .K uijf, Nucl.
  Phys. B 304 (1988) 463;
  J.Fleischer, F .Jegerlehner and M .Zralek, Z.Phys. C 42 (1989) 409.
- [23] W. Beenakker, Status of Standard-Model Corrections to On-Shell W Pair Production, in: T. Riemann and J. Blum lein, eds., Proc. of the Zeuthen Workshop on Elementary Particle Theory \Physics at LEP200 and Beyond", Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 37B (1994), 59-74.
- [24] V S. Fadin, V A. Khoze and A D. Martin, Phys. Lett. B 311 (1993) 311; Phys. Lett. B 320 (1994) 141; Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 2247.
- [25] A . A eppli and D . W yler, Phys. Lett. B 262 (1991) 125;
  G J. van O ldenborgh, P J. Franzini and A . Borrelli, Com p. Phys. Com m . 83
  (1994) 14;
  - J. Fu jim oto et al., Non-resonant diagrams in radiative four-ferm ion processes in: T. Riem ann and J. Blum lein, eds., Proc. of the Zeuthen W orkshop on Elementary Particle Theory \Physics at LEP200 and Beyond", Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 37B (1994), 169-174;
  - G.J. van Oldenborgh, Leiden Univ. preprint INLO-PUB-95-04 (1995), submitted to Nucl. Phys. B;
  - K.Melnikov and O.Yakovlev, preprint MZ-TH/95-01 (1995), [hep-ph/9501358].
- [26] V. Baier, V. Fadin and V. Khoze, Sov. J. Phys. JETP 23 (1966) 104.